DAIRYLAND POWER COOPERATIVE

La Crosse. Wisconsin

54601

November 27, 1979

POOR ORIGI

In reply, please refer to LAC-6660

DOCKET NO. 50-409

Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Director U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Directorate of Regulatory Operations Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

DAIRYLAND POWER COOPERATIVE SUBJECT:

LA CROSSE BOILING WATER REACTOR (LACBUR) PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-45

REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE NO. 79-17

- Reference: (1) LACBWR Technical Specifications, Section 3.9.2.a(2)
 - LACBWR Technical Specifications, Section 4.2.2.3
 - (3) DPC Letter, LAC-6643, Linder to Keppler, dated November 13, 1979.

Dear Mr. Keppler:

In accordance with the provisions of Reference (1), this submittal constitutes the required follow-up report describing the occurrence which was initially reported in Reference (3). The initial report discusses the cooldown rate of the reactor vessel following a scram on November 9, 1979.

A complete explanation of the circumstances surrounding this event is as follows:

At approximately 0252 on November 9, 1979, with the reactor in Operating Condition I, at 85% Rated Thermal Power and steam flow at 500 x 103 lb/hr., the turbine governor initial pressure regulator system experienced an unexpected reduction in freedom of movement.

The Shift Supervisor took corrective action on the turbine governing system in accordance with written instructions. Following this action, the turbine governor valves, which are the main steam inlet valves, closed unexpectedly from 76% open to 60% open and then reopened to 76% almost instantaneously. As a result of this rapid valve movement, reactor pressure quickly increased from 1248 psi to 1276 psi, causing neutron flux spikes to occur on wide range channels,

> 1473 331 7912040418

Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Director
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

DODD DODD AND AND

Nos. 5 and 6, and power range channels, Nos. 7 and 8, with 124% on Channel 7 and 108% on Channel 5, representing the highest values observed. The remaining channels indicated values of 87% and 89%. The flux spike on Channel No. 7 caused a scram due to "Power Recirculation Flow Abnormal" at about 0255. The operators commenced implementation of the full scram procedure of the LACBWR Operating Manual.

Reactor pressure Jecreased from 1276 psig to 1040 psig in less than one minute after the scram causing the Main Steam Isolation Valve to close automatically and the shutdown condenser to operate. Shutdown condenser operation contributed to reactor pressure decreasing ultimately to 280 psig.

While the shutdown condenser was in operation and the Main Steam Isolation Valve was closed, the output of the operating reactor feed pump was manually reduced, however, the reactor water level increased to 223 in. on the wide range water level recorder before the feed and condensate pumps were tripped and the Feedwater Stop Valve (65-24-001) was closed.

Following the scram, the reactor vessel cooled down from 560°F, to 405°F, during a 22 minute period, a rate equivalent to 423°F/hr. Two factors are believed to have contributed to the increased cooledown rate of the reactor vessel. They were: 1) nearly continuous operation of the shutdown condenser for approximately ten minutes, and 2) increased water level in the reactor vessel due to delay in tripping the reactor feedwater pump. LACBWR Technical Specifications (Reference 2) limits the reactor vessel cooldown rate to 150°F/hr. during shutdown operations of the reactor. The reactor vessel stresses resulting from this cooldown have been evaluated in the attached report, which concluded that the stresses were well within the allowable ASME Code requirements. An independent study has also been performed by Nuclear Energy Services resulting in similar conclusions (a draft copy of this report is attached).

The turbine governor hydraulic control system was inspected and flushed. The turbine manufacturer has been consulted for assistance in the conduct of an investigation concerning the behavior of the turbine governor hydraulic control system, which occasionally has experienced reduction in freedom of movement. The system will be disassembled, thoroughly examined and overhauled during the spring 1980 refueling outage.

A thorough investigation and review of the incident was conducted by the LACEWR operating staff. The need for an additional alarm to show more directly the operational status of the shutdown condenser is being studied. At present, only Alarm C2-4 (Shutdown Condenser Outlet Valve Air Pressure) provides indication of shutdown condenser operation.

LAC-6660 November 27, 1979

Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Director U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Also, a thorough review of the incident has been conducted with all members of the Operations Department with special emphasis being given to the recommended actions to be taken during turbine governor control problems, particularly after a resultant scram. It has been stressed that operators should take sufficient time to evaluate overall plant conditions prior to initiating corrective actions in accordance with the Operating Manual. An instructive memo has been issued to all members of the operating department providing guidance for actions following unexpected or abnormal events.

A Licensee Event Report (Reference: Appendix A, Regulatory Guide 1.16, Revision 4) is enclosed.

Authorization for this report to be submitted beyond the fourteen day reporting period was granted to L. Goodman by Mr. Ken Ridgway on Wednesday, November 21, 1979.

Should you have any questions regarding this report, please contact us.

Very truly yours,
DAIRYLAND POWER COOPERATIVE

Frank Linder, General Manager

FL:LG:af

Attachments

cc: Director, Office of Inspection and Enforcement (40) U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Director, Office of Management Information and (3)
Program Control
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

POOR ORIGINAL

DURING COOLDOWN TRANSIENT OF NOVEMBER 7, 1979 INCIDENT REPORT NO. 79-69 (RO # 79-17)

INTRODUCTION

A reactor vessel cooldown occurred as a result of conditions reported in the incident referenced above. During a cooldown transient, it is necessary to determine approximate reactor vessel and coolant temperature rate of change versus time to ensure that vessel stresses produced by the temperature-pressure transient remain within acceptable limits.

COOLANT AND VESSEL COOLDOWN RATES

Coolant and vessel cooldown rates for the November 7, 1979, incident are shown in Table I. The values listed were taken from Control Room chart recolds of reactor pressure and reactor vessel temperatures. Included for comparison purposes are data from similar type transients of May 1970 and August 1974.

It can be seen that the maximum coolant saturation cooldown rate for the November transient was 94°F in 4 minutes (1410°F/hr) and the vessel metal cooldown rate was 35°F in approximately 4 minutes (525°F/hr). These cooldown rates are greater than those reported in August 1974(1)(2) but less than the saturation and metal cooldown rates of 1620°F/hr and 825°F per hour respectively, reported in May 1970(3). In the 1970 incident, it was determined that vessel stresses were less than one-half the allowable stresses in the most highly stressed regions (head shell to flange) of the pressure vessel. Therefore, since the cooldown rates of the present transient were less than the rates previously analyzed, the pressure vessel stresses are also less than those previously analyzed.

⁽¹⁾ Reactor Vessel Stresses During Cooldown - Incident of 8/28/74 memo, C. Angle, A. st 28, 1974.

⁽²⁾ Stress Analysis of LACEWR Reactor Vessel and Recirculation Piping System for Thermal Transients Produced by the Unplanned Reactor Scram of August 28, 1974, Nuclear Energy Services - NES 81A0014, September 13, 1974.

⁽³⁾ Reactor Vessel Stresses, Fuel Temperature and Cladding Stress Calculation Following Main Steam Bypass Valve Malfunction, UNC, SS-588, June 8, 1970.

In addition, the forced circulation loop temperature change was 1850F in 16 minutes during the November 1979 transient. This coolant AT is also less than the value of AT used to analyze limiting circulation loop pipe and reactor inlet nozzle stresses in the August 1974 report(2).

In that report, a 250°F step temperature change was assumed to occur. Stresses were conservatively analyzed for the reactor recirculation loop nozzles and piping system.

The stress levels using a 250°F step change were found to be acceptable resulting in a calculated allowable number of stress cycles of 2,000 for the reactor inlet nozzles. Since the most recent transient rate change in temperatures was less than that previously analyzed, the stresses that resulted from this transient were also less.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that the magnitude of reactor vessel and piping stresses that occurred during this transient have been conservatively enveloped by previous calculations and that the values are within the allowable ASME code requirements for primary and secondary stresses. Furthermore, the incident will have a negligible effect on vessel and piping usage as determined by previous analyses.

CWA: abs

CC: Files: Reading
R3, T5C, R5G, R5H
ORC & SRC

POOR ORIGINAL

1473 -335

TABLE I

VESSEL COOLDOWN TRANSIENT

VARIABLES Reactor Pressure	May 1970 (SS-588)	Aug. 1974 (P5101-50)	Nov. 1979, Inc	. Rpt. Max. Rate
P _{Sat} -1, psig	1280	1235	1265	1265
P _{Sat} -2, psiq	200	585	280	560
LPs, psi	1080 psi	650 psi	985 psi	705 psi
it, min.	∘ 7 Min.	14 Min.	23 Min.	4 Min.
Reactor Coolant Sa	turation Tempera	atures		
Tsat-1, Tr	575	572	575	5*1
Tsay-2, or	382	4=6	411	157
or, or	193 °F	95 °F	164 °F	94 °F
its, Min.	~ 7 Min.	14 Min.	20 Min.	4 Min.
17g/hr	1620 °F/hr	365 °Γ/hr	≥94 °F/hr	1410 °F/hr
Reactor Vessel Ter	neratures 575 (Est)	575	565	565
Vessel T-2, °F	419 (Est)	515	405	530
iTy, °F	165 °F	60 °F	160 °F	35 OF
ito, Min.	12 Min.	15 Min.	22 Min.	4 Min.
T _V /hr	825 °F/hr	240 °F/hr	436 °F/hr (Max)	525 °F/hr
Forced Recirculat:	ion Loop Coolant	Temperatures	(Measured at I	CP Suction)
T-1		565	555	555
T-2		315	370	465
AT, OF		250°F(Assu	med) 185°F	90
At, min.		0	16 Min.	4.6
AT/hr DOOD			694°F/hr	1174°F/hr
PUUR	ORIGINAL		1473	536

EVALUATION OF STRESS IN LACENH HILLTOR

VESSEL AND RECIRCULATION PIPING SYSTEM

POR THERMAL TRANSIENTS PRODUCED BY

NOVEMBER 7, 1979 INCIDENT

A reactor vessel cooldown transient occurred at LACINR on November 7, 1979. The coolant and vessel cooldown rates for the November 7, 1979, incident are summarized and compared with the transients that occurred on May 15, 1970, and August 1974 in Table 1. The evaluation of stresses in the LACINR reactor vessel and recirculation piping system for the thermal transient resulting from November 7, 1979 incident are described below.

Prom Table 1, it can be seen that the maximum inclant saturation cooldownrate (94°F in 4 minutesor 1410°E/hm.) for the Boverner 7, 1009, incleant is
less than that of the 1970 transled live F in 7 manufact 1011 E hm.) en:
lighter than that of hegist 1070 transled 1070 in a limitable of 360°E/hm
for the inverted 7, 1979 incleant is less than 1070 transled of Key 1970 transled
[165°F 1 10 minutes or 035°E/hm.) and otherway total base out the light of the forced visited pump section indicated taxings cooldown rate of 90°F in
4.6 minutes or 1174°E/hm. and average rate of couldows of 165°F in 15 minutes.
The maximum cooldown rate (11 4°E/hm.) and the average rouldown of 165°F in 15 minutes.
The maximum cooldown rate (11 4°E/hm.) and the average couldown of 165°F; are
less severe than the step temperature change of 210°F which was conservatively assumed for the stress configure of Negative 1774 translate.

Stress analysis in the May 1970 and Daniel was performed by United Burlear Corporation (Pateronna 1). The result of the attendance is summarized in Takle 1, from which is run no seen that the Mail'er server in the critical region of the resord voscal special of 1000 me and behavior stress in smell to Flange Region) are considerably lover red, as pally than the Additional shell to Flange Region) are considerably lover red, as pally than the Additional of the overber 7, 1970 incident are lower than that as the May 1970 translent, the stresses in the pressure vessel will be trained or thus those shown in Table 2. Therefore, it can be concluded that the stresses in the reactor vessel resulting from November 7 transient are well within the Adde code allowable tables.

Stress analysis for the August 26, 1874 incident was performed by Nuclear Emergy Services (NES), Inc. (Reference 2). In the stress analysis, NES conservatively resumed a step temperature change of 100°F in the reactor outlet nozzle and a step temperature of 250°F at the reactor inlet nozzle, recirculation piping header albow and pump function piping/casing transition. The results of the stress analysis for August 28, 1974 incident are summarized in Table 3. From Table 3, it can be seen that primary and secondary stresses in the critical regions satisfy ASME Code Section III requirements. The fatigue analysis shows that the recirculation piping and vessel could tolerate conservatively assumed transients (250°F step change in temperature) about

POOR ORIGINAL

2,000 times. For the November 7, 1979 incident, since the ST (185°F in 16 minutes) is less than the step temperature change of 250°F assumed in the August 28, 1974 transient, the primary and secondary stresses will also be less than those shown in Table 3, and the allowable number of stress cycles will be greater than 2000.

Conclusions

The results of the stress evaluation show that the thermal transients experienced during the incident on November 7, 1979 did not result in pressure vessel and recirculation piping stresses exceeding ASME code requirements for the primary and secondary stresses. Purthermore, the incident has negligible effect on vessel or piping usage since the maximum usage factor was greater than 0.0005 (1/2000 Cycles).

with respect to the vessel lamination, five in-service inspections of the lamination have been performed and no change has been detected. These inspections span the Hain Steam Bypass Valve incident (May 15, 1970) which produced a cooldown transient very comparable to the transients unalyzed in this study. This fact coupled with the low usage factors associated with these incidents leads to the conclusion that plant integrity has not been degraded and that examination of the lamination can be deferred until the next regularly scheduled inspection.

POOR ORIGINAL

VARIABLES					
Reactor Pressure	(SS-58B)	Aug. 1974 (P5101-50)	Nov. 1979, Inc. Rpt. Avg. Rate Max Rate		
P _{Sat} -1, psig	1280	1235	1265	1265	
P _{Sat} -2, psig	200	585	280	560	
ΔP _s , psi	1080 psi	650 psi	985 psi	705 psi	
ôt, min.	∿ 7 Min.	14 Min.	22 Min.	4 Min.	
Reactor Coolant Satu	ration Temperatures				
Tsat-1 op	575	571	575	575	
Tsat-2, op	382	486	411	481	
LTg. Or	193 °F	gs of	164 °F	94 ² E	
Δt _g , Min.	~ 7 Hin.	14 Min.	20 Min.	4 Min.	
UTs./hr	1620 °F/hr	365 Or/hr	494 °F/hr	1410 °F/h	
Reactor Vessel Tempe	ratures				
Vessel T-1, OF	575 (Lst)	875	, 565	565	
Vessel T-7, or	410 (Eec)	515	405	530	
hT _v . °F	165 °F	eo o*	160 °F	35 °F	
bt. Min.	12 Min.	15 Min.	22 Min.	4 Min.	
67 _v /hr	825 °F/hr	240 °F/hr	436 °P/hr (Max)	525 °F/h	
orced Recirculation	Loop Coolant Temper	atures (Measure	at PCP Suc	tion)	
N-1		565	555	555	
-2		315	370	465	
0		250°F (Assumed)	185 °F		
NT. *		. (255000)		90	
it, min.		0	16 min.	4.6	

TARLE 2 (REFERENCE 1)

SUMMARY OF STRESS ANALYSIS (May 15, 1970 Incident)

1. Junction of Closure 30,782 psi 3 S = 80,1	
Head Spherical Shell	00 pri
to Flange Region	
2. Area in Vicinity of 5,606 psi No Code Req	ulrement
the Vessel Lamination 650 pei (radial)	

POOR ORIGINAL

1473 540

	Primary Stress Primary Plus Intensity Secondary (Equation 9 Stress Inten-	Secondary Stress Inten-	Peak Strong Intensity (Equation 11 of ASME Code) Sp (pmi)	Simplified Elastic Plastic Discontinuity Analysis.			Allowable number
	of ASME Code)	(pei) sity (Equation No. 10 of ASME Code)		(Equation 12 of ASHE Code)	(Equation 13 of ASME Coxle) (psi)	Alternating stress inten- sity Salt 'Sp or, Equation 14 of ASME Code (psi)	of stress cycles (N)
Nozzle	5,269 (40,050)*	36,264 (80,100)	60,000			30,000	20,00
Pesctor Inlet Nozzle	5,014 (40,050)	72,596 (60,100)	123,305			61,653	2,00
circulation Piping Header Elbow	9,832 (27,150)	63,642 (54,300)	73,522	18,517 (54,300)	14,496 (54,300)	49,410	4,50
Pump Suction Piping/Cosing Transition	5,583 (25,425)	\$7,044 (\$0,850)	95,403	291 (50 850)	26,615 (50,850)	59,322	2,5

^{1.} Number in Perenthesis indicates ASME Code allowable stress values.

Note 1: According to Section NR-3653.6 of ASME Code, a component satisfies the design requirements of the Code if it estisfies equations 9, 10 and 11 or 9, 13, 13 and 14.