2800. & UTIL FAC 50-155



Route 2, Box 44 Maple City, Michigan 49664 October 30, 1979

Commissioner

NRC

Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Commissioner;

This is a clarification of my residency and an out

I am John Patrick O'Neill II and am a permanent resident of Burdickville, Michigan, which is within 50 miles of the Big Rock Nuclear Fower Plant. I support myself by working in the Woodcock Resturant as a cook and manager. The resturant is owned by my family and is also in Burdickville.

I am a former resident of New York City, but have moved all of our furniture and terminated our lease in that city. My wife Linda lives with me in Burdickville.

> Contentions to the License request of Consumers Power to expand Spent Fuel Storage capacity

> > at the

Big Rock Nuclear Power Plant

1393 351

G-7911260006

I Consumers Power cannot guarantee that the spent fuel pool will not be used to store these materials indefinately,

that is on a more or less permanent basis.



POOR ORIGINAL

•

- A. No offsight storage facilities are open now, and it is unlocely that any will become available in the foreseeable future.
 I.Reprocessing plants are unprofitable.
 - There is high citizen resistence to toxic waste storage, which would significantly hinder new sites opening.
 - 3. There is no proven method known for long term, permanent storage.
- B. Consumers Power should be denied a license for this short term storage facility expansion until it can demonstrate convincingly that there will definately be safe off site storage by 1990.
 - No evidence of any type shows that off site storage will be available is presented in the licensing request.
 - The Vermont Yankee and Minnesota plant proceedings revealed that the power companies as well as the NRC knew of no solution to the maste disposal priblem.
 - 3. In the event that the license is granted and no solution to this storage problem is found by 1990, Consumers Fower will have been granted a "license" to store radioactive wastes at the Charlevoix facility indefinately by merit of necessity, not through proper licensing hearings. 1393 352
 - 4. The power company is unable to assure the public that the increased number of spent

fuel roda will ever leave the Charlevoix area. 5. In the event of long term storage, there is no assurance in the application that the materials used in the racks and the integrity of the fuel tank itself will remain undamaged and functional.

a. Stainless steel decomposes over time.
Example at Big Rock found in incident that occured Gct. 19, 1978 and was reported March 7, 19
b. The chance of release of radioactivity into the environment increases as the structures decay and as greater lengths of time allow probability of accident.

6. Consumers Power has not demonstrated that it will remain solvent indefinately and thus remain able to indefinately maintain the fuel pool, and its increased load of waste.

II. Release of Radioactivity

POOR ORIGINAL

A. Routine release of radioactivity may cause health and environmental hazards.

> Releases include exposure of 27 one time rads to workers installing new racks, releases in evaporation, and through the walls and floor of the pool, especially the South wall. It must be kept in mind that the expansion allows the routine releases during core offloading to continue, and the safety of these releases is the important issue. 1. Many top scientists and doctors assert with acientific studies that there is no safe level of radiation, no threshold below which a person is safe from carcinogenic and mutagenic effects of radiation. 1393 553

3.

Trnest J. Sternglass, LoweLevel Radiation Dr. Helen Caldicott, Nuclear Madness

4.

B. The environmental bazards associated with small to medium leaks of radioactive water from the pool is not discussed.
Such leaks have occured in Big Rock Plant on August 29, '78, reported Sept. 27, '78, and Sept. 11, '79, reported Oct.
10, '79 and other times, and water assumed to be safe was released on August 19, '78 as reported Nov. 26, '78.
C. The envionmental impact of a loss of coolant accident in the pool is not discussed. This is odd, for on p. 2-3 of the licensing request, a loss of pool water up to 200 gpm is considered possible knough to p.ct against, the the effect of therrelease of all of this water is not considered.
D. Cataclýsmic breach of the containment and loss of coolant is no considered, nor is the impacton the enviornment mentioned.

1. Possible from impact of a B-52 bomber.

Sabotage from a political group or deranged employee.
 Sheldon Novick interviewing David Brower, The

Electric War, p 193.

5. Absolutely no consideration is given to the concentrating of fission products in the food-chain as a result of any type of release.

III. Social and Economic Impact.

POOR ORGINAL

A. Any accident resulting from increased storage of fuel rods would endanger my life and the life of my wife, Linda. The possible cause of such accidents elaborated trabugatthrough out this paper.

B. Any accident of significant public fear of accident or imagined danger would endanger our resturant business, which 1393 354

POCR ORIGINAL

depends upon the perception by tourists that the area is a safe place to vacation. This is true for many gusinesses in the area.

- VI The racks have not been contracted out, nor have actual manufacturing specifications been presented, nor have similar designs been cited, along with their fabricators.
- v Should a terrible accident accure, the power company is limited by the Price-Anderson Act in its liability. Elsware in this paper the possible accitdents have been discussed, but it is irresponsible to operate anything without proper insurance.
- VI It is not clear in the licensing report if the present pool meets all the present requirements for spent fuel pool. Big Rock is an old plant, and "grandfather" exemptions may have been granted its storage pool which could have effects upon the safety of the expansion.
- VII is! Wastes are generally not being accepted from power plants by disposal sites; hence the fate fof solid wastes, including the old broken rack and the sock filters, is in question and not addressed by the licensing request. Are these too to remain at the plant?
- VIII The electricity produced at Big Rock is very small, 72 megawatts, lower than modern power generating facilities by over a factor of 10, and is not nueded.
- IX. The licensing proceedure should include a review of general plant safety, including all aspects of power generation at Big Rock.

A. Review of general plant safety would provide an indicator of how well the plant structures have withstood almost 20 years of service, and would 1393 355

5.

poor original

and related machinery and pipes.

B. Review would provide a good measure of the quality of plant administrative proceedures and management, which would have a direct affect on the safe or unsafe operation of the storage facility, and the competance with which modifications can be expected to be made. C. Granting of the license is the only way the plant can operate past the year 1981 as things stand now, and thus allow an extension of plant activity that would otherwise be halted. Hense, it is a tacit approval of such extended operation, and should include a review of general plant safety.

> -The Kemeny Commission will recomend "periodic relicensing of existing atomic plants on the basis of hearings, inspections and performance criteria." Detroit Free Press, Oct. 30, 1979, p. 11A. Such review of the optime plant would be in harmony with this recomendation.

These contentions will be more thoroughly supported in the hearing, and shall provide compelling reasons for the review committee to deny Consumers Power a license amendment.

I remain sincerely yours,

P.S. A list of the people recieving copies of this latter will be forthcoming directly.

1393 356

5.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of) CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY) Docket No.(s) 50-155 (Big Rock Point Nuclear Plant)))

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document(s) upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Office of the Secretary of the Commission in this proceeding in accordance with the requirements of Section 2.712 of 10 CFR Part 2 - Rules of Practice, of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Rules and Regulations.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 75 day of NOU 1979.

burna

Office of the Secretary of the Commission

1393 357



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

CONSULERS POWER COMPANY

(Big Rock Point Plant)

Dccket No.(s) 50-155

(Spent Fuel Expansion)

SERVICE LIST

Herbart Grossman, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mashington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Oscar H. Paris Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Fuclear Regulatory Commission Vashington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Frederick J. Shon Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Muclear Regulatory Commission Mashington, D.C. 20353

Counsel for URC Staff Office of the Executive Legal Director U.S. Fuclear Regulatory Cormission Veshington, D.C. 20553

Judd L. Bacon, Esq. Consumers Fower Company 212 West Michigan Avenue Jackson, Michigan 49201

Consumers Power Company ATTU: Mr. David A. Bixel Nuclear Licensing Goordinator 212 West Michigan Averse Jackson, Michigan 40201 John A. Leithauser, Esq. Energy Resources Group General Delivery Levering, Michigan 49755

Mr. John O'Neill, II Route 2, Box 44 Maple City, Michigan 49664

Christa-Maria Route 2, Box 1980 Charlevoix, Michigan 49720

Ms. Barbara J. Godwin 306 Clinton Charlevoix, Michigan 49700

Mr. Jim E. Mills Route 2, Box 1990 Charlevoix, Michigan 49720

Joseph Gallo, Esq. Isham, Lincoln & Beale 1050 17th Street, N.W., Suite 701 Washington, D.C. 20036

Philip P. Steptoe, Esc. Michael I. Miller, Esc. Isham, Lincoln & Beale One First National Plaza, Suite 4200 Chicago, Illincis 60603

Karin P. Sheldon, Esq. William S. Jordan, III, Esq. Sheldon, Harmon and Weiss 1725 I Street, M.W., Suite 506 Washington, D.C. 20006

1393 358