



UNITED STATES
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
DIRECTORATE OF REGULATORY OPERATIONS
REGION-1

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102
631 Park Avenue

King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

File

Metropolitan Edison Company
Attention: Mr. J. G. Miller
Vice President
P.O. Box 542
Reading, Pennsylvania 19603

JAN 31 1974
License No. CPPR-66
Inspection No. 50-320/74-01

Gentlemen:

This refers to the inspection conducted by Mr. Varela of this office on January 9-11, 1974 of activities authorized by AEC License No. CPPR-66 and to the discussions of our findings held by Mr. Varela with Mr. Stromberg of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection, and to a subsequent telephone discussion between Mr. Varela and Mr. Stromberg on January 22, 1974.

Areas examined during this inspection included: containment building post tension system, liner plate welding and condensate storage tanks. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector.

During this inspection, it was found that one of your activities appeared to be in violation of an AEC requirement. The item and reference to the pertinent requirement are listed in the enclosure to this letter. This letter constitutes a notice sent to you pursuant to the provisions of Section 2.201 of the AEC's "Rules of Practice", Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations. Section 2.201 requires you to submit to this office within 30 days of your receipt of this notice, a written statement of explanation in reply, including: (1) corrective steps which have been or will be taken by you, and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Since deficiencies in handling and retention of site records were previously identified in RO Inspection Report Nos. 50-320/73-03 and 50-320/72-05, we are concerned about the implementation of your quality assurance program that permitted these deficiencies to occur. Consequently, in your reply, you should describe in particular those actions taken or planned to improve the effectiveness of your quality assurance program.

POOR ORIGINAL


*h-y
g/m*

789308

7908230570: Q

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,



Robert T. Carlson, Chief
Facility Construction and Engineering
Support Branch

Enclosures:

1. Description of Violation
2. RO Inspection Report No. 50-320/74-01

bcc (w/encls):
RO Chief, PS&EB
RO:HQ (5)
DL (4 w/encls plus 9 cys of Report Only)
DR Central Files
RS (3)
PDR
Local PDR
RO Files ✓
NSIC
DTIC
State of Pennsylvania

789309

POOR ORIGINAL