

T/C

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of)	
)	
PUGET SOUND POWER & LIGHT)	Docket Nos. 50-522
COMPANY, et al.)	50-523
)	
(Skagit Nuclear Power)	
Project Units 1 and 2))	

Miscellaneous Orders

1. This confirms the schedules for submission to the board by the parties of proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law as had previously been agreed to by the parties and the board, as follows:

FIRST SCHEDULE

<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Substance of filing</u>
1979		
September 14	Applicants	Proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, briefs, and a proposed form of order or decision re subject matters identified by numerical and alphabetical references, pages 2-5, at board's Order for Evidentiary Hearing and Related Matters, dated June 29, 1979, as follow: I A, B, C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4), C(5), D, E, I, J. II A, B



1135 005

7910190 309 G

<u>Filing Date</u> <u>1979</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Substance of filing</u>
October 5	NRC Staff, SCANP, Fore- laws	Same as above
October 19	Applicants, NRC Staff	Same as above

SECOND SCHEDULE

<u>Filing Date</u> <u>1979</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Substance of filing</u>
October 8	Applicants	Same as above in FIRST SCHEDULE, re subject mat- ter as follows: III B
October 25	NRC Staff, SCANP, Forelaws	Same as above
November 5	Applicants, NRC Staff	Same as above

2. Since the discussion at the hearing among the parties and the board related to proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law only and since the applicable regulation at 10 CFR §2.754 concerns "proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, briefs and a proposed form of order or decision", an additional 7 days will be allowed for the due dates of the first schedule to cover "briefs and a proposed form of order or decision." The second schedule stands firm and is expected to include the coverage of 10 CFR §2.754, as noted above.

1135 006

3. The proposed findings and conclusions of law of each of the parties should specifically refer to appropriate pages in the transcripts and to exhibits, if applicable.

4. Intervenorors may file findings on subject matters within the scope of the hearings even though the findings are not within intervenors' contentions.

5. The board accepts SCANP's prefiled Rebuttal Testimony Re Floodplains by Dr. Thomas Dunne and will schedule Dr. Dunne's testimony at the beginning of the next hearing session, at which time parties interested in examination of Dr. Dunne or rebuttal of his testimony are expected to be ready to go forward and thus wind up the subject of floodplains management.

6. Applicants' objections, dated August 27, 1979, to SCANP's interrogatories and requests for production served by SCANP on August 21, 1979 are sustained. SCANP forwarded no reasons to the board as SCANP had twice indicated it would do so why the interrogatories and requests were not untimely and why they were within the scope of discovery under 10 CFR §2.740(b) -- both contentions contrary to applicants' objections of August 27.

7. As soon as it is able to do so, the NRC Staff is expected to inform the board when it is able to proceed.

1135 007

at hearings with the subjects of Geology and Seismology
and Suitability for Development of Evacuation Plan.

Done this *13th* day of September 1979 at Washington,
D.C.

ATOMIC SAFETY & LICENSING
BOARD

By *Valentine B. Deale*
Valentine B. Deale, Chairman

1135 008