VICTOR ATIYEH
GOVERNOR

Department of Energy

LABOR & INDUSTRIES BUILDING, ROOM 111, SALEM, OREGON 97310 PHONE 378-4040

Docket 50-344

November 1, 1979

William J. Lindblad
Vice President
Engineering-Construction
Portland General Electric
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, OR 97204

Dear Mr. Lindblad:

The purpose of this letter is to request PGE to provide the Oregon Department of Energy (ODOE) with: 1) a written summary of the pipe support and wall reviews and inspections at Trojan completed thus far; 2) the results of the reviews and inspections with respect to structural adequacy; 3) a discussion of those aspects of pipe supports and supporting walls for safety-related pipeing not yet reviewed and inspected; and 4) a schedule for any planned additional reviews and inspections.

ODOE is aware that extensive reviews and inspections of pipe supports and walls at Trojan have taken place during the last two years. Included in these reviews and inspections are the following efforts:

1. A review of the seismic adequacy of safety-related piping and walls was conducted with a revised seismic spectral response as part of the proceedings on interim operation of Trojan in view of reduced design margins in the seismic response of the control building.

2. An inspection and review of concrete anchor expansion bolts was conducted as required by NRC Bulletin 79-02.

3. An inspection and review of the adequacy of supports on safety-related piping was conducted as required by NRC Bulletin 79-14.

4. An inspection and review of the adequacy of the pipe supports and the walls to which the supports are attached in the auxiliary building is in process.

For each of the reviews and inspections, ODOE needs to understand the following aspects:

1. What attribute was the review and inspection investigating?

2. What was the scope of the review and inspection?

A001
1/0

1502 269

7912050 525

P

3. What are the results with respect to structural adequacy?

4. What corrective actions have been taken?

5. Did the review and inspection duplicate any earlier work? If so, why?

6. What aspects of pipe supports and supporting walls for safety related piping have not been reviewed and inspected?

7. What is the schedule for any further reviews and inspections?

Please note that this letter does not request additional reviews and inspections. This letter merely requests clarification of what has and has not been done. Because of the continuing concern about this issue, I would appreciate a response within two weeks of receipt of this letter.

Sincerely,

Lynn Frank
Director

LF/BD:swd

cc (w/enc.): PG&E

1502 270