

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | V | A | N | A | S | I | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | _____ | 5
7 8 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58

CON'T
01 | REPORT SOURCE | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 9
7 8 60 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)
02 | During steady state operation on 9/13/79, Individual Rod Position Indicator H02
03 | disagreed greater than 12 steps from the group demand counter. On 9/14/79, IRPI B08
04 | disagreed greater than 12 steps from the group demand counter. These events are
05 | contrary to T.S. 3.1.3.2. The IRPI's were returned to service within the time limits
06 | of the appropriate action statement. This event is reportable as per T.S. 6.9.1.9.b.
07 | These events are generic to Westinghouse Analog IRPI systems.

09 | SYSTEM CODE | I | F | 11 | CAUSE CODE | E | 12 | CAUSE SUBCODE | E | 13 | COMPONENT CODE | I | N | S | T | R | U | 14 | COMP. SUBCODE | I | 15 | VALVE SUBCODE | Z | 16
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | 7 | 9 | EVENT YEAR | 7 | 9 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | 1 | 1 | 6 | OCCURRENCE CODE | 0 | 3 | REPORT TYPE | L | REVISION NO. | 0 |
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
ACTION TAKEN | E | 18 | FUTURE ACTION | X | 19 | EFFECT ON PLANT | Z | 20 | SHUTDOWN METHOD | Z | 21 | HOURS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | Y | 23 | NPRD-4 FORM SUB. | N | 24 | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | N | 25 | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER | W | 1 | 2 | 0 | 26
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)
10 | The cause of the IRPI disagreements was instrument drift. Immediate corrective action
11 | was to perform calibration and functional tests. Further corrective action will be
12 | the implementation of the results of an engineering study on IRPI drift.
13 |
14 |

15 | FACILITY STATUS | E | 28 | % POWER | 0 | 3 | 5 | 29 | OTHER STATUS | N/A | 30 | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | A | 31 | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION | Operator Observation | 32
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

16 | ACTIVITY CONTENT | Z | 33 | RELEASED OF RELEASE | Z | 34 | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | N/A | 35 | LOCATION OF RELEASE | N/A | 36
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

17 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | TYPE | Z | 38 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 39
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

18 | PERSONNEL INJURIES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 41
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

19 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY | Z | 42 | TYPE | N/A | 43
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

20 | PUBLICITY | N | 44 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 45
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

NAME OF PREPARER W. R. Cartwright PHONE: 703-894-5151

1129 242
7910110 330
5

Description of Event

During steady state operation at 34% power on 9/13/79, the Individual Rod Position Indicator H02 disagreed greater than 12 steps from the group demand counter. On 9/14/79, IRPI B08 indicated greater than 12 steps from its group demand counter.

These events are contrary to T.S. 3.1.3.2. The IRPI's were returned to service within the time allowance of the Action Statement.

These events are reportable as per T.S. 6.9.1.9.b., and are generic to Westinghouse analog IRPI systems.

Probable Consequences of Occurrence

Operability of the control rod position indicators is required to determine control rod positions and thereby ensure compliance with the control rod alignment and insertion limits.

Since the control rods were not misaligned and the IRPI's were returned to service as per the Action Statement, the safe operation of the unit was not affected. As a result, at no time was the health and safety of the public affected.

Cause of the Event

The IRPI disagreements were the result of instrument drift.

Immediate Corrective Action

The IRPI's were recalibrated and functional tests were performed to ensure operability.

Scheduled Corrective Action

Results of an Engineering Study on IRPI drift will be implemented.

Actions Taken To Prevent Recurrence

No further action is required.