



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
REGION III  
799 ROOSEVELT ROAD  
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

*tic*

Docket No. 50-266  
Docket No. 50-301

NOV 26 1979

Wisconsin Electric Power Company  
ATTN: Mr. Sol Burstein  
Executive Vice President  
Power Plants  
231 West Michigan  
Milwaukee, WI 53201

Gentlemen:

The enclosed IE Circular No. 79-24 is forwarded to you for information. No written response is required. Should you have any questions related to your understanding of the recommendations on this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

*James G. Keppler*  
James G. Keppler  
Director

Enclosures:

1. IE Circular No. 79-24
2. Recently Issued IE Circulars

cc w/encls:

Mr. G. A. Reed, Plant  
Manager  
Central Files  
Director, NRR/DPM  
Director, NRR/DOR  
C. M. Trammell, ORB/NRR  
PDR  
Local PDR  
NSIC  
TIC  
Sandra A. Bast, Lakeshore  
Citizens for Safe Energy

1533 341

7912100 447

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

November 26, 1979

IE Circular No. 79-24

PROPER INSTALLATION AND CALIBRATION OF CORE SPRAY PIPE BREAK DETECTION EQUIPMENT  
ON BWRs

Description of Circumstances:

During 1976 the Iowa Electric Light and Power Company identified and corrected a potential problem involving the core spray (CS) pipe break detection system at the Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC). The problem relates to the setpoint, function, and installation of the differential pressure (dp) instrument which monitors for a CS pipe break that is located in the annulus area of the reactor vessel (i.e., located outside the core shroud but inside the reactor vessel). The installed instrument, range of 0 - 24 psid, was found deflecting downscale (i.e., reading negative psid) during operation.

The licensee's investigation of the downscale deflection revealed that the original piping arrangement and calibration did not adequately take into account the effect of density changes of the water in the pressure leg connection. The original installation had the high pressure side of the dp instrument (see attached Figure 1) connected to the reference leg in the vessel (Figure 1 Connection X) and the low pressure side to the core spray piping outside the vessel but inside the drywell (Figure 1 Connection Y). With the piping intact, this arrangement senses the pressure difference between bottom and top of core. With a break in CS piping in the annulus area the instrument then senses the additional pressure drop across the separators (dp  $\approx$  7 psi additional) and dryers (dp  $\approx$  7-inches water). This installation was in accordance with GE design requirements.

Also in accordance with GE instructions the calibration of the dp instrument was performed with the reactor in the cold condition and the alarm was set to trip at 5 psid increasing. Because of this cold calibration the dp instrument then indicated full downscale negative during operation. This negative dp was due to the heat up of the reference leg (Figure 1 Connection X) which caused the fluid density to decrease as the plant reached hot conditions. The magnitude of this c was determined to be about 3.5 psid following completion of the modification discussed below.

1533 342

DUPLICATE DOCUMENT

Entire document previously  
entered into system under:

ANO 7910250492  
No. of pages: 5