



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 AUG 1 5 1979

Docket Nos. 50-369 and 50-370

> Mr. William O. Parker, Jr. Vice President, Steam Production Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Parker:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - REFUELING WATER STORAGE TANK DESIGN (McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2)

A recent design deficiency report submitted for the Seabrook Station (Enclosure 1) identified an inadequacy in the refueling water storage tank (RWST) capacity. The deficiency is related to the remaining capacity in the RWST, following transfer from the injection to the recirculation mode, and the required operator actions along with the time needed to perform these actions relative to this remaining tank capacity. To assure that a similar problem does not exist for the McGuire Station, provide the information requested in Enclosure 2.

We request that this information be provided by September 24, 1979.

Please contact us if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

ert Z. Bain

Robert L. Baer, Chief Light Water Reactors Branch No. 2 Division of Project Management

Enclosures:

- Report on the Seabrook Station RWST Design Deficiency
- 2. Request for Additional Information

ccs w/enclosures: See next pages

7909180107614

Mr. William O. Parker, Jr. Vice President, Steam Production Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

cc: Mr. W. L. Porter Duke Power Company P. 0. Box 2178 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

> Mr. R. S. Howard Power Systems Division Westinghouse Electric Corporation P. O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Mr. E. J. Keith EDS Nuclear Incorporated 220 Montgomery Street San Francisco, California 94104

Mr. J. E. Houghtaling NUS Corporation 2536 Countryside Boulevard Clearwater, Florida 33515

Mr. Jesse L. Riley, President The Carolina Environmental Study Group 854 Henley Place Charlotte, North Carolina 28207

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq. Debevoise & Liberman 1200 Seventeenth Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Shelley Blum, Esq. 418 Law Building 730 East Trade Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Robert M. Lazo, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Emmeth A. Luebke Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. William O. Parker, Jr.

cc: Dr. Cadet H. Hand, Jr., Director Bodega Marine Lab of California P. O. Box 247 Bodega Bay, California 94923

> Ms. Brenda Best Carolina Action 1740 E. Independence Boulevard Charlotte, North Carolina 28205

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esq. Natural Resources Defense Council 917 - 15th Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20555

Richard P. Wilson, Esq. Assistant Attorney General State of South Carolina 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Mr. Geoffrey Owen Little P. O. Box 2501 Davidson College Davidson, North Carolina 28036

EICLOSURE 1

DEC 5 1975

Report on the Seabrook Station Refueling Water Storage Tank

Design Deficiency

1 ...

Prepared by: Yankee Atomic Electric Company for Public Service Company of New Hampshire

October 28, 1978

DUPLICATE DOCUMENT	957359
Entire document prev into system under:	iously entered
ANO 7811290	344
No. of pages:	9

## ENCLOSURE 2

## REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION MCGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION REFUELING WATER STORAGE TANK DESIGN

Provide the sizing design bases for the refueling water storage tank including the following specific information:

- Provide information and justification on each of the following RWST design criteria:
  - a. Injection requirements (LOCA)
  - b. Instrumentation error
  - c. Working allowance
  - d. Transfer allowance
  - e. Single failure allowance
  - f. Unusable volume
- Each of the following questions on RWST capacity and volume is to be answered in terms of usable volume unless otherwise specified.
  - a. Provide the total tank volume, the technical specification minimum required volume, the low level alarm set-point for initiation of switchover procedures, the tank volume at back-up automatic RHR/pump switchover, and the lo-lo tank level volume.
  - b. Provide the volume remaining in the tank following injection to recirculation switchover procedure using normal operation and operation with worst single failure. Include total lack of operator response to the first low level alarm with no response until second (audio/visual) alarm is heard as a scenario for single failure. Justify your single failure scenario as the worst.
- 3. Investigate time requirements for manual and automatic functions at switchover and provide these times. Examine the time required to perform operator procedures and the time allotted from one RWST set-point to the next for each set of procedures (manual and automatic) for both small and large breaks. Justify that there is enough time to complete the procedures. Include single failure analysis, especially lack of operator response. For both small and large breaks, provide the amount of time remaining after lo-lo level alarm is no manual action has been taken. Provide the operator procedure if this point is reached.
- 4. Show that there is sufficient allowance in the RWST to prevent vortex formation and air entrapment in RWST suction piping. Reference a test showing that the minimum amount of remaining RWST volume (after switchover with worst single failure) is enough to prevent vortexing problems.