URIGINAL

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### IN THE MATTER OF:

### COMMISSION MEETING

Discussion of Receipt and Release of
Special Inquiry Report

Place - Washington, D. C.

Date - Friday, January 4, 1980 Page

Pages 1 - 26

# POOR ORIGINAL

Telephone: (Case 202) 547-62/2

ACE - FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Official Reporters

415 Second Street, N.E. Washington, D. C. 20002

HATHONWEDE COVERAGE

176! 263

## DISCLAIMER

This is an unofficial transcript of a meeting of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission held on Friday, January 4, 1980 in the Commissions's offices at 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. The. meeting was open to public attendance and observation. This transcript has not been reviewed, corrected, or edited, and it may contain inaccuracies.

The transcript is intended solely for general informational purposes. As provided by 10 CFR 9.103, it is not part of the formal or informal record of decision of the matters discussed. Expressions of opinion in this transcript do not necessarily reflect final determinations or beliefs. No pleading or other paper may be filed with the Commission in any proceeding as the result of or addressed to any statement or argument contained herein, except as the Commission may authorize.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 3 COMMISSION MEETING Discussion of Receipt and Release of 5 Special Inquiry Report 6 7 Room 1130 1717 H Street, N.W. 8 Washington, D. C. 9 Friday, January 4, 1980 10 The Commission met, pursuant to notice, at 12:30 p.m. 11 BEFORE: 12 JOHN F. AHEARNE, Chairman of the Commission 13 RICHARD T. KENNEDY, Commissioner 14 JOSEPH M. HENDRIE, Commissioner 15 VICTOR GILINSKY, Commissioner 16 ALSO PRESENT: 17 Messrs. Bickwit, Hamrahen, and Fitzgerald. 18 19 20 21 22 23 1761 265 25

Jeral Reporters, Inc.

R 9072 t-I mte 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

#### PROCEEDINGS

(12:30 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Before we start, I would like to ask for a vote to hold this meeting on less than one week's notice. The subject is the discussion of receipt and release of the Special Inquiry Report. All in favor of holding the meeting on less than one week's notice, say aye.

(A chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: All right. Len, do you have some opening remarks for us?

MR. BICKWIT: Brief ones. This meeting has been called at the suggestion of Commissioner Gilinsky. It involves the mechanics of the release and receipt of the Rogovin Report. Two questions have really come to the fore recently:

One, what kind of advance notice does the Commission want with respect to the volume one of the report and any part of volume two that is available? The second question is: What is going to be available in volume two and in what form?

The questions interrelate to some degree. However, with respect to the second question we are trying to explore all possible options and feel at this point that, this matter having arisen only recently, we do not have an exhaustive list of options. This is on just what part of volume two is going to be available.

So I would suggest that the Commission assume that

24

Jeral Reporters Inc.

6

5

8

7

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24 deral Reporters, Inc.

rs, Inc. 25 some kind of releasable product will be available shortly and, taking that as given, although that assumption could be undercut, taking that as given, proceed to address the first question I have, which is how far in advance of the public release of that product should the Commission have that product available to it?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Could you say something more about what is in volume one and what is in volume two, and just where do they stand in terms of their completion?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Excuse me. This is a purely administrative sort of discussion. Is Mr. Rogovin's representative present?

MR. BICKWIT: I don't know. Mr. Rogovin's office has been advised of the meeting, and in the course of my discussion with the Rogovin office no representation came from that office as to whether a representative would be present.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We can deal with hearsay, then.

MR. BICKWIT: The response to your question is going to be to some degree sketchy. We have not seen anything.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Which in a way is consistent with the way we set it up. We're supposed to be operating as an independent review force.

MR. BICKWIT: Volume one is going to consist of something on the order of 300 pages and is going to be the

eral Reporters Inc.

basic findings and recommendations of the Rogovin group.

Volume two is going to consist of something on the order of

3,000 pages and is going to provide supporting material. All

of volume one --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Excuse me. What is the nature of this supporting material? Is this the raw material?

MR. BICKWIT: It is analyzed material; it's not raw material.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: In other words, the task group reports or something?

MR. BICKWIT: Task group reports.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: But not necessarily the raw material from which those reports were formulated?

MR. BICKWIT: That is correct.

Both volume one and volume two are now before the contractor which has responsibility for making the product camera-ready for printing. That's true of all of volume one. It's true of most of volume two. Volume one is scheduled to go to the printer next week. Volume two will not be available for printing until quite some time after that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: How long is the printing process?

MR. BICKWIT: About a week.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That would be for the first volume. Presumably, the other one would be longer?

22

23 24 25

ieral Reporters Inc.

MR. BICKWIT: That's right, a week to two weeks. We're giving about two weeks. It doesn't correspond exactly.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Now, are these reports done? Are they completed? Are they still subject to change?

MR. BICKWIT: They are subject to change. They are -it is contemplated that the contractor that now has the reports before him will make editorial and format changes. The substantive changes are possible at this point. They are not contemplated.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: But they are possible?

MR. BICKWIT: Hmm?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: You say they are possible.

MR. BICKWIT: They are possible.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let's see. Volume one is scheduled, or we think it's scheduled to go to the printer next week?

MR. BICKWIT: That's right.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And it will take about a week to print that. And presumably, when that is available, if the schedule is followed, we would have a meeting with the Special Inquiry staff?

MR. BICKWIT: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The week after next?

MR. BICKWIT: That's right.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: It's tentatively scheduled for

something like the 16th.

MR. BICKWIT: Assuming you have a product.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Right. Are we then going to have volume two in hand, on which presumably volume one relies?

MR. BICKWIT: That is the second question that I had.

And the options are many. One option you won't have is to have
a fully printed copy of volume two available. How far short
of that you'd have to go we're not clear at this point. We
need more facts on that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But it could be made available in some other form, in Xerox form or in some other form?

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: That would seem fair.

MR. BICKWIT: Physically, there is something there. We just don't know what the preferences are.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I don't see why not.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I didn't understand.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I said I don't see why it couldn't be made available in some form. I would think it could be.

MR. BICKWIT: I think it physically could be.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Get the thing out, which means volume one out. And I think we ought not to put volume one on the table without having at least a couple of copies of volume two to be able to look at, notably in the

Jeral Reporters, Inc.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

eral Reporters, Inc.

PDR and a couple down here and a couple in the Congress, in whatever shape it can be at that point.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Assuming it's final.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: In other words, final in the sense that the work is done, it's not going to be rewritten.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: My understanding is --

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: The only copy you'll get on a time scale commensurate with releasing volume one as soon as it's printed, my understanding is, is a copy which still has to be retyped to make the page formats as they will be.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, but format aside, typos aside.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: But my understanding is that the Rogovin groups are holding the option that, even at that stage, they might find, yet find some error or inconsistency that they wanted to correct. So it appears that if you take what has now been sent to the typing contractor and mark it draft, you have either 99 percent or 100 percent of what will eventually appear. But you can't quite tell. But there could be still more than just typo corrections.

But from my standpoint, I think 99 percent's good enough. That is, I wouldn't penalize holding up the whole thing for six weeks or two months in order to dot the

last "i" and cross the last "t" in volume two. 2 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Nor would you release volume 3 one without volume two. COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: True. Otherwise, it just floats without a base under it. 5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Is that something we're 6 agreed on, then? COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I would think so. I don't 8 know where you come out. 10 MR. BICKWIT: I'd suggest that you not come to a firm conclusion, because we're not entirely clear on just how 12 bad that volume two is going to look. 13 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Are you talking about appearances or substance? MR. BICKWIT: I'm talking about both. I'm talking 15 about as far as appearance is concerned --COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't care. 17 MR. BICKWIT: Yes, but our understanding is that it 18 may be in such shape that readers would have a great deal of difficulty tracing its meaning in some of the chapters. 20 COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: But if they're forwarding it 21 to the contractor who's going to type it up in camera-ready 22 form and simply correct typos --23 MR. BICKWIT: It's not simply correcting typos.

Jerel Reporters, Inc.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: You mean the contractor is 1761 272

going to rewrite the damn thing to make it understandable? MR. BICKWIT: He's going to reference footnotes, he's going to pull diagrams out from some places and put them into other places. And we're informed that in some cases it may be rather difficult to figure out where that diagram goes. Footnotes may be out of sequence. It is not simply a question of repairing typographical errors. MR. HANRAHAN: That's more than a two-week job. My

experience tells me that is a very big, time-consuming job.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: It depends upon how much -- I guess my feeling would be, if the contractor can do that in a couple of weeks, then the amount of work that has to be done isn't so great as to mitigate getting it.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: John, I think that's why it's four to six weeks.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: But if it's really, you're talking about --

> COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Four to six weeks to do what? COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Apparently --

MR. BICKWIT: At this point the contractor has done no work on it.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: As you said in the beginning, Len, we need more facts.

MR. BICKWIT: I'm discouraging this kind of conversation.

24

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: We're floundering without the evidence.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: If we don't have the facts, what purpose do we have meeting here?

MR. BICKWIT: You have facts which are relevant to the other questions.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It's a dumb question, I realize that, in our normal course of business.

MR. BICKWIT: As I mentioned at the start, there are really two questions to consider. The second question needs more facts. The first question, if you will come to the assumption that you will have a product that is releasable in the next couple of weeks, recognizing that assumption may be --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Maybe I misunderstood, but I thought all of us just got through saying that that's what we wanted, A; and, B, the two parts should be issued at the same time, albeit the second one would not be in perfect shape.

> CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: That's what I think we said. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.

MR. BICKWIT: Well, and I at that point said I hope the Commission wouldn't come to a conclusion on that without knowing just how imperfect the shape would be.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You cannot put out a part one that relies on a part two, without putting out a 1761 274

24

21

22

23

part two.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. BICKWIT: In that case, the Commission will have to consider the option, if it finds the second part as being so imperfect as not to justify release, will have to consider the option --

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Len, let us continue, though, with the particular discussion we have here. Let us make the assumption that there will be a part two in some version releasable at the same time as part one, and let's get on with the discussion of when is part one going to be released, part one finished, part two in an unfinished form. If it turns out that part two is so grossly unfinished that it can't be released, then I think the consensus is we'd rather defer release of part one.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Good. I would like to make a comment eventually on that point. But you're just right in saying let's assume that's the case and get on with the next part.

MR. HANRAHAN: Part one, volume one will be available to the Commission.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: That's what we're about to discuss.

MR. BICKWIT: Yes. Part one can be made available to the Commission the week after next.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: And the issue I thought we were

1761 275

25

Jeral Reporters, Inc.

meeting here today is to how far in advance.

MR. BICKWIT: How far in advance does the Commission want to receive it, how far in advance of public release.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: That's right. And as I understand, the disagreement is two days to one week. Is that correct?

MR. BICKWIT: My understanding of the positions of the Commissioners is that it varies to that degree. The Commissioners ought to speak for themselves on where they stand.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I think we ought to get it 48 hours in advance.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I think 24 hours is enough, but I could tolerate 48.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I was going to propose that we actually get this, assuming both parts come together, which I think is essential, that we get it before it goes to the printer, in order to be able to review it for completeness for the ground it covers and to see whether or not it needs to be augmented in some way.

Now, in any case, even if we don't do that and, after talking with other Commissioners, it's clear that there's very strong opposition to that, I think we ought to get the report when it is final, when it is put to bed. As a practical matter, that means, I think, when it goes to the printer.

This would give us some additional time, as a practical matter

a week, to reflect on the report. Conceivably, it might affect our actions in the intervening period in one area or another.

I'd like to explain, even though I don't want to pursue the point, why I thought that it would be useful for us to examine the report before it went to the printer. This is a pretty extensive effort and we've spent a lot of money on it, we've devoted a lot of resources to it. It would be ridiculous, I think, if important areas have not been covered adequately and we had to cope with that after the report is bound.

I might say that, in an area of personal interest -
I'm sure there are others -- there's one that has to do with

the events on the first day and who knew what about the

thermocouple readings, how were these transmitted, how was the

information about temperatures in the core dealt with; and

also, the same questions about the hydrogen explosion. These

figured prominently in at least one of our decisions. It's

an area that the Kemeny report neglected, as one of the members

of that commission pointed out in a supplementary statement.

And after all, this Special Inquiry was launched to write the

bible on this accident and to get the facts on the basis of

which we could improve our operation.

Now, I understand there's a very strong disinclination to even give the slightest appearance of influencing this

Jeral Reporters, Inc.

study. But that is not what I had in mind here. There's no question of tampering with conclusions. It seems to me the successive leaning over backwards to avoid even the appearance of undue influence is what put the Commission in a posture in other areas where this failed to get information that it needed to act, and this is exactly the posture for which it was criticized in the Kemeny Report and I expect is going to be criticized in this report, properly so, I think.

And I think it would have been entirely proper for us to exercise an oversight role here. The report, after all, is for us, to help us do our job better. And if it fails to do that, we will be accountable.

In any case, there is, as I said, very strong opposition to that. It seems to me that we should at least have the report when it is complete. And I find it very odd that the Commissioners would not want to have it the minute it is put to bed, in effect, as soon as the ink is dry on the director's signature.

As I said, it might conceivably affect our actions in one area or another in the interim. But more importantly, I think it gives us additional time to reflect on the report, on the conclusions of the report, the recommendations of the report, and it makes it possible for us to engage more effectively in discussion time. Otherwise, we're just sitting here like bumps on a log while the debate about this agency and

Jeral Reporters, Inc.

3

4 5

6

7

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

eral Reporters Inc.

nuclear regulations swirls around us.

So I think we ought to have that report no later than when it goes to the printer.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Any other comments?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, speaking for those of us who have been here regular throughout the holiday period and consistently over the past eight months, I haven't found any logs to be a bump on. Now, there may be some. Perhaps I could look for them. But otherwise I don't have any comment.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Joe?

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: I think there's some justification and some merit in what Vic has said about the report. I certainly wouldn't object to having a copy of the report a little earlier than is otherwise contemplated. And it would provide a little more time to read and reflect on it before we sit down to discuss it with Mitch Rogovin and his people.

On the other hand, if I end up going Vic's way, we're going to be split two-two, which I suppose would mean that the report would come to the Commission halfway between the time it went to the printers and the time it will be complete.

(Laughter.)

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Or maybe it wouldn't come at I don't know. And so I'm going to end up voting a rather low-key two-day request for two days, which was what

7 8

Jeral Reporters Inc.

I think you'd settled down on, John. You may regard this as deference to the Chairman's views on a matter where I have -
CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I thought it was an appropriately low-key that comes from that chair.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, what is the reason?

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Wait. May I answer Joe's comment?

First, as I seem to recall, we had very lengthy debates and discussions about forming this committee to get started. It took us a long time to get it started. When we did get it started, we took great pains in both the announcements we put out in the contracts and certainly in the discussions, which I thought were unanimous amongst us with Mr. Rogovin, it was going to be an independent inquiry.

As many branches of the government have found, independence has both joys and pains. And in this particular case, one of the problems with independence we might find is that the product that will come up will be dissatisfying in some versions. Now, I don't know. The only version I have of what is in that or might be in that report comes from a document I read about in the pages of one of the journals in the United States. But other than that, I have no idea what's in it.

I think that clearly, if we find that the product has gaps and is dissatisfying and we believe there are areas that should have been gone into more thoroughly, it's our

Jeral Reporters, Inc.

responsibility to make sure those areas are gone into. I have difficulty, having once established this independent group, not trying to see where the chips fall using a log-type analogy again.

I think, as far as when we get the report, I have, coming out of other organizations, been really quite surprised in this organization with the difficulty that we have of having any information for any length of time without having wide distribution. And so frankly, I feel that when we set this up with Mr. Rogovin I seem to recall we agreed that when the report was done he would come in and present it to us, and at that time then go public with it.

If we wish to have it widely distributed prior to having the printed copy, that's a different issue. But I think Mr. Rogovin ought to be able to come and give us a presentation and we can question him as we wish. I would expect that, as we've done with other reviews, that we will then have further questions at some later point.

Anyway, I think two days is an appropriate time.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Frankly, as far as I'm concerned, I would be comfortable giving it to the press at the same time, with an embargo. But one doesn't have to do that. One can simply give it to Commissioners.

Are you saying that the Commissioners are going to release this report?

8 9

eral Reporters, Inc

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Vic, I have no idea how material gets out of the building at all. All I can say is, coming in in 20-some years in government, I've been astonished.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Therefore, you don't want to give it to Commissioners because you don't trust the other four Commissioners, presumably?

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I have no question of how. My point is, I don't know how things go out. I believe that 48 hours is an adequate period of time.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Obviously, only the persons who are present can vote. But I understand Peter has favored the Commission getting this earlier.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Yes, that's correct.

MR. BICKWIT: I don't think this is something that requires a vote.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: But Peter was in favor of it.

Peter, to whom I spoke this morning, shares Vic's view that it is important to try to make sure that there is no gap left.

And so I think he would in fact probably -- I think it would be correct to reflect he would prefer to have it and have the opportunity to have gaps filled, if there were gaps left. I think that's an accurate representation.

I'm sure that he would prefer the longer period of time.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I must say that I cannot

24 25 believe that this Commission does not want to get that report when it is completed.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Vic, the report -- we are now talking about, I believe, a question of three to four days. I can't see that it is an earth-shaking three or four days.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I am also astounded that the reason is that Commissioners' offices are not trusted to hold onto the report.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I don't know who, Vic. I have no idea. I say, I've been in government for over 20 years and it's been astonishing here. This is it.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I think we ought to vote on this.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Fine with me.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Unless you want to take the previous discussion to be a formal vote.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: No, I have no problem.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I would propose that we get the report at the time it goes to the printer.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: All right. All in favor of that?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Aye.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: No.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Nay.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Nay.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Now somebody propose two days.

1 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'll propose 48 hours. 2 COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: I'll propose 48 hours and then 3 vote aye on it. 4 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Aye. 5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Is there some agreement with 6 Mr. Rogovin concerning --7 MR. BICKWIT: There is an understanding. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: -- when we would receive 8 9 this report? 10 MR. BICKWIT: Yes, there is an understanding that 11 you would receive it 24 hours in advance. 12 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: When was this arrangement 13 arrived at? 14 MR. BICKWIT: I believe about a month ago. 15 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Between whom and whom? 16 Because I don't remember. 17 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: My recollection is, I must 18 say, my recollection is it goes back much further than that. 19 I can't put my finger on it, but it came as no surprise to me 20 that that was the understanding when somebody mentioned this. 21 It was my recollection. 22 CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: That it was when we started. 23 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Fairly early on in the 24 discussion. eral Reporters, Inc.

MR. FITZGERALD: My recollection was it was some

Jeral Reporters, Inc.

time in June that it was arrived at; that I myself and Kevin had taken it up about a month ago.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Was this discussed with each of the Commissioners? I don't remember ever hearing about it. It was just between you and Kevin?

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I think it was one of the many discussions that we had back -- we started talking about how to get a study done, as I recall, in early April. We finally got the study under way in June. And the many discussions we had during that period -- it seems to me that was one of the points that was raised.

MR. FITZGERALD: It's not in the contract. I don't recall who proposed it or, you know, exactly when.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I said I didn't want to make any comment. Let me just say that I would like to recall and add to the comments which John made. He was precisely on the mark. We spent what I believed then and continue to believe to have been an unconscionable period of time debating how this exercise should be conducted and who should conduct it. But we that for a reason, which certainly included our deep abiding concern that it be seen, understood to be a thoroughly impartial review, in which the Commission itself took no direct part; that in no way could the Commission allow itself to be seen, not -- regardless of the fact, but to be seen as in any way affecting this totally independent

review.

1 |

Now, we went to great lengths to assure that, and it seems to me that at this juncture for us to depart from that notion would be a grave mistake.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You regard reading a completed report as falling into that category?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I believe a completed report would be subject to all variety of interpretation, and I think that that is best done after a careful, thorough analysis and review and discussion among the Commissioners to whom it is addressed; and I think that can be accomplished very well at the same time the public has had the opportunity to review it.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Well, we've taken our vote. Any other? Vic, any further points? Joe, anything else?

part two, which has just got nothing much to do with the issue we've just divided on, but it is a personal expression. If part two is in such lousy shape that they're going to redo the nuclear engineering between now and the time it's printed, why, I've got a big problem with what the group's been doing out there in Bethesda for the last eight months.

I assume that that's not the case and that what the contractor who would prepare the camera-ready copy will do would be to format it, clean up typos, straighten out footnotes, numberings, get the diagrams put in the right place, and the

Jeral Reporters, Inc.

figure numbers correct and so on. If then a Xerox copy of what the contractor is going to work on has these little glitches about footnote numbers and so on in it, I don't regard that as a sufficient degree of finish to prevent it from being used in conjunction with the release of part one.

Forthwith, I suggest that what the Rogovin group people themselves might want to do, if there are particularly egregious footnote difficulties in numbering, to take sort of a best copy of part two that they've got and make some handnotes on it saying, see page 42, this footnote should be number 6 instead of 7, and then that copy gets Xeroxed. What we ought to have is a couple of copies in the public document room. The oversight Committees ought to get one copy each.

The Commissioners ought to get one copy each. I'll be glad to share mine, probably, if the counsel's office needs one. OPE and the staff needs three or four. If it turns out to be something like 20 to 25 copies —

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: That is something that Bill can handle easily.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: It's a big Xerox job. But compared to some of the paper crunching we've done in our printshops over the years, why, I'd describe it as doable.

MR. BESAW: We'll give you those copies in 24 hours.

MR. FOUCHARD: Could I ask that you not freeze on the numbers, Dr. Hendrie? I'd like to see five, six, seven,

Jeral Reporters, Inc. 

3

5

makes a nice shot.

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

Jeral Reporters Inc.

people. So don't freeze on that. COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Talk to Bill. If he's got some practical limit, like he can collate 30 at a crack, that

eight, ten in the document room, because of the number of

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Could I go back to something that Dr. Hendrie suggested, however? It seems to me that if in fact the volume two is in such shape as to be utterly unprintable, in the sense that it has major substantive problems, then I would have to ask, what about volume one? Because after all, volume one must be somehow related to it.

> CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I don't think that's the case. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm just saying if.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I know that most of that technical staff is disappearing and going back to their other work, and they've been released, which leads me more to conclude that what it is is that the group in the final administrative stage doesn't want something that looks poor to be distributed.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Clearly, volume one and volume two must be consistent looking.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: A polished version. I think what you're hearing is that that's not satisfactory.

MR. BICKWIT: I mentioned earlier that I didn't know exactly what the preferences were. I'd like to indicate I didn't know how strong they were. I do know that a preference

e-2

eral Reporters, Inc.

on the part of the Rogovin group is not to make it available.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: I think you've got a pretty

clear reading up here.

MR. BICKWIT: I just wanted to make that clear on the record. Then another thing I wanted to make clear is that the reason given for this predicament is some kind of gross misunderstanding between the contractor and the subcontractor.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Okay. Joe, is that all?

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Yes, sir. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Thank you all.

(Whereupon, at 1:10 p.m., the meeting was adjourned.)