UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

12/11/79

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT	Docket No. 50-312 (SP)
(Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating) Station)	

NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION'S FIRST REQUEST FOR THE PRODUCTION
OF DOCUMENTS DIRECTED TO THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

By its First Request for the Production of Documents, the California Energy Commission (CEC) sought production at CEC offices in Sacramento, California of "All Documents Identified by the NRC in its Response to the 'California Energy's Commission's First Set of Interrogatories to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission' dated November 15, 1979".1/

The NRC Staff would note that, under the rules of discovery as applied to the NRC Staff, specifically 10 CFR § 2.744(b), it is a proper objection to a document or record request that:

(1) it [the document or record] is not relevant or
 (2) it is exempted from disclosure under § 2.790 and the disclosure is not necessary to a proper decision in the proceeding or the document or the information therein is reasonably obtainable from another source,...

^{1/} California Energy Commission's First Request for Production of Documents to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, p. 2.

The Staff would also note the NRC's Rules of Practice provide that the request for the production of documents specify a "...reasonable time, place and manner of making the inspection and performing the related acts". See 10 CFR § 2.741(c).

Without conceding the relevancy of any of the documents requested by CEC to any of the issues in this proceeding or their necessity to a proper decision in this proceeding, and without waiving its right to raise all proper objections to future document requests, the Staff responds to this first CEC document request as follows.

Given the large volume of documents identified by the NRC Staff in its

Response to CEC's First Set of Interrogatories to the NRC, the Staff does

not view it as reasonable to physically provide copies of all these documents to CEC at its California offices. The Staff has provided to CEC as

enclosures to the Interrogatory Responses copies of those documents which

were easily reproducible and seemed to the Staff particularly germain to the

Staff Responses. Certain other documents are already available to CEC

through the NRC Staff's Board Notifications, e.g., NUREG-0578 and NUREG-0585.

With regard to other documents which have been specifically identified in

the NRC Staff Responses, copies have not been provided to CEC but will be

made available for inspection and copying by CEC at NRC offices where the

documents are held either in Bethesda, Maryland or in Walnut Creek, California. On this point, the NRC Staff would note that CEC is represented by

both California and Washington counsel.

With regard to additional documents which have not been specifically identified by the NRC Staff in its Interrogatory Responses but which are known to exist in great volume and number, such as the personal files of a number of individuals who have worked on the issues raised in this proceeding, these documents will also be made available for inspection and copying at NRC Offices where they are held to the extent that CEC can specify with greater particularity which subject areas and personal files it wishes to examine. The Staff raises no objection to the production of any such documents at this time but seeks the cooperation of CEC in responding to its request.

Respectfully submitted,

For Richard K. Hoefling

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 11th day of December, 1979.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT

Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station

Docket No. 50-312

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION'S FIRST REQUEST FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS DIRECTED TO THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION," in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, or, as indicated by an asterisk, through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 11th day of December, 1979:

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

*Dr. Richard F. Cole
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

*Mr. Frederick J. Shon Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

David S. Kaplan, Esq. General Counsel Sacramento Municipal Utility District P. O. Box 15830 Sacramento, California 95813 Gary Hursh, Esq. 520 Capitol Mall Suite 700 Sacramento, California 95814

Mr. Richard D. Castro 2231 K Street Sacramento, California 95816

James S. Reed, Esq.
Michael H. Remy, Esq.
Reed, Samuel & Remy
717 K Street, Suite 405
Sacramento, California 95814

Christopher Ellison, Esq.
Dian Grueneich, Esq.
California Energy Commission
1111 Howe Avenue
Sacramento, California 95825

- *Atomic Safety and Licensing
 Board Panel
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, D.C. 20555
- *Atomic Safety and Licensing
 Appeal Board Panel
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, D.C. 20555
- *Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Herbert H. Brown, Esq. Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq. Hill, Christopher and Phillips, P.C. 1900 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Mr. Michael R. Eaton Energy Issues Coordinator Sierra Club Legislative Office 1107 9 Street, Room 1020 Sacramento, California 95814

Thomas A. Baxter, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Stephen H. Lewis