

Change Report

Licensing of an alternative supplier for the thermal insulation layer of the DN30 PSP

0023-BAW-2019-001-Rev0

| Prepared | Checked | Released | |
|-------------|--------------|------------|--|
| S. Fels | M. Hennebach | F. Hilbert | |
| Soleilentel | Alemebach | AL | |
| 23.07.2019 | 23.07.2019 | 23.07.2019 | |



Table of Contents

| 1 | Int | roduction | 3 |
|----|--------|---|---|
| 2 | Co | omparison of WDS Multiflex to MICROTHERM | 3 |
| 3 | | pact of the Thermal Insulation Layer of the DN30 PSP with Respect to the Saf alysis for the DN30 Package | |
| | 3.1 | Structural Analysis | 4 |
| | 3.2 | Thermal Analysis | 4 |
| | 3.3 | Containment Analysis | 5 |
| | 3.4 | External Dose Rate Analysis | 5 |
| | 3.5 | Criticality Analysis | 5 |
| 4 | Su | mmary | 5 |
| Li | teratı | ure | 6 |



1 Introduction

The DN30 Protective Structural Packaging (DN30 PSP) is designed for the transport of 30B cylinders containing commercial grade uranium or reprocessed uranium with an enrichment of not more than 5 wt.% U-235 in uranium.

This report aims to include an alternative supplier for the thermal insulation material of the DN30 PSP in its certificate of approval. Supply difficulties or other circumstances may require using an alternative supplier than the currently certified supplier Promat providing the MI-CROTHERM (Overstitched 1000R HY) [1] thermal insulation material.

In this report, it is shown that MICROTHERM can be replaced with WDS Multiflex (ST Grade) [2] by the supplier Morgan Advanced Materials. Therefore, the impact of the material for the thermal insulation is discussed with respect to the package design safety report for the package design DN30 [3] hereafter in chapter 2. It is shown that WDS Multiflex has similar or improved properties compared to MICROTHERM.

2 Comparison of WDS Multiflex to MICROTHERM

MICROTHERM [1] and WDS Multiflex [2] are both custom made flexible microporous insulation panels with very good thermal properties. They provide excellent thermal stability up to more than 1000 °C. Both panels are produced in a glass cloth outer envelope, making them clean and easy to handle. The stitched grid guarantees the necessary flexibility and twisting properties. Finally, a hydrophobic version is available of both materials as well. Table 2-1 summarizes some of the properties of MICROTHERM and WDS Multiflex. Further details on both materials are provided in the technical data sheets [1] and [2], respectively.

| MICROTHERM (Overstitched 1000R HY) | WDS Multiflex (ST Grade) |
|---|---|
| E-glass as standard finishing | E-glass as textile covering |
| Custom made + flexible | Twist & Flex type of insulation |
| Extremely low thermal conductivity | Remarkable low and flat thermal conductivity |
| High thermal stability | High operating temperature limit with negligi- ble shrinkage |
| Shock + vibration resistant | Homogeneous, compact and robust matrix core |
| Environmentally friendly, free of organic bind- ers and non-combustible | Inorganic and non-combustible |
| Clean & easy to install | Easy and fast handling, installation and fixing |
| Simple to cut & shape | Easy to cut and preform in complex shapes |
| Available in different temperature grades, in- cluding a hydrophobic version | Available in two temperature grades, includ- ing a hydrophobic version |
| No harmful respirable fibers | Fibers used for mechanical reinforcement are not respirable |
| Resistant to most chemicals | Core material does not liberate hazardous decomposition products |

Table 2-1: Comparison of MICROTHERM and WDS Multiflex



3 Impact of the Thermal Insulation Layer of the DN30 PSP with Respect to the Safety Analysis for the DN30 Package

3.1 Structural Analysis

In the calculation model used for the structural analysis of the DN30 package, the thermal insulation layer is not explicitly represented. The thermal insulation layer has been included in a later development stage of the DN30 PSP and a small fraction of the impact limiting foam between the foam and the inner shells of the DN30 PSP needed to be removed to be able to include the thermal insulation layer. As the compression strength of the MICROTHERM thermal insulation layer is lower than that of the foam, the covering assumption has been made in a subsequent analysis to reduce the foam thickness by the thickness of the thermal insulation layer without filling the resulting gap with MICROTHERM. In addition to this analysis, real tests with a prototype including the thermal insulation layer have been performed. Both in the simulations and the real tests, the deformations were very similar to simulations and tests with prototypes not including the thermal insulation layer.

Consequently, with respect to the structural analysis, the only requirement of a thermal insulation material from another supplier is a compression strength that is equal to or higher than that of MICROTHERM. With this requirement, the compression of the thermal insulation layer can be expected to be similar or reduced compared to the application of MICROTHERM. This requirement is mandatory with respect to the thermal test. The technical data sheets of MI-CROTHERM [1] and WDS Multiflex [2] provide minimal compression strengths of 0.12 MPa and 1 MPa, respectively. Thus, the above requirement is fulfilled in case of WDS Multiflex.

3.2 Thermal Analysis

As the thermal insulation layer is included in the thermal analysis of the DN30 package [3], a new thermal analysis was conducted using the alternative material WDS Multiflex [2]. The material properties of both insulating materials are given in Table 3-1 and are taken from [1] and [2], respectively.

| Temperature in °C | MICROTHERM | WDS Multiflex | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Density in kg/m ³ | | |
| - | 260 | 210 | |
| 7 | Thermal conductivity in W/(m·K, |) | |
| 200 | 0.026 | 0.025 | |
| 400 | 0.030 | 0.030 | |
| 600 | 0.038 | 0.038 | |
| 800 | 0.049 | 0.049 | |
| | Heat capacity in J/(kg·K) | | |
| 200 | 920 | 1050 | |
| 400 | 1000 | 1050 ¹⁾ | |
| 600 | 1040 | 1050 | |
| 800 | 1080 | 1050 | |

Table 3-1: Material Properties for the Thermal Analysis

¹⁾ Value given in [2] for 400 °C is assumed for the whole temperature range.



The calculation for the evaluation of the alternative thermal insulation material is done according to the IAEA/ADR-guidelines for accident conditions of transport (ACT). These conditions consider a fire accident with a temperature of 800 °C lasting for 1800 s. The complete boundary conditions for the thermal analysis, including the material properties for other materials used in the calculation model, allowable temperatures for the package, heat generation, solar insolation and heat transfer in gaps and to the ambient, are listed in detail in the package design safety report [3].

The maximum temperatures calculated with both thermal insulation materials are listed in Table 3-2 below, including the time after the beginning of the fire when the highest temperatures are reached.

| | MICROTHERM | | WDS Multiflex | |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Location | Temperature in °C | Time in s | Temperature in °C | Time in s |
| 30B cylinder valve | 122.4 | 12710 | 121.7 | 12710 |
| 30B cylinder plug | 120.6 | 10910 | 119.9 | 10910 |
| 30B cylinder mantle | 124.7 | 10310 | 124.0 | 10310 |
| DN30 inner shell | 187.7 | 3650 | 187.9 | 3650 |
| DN30 outer shell | 785.4 | 1810 | 785.4 | 1810 |

Table 3-2: Maximum Temperatures Calculated for the DN30 Package

The new thermal analysis proves that the maximum temperatures for critical components are nearly identical with differences of less than 1 °C. The maximum temperatures calculated with the alternative material for the thermal insulation are slightly lower. Consequently, considering the thermal analysis, WDS Multiflex has even better properties than MICROTHERM.

3.3 Containment Analysis

The thermal insulation layer is not considered in the containment analysis as the containment system is entirely made up by the 30B cylinder. Any alternative material for the thermal insulation layer will not have an impact on this part of the safety analysis for the DN30 package.

3.4 External Dose Rate Analysis

The external dose rate analysis under routine conditions of transport (RCT) and normal conditions of transport (NCT) will not be affected as well since the thermal insulation layer is not explicitly considered in the calculation model. Instead, a covering assumption has been made by assuming a density of 0.1 g/cm³ for the foam, which has a nominal density of 0.12 g/cm³. This assumed density of 0.1 g/cm³ covers both the density of MICROTHERM (0.26 g/cm³) and WDS Multiflex (0.21 g/cm³) with a large margin. Consequently, the analysis of the external dose rate covers this alternative material for the thermal insulation.

3.5 Criticality Analysis

The thermal insulation layer is not relevant for the criticality analysis as only the 30B cylinder and the steel shells of the DN30 PSP are considered in the covering criticality calculation model. Hence, changes to the thermal insulation layer will not have an impact on this analysis as well.

4 Summary

In this report, it has been shown that WDS Multiflex by Morgan Advanced Materials [2] can be used as an alternative material for MICROTHERM provided by Promat [1]. The impact on all safety analyses performed for the DN30 package has been considered. As the thermal analysis is most affected by using a different material for the thermal insulation, a variation calculation has



been performed to investigate the impact. This variation calculation proves that the impact is negligibly small and that WDS Multiflex can be used without lowering the safety of the DN30 package. For the structural, containment, external dose rate and criticality analysis, the thermal insulation layer is not considered. Consequently, using a different material for the thermal insulation layer does not affect these analyses at all.

Literature

- [1] Promat Technical Data Sheet – MICROTHERM OVERSTITCHED
- [2] Morgan Advanced Materials Technical Data Sheet – WDS Multiflex
- [3] DAHER NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGIES GmbH Package Design Safety Report for Package Design DN30 0023-BSH-2016-001, Rev3, 2018