



COPIES DESTROYED
FACD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-466

NRC PUBLIC AGREEMENT 1978

TEEA

BAKER & BOTTS

Dear Misters Copeland, Biddle, Thrash, Newman, Culp, Lowenstein, Reis, Axelrad, and Toll:

I keep getting huge brown envelopes in the mail from Baker & Botts concerning the licencing of the planned Allens Creek nuclear plant, and I am becoming very exasperated by these letters from Baker & Botts stating that such-and-such a petition to intervene should be denied because the reasons were too "vague." No matter what the reasons are, let's face it, won't you always find them too "vague?" For no petition to intervene is likely to satisfy you because you are hired by HL&P to find something wrong with the petitions. I think that damage to the healthy functioning of humans or plants is clear when it happens; anybody knows that our organs are made to function a certain way and when something goes wrong, it is clear enough. Anybody knows that humans depend on plants for their lives, and damage to plant health and function is clear enough when it is done.

Furthermore, while I am returning to you my opinion on your opinion, I want to add that I am further exasperated by the language you use to recommend denial of a petition. It seems that you cannot write a simple statement. It must be long, full of legal terms, abbreviations; and numbers, all meant to confuse and intimidate people like us petitioners. You lawyers in your pinstriped threepieced suits are probably secretly laughing at our efforts. You must have hearts under those clothes, and I wonder if you have really stopped what you're doing, separated your minds from legal work, and asked yourselves if it is morally right and in the interest of the health, not comfort, of humanity (including those closest to your hearts) to build more and more nuclear plants?

Sincerely, *Gayle De Gregori*

Gayle De Gregori
2327 Goldsmith
Houston, Texas 77030

7909280003

p.s. I am sending copies of this letter to those on the latest list you sent me.

1038 259

POOR ORIGINAL