PR-50,51 HUNTON & WILLIAMS 707 EAST MAIN STREET RICHMOND VIRGINIA 23212 TELEPHONE 804 788-8200 CABLE HUNTWAND WASHINGTON D.C. OFFICE 1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. N.W. 20036 P. O. Box 19230 November 26, 1979 TELEPHONE 202 223-8650 28263.000007 DIRECT DIAL NO 804 788- 8357 Mr. Samuel J. Chilk Secretary of the Commission United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Attention: Docketing and Service Branch Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 44 Fed. Reg. 61372 (October 25, 1979) Dear Mr. Chilk: This letter is being filed on behalf of the Uranium Fuel Cycle Group and constitutes a notice of intent to participate as a full participant in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposed rulemaking "to reassess its degree of confidence that radioactive wastes produced by nuclear facilities will be safely disposed of, to determine when any such disposal will be available, and whether such wastes can be safely stored until they are safely disposed of," 44 Fed. Reg. 61372, 61372-61373 (October 25, 1979). In accordance with the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, id. at 61373-74, this letter sets forth the identity of this Group, its qualifications to participate, its tentative positions on the issues and its views regarding inter-participant discovery. The Uranium Fuel Cycle Group is an ad hoc group of 16 1551 270 7912180 317

Mr. Samuel J. Chilk November 26, 1979 Page 2

## HUNTON & WILLIAMS

investor-owned electric utilities \*/ which own, operate, are constructing or are planning to construct a significant proportion of the nuclear generating capacity in the United This Group has participated in all phases of the Commission's generic proceeding regarding the Environmental Effects of the Uranium Fuel Cycle (the so-called "Table S-3" rulemaking), at both rulemaking and appellate levels, since the inception of that proceeding in 1972. In that proceeding, this Group has addressed, among other things, the standardized depiction in the S-3 rule of the environmental effects of reprocessing and nuclear waste disposal. This Group's substantial involvement in and contribution to that proceeding amply demonstrate its qualifications to participate in this proceeding. Some of the participants in the Uranium Fuel Cycle Group are also participating here through the Utility Waste Management Group, and the Uranium Fuel Cycle Group expects to collaborate fully with that Group in this proceeding.

The close relationship between the instant proceeding and the S-3 proceeding is brought to the fore by the Commission's statements in its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. In particular, the Commission has made clear that "this proceeding will draw upon the record compiled in the Commission's recently concluded rulemaking on the environmental impacts of the nuclear fuel cycle (44 FR 45362-74 (August 2, 1979)), and the record compiled herein will be available for use in the general fuel cycle rule update discussed in that rulemaking." 44 Fed. Reg. at 61373. This Group thus has a substantial interest in assuring, and special qualifications to contribute toward, the continuity and completeness of the records in these related generic proceedings.

1551 271

<sup>\*/</sup>The Group consists of Baltimore Gas and Electric Co., Boston Edison Co., Carolina Power & Light Co., Consumers Power Co., Long Island Lighting Co., Northeast Nuclear Energy Co., Pacific Gas and Electric Co., Pennsylvania Power & Light Co., Philadelphia Electric Co., Public Service Electric and Gas Co. of New Jersey, Southern California Edison Co., The Connecticut Light and Power Co., The Hartford Electric Light Co., Virginia Electric and Power Co., Western Massachusetts Electric Co., Yankee Atomic Electric Co.

Mr. Samuel J. Chilk November 26, 1979 Page 3

## HUNTON & WILLIAMS

The Group's position, consistent with its position in the S-3 proceeding, is that there is a reasonable assurance that radioactive wastes from nuclear generating facilities will be safely disposed of, that a safe method of off-site storage or disposal is likely to be available by the year 2007, and that in any event such wastes can be safely stored either on-site or off-site until a safe system of permanent disposal is in place. This Group does not at this time contemplate raising any other special matters or concerns in this proceeding.

With regard to procedures for this rulemaking, this Group strongly supports the Commission's first alternative proposal "to strictly control inter-participant discovery and to provide that requests for interrogatories, depositions or other formal discovery will not be entertained unless the Commission finds compelling justification therefor," 44 Fed. Reg. at 61374. Certainly the Commission is not required to provide for adjudicatory discovery procedures in a generic rulemaking proceeding. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519 (1978). The use of formal discovery was properly rejected by the Commission in the S-3 proceeding and should likewise be rejected here. The probable benefits to be derived from the utilization of such procedures in the proposed generic rulemaking are marginal at best, while the potential for delaying and distracting the focus of the proceeding is grave. The Commission's proposed procedures provide that (1) statements and cross-statements will be filed, (2) participants will be expected to make available voluntarily relevant documents to the extent practical, and to reference and produce on request the documents on which they rely, and (3) participants may submit questions for the Commission, in its discretion, to propound at a potential oral hearing. These procedures, which are similar to those used in the recently completed S-3 rulemaking, should prove more than adequate to

Mr. Samuel J. Chilk November 26, 1979 Page 4

## HUNTON & WILLIAMS

produce a sound rulemaking record without burdening the Commission or the participants with the difficulties which would be engendered by formal discovery. It follows that importation of the formal procedures of 10 CFR Part 2 into this proceeding would be totally inappropriate. We are not prepared to state at this time whether adoption of 10 CFR Part 2 or other formal discovery procedures would deter this Group from participating in this proceeding. However, we firmly believe that the interests of the participants and of the Commission in producing an adequate record will be best served by strictly limiting inter-participant discovery as the Commission has proposed.

Respectfully submitted,

George C. Freeman, Jr.
Donald P. Irwin
K. Dennis Sisk
Counsel for the
Uranium Fuel Cycle Group,
consisting of

BALTIMORE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY BOSTON EDISON COMPANY CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY ACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY THE HARTFORD ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC COMPANY

Hunton & Williams P.O. Box 1535 707 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23212