December 3, 1979

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region V 1990 M. California Boulevard Suite 202, Malnut Creek Plaza Walnut Creek, California 94596

Attention: Mr. J. L. Crews, Chief Reactor Operations and Nuclear Support Branch



Docket No. 50-312 I&E Inspection No. 50-312/79-21

Dear Mr. Crews:

This letter is in response to an inspection conducted by Mr. H. L. Canter of your office on September 4-28, 1979, at Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station Unit No. 1.

Based on the results of that inspection, it appears that one of the District's activities was not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements. The District received a Notice of Violation and a response to that Notice is enclosed herewith as Appendix A.

It is requested that Appendix A be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to Section 9.5 (a) (4) of 10 CFR Part 9 and Section 2.790 (d) of 10 CFR Part 2.

Sincerely yours.

J. Mattimoe

Assistant General Manager

and Chief Engineer

Enclosure (Appendix A)

MATERIAL TRANSMITTED HEREWITH CONTAINS 10CFR2.790 INFORMATION

1762 127

AN ELECTIFIC SYSTEM SERVING MOVE THAN 600.000 IN THE HEART OF CALIFORNIA



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION V

1990 N. CALIFORNIA BOULEVARD SUITE 202, WALNUT CREEK PLAZA WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596

3 0 OCT 1979

Docket No. 50-312

Sacramento Municipal Utility District P. O. Box 15830 Sacramento, California 95813

Attention: Mr. John J. Mattimoe

Assistant General Manager and

Chief Engineer

Gentlemen:

Subject: NRC Inspection - Rancho Seco

This refers to the inspection conducted by Mr. Harvey L. Canter of this office on September 4-28, 1979, of activities authorized by NRC License No. DPR-54, and to the discussion of our findings held by Mr. Canter with Mr. Pierre Oubre and other members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

Areas examined during this inspection are described in the enclosed inspection report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector.

Based on the results of this inspection, it appears that one of your activities was not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements, as set forth in the Notice of Violation, enclosed herewith as Appendix A. This item of noncompliance has been categorized into a level as described in our correspondence to all NRC licensees dated December 31, 1974. The information in Appendix A relates to your physical security program, and therefore, is exempt from public disclosure pursuant to Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations. Accordingly, Appendix A will not be placed in the Public Document Room.

This notice is sent to you pursuant to the provisions of Section 2.201, of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations. Section 2.201 requires you to submit to this office, within twenty (20) days of your receipt of this notice, a written statement or explanation in reply including (1) corrective steps which have been taken by you and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response should be prepared so that information which relates to your physical security program is included in a separate enclosure since this information will not be placed in the Public Document Room.

1762 128

Despe 800 1100 586 In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room. If this report contains any information that you believe to be proprietary, it is necessary that you submit a written application to this office, within 20 days of the date of this letter, requesting that such information be withheld from public disclosure. The application must include a full state ent of the reasons why it is claimed that the information is proprieta. The application should be prepared so that any proprietary information identified is contained in an enclosure to the application, since the application without the enclosure will also be placed in the Public Document Room. If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified period, the report will be placed in the Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be glad to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

J. L. Crews, Chief

Reactor Operations and Nuclear Support Branch

Enclosures:

A. Notice of Violation
B. IE Inspection Report
No. 50-312/79-21

cc w/o enclosure B: R. J. Rodriguez, SMUD L. G. Schwieger, SMUD