pog	KET NO.	50-267
1.1.1.2.1.7	DATE	790701
COMPLE	TED BY	J. W. Gahm
TEL	EPHONE	(303) 785-2253
de No. 1	NOTES	
IT NO. I	1.5	그는 그는 가장에 생각했다.
0.10		- 이번 상태가 가지 않는 것이 같이 하는 것이 않는 것이 하는 것이 않아? 이 하는 것이 않아?
842		<ul> <li>Contract (1997)</li> </ul>
342		
330		
342	1.1	
330		
Number 3 Through 7)	Since Last R	eport, Give Reasons:
ety Analysis Re	port and '	Technical Specification
the power asce	nsion phas	se of startup testing.
This Manth	Veer to De	re Cum Jarius
720	1841 CO Da	CE CUNCTUETAE
328 7	1146.4	14620_1
0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	665 3	8 507 9
0.0	0.0	0,0
2653	315 745	3 518 7/3
2000	100 852	1 058 122
0	101 177	052 629
N / A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	IN/ A
	NT / A	NI / A
N/A	N/A	<u>N/A</u>
N/A N/A	N/A N/A	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u>
N/A N/A N/A	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> N/A	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u>
N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
N/A N/A N/A N/A e, Date, and Duration	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> n of Each):	N/A N/A N/A N/A Maintenance
N/A N/A N/A N/A e. Date, and Duration days	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> n of Each):	N/A N/A N/A N/A Maintenance
N/A N/A N/A N/A e. Date, and Duration days ated Date of Startup:	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> n of Each):	N/A N/A N/A N/A Maintenance 7907120/0
N/A N/A N/A N/A e. Date, and Duration days mated Date of Startup: Operation):	N/A N/A N/A N/A n of Each): N/A Forecast	N/A N/A N/A Maintenance 7907120/C Achieved
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Dete, and Duration days iated Date of Startup: Operation):	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> n of Each): N/A Forecast <u>740201</u>	N/A N/A N/A Maintenance <b>7907120</b> /O Achieved 740131
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Date, and Duration days tated Date of Startup: Operation):	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> n of Each): <u>N/A</u> Forecast <u>740201</u> <u>7612</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> <u>N/A</u> Maintenance <b>7907120</b> Achieved <u>740131</u> <u>761211</u>
	COMPLE TEL 1t No. 1 842 342 330 342 330 Number 3 Through 7) t MWe): 231 r Regulatory Con ety Analysis Res the power asces This Month 720 328.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 2653 0 0 N/A	DOCKET NO DATE COMPLETED BY TELEFHONE 842 342 330 342 330 342 330 Number 3 Through 7) Since Last R r Regulatory Commission 3 ety Analysis Report and 3 the power ascension phas This Month Year to Da 720 4343 328.7 1146.4 0.0 0.0 100 2653 315,745 0 109,852 0 101,177 N/A N/A

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTONS

*x*.

DOCKET NO.	50-267				
UNIT NAME	Fort St. Vrain, Unit No.				
DATE	790701				
COMPLETED BY	J. W. Gahm				
TELEPHONE	(303) 785-2253				

1

## REPORT MONTH June, 1979

NO.	DATE	TYPE	DURATION	REASON	METHOD OF SHUTTING DOWN REACTOR	LER #	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	CAUSE AND CORRECTIVE ACTION TO PREVENT RECURREPORE
79-04	790201	F	720	A	4	79-03/03-L-0	нн	PUMPXX	Excessive gland leakage on "B" boi or feed pump concurrent with inoperable "C" boiler feed pump necessitated controlled reactor shutdown on Febru- ary 1, 1979, per LCO 4.3.2. Scheduled reactor shutdown for refueling was changed from Marcu 1, 1979 to Febru- ary 1, 1979. Thus shutdown number 79-04 has extended through February, March, April, May, and June, 1979. Although the reactor was critical for 328.7 hours in the reporting period, the turbine-generator remained off- line.

SUMMARY: Plant at less than 2% rated power to allow cleanup of secondary coolant chemistry.

(N)

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

	Docket No. 50-267
	Unit Fort St. Vrain, Unit No.
	Date 790701
	Completed By J. W. Gahm
	Telephone (303) 785-2253
Month June, 1979	
DAY AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
10	170
2 0	180
3 0	190
4 0	200
50	21. 0
60	22 0
70	23 0
80	24 0
9 0	25 0
1σ0	26 0
110	27 0
12 0	28 0
13 0	29 0
14 0	30 0
15 0	31 N/A
16 0	

354 221

\*Generator on line but no net generation.

## REFUELING INFORMATION

1.	Name of Facility.	Fort St. Vrain, Unit No. 1
2.	Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown.	September 1, 1980
3.	Scheduled date for restart following refueling.	November 1, 1980
4.	Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?	No
	If answer is yes, what, in general, will these be?	
	If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configura- tion been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to deter- line whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Reference 10CFR Section 50.59)?	The Plant Operations Review Committee will review any questions associated with the core reload
	If no such review has taken place, when is it scheduled?	March 1, 1980
5.	Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information.	
6.	Important licensing considera- tious associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel de- sign or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating pro- cedures.	
7.	The number of fiel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool.	<ul><li>a) 1482 HTGR fuel elements.</li><li>b) 245 spent HTGR fuel elements.</li></ul>
8,	The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies.	Capacity is limited in size to about one third of core (approximately 500 HTGR elements). No change is planned.

354 222

REFUELING INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

9. The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity.	1986 under the Three Party Agreement (Con- tract AT (04-3)-633) between DOE, Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCo), and General Atomic Company.*
---	---

\*The 1986 data is based on the understanding that spent fuel discharged during the term of the Three Party Agreement will be shipped to the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory for storage by DOE at the Idaho Chemical Processing Plant (ICPP). The storage capacity has evidently been sized to accomodate fuel which is expected to be discharged during the eight year period covered by the Three Party Agreement.

354 223