

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

NOV 2 3 1379

Mr. Carl Siebentritt, Staff Director Detection & Countermeasures Division (PO/DC) Federal Emergency Management Agency Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear Carl:

Regarding your letter of October 5, 1979, I have a few comments on the enclosures.

- The statement on page 66 of the Overseas Fallout piece regarding the number of cancer deaths (<u>perhaps</u> one additional cancer death per 10,000 man-rem of exposure) is controversial. I would suggest you underline <u>perhaps</u> and maybe say that there is controversy on the matter.
- On page 93 of the "Nuclear Facility Accidents" piece, line 8, change "contamination" to "release."
- 3. On page 94, the first paragraphs in Section B discuss accident classifications as described in a 1977 version of Regulatory Guide 1.101. These classifications are currently being changed and therefore the discussion on page 94 is outdated.
- 4. On page 96 in the third paragraph under Section C (line 2), it states that an evacuation plan should be developed out to "at least" 10 miles. This should be changed to "about" 10 miles.

In general, there are many changes being proposed by NRC (regulatory changes and guidance changes) in planning requirements for <u>nuclear facility accidents</u>. I, therefore, suggest that no action be taken on this document until we see where and how these changes are likely to come out.

Sincerely,

Harold Collins Assistant Director

196

for Emergency Preparedness Office of State Programs

1594 351