	ar anno 1			distance was	-1			- 25 1 1 1 1
I CONTRACT (P		5 Jun 1979		79-185	ORCI NO.		AND OR DAS REG	
b1	colle ,			M other than he as		1.622		- +O+ DEST
	Nuclear Regulation							In water
	ion of Contracts ngton, D. C. 20							Diseit :
8 CONTRACTO	The state of the s			FACILITY COLOR		9 DISCOL	INT FOR PROMPT P	AYMENT
NAME AND	AUDKESS			-	1			
1007	CGS, Incorp	orstod					NET	
(Street, city.	P.O. Box 28							
and ZIP code)	Champaign,					O SUBMIT	INVOICES (4 11	opies unien otherwy
						specifie	d TO ADDRESS SH	OWN IN BLOCK
11. SHIP TO MARK FOR CODE 12 PAYMENT WILL BE MADE BY						CODE		
Office	Nuclear Repulato of Nuclear Regigton, D. C. 20	ulatory Rese		U.S. Nuclear Office of the Washington, D	Control1	er	mission	
13. THIS PROCURE	MENT WAS ADVERTISED,	NEC-OTIATED, FUR	SUANT TO	10 U.S.C. 252 (c)				
14 ACCOUNTING	AND APPROPRIATION DATA			KX 41 03C 252 (18)	0			
B&R NO	. 60-19-40-01	FIN	NO. BE	5693			\$139,26	0.00
15.		16. SUPPLIES/SERVIC			17. QUANTITY	18. UNIT	19. UNIT PRICE	20 HOUNT
	A RESEARCH STUDY ENTITLED "SCENA DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION RELATION THE RISK ASSESSMENT OF HIGH LIBRADIOACTIVE WASTE REPOSITORIES"  POOR OF THE PROPERTY OF T			ATED LEVEL				\$139,260.0
21			CIDM	EIVED DDICE	TOTAL AM	OUNT OF	CONTRACT \$	39,260.00
	CONTRAC		OR 26 A5	APPLIC	ABLE			
22. CONTRACT	OR'S NEGOTIATED AGREEMEN	A (Contractor is requ	uired to sign	26. A 400 10 16	ontractor is not	required	to sign this docum	
The rights and a wined by the fol- and (c) such pr	and return 4 copies to deliver of stems of perform all and on an input suction street abligations of the paties to this forcing documents (a) this away avisions, representations, certi- reproduct by reference herein.	s for the consideration contract shall be subject disconnect, (b) the solici factions, and specifics	or otherwise stated herein of to and gov- tation, if any, stions, as are	This comment	nges made by you	d your off	diditions of changes diphore and on any consists of the folior er, and (b) this as	ing documents (d)
is Alullian & Fordala				27 MITTO TIATIN	OF AMERICA (Signar	) {	Clear on on con	an
A NAME AND TITLE CO. SIGNER (Type or trem) 25. DATE SIGNED					KACTING OFFICER			20 DATE SIGNED
William G. Goodman 8/7/79 Vice President			Ke ingg	V. Morto	n1 04	1 280	8-11-9	

7909270 7-04

#### SCOPE OF WORK, TERMS AND CONDITIONS

#### ARTICLE I - OBJECTIVE

The objective of this research project shall be to provide insights into the relative importance of different release modes, groundwater pathways, and retardation factors in radionuclide transport from a waste isolation facility so as to:

- Provide guidance to the formulation of licensing review procedures,
- Provide guidance to more detailed ongoing modeling studies of radionuclide transport in geologic systems.

### ARTICLE II - STATEMENT OF WORK

- 1. The Contractor shall furnish personnel, facilities, equipment, materials, supplies, and services necessary for the performance of the work set forth in Appendix A, which Appendix A is attached hereto and made a part hereof; and therewith perform, utilizing its best efforts, the work provided for therein and report thereon pursuant to the provisions of this contract.
- The Contractor's performance hereunder shall be under the direction of Dr. Fred A. Donath, shall be accomplished by qualified, careful and efficient personnel, and shall accord with high standards.

### ARTICLE III - PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The performance of the work described in Appendix A hereof shall commence on June 15, 1979, and shall be completed by June 30, 1980.

## ARTICLE IV - CONSIDERATION AND PAYMENT

- In full consideration of the Contractor's performance hereunder, NRC shall pay the Contractor the sum of \$139,260.00 in accordance with paragraph 2. below.
- 2. Payments will be made by the Commission as follows:

Upon submission and acceptance of the reports specified in ARTICLE V - REPORTS, below in the following installments:

- a. \$20,000.00
- b. 14,815.00
- c. 34,814.00
- d. 34,815.00
- e. 34.815.00
- 3. In the event that the Contractor satisfactorily completes the work prior to the scheduled completion date, the full amount remaining unpaid shall become due and payable upon the Commission's acceptance of the Contractor's final report.

4. Said payments shall be made as soon as practicable upon submission by the Contractor of vouchers in form satisfactory to the Contracting Officer; provided however, that said payments shall not be deemed to prejudice any rights which the Government may have by law or under other provisions of this contract.

#### ARTICLE V - REPORTS

- The Contractor shall submit the following reports to the Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555:
  - a. A Progress Report due within thirty days of the effective date of Contract

b. A Progress Report due September 30, 1979

 An Interim Report due December 15, 1979 as set forth in Appendix A, Statement of Work

d. A Recommendation Report for FY 1980 due March 15, 1980 as set forth in Appendix A, Statement of Work

e. A Final Report due June 15, 1980

All reports are to be submitted in six copies plus a reproducible master.

2. The Final Report shall document and summarize the results of the entire contract period, including recommendations and conclusions based on the experience and results obtained. The final report shall include tables, graphs, diagrams, curves, sketches, photographs, and drawings in sufficient detail to comprehensively explain the results achieved under the contract.

## ARTICLE VI - GENERAL PROVISIONS

The General Provisions of this contract consist of the "General Provisions Fixed Price Research and Development Contract" dated February 15, 1978, attached hereto and, by this reference, made a part hereof.

#### APPENDIX A

#### STATEMENT OF MORE

The Contractor small perform the work as set forth in its proposal entitled "Scenario Development and Evaluation Related to the Risk Assessment of High Level Radioactive Waste Repositories," dated September 25, 1978, which proposal is incorporated by reference and made a part hereof.

Specifically, in the course of the research project the Contractor shall perform the following tasks:

- 1. Evaluate the twenty-five cases shown on the attached Case Table as follows:
  - a. By December 15, 1979 particle distribution diagrams for Cases 1-7 and 14-19 shall be completed and submitted together with a covering discussion and analysis for each case.
  - b. By June 15, 1979 particle distribution diagrams for Cases 8-13 and 20-25 shall be completed and submitted together with a covering discussion and analysis for each case with respect to scenario screening for rishmethodology development applications.
- Prepare recommendations for additional scenario development and evaluation in FY 1980. This report shall be due March 15, 1980.
- Address and discuss the applicability of the research project's modeling to site selection and evaluation.
- Develop and deliver to the Commission the computer code for transport model together with user manual.

## POOR ORIGINAL

# POOR ORIGINAL TABLE 1. CASES TO BE EVALUATED

- 1. Typical layered media (e.g., salt, shale, basalt)
  - A. Homogeneous layered representation (case #1)
  - B. Presence of vertical zone of different characteristics than surrounding layered media

1. high permeability vertical zone in discharge portion of basin

a. source up gradient (#2)

b. source in zone (#3)

c. source down gradient (#4)

2. low permeability vertical zone in discharge portion of basin

a. source up gradient (#5)

b. source in zone (#6)

c. source down gradient (#7)

3. high permeability vertical zone in central portion of basin

a. source up gradient (#8)

b. source in zone (#9)

c. source down gradient (#10)

4. low permeability vertical zone in central portion of basin

a. source up gradient (#11)

b. source in zone (#12)

- c. source down gradient (#13)
- 5. high permeability vertical zone in recharge portion of basin

a. source up gradient (#14)

b. source in zone (#15)

- c. source down gradient (#16)
- 6. low permeability vertical zone in recharge portion of basin

a. source up gradient (#17)

b. source in zone (#18)

- c. source down gradient (#19)
- II. Layered media disrupted and underlain by a massive unit of homogeneous properties (e.g., salt dome or granite)

A. Homogeneous disruptive unit

high permeability

a. source moderate depth (#20)

b. source deeper (#21)

2. low permeability

a. source moderate depth (#22)

b. source deeper (#23)

B. Zoned disruptive unit

a. source moderate depth (#24)

b. source deepter (#25)