

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL
(TEMPORARY FORM)

CONTROL NO: 1848

FILE: _____

FROM: Metropolitan Edison Co Reading, Pa R C Arnold		DATE OF DOC 2-15-75	DATE REC'D 2-19-75	LTR XX	TWX	RPT	OTHER
TO: Mr Lear		ORIG one signed	CC	OTHER	SENT AEC PDR <u>XX</u> SENT LOCAL PDR <u>XX</u>		
CLASS	UNCLASS XXXXXXXX	PROP INFO	INPUT	NO CYS REC'D 1	DOCKET NO: <u>50-289</u> 320		

DESCRIPTION:

Ltr requesting a meeting no later than 2-24-75 to discuss matters pertaining to Babcock & Wilcox Standard Tech Specs (B&W STS).....

PLANT NAME: Three Mile Island 1 & 2

ENCLOSURES:

FOR ACTION/INFORMATION 2-20-75 ehf

- | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| BUTLER (L)
W/ Copies | SCHWENCER (L)
W/ Copies | ZIEMANN (L)
W/ Copies | REGAN (E)
W/ Copies |
| CLARK (L)
W/ Copies | STOLZ (L)
W/ Copies | DICKER (E)
W/ Copies | LEAR (L)
W/ Copies |
| PARR (L)
W/ Copies | VASSALLO (L)
W/ Copies | KNIGHTON (E)
W/ Copies | SPELS
W/ Copies |
| KNIEL (L)
W/ Copies | PURPLE (L)
W/ Copies | YOUNGBLOOD (E)
W/ Copies | |

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| <u>REG FILE</u>
NRC PDR
OGC, ROOM P-506A
GOSSICK/STAFF
CASE
GIAMBUSSO
BOYD
MOORE (L)
DEYOUNG (L)
SKOVHOLT (L)
GOLLER (L) (Ltr)
P. COLLINS
DENISE
REG OPR
FILE & REGION (2)
T.R. WILSON
STEELE | TECH REVIEW
SCHROEDER
MACCARY
KNIGHT
PAWLICKI
SHAO
STELLO
HOUSTON
NOVAK
ROSS
IPPOLITO
TEDESCO
LONG
LAINAS
BENAROYA
VOLLMER | DENTON
GRIMES
GAMMILL
KASTNER
BALLARD
SPANGLER

ENVIRO
MULLER
DICKER
KNIGHTON
YOUNGBLOOD
REGAN
PROJECT LDR
HARLESS | LIC ASST
R. DIGGS (L)
H. GEARIN (L)
E. GOULBOURNE (L)
P. KREUTZER (E)
J. LEE (L)
M. MAIGRET (L)
S. REED (E)
M. SERVICE (L)
S. SHEPPARD (L)
M. SLATER (E)
H. SMITH (L)
S. TEETS (L)
G. WILLIAMS (E)
V. WILSON (L)
R. INGRAM (L) | A/T IND
BRAITMAN
SALTZMAN
MELTZ

PLANS
MCDONALD
CHAPMAN
DUBE (Ltr)
E. COUPE
PETERSON
HARTFIELD (2)
KLECKER
EISENHUT
WIGGINTON |
|---|---|--|---|---|

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| -1 - LOCAL PDR <u>Harrisburg, Pa</u> | - NATIONAL LABS _____ | 1 - PDR-SAN/LA/NY |
| 1 - TIC (ABERNATHY) (1)(2)(10) | 1 - W. PENNINGTON, Rm E-201 GT | 1 - BROOKHAVEN NAT LAB |
| 1 - NSIC (BUCHANAN) | 1 - CONSULTANTS | 1 - G. ULRIKSON, ORNL |
| 1 - ASLB | NEWMARK/BLUME/AGBABIAN | 1 - AGMED (RUTH GUSSMAN)
Rm B-127 GT |
| 1 - Newton Anderson | | 1 - J. D. RUNKLES, Rm E-201
GT |
| - ACRS HOLDING/SENT | | |

7911120 564 P



METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY

POST OFFICE BOX 542 READING, PENNSYLVANIA 19603

TELEPHONE 215 - 929-3601

February 15, 1975

50-289/320

Mr. George Lear, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #3
Division of Reactor Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20545



Dear Mr. Lear:

Confirming telephone conversations with Messrs. David Jaffe and Robert Bottimore of NRC Staff, the six utility companies who either own or have contracted for Babcock & Wilcox NSSS have agreed to pursue a joint review, along with B&W, of the Babcock & Wilcox Standard Technical Specifications (B&W-STs).

Although the seven companies involved have already commenced their review of the B&W-STs and are working, initially, to the schedule attached to your letter to Metropolitan Edison Company dated January 9, 1975, the utility companies believe it would be very desirable to meet with the NRC to discuss concerns we have about the B&W-STs. It is my understanding that Mr. Jaffe has arranged such a meeting for 1:30 P.M., February 24, 1975, at the Phillips Building in Bethesda. I have assured Mr. Jaffe that I will provide a list of the items the utility companies would like to discuss at this meeting no later than February 19, 1975.



In general, the purpose of the meeting will be to discuss:

- 1. The schedule for the review; and,
2. General concerns utility companies have regarding the B&W-STs.

With reference to the first item, it is our understanding that the schedule forwarded by your letter of January 9, 1975, is not consistent with the schedule for Davis-Besse Station for which Toledo Edison Company has an Operating License application pending. Referring to the second item, it appears that a number of the requirements in the draft B&W-STs will have a substantial impact on operational and administrative requirements for the operating plants. We are very concerned that we do not have imposed upon us requirements which do not contribute to safety, and/or which are completely inappropriate in terms of existing plant designs just for the sake of uniformity of technical specifications.

1590 168


1848

February 15, 1975

I am not, at this time, able to give you a more definitive listing of these concerns because we have not had an opportunity to jointly develop them. The utilities will be meeting as a group on February 18 to develop our suggested agenda for the meeting with NRC on February 24.

Because of the nature of the subjects to be discussed at the meeting on February 24, we request that yourself and Mr. Schwencer attend the meeting and, if at all possible, Mr. Giambusso be available for at least a portion of the meeting. The utility companies feel that this meeting is very important for the purpose of gaining a proper understanding on the part of all parties as to the background and the purpose for Standardized Technical Specifications. We anticipate that a candid discussion of some of the generic concerns at this meeting will greatly expedite the resolution of comments during the course of the review.

Sincerely,


R. C. Arnold
Vice President

RCA:nh

cc: Messrs. W. Cavanaugh, III - Arkansas
H. Mattimoe - SMUD
J. H. MacMillan - B&W
E. E. Powell - Duke
J. T. Rodgers - Florida
L. E. Rowe - Toledo

1590 169