

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

#### DOCKET NO. 50-289

THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 28 License No. DPR-50

1586 218

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Metropolitan Edison Company, Jersey Central Power & Light Company, and Pennsylvania Electric Company (the licensees) dated October 8, 1976, as supplemented October 21, 1976, and February 3, 1977, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
    - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
    - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
    - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
    - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-50 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - (2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 28, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

1586 219

monton B. Fairtile for

Robert W. Reid, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #4 Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: April 6, 1977

- 2 -

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 28 FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-50 DOCKET NO. 50-289

Remove Pages	Insert Pages
2-4 - 2-7	2-4 - 2-7
2-9	2-9
Figure 2.3-1 3-1 & 3-2	Figure 2.3-1 3-1 & 3-2

The changed areas on the revised pages are shown by marginal lines.

2.2 SAFETY LIMITS - REACTOR SYSTEM PRESSURE

#### Applicability

Applies to the limit on reactor coolant system pressure.

#### Objective

To maintain the integrity of the reactor coolant system and to prevent the release of significant amounts of fission product activity.

#### Specification

2.2.1 The reactor coolant system pressure shall not exceed 2750 psig when there are fuel assemblies in the reactor vessel.

#### Bases

The reactor coolant system (1) serves as a barrier to prevent radionuclides in the reactor coolant from reaching the atmosphere. In the event of a fuel cladding failure, the reactor coolant system is a barrier against the release of fission products. Establishing a system pressure limit helps to assure the integrity of the reactor coolant system. The maximum transient pressure allowable in the reactor coolant system pressure vessel under the ASME Code, Section III, is 110% of design pressure.(2) The maximum transient pressure allowable in the reactor coolant system piping, valves, and fittings under ANSI Section B31.7 is 110% of design pressure. Thus, the safety limit of 2750 psig (110% of the 2500 psig design pressure) has been established.(2) The maximum settings for the reactor high pressure trip (2405 psig) and the pressurizer code safety valves (2500 psig) (3) have been established for Cycle 3 in accordance with ASME Boiler Vessel Code, Section III, Article 9, Winter, 1968 and Pressure to assure that the reactor coolant system pressure safety limit is not exceeded. The initial hydrostatic test was conducted at 3125 psig (125% of design pressure) to verify the integrity of the reactor coolant system. Additional assurance that the reactor coolant system pressure does not exceed the safety limit is provided by setting the pressurizer electromatic relief valve at 2255 psig. (4) References

- (1) FSAR, Section 4
- (2) FSAR, Section 4.3.10.1
- (3) FSAR, Section 4.2.4
- (4) FSAR, Table 4-1

#### 2.3 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTINGS, PROTECTION INSTRUMENTATION

#### Applicability

Applies to instruments monitoring reactor power, reactor power imbalance, reactor coolant system pressure, reactor coolant outlet temperature, flow, number of pumps in operation, and high reactor building pressure.

#### Objective

To provide automatic protection action to prevat any combination of process variables from exceeding a safety limit.

#### Specification

2.3 1 The reactor protection system trip setting limits and the permissible bypasses for the instrument channels shall be as stated in Table 2.3-1 and Figure 2.3-2.

#### Bases

The reactor protection system consists of four instrument channels to monitor each of several selected plant conditions which will cause a reactor trip if any one of these conditions deviates from a pre-selected operating range to the degree that a safety limit may be reached.

The trip setting limits for protection system instrumentation are listed in Table 2.3-1. These trip setpoints are setting limits on the setpoint side of the protection system bistable comparators. The safety analysis has been based upon these protection system instrumentation trip set points plus calibration and instrumentation errors.

#### Nuclear Overpower

A reactor trip at high power level (neutron flux) is provided to prevent damage to the fuel cladding from reactivity excursions too rapid to be detected by pressure and temperature measurements.

During normal plant operation with all reactor coolant pumps operating, reactor trip is initiated when the reactor power level reaches 105.5% of rated power. Adding to this the possible variation in trip set points due to calibration and instrument errors, the maximum actual power at which a trip would be actuated could be 112%, which is the value used in the safety analysis (1).

a. Overpower trip based on flow and imbalance

2-5

The power level trip set point produced by the reactor coolant system flow is based on a power-to-flow ratio which has been established to accommodate the most severe thermal transient considered in the design, the loss-of-coolant flow accident from high power. Analysis has demonstrated that the specified power to flow ratio is adequate to prevent a DNER of less than 1.3 should a low flow condition exist due to any malfunction.

Amendment No. 18, 1, 28

The power level trip set point produced by the power-to-flow ratio provides both high power level and low flow protection in the event the reactor power level increases or the reactor coolant flow rate decreases. The power level trip set point produced by the power to flow ratio provides overpower DNB protection for all modes of pump operation. For every flow rate there is a maximum permissible power level, and for every power level there is a minimum permissible low flow rate. Typical power level and low flow rate combinations for the pump situations of Table 2.3-1 are as follows:

- Trip would occur when four reactor coolant pumps are operating if power is 108 percent and reactor flow rate is 100 percent, or flow rate is 92.6 percent and power level is 100 percent.
- Trip would occur when three reactor coolant pumps are operating if power is 80.7 percent and reactor flow rate is 74.7 percent or flow rate is 69.2 percent and power level is 75 percent.
- 3. Trip would occur when one reactor coolant pump is operating in each loop (total of two pumps operating) if the power is 52.9 percent and reactor flow rate is 49.2 percent or flow rate is 45.4 percent and the power level is 49 percent.

The flux/flow ratios account for the maximum calibration and instrumentation errors and the maximum variation from the average value of the RC flow signal in such a manner that the reactor protective system receives a conservative indication of the RC flow.

No penalty in reactor coolant flow through the core was taken for an open core vent valve because of the core vent valve surveillance program during each refueling outage.

For safety analysis calculations the maximum calibration and instrumentation errors for the power level were used.

The power-imbalance boundaries are established in order to prevent reactor thermal limits from being exceeded. These thermal limits are either power peaking kW/ft limits or DNBR limits. The reactor power imbalance (power in the top half of the core minus power in the bottom half of core) reduces the power level trip produced by the power-to-flow ratio so that the boundaries of Figure 2.3-2 are produced. The power-to-flow ratio reduces the power level trip and associated reactor power/reactor power-imbalance boundaries by 1.08 percent for a one percent flow reduction.

#### b. Pump monitors

The redundant pump monitors prevent the minimum core DNBR from decreasing below 1.3 by tripping the reactor due to the loss of reactor coolant pump(s). The pump monitors also restrict the power level for the number of pumps in operation.

Amendment No. 16, 16, 26, 28

c. Reactor coolant system pressure

During a startup accident from low power or a slow rod withdrawal from high power, the system high pressure trip set point is reached before the nuclear overpower trip set point. The trip setting limit shown in Figure 2.3-1 for high reactor coolant system pressure has been established to maintain the system pressure below the safety limit (2750 psig) for any design transient. Due to calibration and instrument errors, the safety analysis assumed a 30 psi pressure error in the high reactor coolant system pressure trip setting.

The low pressure (1800 psig) and variable low pressure (11.75 Tout - 5103) trip setpoint shown in Figure 2.3-1 have been established to maintain the DNB ratio greater than or equal to 1.3 for those design accidents that result in a pressure reduction (3, 4).

Due to the calibration and instrumentation errors, the safety analysis used a variable low reactor coolant system pressure trip value of (11.75 Tout -5143) and a low pressure trip value of 1770 psig.

d. Coolant outlet temperature

The high reactor coolant outlet temperature trip setting limit (619 F) shown in Figure 2.3-1 has been established to prevent excessive core coolant temperatures in the operating range.

The calibrated range of the temperature channels of the RPS is 520 to 620 F. The trip setpoint of the channel is 619 F. Under the worst case environment, power supply perturbations, and drift, the accuracy of the trip string is  $\pm 1$ F. This accuracy was arrived at by summing the worst case accuracies of each module. This is a conservative method of error analysis since the normal procedure is to use the root mean square method.

Therefore, it is assured that a trip will occur at a value no higher than 620 F even under worst case conditions. The safety analysis used a high temperature trip set point of 620 F.

The calibrated range of the channel is that portion of the span of indication which has been qualified with regard to drift, linearity, repeatability, etc. This does not imply that the equipment is restricted to operation within the calibrated range. Additional testing has demonstrated that in fact, the temperature channel is fully operational approximately 10% above the calibrated range.

Since it has been established that the channel will trip at a value of RC outlet temperature no higher than 620 F even in the worst case, and since the channel is fully operational approximately 10% above the calibrated range and exhibits no hysteresis or foldover characteristics, it is concluded that the instrument design is acceptable.

e. Reactor building pressure

The high reactor building pressure trip setting limit (4 psig) provides positive assurance that a reactor trip will occur in the unlikely event of a steam line failure in the reactor building or a loss-of-coolant accident, even in the absence of a low reactor coolant system pressure trip.

## TABLE 2.3-1(6)

### REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM TRIP SETTING LIMITS

Amendment			Four Reactor Coolant Fumps Operating (Nominal Operating Power - 100%)	Three Reactor Coolant Pumps Operating (Nominal Operating Power - 75%)	One Reactor Coolant Pump Operating in Each Loop (Nominal Operating Power - 49%)	Shutdown . Bypass	
No. ys.	1.	Nuclear power, Max. % of rated power	105.5	105.5	105.5	5.0 (3)	
¥. 28	2.	Nuclear Power based on flow (2) and imbalance max. of rated power	1.08 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance(s)	1.08 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance(s)	108 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance(s)	Bypassed	
	3.	Huclear power based (5) on pump monitors, max. % of rated power	NA	NA	91%	Bypassed	
2-9	4.	High reactor coolant system pressure, psig, max.	2405 (7)	2405 (7)	2405 (7)	1720 (4)	
	5.	Low reactor coolant system pressure, psig min.	1800	1800	1800	Eypassed	
	6.	Variable low reactor coolant system pressure psig, min.	(11.75 Tout-5103) (1)	(11.75 Tout-5103) (1)	(11.75 Tout-5103) (1)	Bypassed	
	7.	Reactor coolant temp. F., Max.	619	619	619	619	
5	8.	High Reactor Building pressure, psig, max.	ų	4	4	4	
86 225	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)	Tout is in degrees Fahrenheit (F) Reactor coolant system flow, % Administratively controlled reduction set only during reactor shutdown Automatically set when other segments of the RPS (as specified) are bypassed The pump monitors also produce a trip on: (a) loss of two reactor coolant pumps in one reactor coolant loop, and (b) loss of one or two reactor coolant pumps during two-pump operation Trip settings limits are setting limits on the set point side of the protection system bistable comparators These limits applicable for operation in Cycle 3 only.					



THI-1, UNIT 1, CYCLE 3 PROTECTION SYSTEM MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE SET POINTS

Figure 2.3-1

Amendment No. 14, 14, 28

3.		LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION
3.1		REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

3.1.1 OPERATIONAL COMPONENTS

Applicability

Applies to the operating status of reactor coolant system components.

Objective

To specify those limiting conditions for operation of reactor coolant system components which must be met to ensure safe reactor operations.

Specification

- 3.1.1.1 Reactor Coolant Pumps
  - a. Pump combinations permissible for given power levels shall be as shown in Specification Table 2.3.1.
  - b. Power operation with one idle reactor coolant pump in each loop shall be restricted to 24 hours. If the reactor is not returned to an acceptable RC pump operating combination at the end of the 24 hour period, the reactor shall be in a hot shutdown condition within the next 12 hours.
  - c. The boron concentration in the reactor coolant system shall not be reduced unless at least one reactor coolant pump or one decay heat removal pump is circulating reactor coolant.
- 3.1.1.2 Steam Generator
  - a. One steam generator shall be operable whenever the reactor coolant average temperature is above 250°F.
- 3.1.1.3 Pressurizer Safety Valves
  - a. The reactor shall not remain critical unless both pressurizer code safety values are operable with a lift setting of 2500 psig ± 1%.
  - When the reactor is subcritical, at least one pressurizer code safety valve shall be operable if all reactor coolant system openings are closed, except for hydrostatic tests in accordance with ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III.

1586 227

#### Bases

The limitation on power operation with one idle RC pump in each loop has been imposed since the ECCS cooling performance has not been calculated in accordance with the Final Acceptance Criteria requirements specifically for this mode of reactor operation. A time period of 24 hours is allowed for operation with one idle RC pump in each loop to effect repairs of the idle pump(s) and to return the reactor to an acceptable combination of operating RC pumps. The 24 hours for this mode of operation is acceptable since this mode is expected to have considerable margin for the peak cladding temperature limit and since the likelihood of a LOCA within the 24 hour period is considered very remote.

A reactor coolant pump or decay heat removal pump is required to be in operation before the boron concentration is reduced by dilution with makeup water. Either pump will provide mixing which will prevent sudden positive reactivity changes caused by dilute coolant reaching the reactor. One decay heat removal pump will circulate the equivalent of the reactor coolant system volume in one half hour or less.

The decay heat removal system suction piping is designed for  $300^{\circ}$ F and 370 psig; thus, the system can remove decay heat when the reactor coolant system is below this temperature. (2, 3)

One pressurizer code safety value is capable of preventing overpressurization when the reactor is not critical since its relieving capacity is greater than that required by the sum of the available heat sources which are pump energy, pressurizer heaters, and reactor decay heat.<sup>(4)</sup> Both pressurizer code safety values are required to be in service prior to criticality to conform to the system design relief capabilities. The code safety values prevent overpressure for rod withdrawal or feedwater line break accidents.(5)(6) The pressurizer code safety value lift setpoint shall be set at 2500 psig  $\pm$  1% allowance for error and each value shall be capable of relieving 311,700 lb/h of saturated steam at a pressure not greater than three percent above the set pressure.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) FSAR, Tables 9-10 and 4-3 through 4-7
- (2) FSAR, Sections 4.2.5.1 and 9.5.2.3
- (3) FSAR, Section 4.2.5.4
- (4) FSAR, Sections 4.3.10.4 and 4.2.4
- (5) FSAR, Section 4.3.7
- (6) Met Ed letter GQL-1410 of October 8, 1976.