## SPECIAL DISTRIBUTION FOR THREE MILE ISLAND - UNIT 2 50-320

CENTRAL FILES (ORIGINAL) PDR LPDR FOIA TERA READING ROOM/P-118 TMI DOCUMENTATION CENTER, H STREET ARLINGTON BLDG READING ROOM, AR-400

If duplicate DCS record, indicate current availability: (circle one)
PDR & CF CF ONLY PDR & LPDR

1759 174

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

5

1 1

PRESIDENT'S COMMISSION ON THE ACCIDENT AT THREE MILE ISLAND

PUBLIC HEARING

TUESDAY, August 21, 1979

Additional copies of this report are availiable from: CMEGA 7.M.I. 5119 Lee Highway Arlington, 7a. 22207 703-241-0850 1759 175

	1	PRESIDENT'S	COMMISSION ON THE ACC	IDENT AT THREE MILE ISLAND
	2			
	3		PUBLIC HEAD	RING
	4			
				TUESDAY,
	5			August 21, 1979
	6			
	-			Hall of Nations Edmund Walsh Building
	7			Georgetown University 36th Street, N.W.
	1			Washington, D.C.
	9			
	10	The	hearing was convened p	pursuant to notice at 9:07 a.m.
		John G. Kemeny, Ch.	airman, presiding.	
	11	PAR	TICIPANTS:	
	12			
			n G. Kemeny sident	
	13		tmouth College	
	14	Ben	ce Babbitt	
	-		ernor of Arizona	
	15	Par	rick E. Haggerty	
			ired President	
	16	Tex	as Instruments	
	17	Car	olyn Lewis	
		Ass	ociate Professor of Jou	
	18		duate School of Journal umbia University	lism
	19			
			l E. Marks e President for Health	Satancas
	20		umbia University	Sciences
	21	Cor	a B. Marrett	
			ociate Professor of Soc	ciology
hoch	22		versity of Wisconsin	
Con	22	Llo	yd McBride	
2			sident	
Burren Reporting Conyxay	24	Uni	ted Steelworkers of Ame	arica 1759 176
-			ry McPherson	
30.	25.	Att	orney	

,

4 1 - 9 2 \ 5		177
3		
2		
1		
o		
9		
3		
7		
6		
5		
4		
3		
2		
1		
0		
9		
8		
7		
6	Anne Trunk Resident of Middletown, Pennsylvani	a
5	Princeton University	
•	Theodore Taylor Professor of Aerospace and Mechanical Science	
3	Audubon Society	
2	Russell Peterson President	
1	PARTICIPANTS: (continued)	

<u>CONTENTS</u>. Page WITNESS: Richard Thornburgh - Governor of Pennsylvania Bowers Reporting Compony 1759 178 

.

REENWOOD	1	PROCEEDINGS
-	2	CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Will the meeting please come to
	3	order?
	4	This is the beginning of the sixth set of public
	5	hearings by the President's Commission on the Accident
	6	at Three Mile Island. I have been asked to make an
	7	announcement that the air-conditioning has been turned on
	8	fairly recently. So, we hope we will have some relief from
	9	the heat in here before very long.
	10	Would Counsel Harvey please call a witness this
	11	morning?
	12	MR. HARVEY: Governor Thornburgh, please?
•	13	CHAIRMAN KEMENY: And would you please swear him
	14	in?
	15	Whereupon,
	16	RICHARD THORNBURGH
	17	was called as a witness and, after being first duly sworn,
	18	was examined and testified as follows:
	19	CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Governor, may I just ask you
	20	to state for the record your full name and your elected
	21	position?
Apphio	22	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I am Dick Thornburgh,
Keparling Company	23	Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
	24	CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Thank you.
Power	25	Counsel Harvey? 1759 179
100	171	11.57

\*

.

G

1 MR. HARVEY: Governor, during our last hearings we 2 heard from several witnesses concerning the events leading 3 to your advisory on March 30, to recommend that pregnant 4 women and pre-school children leave the area within a five-mile 5 radius, and we would like to pick up that thread, if we could, 6 today, by getting your perspective on those events. 7 I might note that from the Lieutenant-Governor we 8 heard that on Wednesday, March 23, there was a process of 9 trying to acquire information concerning what was happening 10 at the site, and as he described that process, he told us 11 on Thursday that there was a decision made that he should 12 go to the site and personally inspect conditions at the site. 13 Was that decision to send the Lieutenant-Governor 14 on Thursday to the Three Mile Island Plant made in consultation 15 with you? 16 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Yes, it was. 17 MR. HARVEY: Could you describe the considerations 18 that led to having the Lieutenant-Governor visit the plant? 19 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I think there are probably 20 two considerations that went into that decision. 21 First of all, by that time, approximately 30 hours 22 or so had elapsed since we had first been notified of the 23 incident, and we were becoming increasingly concerned about the reliability of various sources of information which would 24 provide a factual basis for us carrying out our responsibilities 25 1759 180

2

Contractory stat

1 and making whatever decisions were necessary to look after the health and safety of the people of the area, and part of our 2 concern was what kind of atmosphere existed at the site. 3 Were we being given information by people who were in command 4 of the situation? Was there any degree of panic or 5 irrationality at the site among employees and others who were 6 there, and it seemed to me that the Lieutenant-Governor who 7 had been actively supervising most of the state activities 8 during the first day or so was well-qualified to go and give 9 me a reading as to precisely what the atmosphere was there. 10

Secondly, I think there was a representational aspect to it, that is to say in view of the uncertainty and the possibility that people might perceive the situation to be other than it was on either the up side or the down side that the Lieutenant-Governor's presence there would indicate that at least there was not any concern about imminent catastrophe.

In both those considerations I think the visit served its purpose. The Lieutenant-Governor was able to report to me that there was an air of calm, although concern at the site, and his presence there, I think, did give some confidence to the people who were apprised of it that there was not any threat of imminent catastrophic event.

MR. HARVEY: Following the Lieutenant-Governor's
visit to the site, you held a press conference that afternoon

Nelson today

1759 181

on Thursday and reported to the people of Central Pennsylvania 1 your sense of what the conditions were at the site. 2 3 Could you give us an indication of the kind of attitude you tried to convey at that press conference? 4 5 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: From the outset we had tried to acquaint the public through the news media with whatever 6 we had in the way of firm fact with regard to the conditions 7 8 at the site. 7 The Lieutenant-Governor had had three separate press conferences on Wednesday, March 28, and on Thursday 10 there had been a press conference which was held ultimately 11 at 5:15 in the afternoon, following his visit. 12 13 The purpose of the afternoon press conference on Thursday, March 29, was to indicate the results of his visit 14 in the manner which I previously described, and at that time 15 we, also, were available with officials of the NRC Regional 16 Office and with people from our Department of Environmental 17 Resources and Department of Health to answer questions as best 18 we could on the basis of the information that we had that came 10 from the press in general. 20 21 MR. HARVEY: At that press conference one of the representatives of the NRC reported that the danger to the 22 people off site was over. 23

24 Can you describe your reaction to that statement 25 at the press conference?

PROCEED!

Reporting C

9.4403

1759 182

1 GOVERNOR THORNEURGH: My reaction to that statement 2 really has to be looked at in the context of our constant 3 desire to get a reliable reading on the facts out of the 4 variety of sources that were available to us, and throughout 5 Wednesday and Thursday we had been kind of testing the 6 reliability of sources of information we had from the utility, 7 from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regional Office, from 8 our own people, because I don't think I can adequately 9 convey the frustration that we felt in trying to separate 10 fact from fiction and acquaint the news media and the general 11 public with the facts, because that we perceived to be one 12 of the prime responsibilities that we had in our office.

13 The statement made toward the end of the press 14 conference by one of the representatives of the NRC that the 15 danger at the site was, or off-site danger was over jarred 16 me a little bit, and I later found it really kind of concerned 17 others because we simply did not have that kind of a factual 18 basis for that conclusion, and I think that that really began 19 the unraveling of the situation that took place on Thursday 20 night, March 29, and culminated in the events of Friday, 21 March 30.

We began to lose a little bit of our confidence in the information that we were getting and the characterizations that were being placed on the situation, and really caused guite a bit of concern to those who were with me and advising 1759 183

Cin

Rep

A

2 MR. HARVEY: What happened on Thursday night that 3 caused you to lose confidence?

1

NOC

2

Rep

**NOW** 

me.

6

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: The next thing after this characterization of the problem being, off-site problem being over, we heard that there had been a discharge from the facility on Thursday afternoon of water which we had not been apprised of previously, and furthermore that there was a plant discharge to take place later that night which we were told required our approval.

Thereafter in the course of the evening, an official 11 of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regional Office called 12 Paul Critchlow, my press secretary, and stated that he shared 13 the misgivings about the rather optimistic view that had been 14 expressed at the tail end of the press conference and that 15 there really was a cause for concern about the status of the 16 reactor facility, in particular that there was considerable 17 concern about possible fuel damage and that the optimism that 18 was exuded by his colleague at the press conference was 19 perhaps a little bit overstated. 20

21 We felt that this kind of confirmed the general 22 uneasiness we had about the reliability of the information 23 we were getting at that time, and I went to bed that night 24 fairly troubled about where we were going to look to determine 25 precisely what was the situation at the reactor. 2759 184

1 MR. HARVEY: Could you describe what happened on 2 Friday morning after the events of Thursday? 3 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: On Friday morning I was 4 up early because I had an interview at one of the television 5 stations during which I think I betrayed the fact that I was troubled about the reliability of our information sources. 6 7 When I got to the office I was apprised immediately 8 that the facility had reported an unplanned emission from 9 the site, and at about 9:06 a.m., referring to my rough 10 draft of the chronology of events, I learned from the 11 Lieutenant-Governor that a Mr. Harold Collins of the Nuclear 12 Regulatory Commission was recommending that we undertake an 13 evacuation.

I did not know Mr. Collins. I never met him or heard of him, and I asked the Lieutenant-Governor to determine who Mr. Collins was and what his authority was for making such a recommendation. I, also, asked Paul Critchlow, my press secretary to confer with his counterpart who was in his office to determine who Mr. Collins was, whether this recommendation was in order.

I then talked to Colonel O. K. Henderson, the Director of our Emergency Management Agency to ask him what his appraisal was of this reported advice to evacuate, and by 10 o'clock, within the hour, I was sufficiently uncertain about the genesis of this recommendation that I placed a call 1759 185

3

Kep

N.

1 to Chairman Hendry of the NRC because by that time we felt 2 that our reliable sources of information had just about dried 3 up, and we had better talk to the Chairman about precisely 4 what the situation was. 5 I placed that call to him around 10 o'clock, and 6 spoke with him with regard to the need for evacuation, to 7 verify whether or not Mr. Collins was authorized to recommend 8 evacuation and whether or not, in fact, this recommendation, 9 if it had been made was based on sufficient information to 10 justify it. 11 MR. HARVEY: Could you describe the resolution of 12 the issues you intended to raise with the Commissioner with 13 that telephone call? 14 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: The first resolution was that 15 we agreed or he advised that evacuation was not necessary. 16 He did recommend to us on the basis of conversations in the group that he was with that we recommend for people 17 to stay inside until further notice. 18 19 I had mentioned to him on the basis of concerns that had been expressed previously during the preceding 20 21 day whether there was any particular concern that we should be expressing with regard to pregnant women and pre-school 22 children in the area in view of the release that had taken 23 24 place the day before. 2 25 All of this, I believe, is -- it was on a speaker

CONNECTION

3

Rug

8

1759

<sup>1</sup> phone, and I think it is in the transcripts that have been <sup>2</sup> made available by the NRC.

It was a brief conversation. I was satisfied that we had, from the Chairman, received assurances that whatever recommendation made by Mr. Collins was either unnecessary or had been obviated by further re-examination of the situation, and we resolved to keep in touch so that we had a reliable source.

9 During that conversation I expressed my concern 10 to Mr. Hendry that we had been plaqued by a variety of sources 11 for information which seemed to be eroding so far as their 12 respective credibilities went, and indicated that I would 13 like to have some kind of central source for information 14 that was necessary for us to utilize in making decisions 15 about any planned evacuation, if it were necessary or other 16 precautionary measures that we had to give to the people of 17 Pennsylvania.

I think I said to him, "I am looking for one good man that I can rely upon to give us information that we could use in coming to decisions.

21 MR. HARVEY: As you hung up the phone from talking 22 with Chairman Hendry, did you feel that the NRC had any 23 better information or more control over the situation than 24 you did?

3

Rep

2 25

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I was not terribly assured. 1759 187

	10
1	I got a sense of the Chairman's frustration in our
2	conversation as well, although it was not expressed directly
3	to me.
4	I think that my impression was that they were just
5	as concerned as I was about the reliability of information
6	coming from the site.
7	MR. HARVEY: I take it that after your conversation
8	wity Chairman Hendry the President called. Can you tell us
9	who initiated that call?
10	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: The call was initiated by
11	President Carter, and according to our records was received
12	about 11:15.
13	MR. HARVEY: Could you describe what took place
14	during that conversation?
15	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: The first thing the President
16	said was that he agreed that our decision to undertake no
17	massive evacuation at the time was correct. He said that he
18	thought we were doing the right thing. He had been tlearly
19	in touch with people at the NRC, and with Chairman Cendry.
20	He stated that our communications problems had been brought
21	to his attention, the difficulty in overloading of the
22	regular telephone lines and our inability often to get through
23	to the site and to other people upon whom we had to rely for
24	information and stated that the Signal Corps would establish
25	an appropriate communications systems between the White House,
	2018년 - 2019년 1월 1997년 1월 1997

1759 188

BOWER Reporting Company

.

1 the Three Mile Island facility and my office. 2 He stated that Jessica Tuckman Matthews of his 3 staff had been assigned to represent him on TMI matters and finally that he was dispatching Mr. Harold Denton of the 4 5 Nuclear Regulatory Commission as his personal representative to the site to meet my prescription of a reliable source 6 for us to look to. 7 8 The conversation was not particularly lengthy. I stated to the President that I appreciated his interest and 9 the fact that he had met some of the needs that I had stated 10 both to him and to Chairman Hendry. 11 MR. HARVEY: I understand that after the President 12 called, Chairman Hendry called again. 13 14 Could you describe that conversation? 15

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: He called me about one-half hour later, again, according to my records, indicated 11:40 a.m., that we again raised, as we did constantly, with any source of information that we had, really, two questions that we were concerned about, one, what is the worst case foreseeable at that particular time, and what kind of lead time would we have with regard to that eventuality?

Those questions were important to us because we had to know from those who were technologically equipped to assess the situation precisely what we had to look for in the way of consequences of this accident, and by Friday morning, I 1759 189

<sup>1</sup> don't think we still had a good fix on that, but more <sup>2</sup> importantly, we had to know how much time we had to activate <sup>3</sup> our Civil Defense people with regard to any action that they <sup>4</sup> had to undertake.

5 In that regard I raised again the question of 6 evacuation because it had been planted in our minds rather 7 firmly by Mr. Collins' recommendations earlier in the day, and 8 after a rundown on the technology, the diagnosis of the 9 present situation at the site, the Chairman stated that his 10 recommendation at that time was that pregnant women and 11 pre-school children should be advised to leave the area.

I, at that time, had had similar advice from Dr. Gordon McCloud, the Secretary of Health, based on his conversations with other health experts, and we determined as a result of that phone call that we would recommend that pregnant women and pre-school children within the five-mile radius surrounding the site leave the area until further notice.

MR. HARVEY: And that advisory was made at a press conference subsequent to the phone call?

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: That is right. The press conference according to my records was held about 12:30. The schools within that area were, also, recommended to be closed for logistical reasons, our thinking being that most of those who -- or there was a high likelihood that those with 1759 190

<sup>1</sup> pre-school children would have children in school as well, <sup>2</sup> and rather than disrupt the whole schooling process by <sup>3</sup> deciding which was supposed to be there and which wasn't, we <sup>4</sup> recommended that those schools within that five-mile area <sup>5</sup> be closed.

MR. HARVEY: Finally, Governor, I understand that on Friday the members of your staff talked with members of the White House staff concerning a declaration of emergency and whether or not such a declaration should be made.

10 Could you describe the considerations that went into 11 not declaring such a state of emergency?

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Yes, following the President's conversation with me, there were a number of conversations made between, held between persons at the White House and their counterparts on my staff.

My Executive Assistant, Jay Waldman spoke with
Jack Watson and Gene Eidenberg during the day. I think,
according to my records he advised me of a conversation that
was held at 4:30 on Friday afternoon respecting the
declaration of an emergency.

21Obviously we knew that a formal declaration of22emergency under normal conditions would trigger a variety23of responses from the Federal Government that might not be24available in the absence of such a declaration, but the upshot25of the conversation between Mr. Waldman and I believe Jack1759191

Rep

1 Watson was that we would be assured in Pennsylvania of all 2 available federal assistance, both during the incident and 3 in the aftermath that we would get with a declaration of 4 emergency and that the declaration of emergency at that 5 particular time, being Friday afternoon March 30, might have 6 a tendency to escalate the concern of the populace over what 7 it should be based on the facts as we had them and become 8 kind of a self-fulfilling prophecy.

14

192

1759

9 We were constantly concerned about the semantics of 10 the event. A declaration of emergency, while it would be done 11 only to trigger certain responses in terms of aid from the 12 Federal Government would not necessarily be perceived in that 13 way by the public, and we were extremely sensitive to that.

We had a siren go off by mistake in the morning, for example, which caused all kinds of concern and there had been false reports of evacuation orders and the like so that we decided not to seek a declaration of emergency, based on the assurance that we would lose nothing in the way of logistical support or aid that would be forthcoming in the event the declaration were in.

21MR. HARVEY: Thank you, Governor.22I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.23CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Governor Thornburgh, let me preface24my first question by saying that university presidents very25often have to make decisions on subjects that they know

<sup>1</sup> absolutely nothing about. I know you find yourself in a <sup>2</sup> totally unprecedented situation. I would like very much to <sup>3</sup> know what kind of decision-making process did you go through <sup>4</sup> in a situation where you had very confusing and bad informa-<sup>5</sup> tion and where I believe you and your immediate aids were not <sup>6</sup> experts at all on the subject?

7 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I think my training is as 8 an engineer and as a lawyer, Mr. Chairman, and both of those 9 callings I think promote a respect, a very high respect for 10 the integrity of the facts with which you must deal, and 11 from the very first notification that I received of an 12 accident at the Three Mile Island site until we were able to report that at least the prospects for something catastrophic 13 14 had passed, my instincts and those of my staff were to 15 constantly probe, re-examine, cross examine sources of 16 information so as to get the best approximation of the facts that were available. 17

I did a lot of that myself. My immediate staff, my Executive Assistant Jay Waldman and my Press Secretary Paul Critchlow, members of our administration charged with responsibilities in this area, Lieutenant-Governor William Scranton, my Secretary of Budget and Administration Robert Wilburn, all of them were constantly admonished by me to search out the facts of particular situations.

Rept

25

We would frequently review those facts and out of

1759 193

that would come additional questions to be asked.

1

(IDCH)

NU CON

dax

100

Perhaps the most difficult and frustrating aspect of this type of event, and what I would suggest would be the most important need to be met would be a diagnostic capability from the technical side and the ability to communicate that to those of us who were not well-versed in the technology of something as complex as a nuclear reactor.

9 Neither of those were present during the first 10 43 hours following the event, and accordingly, we were left 11 to our own devices to try to diagnose as best we could what 12 the consequences of these indefinite events were, keeping 13 in mind that our sole responsibility or prime responsibility 14 was for the health and safety of the residents of the area 15 and the integrity of the environment.

16 CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Responding to the suggestion 17 you have just made of your major problem, what kind of 18 corrective action would you suggest if any governor is ever 19 faced with such a situation again? Where would you like to 20 see this diagnostic capability coming from and how should 21 it be organized?

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I think the first improvement that I would like to see in the capability of all concerned to respond to an event like this, if it happens again, is within the utility itself at the site. One person after

16

another within the NRC characterized to me the so-called
 "thinness" of the technological capability of Metropolitan
 Edison at the Three Mile Island site.

4 That manifested itself to me in this inability to
5 diagnose what precisely had gone wrong on the morning of
6 March 28.

7 Accordingly, I think that while that tells us something about the utility, it also tells us something 8 about the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's licensing standards, 9 and I feel that it would be advantageous to not only upgrade 10 the training of those people in charge of operating the 11 reactor and ensure their capability of response in a crisis, 12 but to require certain standards to be established for 13 management people as well, so that there was an instantaneous 14 recognition or capability for instantaneous recognition 15 of the nature of the problem on the part of those people 16 on site and those to whom they were responsible within the 17 hierarchy of the utility. 18

Secondly, I think the response capability of the NRC itself, while I was very glad to see Harold Denton arrive on Friday, March 30, I would have been immensely more happy to see him there on Wednesday, March 23, and that interim of uncertainty about to whom we could look within the NRC for reliable information was a handicap as well. In other Words, either through the facility of having a resident 1759 195

NIN

Nepo

inspector at the site or a much quicker response capability from Washington or Bethesda, the NRC's presence at the site of any kind of accident of this nature ought to be ensured in a much quicker time, and thirdly, obviously the very mechanics of communication ought to be improved at each of the sites of like facilities around the country. The Signal Corps' response by Friday was excellent. Thereafter we had the kinds of communications we needed, but the communications capability from a technical point of view was not up to the standards that I would think would be necessary during the previous two days. 1759 196

Reporting Company

BOWKIS

.ape 4 8/21 ism 1

CONVOOR

Deni tocing

101

86

CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Governor, were you satisfied with the NRC as a source of information after Friday?

19

3 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Yes, I was. I was very 4 pleased that Mr. Denton was there. The President assured me 5 he was the best man available, and I have no reason to, in 6 retrospect, dispute that characterization. He arrived in the 7 afternoon of March 30 and reported to me within a couple of 8 hours that he had established four separate study groups to 9 carry out this diagnosis of the problem, and we developed a 10 feeling of confidence about Mr. Denton and thereafter, I think, 11 were able to work closely with him in not only being better 12 apprised of the technological situation at the site but being 13 able to rely upon him for the explanations to the public and 14 to the press that were required to keep them informed as to matters that were clearly beyond my reach, and we were very 15 16 grateful to have him there.

17 CHAIRMAN KEMENY: The reason I asked that last ques-18 tion is that we have had testimony at the previous public 19 hearing that on Saturday and Sunday morning there was a major 20 dispute within the ranks of the NRC representatives and, 21 indeed, the NRC came very close to recommending to you a major 22 evacuation based on what we now know to be totally false 23 scientific analysis of the situation.

Were you exposed to that confusion, and if so, how did you respond to it? 1759 197 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I didn't need expose to any more confusion, and I am grateful that I didn't know of what was going on.

I think Harold Denton said it about as well as anyone could when he left, finally, a grateful Pennsylvania, and while he is well able to speak for himself, I think, if I might quote to you what he said when he did leave, that it might be useful in that regard. It was to the effect, and I am quoting him: "I guess I've learned that emergencies can only be managed by people at the site; they can't be managed back at Washington."

11 I think there was an awful lot of managing that was 12 going on out of Washington and Bethesda, certainly on Friday 13 and Saturday, but I suspect previously and subsequent thereto 14 as well, that I can't fault because the responsibility lay with 15 those individuals, but I can't help but echo Mr. Denton's 16 sentiments that that kind of management from afar is a poor 17 substitute for bringing together the technological know-how with the factual assessment that can only be made at the site. 18

Mr. Denton, I am well aware, was -- now, and I did not know this at the time -- but concurred in the recommendation, apparently, that was made to us on Friday morning by Mr. Collins that there be an evacuation, a recommendation which he very quickly, upon arriving at the site, acknowledged to have been in error and which, by now, I think the general consensus is was an erroneous recommendation.

(Internet

X and

đ

1759 198

1 CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Perhaps what you have just said is 2 the natural lead-in for my final question. You said that you 3 cannot manage an emergency from Washington, quoting Mr. 4 Denton. How about when you do not have an emergency situation? 5 You must have given considerable thought since the accident to what the role of the state should be in general in nuclear 6 7 power, in licensing, in inspection, or whatever way, and I 8 would very much appreciate having your thoughts on what you feel the state role should be in nuclear power. 9

21

10 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Well, I think, clearly, that the people of my state at the present feel that, there must be 11 :2 a much broader constituency consulted about the siting of nuclear facilities than has been the case in the past, and I 13 must say I agree with that view. I think that hereafter, if 14 there are to be additional reactor sites built in this nation, 15 that the NRC or other appropriate agencies -- because this is 16 a field where the federal government has preempted the field --17 is going to have to be extremely attentive to the concerns of 18 19 state and local officials and the public at large about those decisions, and I think, with regard to our own situation. I 20 21 have expressed and other residents of central Pennsylvania have expressed from time to time misgivings about proposed 22 actions of the NRC with regard to reopening Unit 1 or cleaning 23 up the contamination in Unit 2. 24

In short, it seems to me this is no longer a field 1759 199

.

3

reporting Comp

UUN YC



22 which can be left to the experts from Washington from the 1 Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and that all of us in government 2 generally would be better advised to carry out a more deliberate 3 process of seeking citizen input and, at the same time, recog-4 5 nizing that there is a real opportunity to educate people about the potential dangers of nuclear energy and what steps 6 7 have been taken to obviate the recurrence of events such as 8 this. 9 So I do think that with regard to siting, there is a need to expand the decisionmaking process to include states 10 11 and parhaps local agencies as well. 12 Secondly, with regard to --13 COMMISSIONER MCPHERSON: Could I interrupt you there? 14 Do you mean give the states a veto? GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I am not sure that that, at 15 this time, is necessary, Commissioner. Clearly, now there is 16 17 an opportunity for states to appear in a legal capacity before any licensing proceeding. Whether it is necessary to go to 18 the other extreme and provide an absolute veto, I am not pre-19 pared to say at this moment. I think there must be some 20 mechanism established to expand the number of persons who have 21 22 input into the siting process, clearly. Secondly, I think, with regard to inspection of these 23 facilities, that the states, through their appropriate agencies, 24 must have the right to visit, inspect, and examine the sites 25

4

(and

5

1 from our point of view. That again is somewhat, I think, a 2 fail/safe or belt-and-suspenders type of operation, recognizing 3 that the NRC has responsibilities of inspection; nonetheless, 4 the states are closer in and more immediately concerned about 5 the facilities, and I think that it would be an incentive to 6 each of us as states to do what we have done in Pennsylvania, and that is undertake, obviously in the aftermath of this 7 8 event, a beefing up of our own capability to monitor and 9 inspect these sites.

I think those two areas, one, the initial decision with regard to siting, and secondly, the ongoing responsibility or authority to inspect the sites, are areas that I would recommend be given real consideration by the Commission.

14 The third one is an internal one of ours, as I mentioned, of developing our own capability to deal with these 15 16 events in terms of having monitoring equipment facilities, 17 trained personnel at the state level. I think most states are 18 probably like us, that in the absence of having had anything 19 to deal with like Three Mile Island, their cupboard was reasonably bare when it came to expertise to deal with this kind of 20 a situation. 21

CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Governor Peterson?
COMMISSIONER PETERSON: Governor Thornburgh, I would
like to ask some questions relative to the concept of safety
first. You pointed out that you would have been happier if

24 Harold Denton had shown up on March 28 instead of March 30. 1 2 The President dispatched Harold Denton as a reliable source of 3 information, and as you pointed out a few minutes ago, Harold Denton was the senior official present in the meeting in Beth-4 5 esda at the time the recommendation was made to ask you people ó in Pennsylvania to evacuate the immediate area. As I under-7 stand it, he was the person who asked Mr. Collins to call Pennsylvania, and that your person, Colonel Henderson, in 8 charge of emergency preparedness in Pennsylvania, recommended 9 also that the area be evacuated, and yet the decision was made 10 not to make that recommendation. 11 In hindsight, with many days to think about it, 12 Harold Denton and others say that that recommendation was not 13 sound, and from what we learned here, the scientific basis for 14 it did not exist. But you can't wait for that kind of analysis 15 in time of emergency. Obviously, you have to act promptly if 16 17 a real tragedy is in the making. 18 So wouldn't it have been appropriate, as a safety first measure, to evacuate when there was any uncertainty of 19 a tragedy occurring? And my question is, what were you trying 20 to protect the people from by not ordering an evacuation? 21 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I think that is a very fair 22 question, and I think the best way I can answer it is to 23 examine the events of Friday morning and a continuum of events 24 25 that began when I was first notified of this accident at

1759 202

6

a Reportin

1 7:50 a. m. on Wednesday, March 28.

7

(in)

1759 204

The thought of evacuation crossed my mind immediately at that time, and it was never out of my mind for the next 10 days, because that was the obvious most compelling response to a radiation expansion throughout the area.

There are known risks, I was told, in an evacuation.
The movement of elderly persons, people in intensive care units,
babies in incubators, the simple traffic on the highway that
results from even the best of an orderly evacuation, are going
to exert a toll in lives and in injuries.

Moreover, this type of evacuation had never been carried out before on the face of this earth, and it is an evacuation that was quite different in kind and quality than one undertaken in time of flood or hurricane or tornado or what have you -- you can always look out and say, Well, the river is rising; it's coming up to 10 feet, and when it gets to 20 feet, we will have to move these folks and those folks.

18 When you talk about evacuating people within a five mile radius of the site of a nuclear reactor, you must recog-19 nize that that will have ten-mile consequences, 20-mile conse-20 quences, hundred-mile consequences, as we heard during the 21 22 course of this event. That is to say, it is an event that people are not able to see, to hear, to taste, to smell. They 23 cannot say that the radiation cloud is now five miles out from 24 the facility because it cannot be that carefully established, 25

1759 203

1	26
1	and differing in that way from any kind of natural disaster
2	that we have had to deal with in the past in our experience.
3	All of that, it seems to me, militates in favor of
4	being sure that there is in fact a need for evacuation before
5	evacuation is undertaken. It would have been very easy, and
6	perhaps prudent "safety first," in your terminology for
7	us to order an evacuation immediately at 7:50 a. m. on Wednes-
8	day, March 28. In retrospect, that would have been unnecessary
9	It might have taken the toll that I outlined in terms of lives
10	and injury, but it would have been defensible at the time.
11	The reason why we did not undertake immediately an
12	evacuation on the recommendation of a Mr. Harold Collins, whom,
13	to this day, I have never met, was in furtherance of the type
14	of process that I described we went through constantly in this
15	crisis. That is to test our facts.
16	I had never heard of Mr. Collins. I didn't know who
	he was, what his position was within the NRC, and it seemed to
18	me prudent to spend a half an hour trying to verify who he was
19	and whether or not he was authorized to make the recommendation
20	and whether his recommendation had any basis in fact.
21	Ultimately, when I did reach his boss, the Chairman
22	of the Commission, it turned out that the recommendation was
23	not one that had the approval of the Commission, or at least
24	it was so expressed to me.
	One thing I think it is important to note, I did not
25	one ching I chink It Is important to note, I did not

8

towers Reporting Company

1759 203

ask nor receive from Colonel Henderson a recommendation to
evacuate independent of the recommendation made by Mr. Collins.
I called Mr. Henderson, Colonel Henderson, to verify who Mr.
Collins was and whether he was in a position to make this
recommendation, and Colonel Henderson repeated that he, too,
had had the recommendation passed on to him from the site,
from the Met. Ed. people and from the offices of Mr. Collins.

27

8 But I think that process served us well in avoiding 9 the need for an evacuation with respect to which there were known and unknown risks, and I am satisfied that a little care 10 11 taken in examining the source of facts and in determining the authority of the individuals who are making these recommenda-12 tions so that they can be checked out and verified against 13 other sources of that type of recommendation which I relied on 14 15 was worthwhile.

16 COMMISSIONER PETERSON: Governor, I was wondering 17 if, today, you would recommend to other governors around the 18 country that if a somewhat similar incident occurred at a 19 plant in their state and they got recommendations from the 20 plant site and from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and from 21 the head of their emergency preparedness, that they shouldn't 22 immediately order evacuation.

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I think I would recommend that if the steps that I have recommended here this morning had been taken. That is to say that there has been identified a person 1759 205

28 upon whom I can rely, to whom I am to look. Frankly, Governor, 1 2 if Harold Denton and not Harold Collins had given me that recommendation and it had come on Friday afternoon and not Friday 3 morning, it would have taken me much less time to verify and 4 5 act accordingly. 6 But if I were to have gotten a call from some --7 suppose, as happens during these types of events, a hoax were

8 carried out. An individual calls me and says, This is such 9 and such from the NRC; you've got to evacuate within 10 miles. 10 I think it would be absolutely insane for me to act on that 11 kind of recommendation.

In effect, that is somewhat like the recommendation I got on Friday morning, because I knew -- never heard of Harold Collins. I didn't know whether he worked for the NRC, and I think spending half an hour being prudent and finding out who he was and whether his recommendation was in order was a good investment of time.

18 COMMISSIONER PETERSON: I respect that very much. I 19 think it was certainly in order to find out who Harold Collins 20 was. But in hindsight again, we now know that Harold Collins 21 was calling as a result of a decision of a meeting where the 22 leading person there was Harold Denton and key people involved 23 with licensing and regulations, Victor Stello and Roger Mattson, 24 Paul Collins, were all there in that meeting when the decision 25 was made to ask Mr. Collins to call Pennsylvania to recommend 27 19 206

10

(URX)

Con

ŝ

	29
1	an evacuation; in fact, people who, in my judgment, were much
2	more qualified to make that recommendation than the Chairman
3	of the Commission.
4	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: They were all there and they
5	were all wrong.
6	COMMISSIONER PETERSON: Yes, in hindsight, yes.
7	CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Professor Taylor?
8	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I would like to explore what
9	kind of information, from what sources, would have triggered
10	a response from you to evacuate. Now, let me put that in con-
11	text. As I understand it, you were concerned about two differ-
12	ent situations: first of all, what had actually been released,
13	what people were being exposed to, what the hazard of that was,
14	
15	and second, what might happen if something worse developed
	that had to do with the power plant.
16	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So my first question is,
18	between these two causes for concern, which concerned you the
19	most, what had actually been released or what might be released?
20	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't know that I ever made
21	that kind of differentiation. As I said, my concern of the
22	technical people was what is the worst thing that can happen,
23	based on the facts as you know them now, and how much time
24	would we have to respond in the event that did happen?
25	We had the menu kept growing of concerns, sometimes

1759 207

11

Bowers Reporting Conycary

+759 208

1	30
1	with bogus additions of things that, as it turned out, really
2	were not of tremendous concern. I am not a technical person.
3	I never attempted to masquerade as someone who could make the
4	kinds of technical judgments, but I did know enough to deter-
5	mine that if there was going to be an explosion or a meltdown,
6	that we had better be concerned about moving some people, and
7	consequently, our concern about these types of catastrophic
8	events was raised almost hourly as conditions changed and the
9	ability to diagnose the status of the site improved.
10	With regard to the cumulative potential doses of
1	radiation, the same kinds of concerns were expressed there.
2	The decision to recommend to pregnant women and preschool
3	children that they leave the area on Friday, March 30, was
4	based on advice given to me by health experts after learning
5	of the potential for cumulative doses that would particularly
6	impact on this category of persons, that it was no longer safe
7	for them to remain there.
8	But it is rather hard to look and say, well, what
9	would have made you do something, because the variety of things
20	that could have happened is almost infinite.
21	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. Now, I would like to get
22	some idea of the extent to which you had in mind or people
23	that you had confidence in on your staff or in NRC, including
24	Harold Denton, to what extent was there any discussion of a
25	level of radioactive radiation intensities at which you would

1759 208

12

Bowers Reputing Company

sort of automatically decide to evacuate. Was there discussion of what, under what conditions of direct measurement of radiation levels you would then decide to evacuate?

31

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: There was considerable discus-4 sion by Dr. Gordon MacLeod, our Secretary of Health, in con-5 6 jection with Dr. Neal Wald of the University of Pittsburgh, officials of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, 7 including the Food and Drug Administration, and others who 8 were not medical doctors but were in a position to assess the 0 level of radiation that might be foreseeable from the event 10 from time to time. 11

I am not, frankly, well versed enough in the techno-12 logy to give you the facts and figures. My question to them 13 was, what do you recommend? Should we be moving people? What 14 kinds of advisories should be forthcoming from me as the chief 15 executive of the state, based upon your expertise? And that 16 process ensued from the very beginning of our efforts to assess 17 precisely what had happened and what the consequences were. 18 And as I mentioned, the only tangible or specific action taken 19 was the recommendation with regard to pregnant women and pre-20 school children. 21

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Well, Wednesday afternoon, suppose that there had been -- suppose the radioactive releases had been much higher than they actually were. I am interested in knowing whom you would have looked to, what individual, to

1759 209

32 tell you what had happened and what the meaning of it was and 1 2 under what -- who would you have trusted to form the basis for your own decision to evacuate? Would that have been -- let me 3 ask it this way: Suppose that one of the officials of the 4 utility had told you this, that the radiation levels were now 5 dangerous. Would that have been sufficient to pretty auto-6 matically suggest the decision to evacuate? 7 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Well, I am afraid I would have 8 to put that utility official in the Harold Collins test cate-9 10 gory. It depends on who it was, what his background was, whether he was a person who could assess the need to undertake 11 an evacuation. I would take the time, frankly, to verify that 12 recommendation with appropriate health officials, whoever was 13 available. 14 One of the first things that I told the Lieutenant 15 Governor when I talked to him Wednesday morning was to marshal 16 whatever we could in the way of all governmental resources and 17 all private sector resources to enable us to make decisions 18 about things that we simply were not equipped, by way of 19

20 background, to assess the facts on. I am not a medical doctor 21 or a nuclear engineer or any one of a number of other expertises 22 that ought to be brought to bear on this kind of thing.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Now, in connection with the other possible reason to evacuate -- that is, because of the possibility of a much bigger release than had actually happened

1759 210

14

Rupor

**KOS** 

1 -- was there any discussion in your office about the statement 2 of the probability of a big release that would then cause you 3 to order an evacuation? In other words, was there any discus-4 sion of whether, if Harold Denton, for example, had said, Look, 5 there is a 10 percent chance --

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Yes.

7 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: -- or a one percent chance or 8 a 50-50 chance -- was there discussion of that number and for 9 what value of that "guesstimate" you would then decide that it 10 would be a correct decision to evacuate?

11 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Again, I would not be in a 12 position to give you the specifics of that type of discussion as far as the numbers go because I am simply not technologically 13 14 equipped to do it. But obviously, our concern after the sizable 15 release of Friday morning was whether or not there was a chance of that repeating, of our having to deal with another release 16 17 of that size during the day or thereafter, and that was a subject of intense concern on Friday and through the day until 18 Mr. Denton arrived and was able to give us his assessment of 19 20 what was acutally occurring on site.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Well, let me put it this way.
Suppose that now, or at some time in the future, it was determined, with hindsight, that Wednesday, or Thursday, or Friday,
or later, when the hydrogen bubble was identified, that the
best technical assessment of the situation on the basis of
, 1759 211

15

6

(inter

34 1 everything now known or known in the next several months, 2 would say there is a 50 percent chance of a major release of radioactive material that would have killed people if they had 3 not been evacuated -- suppose it developed that that was in 4 fact the case then, but not known to anybody then. Would you 5 then say that the decision not to evacuate was a correct 6 7 decision? GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I would count myself and the 8 people of central Pennsylvania ill served by not knowing that. 9 But if you are going to go through a whole list of "what if's" 10 and "supposes," I am just unable to cope with that. 11 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: No, I understand. But what I 12 am trying to get a sense of, you were very concerned about the 13 ambiguity of information you were getting, and so on. 14 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I don't know -- I don't believe 16 anyone knows that I have been able to identify -- what all of 17 the analysis of what happened would yield in terms of a state-18 ment now about the likelihood of a core melt of sufficient 19 magnitude to cause a release from containment. There are 20 still some open questions about the effects of a hydrogen 21 explosion of the kind that we know did take place, or at least 22 23 a hydrogen burn. Suppose it should develop -- and this is, at least 24 in my mind, a real possibility -- that things were in a much 25

.16

1000

Con

Guitoday

		35
	1	more dangerous state than was recognized then. Suppose,
	2	further, that we had a really good system of information
	3	gathering and analysis in the utility as well as a sort of
	4	checking authority in NRC so that the real experts in whom
	5	you would have confidence, whom you knew or felt confidence in
	6	through investigations by your staff. Suppose that they all
	7	said, Look, this is the situation. There has been a great deal
	8	of fuel damage. It is still going on, let's say Wednesday
	9	afternoon, which is apparently a possibility, and so you were
	10	now flooded with accurate information which we identify now
	11	or during the next several months, but you didn't have that.
	12	In other words, suppose that what I guess you were
	13	recommending
	14	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Well, if you are asking me if
	15	I would have ordered a
	16	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: had now really existed, then
	17	is there any possibility that under those conditions, the net
	18	result would have been that you would have ordered an evacua-
	19	tion and it would have turned out, for reasons having to do,
	20	perhaps, with luck, to have been unnecessary.
	21	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't think there would be
Annet	22	a possibility I would order an evacuation; there would be a
Reporting Conjugat	23	certainty I would order an evacuation under the conditions you
Reports	24	pose; that is, having reliable information from people whose
Buargas	25	authority and expertise were established. I think it would be
		1759 213

1759 214

18 1 a default on my responsibilities to act. 2 There was no reluctance on my part to act on the 3 basis of information in which I had confidence; witness the 4 recommendation to the pregnant women and preschool children, 5 that once we were satisfied that there was a possibility of a ó recurrence of the Friday morning event sufficient to express 7 concern for that particularly susceptible group of the populace, 8 we recommended that they move. 9 But I think that, in a way, caution can be reckless 10 abandon. As I mentioned, if you were to act immediately at 11 7:50 on Wednesday, March 28, to move everybody out within a 12 five, ten, 20, 50 mile area, against the possibility, however 13 dim, that some catastrophe might ensue, we would be facing 14 that every single day, and the process, particularly with the 15 safeguards that I have recommended and strongly urge upon you 16 today for the fact-gathering, diagnostic, and communications

17 process, I think will greatly enhance the ability to make those 18 decisions in a far better way than we did.

19

20

~ 1759 214

37	
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Just one final question about	01 1 MI
the conditions under which you would have felt comfortable wi	-21-79 2
your sources of information. You have indicated that as soon	ape 3
as Harold Denton had been there for some time that you felt	4
much better about your knowledge of what was actually going	5
on there.	6
GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I figured if the President of	7
the United States recommended him, he must be pretty good.	8
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Well, that is the question I	9
would like to raise. You presumably had never heard of Harol	10
Denton before	11
GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I had heard of Jimmy Carter,	12
though.	13
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Pardon me.	14
GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Yes. You had heard of Jimmy	15
Carter; however, I presume that you have been in situations	16
in which people whom you have a high confidence have recommen-	17
ded people who have turned out not to know what they were doin	18
GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Yes.	19
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: What I am getting at is this.	20
I am not trying to say anything about Harold Denton's capabil	21
ities, but I am interested in knowing whether you would advise	1 22
really that there be one person nominated by the President of	23
the United States as the sole source of information or would	23
you rather, for example, have the situation in which there	25

1759 216

.

38 were very knowledgeable people at the operator level and the 1 2 management level at the utility who are also giving you inform-3 ation, which might or might not contradict information that you had gotten from someone nominated specifically by the Pres-4 ident. 5 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: In all seriousness, I think. 6 the dispatch of Mr. Denton in the context within which my re-7 quest was made and the well known frustration of all of us 8 9 about the inability to develop reliable information gave me more 10 confidence in him than I would have if he had been dispatched out of the blue. I think that is important. 11 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Because on a relative scale, 12 among other things, relative to the situation that you had be-13 fore --14 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Absolutely. Because the 15 President knew of my concerns and Chairman Henry knew of my 16 concerns and Chairman Henry knew the people within his organi-17 zation who could do the job. But you refer to in your quest-18 ion is precisely what I would recommend and have recommended 19 to you this morning, lest I be mistaken, that the degree of 20

capability be enhanced at every level. At the operating level,

at the management level within the utility, within the NRC to

have a group of trouble shooters, if you like, who are avail-

establish an authoritative lens through which we can view this

able, ala Harold Denton, to come to a site immediately and

Reporting Company

12.000

21

22

23

24

25

1759: 245

DO2

	39
1	situation rather than being beset from all sides by conflict-
2	ing stories cutting down the conflict.
3	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Now, if that happened, would
4	you then use your staff to some extent the same way that you
5	did at Three Mile Island; that is, to pool these different
	sources and not just act on what you were told by one person?
7	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Absolutely.
114	
8	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you very much
9	CHAIRMAN KEMENY: There are five commissioners
10	waiting to question. Dr. Marks was first.
11	COMMISSIONER MARKS: Governor Thornburgh, I would
12	like to turn for a minute to the issues of safety and preven-
13	tion during what might be called normal operations of the nu-
14	clear reactor plants. You do have that situation in the State
15	of Pennsylvania and also in the context of the fact that an
16	apparatus that is in existence, presumably oriented toward
17	issues of health and safety of both the workers and the public,
18	can better deal with this emergency situation. And I wonder
19	whether you could tell us what you have been able to do with re-
20	spect to the issues of ongoing monitoring of public health and
21	safety issues and, if appropriate, worker health and safety
22	issues in the nuclear plants in the State of Pennsylvania since
22 23 23 24	the accident.
24	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Most of the review process and

25 assessment of the aftermath of the Three Mile Island accident

1759 217

DO3

DO4

40 1 has been carried out by a commission appointed by me and headed by the Lieutenant Governor, containing within its membership 2 appropriate cabinet level members and other experts from the 3 private sector and from the governmental sector, with just 4 that charge. I think there has been a lot of comment about 5 proliferation of commissions and examining bodies, but in this 4 instance ours is directed at two kinds of efforts. One, the 7 kind of in-house review that clearly is necessary in dealing 8 with response to an unprecendented event and, secondly, an 9 assessment of the economic, health, environmental, psychologi-10 cal, what have you consequences of the event. That group is 11 at its task presently and I think it would be somewhat prema-12 ture for me to anticipate what they may well recommend. But 13 their responsibility and their charge is to accomplish precise-14 ly what you suggested. 15

COMMISSIONER MARKS: Well, we heard testimony from 16 17 Dr. MacLeod, for example, that the Department of Health has still no capability of dealing with issues related to radia-18 tion hazards, radiological safety, with respect to nuclear 19 plant operations in the state. And, I guess specifically, I 20 wonder if you have been able to formulate any sense or priori-21 ties with regard to state commitments to this effort. 22

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: The process for establishing those priorities and making recommendations is the commission which the Lieutenant Governor chairs and I will look with 25

Com

**Bullioctall** 

đ

23

24

anticipation to what they recommend. Dr. MacLeod is a member 1 of the commission. He has stated guite forcefully his views 2 with respect to the condition of the department he found when 3 he took it over earlier this year. There are shortcomings. 4 There are shortcomings, I will warrant, in almost every aspect 5 of our ability to respond to this kind of an event. But our 6 feeling is that we can address those within the context of the 7 findings of this commission with regard to what happened and 8 within the confines of what the Scranton committee is looking 9 at within looking at what our response was, where the inade-10 quacies were and where we can shore it up. 11

D05

Repu

1 25

123

COMMISSIONER MARKS: Do you have any sense of a de-12 ficiency with regard to information transfer to health profes-13 sionals or the public residing in the area of Three Mile Island 14 right now. The consequences of the accident are obviously 15 still with us. The clean up is still going on. In this re-16 gard we heard testimony again that the Department of Health 17 has no capability of providing any, if you will, support ser-18 vices for the ongoing events. 19

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: If that was Dr. MacLeod's testimony, I am in no position to contradict it. I think his judgment on those matters will be incorporated into whatever changes are made within the Department of Health to be more responsive in this regard.

COMMISSIONER MARKS: Have you had any requests coming

D06

18

19

to you at this juncture for either budgets or personnel to 1 deal with health and safety issues surrounding Three Mile Is-2 land right now? 3 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Not specifically. I think we

4 have been discussing with Dr. MacLeod his recommendations in 5 many respects for reorganization of a health department, that 6 leaves much to be desired. And I will look to him for guid-7 ance in that respect. Specifically, at the moment, I think 8 that what we want to weigh is the complete assessment, the re-9 sponse capability within state government from Governor Scran-10 ton's group. 11

COMMISSIONER MARKS: This, in your view, includes 12 not only a response to emergency, but ongoing surveillance of 13 the issues related to public health and safety for those re-14 actors that will be operating in the state? 15

GOVERNOR THORNBURG: That was part of the specific 16 charge given to the Scranton Commission when it was established. 17 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Thank you, Governor.

CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Professor Lewis.

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Governor, I would like to just 20 go back to a statement that you made about a call that you 21 had from the President on Friday, in which he said that your Sec. 22 Com decision for no massive evacuation was correct. What support-23 3 Repu ing evidence did the President indicate that he had on Friday 24 CON SEL morning that that decision was correct? 25

1759 220

	43
1	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't know. He did not in-
2	dicate to me it was obvious that he had talked with Chair-
3	man Henry, but I did not cross examine him.
4	COMMISSIONER LEWIS: The reason I am asking that is
5	I am curious. This was just shortly after Harold Collins and
6	a group that included Denton and Mattson and so forth was say-
7	ing that there was a danger there at Three Mile Island. How
8	do you account for the discrepancy between that soothing phrase
9	from the White House and what you were getting from NRC in
10	Washington?
11	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I wasn't getting it from NRC.
12	I had no idea that Messrs. Denton, Mattson, whomever, were
13	recommending evacuation. I didn't find that out until well
14	after the event when the transcripts were first made public.
15	COMMISSIONER LEWIS: I see.
16	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: All I knew was that someone
17	whom I had never heard of, named Harold Collins, was recommen-
18	ding an evacuation. Within half an hour, I was able to verify
19	that that wasn't really expressing the view of the chairman of
20	the commission and accordingly I discarded it. There was no
21	controversy or discrepancy, to my mind.
	COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Okay. You had heard from
22	Harold Collins that there was a danger and an evacuation.
24	should be
25	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I never talked to Mr. Collins.
273	1759 221

D07

1 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: You had gotten that information 2 from Mr. Collins. Then you had a call from the White House 3 saying the decision not to evacuate was correct. I am just 4 trying to find out what was the thinking. Were there, in your 5 view, considerations about the future of nuclear power and what this whole thing might do to the industry at that point? 6 7 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I had only one consideration in 8 my mind; the health and the safety of the people of central 9 Pennsylvania. It was a very tense time and there was very 10 little time to think about the future of nuclear power at that 11 time. COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Well, with all due respect to 12 the President, he has been trained in nuclear power in Navy 13 14 submarines, but he is not an expert himself and I am, again, trying to evaluate your decision to follow his advice in terms 15 of not pursuing the evacuation. 16 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: He didn't give me any advice. 17 I didn't ask him for any advice. He knew the decision that we 18 had made and he expressed general agreement. This was not an 19 extended colloquy. It was really a very quick conversation 20 because things were happening rather thick and fast. 21 Any I COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Did you have any discussions 22 CONTRACTOR with him or anyone else from the White House about the possible 23 3 political fallout from this incident in terms of the future 24 of nuclear power? 25 1759 222

D08

D09

1

2

3

4

Nupse

1159 224

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: No.

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: So, that never came up as a consideration in not ordering an evacuation?

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Absolutely not.

5 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: I want to ask you some other 6 questions if I might. Could you assess the impact of the 7 Three Mile Island coverage, that is the news coverage, on your 8 ability to manage the event?

9 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: There were two events that I 10 could single out as making our task more difficult. But I 11 think, by and large, the reporting under the circumstances was 12 responsible. When I say "under the circumstances", I mean 13 that news reporters, even experienced news reporters were having the sames kinds of difficulties that I and my staff were 14 15 having in trying to pin down the facts. In fact, I, from time to time, expressed great sympathy with them in that regard 16 because we were in effect doing the same job, trying to corre-17 late sources. The two events that were somewhat troublesome 18 was, first of all, a report on Friday afternoon, relating to 19 a supposed imminence of a meltdown and a report on Saturday 20 evening relating to the supposed incidence of an explosion. 21 22 Both of those reports were distorted at best and caused a good deal of concern, not only among my staff and others at the 23 site and among the general populace, but among considerable 24 numbers of news persons who were there as well and who 25 1759 223

		46
D010	1	perceived the reports to be somewhat inaccurate. But I would
	2	say, by and large, that the reporting job done was a responsi-
	3	ble one, with the exception of those two events.
	4	COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Governor, were you aware that
	5	both of those reports came from NRC sources?
	6	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I later learned that, yes.
	7	COMMISSIONER LEWIS: So, they had been cross checked
	8	and the reporters were only indicating the confusion within
	9	the NRC.
	10	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I would only paraphrase Mr.
	11	Denton and say that, perhaps, the management of the news from
	12	Washington or Bethesda is not really appropriate either in an
	13	incident like this and that is why we tried to over some
	14	objection from the news media to establish one voice on
	15	technological matters, i.e. Mr. Denton, one voice on the emer-
	16	gency response matters, myself. And I think that worked fair-
	17	ly well, although it was frustrating because it cut off a lot
	18	of sources.
	19	COMMISSIONER LEWIS: It was frustrating to the media,
	20	too.
	21	Governor, in terms of, again, managing the event,
	22	do you think it is okay for the people to be aware of the
Comment	23	confusion that exists? In fact, the media were reflecting the
Percent	24	confusion that was in existence. Do you think that is useful
	25	or important that the public know that the experts are

D011

disagreeing?

1

CONVENT

Reporting

LID VICA

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: That is one of the toughest 2 questions to wrestle in retrospect that I have found. I think 3 4 it is important to apprise the public in an event like this, which is so unprecendented of every bit of factual material 5 that there is. To cover up any of the consequences for what-6 ever reason or any of the conditions for whatever reason is 7 Leally not serving the public well. But part of the facts --8 well, let me just say -- it was a fact that during this inter-9 val that we didn't know the facts and that we had five or six 10 different versions of a particular event and obviously, there 11 is a real danger of eroding the confidence of the public in 12 the sources of their information if that kind of scenario is 13 played out in full. We worked very hard to try to make the 14 Governor's office an island of credibility in this event. I 15 don't know that we fully succeeded. But we resisted the temp-16 tation to speak to the press and through the press to the pub-17 lic until we had some reasonable fix on the facts and didn't 18 hesitate to come back and say we were wrong, the information 19 we got was wrong. We did that several times. In fact, we got 20 a little bit tired of it. But it is an extremely difficult 21 judgment to make and I don't know what the answer to your 22 question is. I hope you can give us some guidance on that 23 with regard to proper dissemination of information policy. 24 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Well, Governor, in light of the 25

47

Three Mile Island experience and what it did to public credi bility, what has it done to the public perception of those
 who run the nuclear power industry, including the NRC, utili ties, etcetera? Is there any way, ever, to recover the belief
 of the citizens that they will get the straight answers or even
 that these people know the straight answers.

48

1759 226

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't know what it has done, 7 because you have to use some fairly sophisticated polling tech-8 niques to really get a picture of what the public thinks. But 9 I do think that the future of the nuclear industry, to some 10 extent, does depend upon two things, one of which is the imple-11 mentation of the types of changes that I have recommended here 12 and Governor Babbitt knows through the National Governors Asso-13 ciation, which are designed to create a process for the report-14 ing of facts and the diagnosis of difficulties at these reac-15 tors which enhances the public's confidence in that process. 16

And the second is the far more difficult and intan-17 gible process of earning back the trust and the confidence of 18 the public on the part of the utilities, Nuclear Regulatory 19 Commission, the Governor of Pennsylvania, all of us who had 20 responsibilities which were difficult to meet. I don't know + 21 I can't assess where that stands at the present time. But I 22 think that is key from the industry's point of view, which 23 you were asking me about, really, from their point of view to 24 earn back some degree of confidence before any new initiatives 25

D012

Reporting Compony

240

D013

1

X

Destactor

are undertaken in nuclear power development.

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: All right, Governor. Just one
 more line of questioning if I might.

You said earlier that you would not favor a state veto over the decision by the NRC to site any new plants within your state. How do you feel about a local plebicite, allowing the people in an area to decide for themselves, whether or not they do want that nuclear plant in their territory?

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I think it would be useful,
perhaps, as part of a process designed to decide these questions. I am not convinced at this time that either the veto
power or a plebicite veto power is the best answer.

13 COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Can you tell me why you feel 14 that way? It is interesting to hear a state governor willing 15 to relinquish a certain amount of control over his territory 16 and I would like to know your thinking on this.

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: It is few and far between, I 17 assure you. I don't know. I am somewhat suspicious of the 18 race to referenda on every single question that comes down the 19 pike. We are a representative government. We elect people in 20 our legislatures and in our executive and judicial branches to 21 carry out decisions. We expand and contract as the demands 22 increase or decrease those areas where we utilize a direct re-23 ferendum, in some states more than others. States, still I 24 like to think of as laboratories of democracy, in Justice 25

1759 227

DO14

Controving.

SID-MOR

25

Brandeis' term. I am just not sure that a blanket rule laying down a plebicite requirement or giving the veto power to governors is advisable. It is tempting, believe me, but I am really not confident enough myself that that is the real answer. I think there are processes that can be developed that allow a maximum input from all concerned parties. Somebody has to make the decision.

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: From a citizen's point of view, 8 the NRC is not elected. It is not as though you have the final 9 decision made by your elected leaders. So, it is a different 10 setup where it is being decided by a group in Washington that 11 is appointed and has long terms. I am interested to ask you 12 that because it seems your Lieutenant Governor told us that he 13 really wouldn't mind a plebicite. I don't know whether that 14 reflects a division of opinion or that I might have caught him 15 more in surprise. 16

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: No. He is free to and ably 17 expresses his own views. I don't know. I would challenge 18 your own imagination in terms of a recommendation. I don't 19 know whether there is a place for the Congress, a joint commit-20 tee, for example. There are any number of ways, but I am the 21 last one to urge on the basis of giving it not all that much 22 thought. But I will be glad to and if I have any further 23 thoughts, maybe I can submit them. 24

COMMISSIONER LEWIS: Thank you.

1759 228

CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Commissioner McBride.

COMMISSIONER MC BRIDE: Mr. Chairman, the question
I was going to ask, I believe, has been fairly well addressed
by Governor Thornburgh, not directly and so, perhaps, it might
be well to pin it down.

I gather from your responses, Governor, that in the é. event the likelihood for continued reliance on nuclear genera-7 ting facilities is a realistic appraisal of our future needs 8 and the question of locating such facilities arises with re-9 spect to Pennsylvania, that you are not hostile to the idea 10 but that you would want to look at it in the light of the addi-11 tional technical training and the implementation of certain 12 recommendations that might be made as a result of this exper-13 ience. That is my general impression. But I would appreciate 14 it if you would give us the benefit of your thinking with that 15 respect. 16

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Well, I think both with re-17 spect to those sites currently being operated in Pennsylvania. 18 those that are under construction and any new construction that 19 would be planned -- although I find that unlikely in the fore-20 seeable future -- that the types of recommendations that I made 21 this morning from the point of view of emergency response, I 22 would regard as almost an absolute necessity. In fact, I 23 think we would be extremely disturbed if that kind of result 24 doesn't come out of this commission's findings and the response 25 1759 229

D015

1

Compan

Reporting

ALMA'RIS

D016

1

to those findings per the order of the President and the NRC.

With regard to the future, I think we will have a 2 lot to say about the planning of sites within Pennsylvania. 3 The people of Pennsylvania have made clear that they share my 4 view; my level of skepticism about the future of nuclear power 5 has been raised substantially by this event. Those who urge 6 increased reliance on nuclear power have a much greater burden 7 of proof to meet now than they did before March 28. On the 8 other hand, I think it is equally inadmissable to call for the 9 immediate shutdown of those sites which are operating in Penn-10 sylvania, to enact a statewide or nationwide moratorium on the 11 basis of information available now. Although, I would not 12 rule out such a proposition depending on what the findings of 13 this commission are. 14

The point is that I think that all of us have had our sensitivity about the future of nuclear power raised substantially by this kind of event, and I think those of us who are governors or local officials and citizen's groups are not going to be bashful about raising concerns in the public arena as it should be as this process unfolds.

kepoting Company

t

21

CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Commissioner McPherson.

COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: Governor Thornburgh, some of these questions have also been asked, but I want to fill in the interstices if I may. I want to address them not to Friday, but to your decisions on Wednesday, on the first day.

1759 230

DO17

Compon

2 Report

25

53 Were you aware on Wednesday afternoon that there was consider-1 able likelihood that there had been core damage? 2 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: The first full briefing that 3 I had on the matter of the status of the facility was on 4 Wednesday evening at my home. Although I had gotten reports 5 throughout the day from the Lieutenant Governor and from mem-6 bers of my staff as they sought to put together some kind of 7 picture of precisely what the status of the facility, the 8 evening meeting at 11 o'clock was the first sit down that we 9 had of all persons concerned. And at that meeting members of 10 the staff of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regional Office 11 and of our Department of Environmental Resources gave me as 12 best they could some description of what had transpired during 13 the early morning hours and some prognosis of what we could 14 expect thereafter. At that meeting the problem -- I remember 15 this particularly -- the problem of core damage was not dis-16 cussed and I remembered it because after everyone had left and 17 I was preparing to get some sleep, my memory went back, as all 18 of us layman do, to the only book that I had ever read about 19 nuclear facilities and possible difficulties, a book called 20 "We Almost Lost Detroit", which I had read a couple of years 21

ago. And a little knowledge -- I don't know whether it is a 22 dangerous thing or not, but it is all I had. And I remembered 23 the description of what -- the consequences of the core becoming 24 uncovered and the so-called "China Syndrome" and meltdown and

1 I was puzzled that that had not been raised at the meeting 2 that we had had previously. In retrospect, it appears that it 3 simply had not been raised because of an oversight. It had been discussed earlier with others during the day, but on 4 Thursday morning, I immediately raised with the Lieutenant 5 Governor and with Paul Kritschlow, my press secretary, who 6 7 was in contact with the NRC people, why this hadn't been dis-8 cussed. Was there core damage? Was there something that we had not touched on in the briefing in the previous evening 9 that we should be concerned about. 10 11

During the day on Thursday, I guess my message got through we discussed in some greater detail in the afternoon 12 the fact that there was fuel damage and, thereafter, it was a 13 very great concern of ours throughout the period of time. But 14 I do remember the fact that I was perplexed a little bit by 15 the fact that the briefing that we had gotten had failed to 16 touch on that particular item. 17

18

19

20

018

21 22 Reporting Company 23

24

25

1759 232

REENWOOD

1

1

2

3

4

NICK

CONIN

day

1234

APE 4

COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: I know this is a hard question to answer, but about noon on Wednesday, do you have any recollection of what your understanding of the physical situation at the plant was at that time, at Wednesday noon?

55

5 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: About noon on Wednesday 6 I had just finished discussing with the Lieutenant-Governor 7 his press conference which had been held at about 11 o'clock, 8 and we were meeting in my office with the Lieutenant-Governor, 9 with William Dornsife, our nuclear engineer from the 10 Department of Environmental Resources and others, and 11 continuing this constant process of rolling over what we had in the way of facts and what we did not have in the 12 13 way of facts and how we were going to close that gap.

At that time unbeknownst to me, of course, there was a release of radiation taking place from the facility under the supervision of the utility which we did not have prior notice of, but I did not learn about that until later on when the Lieutenant-Governor had a second press conference to bring that undisclosed release to the attention of the public and the press.

COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: What I am getting at with respect to both what you knew on Wednesday on the core damage is this, to ask you on whom you relied to translate what you knew or what you were being told about the physical condition of the plant into consequences. I used to work for

2

Aunx

2

(a)

5

a man who when I would describe a problem and sit back
satisfied with having done so would say, "Therefore."
Therefore, what? I am frankly surprised that, well, let me
hold that back. Before I will be surprised, tell me what
you --

6 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Let me answer your question. 7 At that time we were about three or four hours into our 8 response capability, and my sources of advice with regard 9 to the consequences of what was transpiring at the facility 10 were largely Bill Dornsife and Tom Gerusky of our Department 11 of Environmental Resources.

Later in the day Messrs. Galena and Higgens from the NRC and Mr. Freas from the Department of Energy joined us at the briefing we had that evening in my home, but at that time Messrs. Dornsife and Gerusky were the only game in town as far as I was concerned, and I was looking to them for some assessment of precisely what was going on at this facility.

Our information came to them and to the Lieutenant-18 Governor from Metropolitan Edison, but quite frankly, the 19 events that were occurring around noontime subsequently 20 robbed them of considerable credibility because they had not 21 told us what they were going to do and had not told us even 22 at the meeting at 2:30 with the Lieutenant-Governor that they 23 had done it, so that it became apparent to us that we were 24 going to have to begin anew this process of casting about 25

1759 234

1

(Internet

21

Rups

for additional sources of information.

COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: Let me stop you right
there. What did Gerusky say about the situation, say, at
2:30 when you learned of the new release?

GOVERNOR THORNEURGH: I was not at the meeting at 2:30, but I saw him throughout the day, and he was very upset because of the fact that in order for him to filter the facts into recommendations to me he had to know those facts, and if he did not know when releases were going to be made from the facility, he was deprived of any ability to make meaningful recommendations.

We were all very much annoyed by the fact. In fact, we more or less discounted the credibility of Metropolitan Edison substantially at that point, and we stated so to the press.

16 COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: Now, to express my 17 surprise, my surprise is that in this situation where 18 something unprecedented but obviously very dangerous has 19 happened, potentially dangerous and where your own best 20 advisers are in a state of confusion because you lack the 21 information, it frankly surprises me that you did not order 22 an evacuation out to five miles on Wednesday in that situation.

You were waiting for confirmation or for better
understanding of the facts. I suppose I would have, had I
been in your shoes, would probably have ordered the evacuation

57

58 1 first and then tried to get the facts. 2 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: There are two things to 3 keep in mind, Commissioner. There was considerable uncertainty 4 about what was going on at the site, but the radiation 5 readings that we were getting were nowhere near a level that would have, I was advised by people in whose judgment I had 6 confidence at that time, nowhere near the level where an 7 8 evacuation would be called for. 9 The only time that the radiation levels anywhere near approached the, well, did not even then, but the only 10 substantial radiation release was on Friday at which time 11 the Collins recommendation was made and then quickly 12 13 withdrawn. 14 So, our uncertainty was not whether or not there should be an evacuation. Our uncertainty was really as to 15 what precisely was the difficulty at the reactor. 16 17 Now, again, I will grant you there is a school of thought that says if anything goes wrong with the reactor 18 you had better get everybody out from five, 10, 15, 20 miles. 19 20 That does not happen o be the view that I subscribe to. 21 We kept pushing. After we discounted the Met Ed as a source, we had Messrs. Galena and Higgins from the NRC 22 there, and as I indicated, we relied upon them for another 23 Rep. 24 hours, and until we got into the difficulties of Thursday 24 3 night, looked around for another source. 25 1759 236

1759-235

	. 39
1	COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: You have mentioned this
2	evacuation as if it would go out to 10 or 20 miles or whatever
3	100 miles. The evacuation plan, as I understand it, was for
4	a five-mile evacuation.
5	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: That is right.
6	COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: And in that five miles,
7	I believe there are no hospitals that would have required
8	evacuation?
9	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: That is correct.
10	COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: And the population is
11	about 15,000, something of that order?
12	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't have those figures
13	at my fingertips at this point.
14	COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: That, again, does not
15	seem like such a big deal.
16	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: It is not a big deal if you
17	can control it to a five-mile evacuation, but if you can look
18	me in the eye and tell me that nobody within the 10-mile,
19	20-mile or 50-mile area is not going to on their own begin
20	to evacuate, when you say, "Well, five miles, gang, everything
21	is all right outside of that," I would doubt it. I think that
22	the nature of this event would have been such, and we had
	constantly in mind that there was a real possibility that
23	you would be unable to contain an evacuation within a five-mile
25	area because of the difference in the type of threat. $1759$ 237

1	60 If you told somebody, let me just labor this a bit,
2	because I think it is important. Somebody within a five-mile
3	area that is facing a flood can look and see that the water
4	is rising and by gosh it might well cover a five-mile area,
5	and somebody outside that five-mile area, 10 miles, 20 miles
6	can say, "There is no way in the world ever, short of a
7	reprise of Noah's performance that the water is going to come
8	out 10 miles or 20 miles," but you cannot do that. You cannot
9	convince people, and I am not sure that it is accurate to
10	say that that same kind of analytical process can be brought
11	to bear in the case of a radiation plume, if such there were
12	that was extending out in one direction or another.
13	COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: Would it be your judgment
14	then that it is essentially futile to have only five-mile
15	evacuation plans because any trouble with a reactor may go
16	out and affect people 100 miles away?
17	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: No, I think it is not futile
18	at all. It is necessary, but it is well to keep in mind the
19	limitations of that plan as distinguished from the traditional
20	type of evacuation which all Civil Defense people say they
21	can carry out as easy as can be.
22	This is a unique kind of situation in my mind.
23	Perhaps you disagree, but it seems to me that there are
24	characteristics of this type of incident that make it wholly
25	different from an evacuation carried out in the face of a

BOWERS REPORTING CORPORT

6

flood or a fire or some other type of disaster that we are used to dealing with.

3 COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: Essentially that people 4 beyond the immediate danger zone would, also, evacuate? 5 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I think there is a danger, a 6 very real danger that they would perceive that their health 7 and well-being were in jeopardy as well and that you would have 8 an unplanned evacuation that would impinge on the -- now, that 9 is not a reason not to undertake the evacuation. Please 10 understand, but it is a reason to factor in. That risk is something to factor in to the process of decision making, 11 12 and it weighs against a precipitous evacuation of the type 13 that might well have been and I again acknowledge that there is every reason for someone to say, "Well, if something goes 14

15 wrong at a nuclear facility evacuate everybody within five, 16 10, 15, 20 miles."

17 Interestingly enough, we have had a plethora of events reported from nuclear facilities within Pennsylvania 18 since the Three Mile Island accident that were never reported 19 beforehand because of a rightful sensitivity on the part of 20 the utility and the NRC to the perceptions of people in the 21 area, and an argument could be made that whenever anything 22 goes wrong there should be an evacuation, in which case we 23 would have carried out 15 or 20 evacuations since March . 28. 24 It is a very difficult judgment call, and we did the best 25

7

ş

Rep

61

	62
1	we could.
2	COMMISSIONER MC PHFRSON: I appreciate that. The
3	purpose of our investigation, of course, is not to second
4	guess governors and acticularly those who were sitting in the
5	situation you were, but to try to give some counsel with
6	respect to the future, to perhaps tell other governors why
7	you decided what you did and what or how the decision making
8	might have been even more effective if more information had
9	been available.
10	Did you during this period look at the evacuation
11	plan for this area?
12	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I had had a briefing on the
13	evacuation plan, a cursory brighing during the first month
4	
5	following March 23, I did not look at the plan. I asked
6	Dr. Wilburn, Secretary of Budget and Administration in my
17	administration to monitor the performance of the Emergency
8	Management Agency in carrying out the plan, to shore up the
9	plan where it needed it because every moment that went by
20	gave us ample additional opportunity to improve that
21	response capability.
22	The plan itself, it seems to me, is secondary to the
23	capabilities of those people who are in place to carry it out.
24	All of us have seen those nicely plastic bound plans with tabs
	on them telling you what to do in every eventuality, and often
	and often

they really fall short of the mark.

)

1

AUNX

20

(dag

25

2 If you have people in place who are experienced and 3 can exercise cool judgment during the situation they are more 4 important than having the plan itself, and in the heat of this event I don't think that anybody was expecting to pull down 5 a plan and find an answer to their problems, so that the plan 6 itself, while it was on the books, had passed muster with 7 8 previous administrations, I did not regard that as the be-all 9 and end-all of our response capability as far as any 10 evacuation that had to be carried out.

11 What I regarded as far more important was to verify that there were, in fact, specific steps being taken to 12 supply vehicles, medical treatment, blankets, aircraft, sites 13 where people could go, all of this was carried out or all of 14 this assessment was carried out in close cooperation with 15 federal officials who extended their aid to us from the 16 beginning in determining that in the event we did have to 17 undertake an evacuation we were in shape to do it. 18

19 COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: Was all that in place 20 on Wednesday?

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: No. There is no way in the world that you are going to have an emergency management capability in place 24 hours a day for every type of foreseeable event.

COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: I understand, but was its

63

absence a factor in your decision?

	2	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: No, we never got that close
	3	to that weighing in. If we were in a very close call on
	4	evacuation, perhaps on Friday, that would have weighed in, but
	5	by Friday, I think that our folks had had a couple of days
	6	to begin to sharpen up their capability. So, I think that
	7	if you have to carry out an evacuation, you obviously have
	8	to do the best you can, and we would hope to have been, if that
	9	were necessary, in the best possible shape to do it, but I
	10	cannot really assess that.
	11	COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: One last question. Did
	12	your Attorney General or did any other lawyer with whom you
	13	talked give you an opinion as to the legal liability of the
	14	state in the event A, you ordered an evacuation or B, you did
•	15	not order an evacuation?
-	16	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: No. I never requested any
	17	such opinion. The liability of the state, the cost that we
	18	would have to undergo would be wholly secondary to the
	19	safety of the populace and the integrity of the environment.
	20	COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: I can appreciate that, but
	21	the consideration itself never entered into
Amothe	22	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: No.
ting Co	23	COMMISSIONER MC PHERSON: The question never arose?
lowers Reporting Company	24	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: No, my only discussions with
BOW	25	the Attorney General during this entire proceeding were to have
		1759 242
1	1	\$5VI

1 him prepare in draft form documents that we might need in the 2 event of a declaration of emergency or whatever else we could 3 foresee.

----

2

Repa

ABG (21)

4 His job was to see that the paperwork that would be necessary for us to act was in place. I did not ask him for 5 any opinion, nor have I to this day asked him for any opinion 6 7 on the liability. The liability would be met regardless 8 of what the source was if we accrued it.

9 CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Dr. Marks, did you have a follow 10 on?

11 COMMISSIONER MARKS: Yes, I have one follow-on question, Governor. We have centered a good deal of the 12 testimony today and in previous hearings on the emergency 13 14 and evacuation aspects of the accident.

Obviously, if we are to go forward with the 15 development of nuclear energy, we have to emphasize safety 16 and prevention of the exposure of public to hazards, and I 17 suspect if we had such a mode, it is likely that we would 18 be better prepared to deal with the accidents themselves, 19 and therefore, I wonder whether you can share with us any 20 insights you have as to why there is such a lack of 21 capability at the state level to deal with either the ongoing 22 health and safety issues related to operating nuclear 23 reactors or to the current clean-up situation at Three Mile 24 1: 25 Island?

1759 243

	66
1	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't know. You would have
2	to ask my predecessor in office. I took office in January
3	of this year. The event occurred in March, and what we are
4	trying to do now is to devise a better response capability.
5	Why that capability was allowed to deteriorate or why it was
6	ignored, I simply cannot speculate on. I don't know.
7	COMMISSIONER MARKS: But you sense that there is
8	this
9	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I know Dr. McCloud
10	COMMISSIONER MARKS: considerable lack of
11	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I know Dr. McCloud feels that
12	way, and I have no reason to contradict him at this moment.
13	COMMISSIONER MARKS: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN KEMENY: We will have questioning by
15	two more Commissioners, Commissioner Trunk?
16	COMMISSIONER TRUNK: Governor, you ordered the
17	National Guard and Civil Defense on an alert status.
18	Now, from my understanding, you would give the
19	information to PEMA. PEMA would give it to Civil Defense,
20	and the Divil Defense would give it to the local communities.
21	Why then was PEMA frozen out on Friday?
22	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't know that they were.
23	I am not really sure what you are referring to.
24	COMMISSIONER TRUNK: Colonel Henderson stated that
25	on Friday about the time that Mr. Denton came he wasn't getting
Cri	2 VEVI - CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

Bowers Reporting Company

124 246

1 any more information.

2 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I am not aware of that. So, 3 I don't know how to respond. Colonel Henderson was, to my 4 knowledge, in contact with the Lieutenant-Governor who is the 5 head of the Emergency Management Council throughout the entire 6 10-day period. So, I am not guite sure what he is referring 7 to.

8 COMMISSIONER TRUNK: I know the Lieutenant-Governor 9 finally went down to the Civil Defense Headquarters.

10 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I know he was there for a 11 meeting of the Council on Friday afternoon. My records 12 indicate that on Friday, let me see -- I am sorry, I am referring, I guess, to Thursday afternoon. Well, let us see? 13 The Lieutenant-Governor was at PEMA at 10 o'clock Friday 14 morning. I don't know. I am trying to temporize. The 15 Lieutenant-Governor was in more direct contact with PEMA than 16 I was throughout the entire event. So, I cannot really 17 18 answer the question, but I don't know of any inclination on, certainly on my part or on the Lieutenant-Governor's part to 19 20 freeze out, as you put it, Colonel Henderson or the PEMA superstructure. They were our operating arm, insofar as 21 22 emergency management went.

23 COMMISSIONER TRUNK: Colonel Henderson said that 2 24 they were getting calls from people, and they just were not 2 25 able to answer them because they did not know anything. 1759 245

68 1 GOVERNOR THORNEURGE We were in the same position. 2 During Friday there was a considerable amount of uncertainty 3 as to precisely what was going on, and we did not make any 4 public statements until that evening when Mr. Denton had arrived and was able to inform us as to precisely what was 5 going on, at which time we held a briefing for the press on the 6 7 theory that that was the best way to acquaint the general 8 populace with what the situation was. 9 It was a very turbulent time, and as I stated previously, it was our policy not to run with every last 10 snippet or rumor or bit of information to the public but to 11 gather what we felt were the sound facts and present them 12 to them as Mr. Denton and I did on Friday evening. 13 14 It was very difficult to respond to every inquiry that we were getting, and I think that difficulty was at its 15 height on Friday, March 30. 16 17 COMMISSIONER TRUNK: I know. 18 We have a Civil Defense station. Couldn't it have been a good idea to activate it and give an hourly evaluation 19 20 of what was happening? I mean we depended solely on your daily press releases and the media, and then at night when 21 we would listen to Cronkite or somebody like that we would 22 23 go to sleep afraid. 24 Wouldn't it have been a good idea for the state to sort of give us some relief, even if it was the same thing 25

14

NO.

2

Rups

11

Ing Compan

Repe

BOAVEIS

248

over and over again?

2	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: As I stated, I suppose there
3	are two schools of thought, that every hour you ought to tell
4	what you know, whether you are satisfied it is accurate or not.
5	I believe I dealt with the dilemma that you are in
6	when you are not sure of your information. Do you have an
7	obligation to pass it on to the public, nonetheless? I don't
8	know what the answer is. Our choice was to, on Friday, in
9	particular, when there was so much uncertainty, to wait until
10	we had received an assessment from Mr. Denton of precisely

12 provide accurate information is better than the periodic 13 supplying of misinformation.

what was going on, on the theory that a wait, a delay to

14 COMMISSIONER TRUNK: But if the state or you or
15 your press were giving the reports, I mean we believed you.
16 So, getting it on the hourly basis would have been just as
17 good as waiting.

18 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: We just did not have reliable
19 information, Commissioner, and I just -- that was our problem.
20 We did not know until we had a thorough briefing from
21 Mr. Denton, first by phone in the afternoon and then later
22 that evening what the situation was.

Now, I suppose I could go on every hour and say,
"We really don't know what is going on here, folks,but" -COMMISSIONER TRUNK: I don't mean just you, but

1759 247

1 Friday was not the only bad day. We had a very bad day on 2 Sunday. The rumor in Middletown was that we would have a 3 six-hour leeway to get out. . That did not leave us very 4 comfortable, and we were waiting for you, and then I remember 5 waiting for you to come on, and they said, "Well, the 6 Governor is coming on," and it would go on another 15 minutes, 7 and yes, we are waiting for the Governor, you know, and I 8 think we waited about an hour before you finally came on.

10

9 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: That hour was spent, I must 10 say, in trying to make sure that what we did present to the 11 public was as accurate as could be. Sunday was the day that the President was there. It was a busy day for us. We came 12 to Middletown. We met with the press and the public there 13 after the visit with President and Mrs. Carter to the site. 14 I am certainly not here to try to convince you and other 15 residents of the area that we did an apple pie perfect job, 16 but I think it is important to recognize the difficulties 17 that I dealt with in some context of what you do when you 18 are in a highly volatile situation, and you are not sure of 19 20 the facts.

We frequently would give our best estimate of the facts and then have to come back at the next briefing and say, "Well, that was wrong, and in fact, here is what happened." We were having the same kinds of problems that you were in some respects. I wish it were otherwise. I wish

1759 248

17 71 1 there were a capacity that we could instantaneously determine 2 the facts and assess their veracity, but really that is not 3 the case. 4 COMMISSIONER TRUNK: I am not criticizing you. I 5 just --6 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: There is room for criticism. Don't get me wrong. I think there is plenty of room for 7 8 criticism. 9 COMMISSIONER TRUNK: There is also one other thing. 10 Do you think it is fair for the communities around the area of a nuclear plant to be the last to know that an incident 11 12 has happened? 13 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I certainly would not think that would be fair, although I am not sure that that was the 14 case here. Colonel Henderson informed me that PEMA during 15 the early morning hours of March 28, notified all of the 16 county officials and the county officials' responsibility then 17 18 is to notify people in the community. 19 Now, you are going to miss certain individuals. I was greatly distressed to learn that Amish residents of 20 21 Lancaster County, for example, who have no radio and no newspaper, no access to the outside world did not have timely 22 notice of an event that might well have affected them. 23 The information dissemination problems are enormous, 24 25 but believe me, they are nowhere near as enormous as the

Rep

1 information accumulation problems.

	2	In order to get information out, you have to have
	3	it in the first place, and I am, from my vantage point
	4	terribly concerned that the process of gathering information
	5	that is accurate and reliable be greatly enhanced in the
	6	event that this kind of event has to be dealt with again.
	7	COMMISSIONER TRUNK: I just cannot see Met Ed is
	8	two, three miles away from Middletown. They had to call
	9	Harrisburg. Harrisburg in turn calls Middletown. I mean
	10	they sort of go over us and then come back. Why couldn't
	11	we be notified about the same time you are?
	12	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't know. It is certainly
	13	physically possible. Whether it is desirable or not, I don't
	14	know. As I say, the information dissemination problems are
	15	extremely difficult.
	16	COMMISSIONER TRUNK: There is one more thing I
	17	wanted to ask. Since you put the National Guard on the
	18	alert status, did you realize that the personnel in the
	19	vicinity of Harrisburg were not available? I mean I am
	20	getting this from the Patriot News on August 9, where they
	21	said that the National Guard ranks were very thin.
Aund	22	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't know. General Scott
Reporting Company	23	who is the Adjutant General, the Commandant of the National
Reports	24	Guard was part of our response team. He raised no such
Bowers	25	question with me. So, I just simply don't know, I am afraid,

1759 250

Bowess Reporting Compony

1759

252

and.

.

73

Comm	issioner.
------	-----------

2	COMMISSIONER TRUNK: There is, also, a statement
3	here that the Adjutant General guarantees that no National
4	Guard will go into an area that is exposed to dangerous
5	levels of radiation or fallout. I am under the impression
6	that a National Guard would be called out in case we had a
7	larger accident. Fow far away would they be from us and
8	helping us?
9	I mean since they would not be able to go into
10	Middletown or Gouldsboro, how would they help us?
11	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I am not really I think
12	you would have to ask General Scott. I am not familiar
13	with the logistics of troop movements in the event of an
14	emergency.
15	COMMISSIONER TRUNK: Should the National Guard be
16	given such a guaranty?
17	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I am not sure what the
18	guaranty is. It is reported in the newspaper that what?
19	COMMISSIONER TRUNK: It says, "You can assure your
20	wives and families that the Adjutant General of Pennsylvania
21	will not, I repeat, will not assign Pennsylvania National
22	Guard personnel to missions or in areas where the possibility
23	exists that they may be exposed to dangerous levels of
24	radiation or fallout."
25	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I am not fam 759 w25 that

74 1 statement or that policy. I will look into it, but I am 2 not guite sure what he is driving at, and I really would not 3 want to rely on what is in the newspaper account. 4 CHAIRMAN KEMENY: I think Commissioner Trunk is 5 asking an absolutely key question here. Wouldn't that 6 present a very major dilemma if one depends on the National 7 Guard to help citizens get out of such an area? 8 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't know what dangerous 9 levels of radiation are. If you are talking about -- there 10 were not dangerous levels of radiation at any time, as I was 11 advised during this incident, if we had to undertake an 12 evacuation that they would be available, but I would want 13 to refine that statement a lot more before I assessed. 14 CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Yes, I am sure this did not refer to the incident because it speaks about the future 15 16 as I heard you quote it, Commissioner Trunk, and not about 17 the past. That does raise a rather odd issue as to whether 18 as you are trying to get people out of -- obviously if it is 19 lethal, it is one kind of situation. 20 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN KEMENY: But suppose you have some in between 22 kind of situation. If those who you count on to be most 23 helpful to the citizens are being protected first, it seems to me it is women and children last. 24 25 GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: That certainly is not my

1759 252

16

20

Rugar

feeling, and again, I had not heard of this before, and I am 1 not really sure what General Scott meant by that statement, 2 but clearly no National Guardsmen, no Pennsylvania Emergency 3 Management personnel, no one in state government is going to abandon people in a dangerous area. Our responsibility 5 is emergency management, and I can rest reasonably assured 6 that that is not what he meant by that statement. 7 8 CHAIRMAN KEMENY: Governor Babbitt? COMMISSIONER BABBITT: Governor Thornburgh, in 9 the transcript of your interview prior to today, you indicated 10 that there was a discussion on Wednesday of the risks of an 11 evacuation, and you made specific reference to a flood 12 evacuation and to a discussion with Gordon McCloud about the 13 Colorado situation. I wonder if you could identify those 14 two incidents for me and if you can recollect what specific 15 discussions or lessons you drew from discussing those two 16 incidents? 17

GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't have any particular 18 recollection of specific conversations. What we were looking 19 at on Wednesday in the context of a possible evacuation 20 was what risks were there, and my best recollection is that 21 Bill Scranton, the Lieutenant-Governor had been in the 22 Wilkes Barre area during the Agenes, Hurricane Agnes floods 23 during which there was a sizable evacuation undertaken. 24 Gordon had talked to someone, and then had talked 25

1759 253

21

XUN

Cue

Reported.

BOWERS

	76
1	to Jay Waldman who had talked to me about an evacuation
2	
	that had been undertaken, as I remember in Colorado, but
3	what we were looking at was trying to gather as much
4	information as we could from wherever source we could to
5	catalog the kinds of things we ought to be concerned about in
6	an evacuation, and the thing that impressed, was impressed
7	most on me was that when you evacuate, you are not just
8	talking about the evacuation of able-bodied adult men and
9	women, that there are an awful lot of people with special
10	needs that would have to be provided for especially during
11	an evacuation and that the risk of death or injury to those
12	persons was substantially greater than it was to the populace
13	at large.
14	So, I don't think that we really had much of a
15	seminar on evacuations, but we tried to pick up as much
16	information as we could from whomever had the experience.
17	COMMISSIONER EABBITT: Was there any suggestion
18	that the Colorado situation was a radiological emergency?
19	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: I don't remember. That is an
20	offhand recollection of mine, Governor. Jay Waldman, my
21	Executive Assistant or Gordon McCloud might be bette
22	to answer that. I just don't know at the present time.
23	CHAIRMAN KEMENY: May I just make a brief announcement
24	before we adjourn for today?
25	The remaining hearing schedule is that we will be

22

Another Reporting Company

	1	77
		in hearing all day tomorrow, that is morning until about
	2	6 p.m., in the afternoon and then Thursday morning, and the
	3	subject will be the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
	4	Governor Thornburgh, may we thank you very much
	5	on behalf of the Commission for appearing, and we are recessed
	6	with these hearings until 9 a.m. tomorrow morning.
	7	GOVERNOR THORNBURGH: Thank you.
	8	(Thereupon, at 11:13 a.m., a recess was taken
	9	until 9 a.m., the following day, Wednesday, August 22, 1979.)
	10	승규가 잘 잘 했다. 그는 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 가지 않는 것을 했다.
	11	비행은 것이 가지 않는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 가지 않는 것이 없다.
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
An	22	
Comp	23	
BOWER Reporting Company		
News R	24	1759 255
à	25	
	A	