



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION I
101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

TIC
50-369
370

In Reply Refer To:
RII:JPO
50-369, 50-370
50-269, 50-270
50-287

SEP 7 1979

Duke Power Company
Attn: W. O. Parker, Jr.
Vice President, Steam Production
P. O. Box 2178
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Gentlemen:

The enclosed supplement to Bulletin No. 79-14 is forwarded to you to provide added guidance on the intent of the Bulletin. If you desire additional information regarding this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

James P. O'Reilly
Director

Enclosures:

1. Supplement 2 to IE Bulletin No. 79-14
2. List of IE Bulletins Issued in the Last Six Months

979252

7909210 299

Duke Power Company

-2-

cc w/encl:

M. D. McIntosh, Project Manager
Post Office Box 488
Cornelius, North Carolina 28031

J. C. Rogers, Project Manager
Post Office Box 33189
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. E. Smith, Station Manager
Post Office Box 1175
Seneca, South Carolina 29678

979253

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

September 7, 1979

IE Bulletin No. 79-14
Supplement 2

SEISMIC ANALYSIS FOR AS-BUILT SAFETY-RELATED PIPING SYSTEMS

Description of Circumstances:

IE Bulletin No. 79-14 was issued on July 2, revised on July 18, and first supplemented on August 15, 1979. The bulletin requested licensees to take certain actions to verify that seismic analyses are applicable to as-built plants. Supplement 2 provides the following additional guidance with regard to implementation of the bulletin requirements:

Nonconformances

One way of satisfying the requirements of the bulletin is to inspect safety-related piping systems against the specific revisions of drawings which were used as input to the seismic analysis. Some architect-engineers (A-E) however, are recommending that their customers inspect these systems against the latest revisions of the drawings and mark them as necessary to define the as-built configuration of the systems. These drawings are then returned to the AE's offices for comparison by the analyst to the seismic analysis input. For licensees taking this approach, the seismic analyst will be the person who will identify nonconformances.

The first supplement to the bulletin provided guidance with regard to evaluation of nonconformances. That guidance is appropriate for licensees inspecting against later drawings. The licensee should assure that he is promptly notified when the AE identifies a nonconformance, that the initial engineering judgment is completed in two days and that the analytical engineering evaluation is completed in 30 days. If either the engineering judgement or the analytical engineering evaluation indicates that system operability is in jeopardy, the licensee is expected to meet the applicable technical specification action statement.

Visual Approximations

Some licensees are visually estimating pipe lengths and other inspection elements, and have not documented which data have been obtained in that way. Visual estimation of dimensions is not encouraged for use where visual estimates are used, the accuracy requirements. Further, in documenting those data that were

DUPLICATE DOCUMENT

979254

Entire document previously entered
into system under:

ANO

7908220109

No. of pages:

6