

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

NOV 1 9 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR: R. Reid, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #4, DOR

FROM: G. Lainas, Chief, Plant Systems Branch, DOR

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - CONTAINMENT PURGE SYSTEM - ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE - UNIT 1 (TAC 10212)

Plant Name: Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1 Docket No.: 50-313 Project Manager: G. Vissing Review Status: Awaiting Information

Plant Systems Branch has identified the enclosed additional information as being required in order that we can complete our evaluation of the electrical override/bypass aspects of the containment purge matter.

G. Lainas, Chief Plant Systems Branch Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure: As stated

Contact: R. Scholl X-27162

cc	w/enclosure:		
D.	Eisenhut	D.	Tondi
R.	Tedesco	D.	Shum
₩.	Gammil1	J.	Kerrigan
L.	Nichols		Knighton
G.	Lainas		Noonan
Ε.	Reeves		Witt
Ξ.	Adensam	J.	Zudans
G.	Vissing		Scholl

1607 347

NRCPOR

REQUEST FOR ADDITIC	NAL INFORMATION
FOR CONTAINMENT PL	JRGE SYSTEM AND
CONTAINMENT VENTI	ING SYSTEM FOR
ARKANSAS NUCLEAF	R ONE, UNIT 1
DOCKET NO.	50-313

- The bypass controls should have sufficient physical features to facilitate adequate administrative control. Describe the inherent features provided for your emergency core cooling systems. Where physical features are not present, state your intention to include these features.
- System level annunciation shall be made when a bypass is active. Describe your provisions for annunciation when a emergency core cooling system is bypassed. If annunciation is not provided at the system level, state your intention to include these features.
- Diverse signals should actuate closure of the containment isolation valves. Specifically, a minimum of (1) containment high radiation, (2) safety injection actuation, and (3) containment high pressure are required. All of these signals should be derived from safety grade equipment. Your system apparently acts only on high containment pressure. Justify your present design or describe your intentions to correct these deficiencies.
- Clarify the discrepancy between your response to our generic letter of November 28, 1978 (with regard to bypasses) and FSAR Section 7.1.3.2. We are concerned because a block, as described in your FSAR, is a bypass as defined by our generic letter.

1607 348