

MAR 13 1974

Docket Nos. 50-239/320

A. Schwencer, Chief, LWR 2-3, L

SPECIAL REVIEW ASSIGNMENT, THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 & 2,
REVIEW OF INDUSTRIAL SECURITY PLAN

Licensee: Metropolitan Edison Co.

Licensing Stage: Post-OL

Cognizant Project Branch and LPM: LWR 2-3, R. Bernero

Technical Review Branch Involved: L:OSS

Review Status: Additional Information Requested

The attached draft letter contains information relative to updating of
the subject Industrial Security Plan for transmittal to the licensee.

Original Signed by
R. Wayne Houston

R. Wayne Houston, Chief
Operational Safety Branch
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated

cc:

- S. Hanauer
- J. Hendrie
- A. Giambusso
- W. McDonald
- V. Stello
- R. Bernero
- V. Moore
- C. Van Niel

1596 37

DISTRIBUTION: Docket, L Rdg., OBB Rdg.

POOR ORIGINAL

OFFICE	L:OSB	L:OSB				
SURNAME	CRVanNiel:ld	RWHouston				
DATE	3/14/74	3/15/74				

Gentlemen:

In June 1973, the Commission published Regulatory Guide 1.17, "Protection of Nuclear Power Plants Against Industrial Sabotage", and on November 6, 1973, adopted amendments to its regulations in 10 CFR Parts 50, 70, and 73 to strengthen the physical protection of plants and materials. The new rules required, in part, that licensees authorized to operate production and utilization facilities submit a physical security plan to the Commission by January 7, 1974, if such a plan, as described in the new 10CFR50.34(c), had not been submitted by November 6, 1973.

Although the docket record for your facility reflects submittal and review by the staff of a security plan, we consider it necessary at this time that you re-evaluate your security plan with respect to the regulations and the regulatory position set forth in Regulatory Guide 1.17.

In our letter dated March 6, 1974, on the Unit 2 docket, we transmitted to you our request for additional information along with our position on certain matters. The staff considers that all nuclear power plants licensed pursuant to 10CFR50 should have or upgrade, if necessary, physical security plans to conform at minimum, with Regulatory Guide 1.17.

1596 38

You are asked, therefore, to submit within 30 days of receipt of this letter, the results of your re-evaluation, which should include, if necessary, amendments to your security plan on the docket record, or a revised plan, in sufficient detail to permit the staff to determine its conformance with Regulatory Guide 1.17. The information to be submitted should also identify specific features of your plan which have not yet been implemented, if any, or cannot be implemented by April 15, 1974, and

provide best estimates of the date or dates when such implementation is expected. If any of these dates extend beyond June 15, 1974, the information provided should include a description of interim measures to be taken to provide compensating protection for such temporary periods.

Three copies of your response should be submitted. Your letter of transmittal should request that details of your security plan be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to Section 2.790 of 10 CFR Part 2.

Sincerely,

1596 339