## SEP 2 6 1979

25

## REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

Cintral Filis

1177 189

7910180564

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Dennis L. Operating	Ziemann, Reactors	Chief Branch	No.	2,	DOR	POOR	ORIGINAL
-----------------	------------------------	----------------------	-----------------	-----	----	-----	------	----------

FROM: Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief Systematic Evaluation Program Branch, DOR

SUBJECT: REQUEBT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR BIG ROCK POINT PLANT CONTAINMENT PURGE SYSTEM

As followup to September 14, 1979 telecon with the Big Rock Point licensee, the following concerns on the electrical and instrumentation design area should be forwarded to the licensee.

1. In the licensee's submittal on "additional information relative to fuel handling accident in containment" dated November 2%, 1978, the licensee has recognized some single failures in electrical design. In addition, because of the automatic vacuum relief system circuits being integrated into the containment isolation system, there are several other single failure in the vacuum relief system that could block an isolation signal. A technical evaluation report was prepared by our consultant, EG&G Idaho, Inc., on Big Rock Point plant containment isolation system (CIS). The report has concluded that the lack of redundancy and independence in the air supply and exhaust portion of the containment isolation system and its integrated vacuum felief system, which leaves this CIS to numer disabling single failures. The staff has reviewed this report and concur in its conclusions.

A copy of EG&G report No. RE-A-79-045 is attached. The licensee is requested to address each single failure postulated in the report and provide a justification and/or proposed modification to the CIS.

2. There is only one manual control switch (HS-9001) to close both air supply valves and both exhaust valves. In July 16, 1973, I&E Bulletin No. 73-2 require all licensees take action to determine whether the failure of a single control switch could result in thessimultaneous failure of the redundant supply valves or redundant exhaust valves. The licensee is requested to address their response to thisebulletin.

		10 St. 1		
	A	 	 	
DATE		 1.	1 1 4 1 L 1 1 1 1	

 The generic evaluation on containment purge system electrical design has established certain criteria. A copy of these criteria are attached for licensee's information.

> Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief Systematic Evaluation Program Branch Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment 1: EG&G Idaho, Inc. Report No. RE-A-79-045

Attachment 2:

20

Criteria for evaluation of containment purge system electrical design.

cc: R. Silver J. Shapaker J. Knight S. Brown H. L1

> DISTRIBUTION: Central Files SEPB RDG DCrutchfield

1177 390

OFFICE	DSS	SEPB : DOR	SOOD DOR	 	
SURNAME >	HL1/bjd	JShapaker	Dorugenfield	 Construction of the second	
DATE	9-24-79	9-26-79	9-24.79	 	

NRC FORM 318 (9-76) NRCM 0240

TUIS. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1976 - 628-624