

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

SEP 1 2 1972

ENVIRON

D. Muller, Assistant Director for Environmental Projects, L

RESPONSE TO AGENCY COMMENTS ON THREE MILE ISLAND 1 and 2 .

PLANT NAME: Three Mile Island Units 1 & 2

LICENSING STAGE: OL

DOCKET NUMBER: 50-289 and 50-320

RESPONSIBLE BRANCH: Environmental Projects Branch #3

REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE: N/A

APPLICANTS RESPONSE DATE NECESSARY FOR

NEXT ACTION PLANNED ON PROJECT: N/A

DESCRIPTION OF RESPONSE: Response to Agency Comments REVIEW STATUS: Accident Analysis Branch Review Complete

As requested by the memo from W. Regan to B. Grimes dated August 21, 1972, the agency comments on the Draft Environmental Statement for Three Mile Island have been reviewed with respect to the Plant Accident section. The attached responses were prepared by the Accident Analysis Branch.

H. R. Denton, Assistant Director

for Site Safety

Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure (As Stated)

cc: w/o enclosure

A. Giambusso

W. McDonald

cc: w/enclosure

S. Hanauer

J. Hendrie

R. DeYoung

W. Gammill

A. Schwencer

K. Kniel

H. Faulkner

H. Schierling

J. Youngblood

W. Regan

Docket Files

1590 352

1. EPA Comments

In addition to general comments, which do not require a response, EPA had a specific comment on hydrology. As indicated earlier to Mr. Regan, a brief response on this should be obtained from the Site Branch.

2. Interior

No Interior comments have been received to date.

3. Commerce

Commerce commented on meteorological assumptions used in the accident analysis. We suggest the following response be included in Section XII.

"A comment was made that X/Q values and their probabilities should be provided. The meteorological conditions used in the analysis approximate the dispersion conditions which would prevail at least 50% of the time at a typical site. The value used for a short duration release at 610 meters agrees with the applicants value. However, use of alternative meteorological assumptions, such as indicated in the Department of Commerce comment, does not significantly affect the overall environmental risk."