



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MBEII

NRC PUBLIC DOCUMENT REPORT

July 12, 1979



Valentine B. Deale, Esq., Chairman  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
1001 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Dr. Frank F. Hooper  
School of Natural Resources  
120 W. Kincaid Street  
Mount Vernon, Washington 98273

Mr. Gustave A. Linenberger  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

In the Matter of Puget Sound Power & Light Company, et al.  
(Skagit Nuclear Power Project, Units 1 and 2)  
Docket Nos. STN 50-522 and STN 50-523

Gentlemen:

The following are the typographical corrections to Supplemental Testimony on Alternative Site Comparison which was prefiled on July 2, 1979:

Table of Contents: (spelling)

7.1.4 wheeling costs  
7.1.9 Reduced Taxes

pg. 52 - under section 4.1.3.5, third line - change  
"200-ft" to "60 to 80-foot"

pg. 59 - under section 4.2.3.5, 6th line - change  
"approximately 200 feet" to "60 to 80 feet"

pg. 81 - (missing sentence in middle paragraph; correct  
wording underlined)


"The other view places more weight on the maintenance of  
the natural and scenic character of the Valley with particular  
emphasis on recreation, tourism and traditional lifestyle."

"usual and accustomed" (following sentence)

941 280  
7909110686

- pg. 86 - (last paragraph section 5.3.4)
1. Gilliam County
  2. delete "the" from "Pebble Springs to the other areas."
  3. missing words underlined: "For this reason the staff does not assume that such growth impacts are necessarily a negative feature of Pebble Springs."
- pg. 88 - In the second full paragraph, 4th line - change "approximately 320" to "440 to 460"
- pg. 90 - "congestion" in table has typo
- pg. 99 - (last paragraph should read) and site supervision personnel rather than supervisor
- pg. 101 - typo in inflation (last paragraph of section 7.1.7)
- pg. 104 - (section 1 under staff assumptions regarding replacement power) should be changed for clarity to read "at 3.9 per cent annual load growth."
- pg. 108 - (last paragraph has incorrect numbers that have not been changed to reflect table) Change:
1. "12-19 mills/kwh to 10-24
  2. "23-25 percent" to 19-44
  3. "8-13 mills" to 6-31
  4. "14-24 percent" to 11-38
- pg. 110 - under section 8.0, first full paragraph, correct the last sentences to read:
- "In the evaluation of socio-economic considerations the Staff concluded that the alternative sites are preferable. The differences between these sites in seismic and geologic conditions can be accommodated by the engineering design of the plant; these designs differences are reflected in the capital cost. An overall clear preferability for one of the two alternative sites therefore depends on the weight given to socio-economic considerations."

Sincerely,



Richard L. Black  
Counsel for NRC Staff

cc: Service List

941 281