

DISTRIBUTION:
 Docket File (ENVIRON)
 NRC PDR
 Local PDR RBallard
 NRR Reading GSav
 LAndrews LBykoski
 JSkrove MSpangler
 RKornasiewicz WRegan/JNorris
 RHoffmann MDuncan
 WGammill EP-4 Reading
 Wott BHashburn
 RSamworth ELD
 WKnapp

JUL 24 1975

Docket No. 50-320

Daniel R. Muller, Assistant Director for Environmental Projects
 NRU: Ma. H. Regan, Jr., Chief, Environmental Projects Branch 4

TRIP REPORT ON SITE VISIT TO THREE MILE ISLAND, NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

As part of the environmental review of the application for an operating license for the Three Mile Island, Nuclear Station, Unit 2, a visit to the plant site was held on July 1 and 2, 1975. With the exception of those who were already familiar with the plant and site, most of the NRC staff members conducting the review and who are engaged in the preparation of the DES accompanied me on this trip. The enclosed Attachment A lists the names of the attendees.

July 1, 1975

After passing through the security guards the team assembled on site at 1:00 p.m. A short orientation briefing session served as an introduction to the tour. The tour, guided by several Metropolitan Edison and General Public Utilities Corporation personnel, included inspection of the reactor building, turbine building, intake and discharge structure building, and natural draft cooling towers.

In addition, the radioactive waste treatment facilities, which Unit 2 will share with Unit 1, were also visited. At the request of the Metropolitan Edison Company to keep the number of visitors to this presently operating facility to a minimum, I limited the visiting staff to only myself.

The balance of the afternoon was devoted to a meeting with the technical representatives of the Applicant. (See Attachment A for attendance list). Topics discussed covered all items included in the meeting agenda transmitted to the Applicant by letter of June 23, 1975. Additionally, several other topics raised as a result of the tour were also discussed. The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 p.m. with the majority of the visiting team returning to the Washington area.

7904180 365

copy
AW

OFFICE >					
SURNAME >				50-069	
DATE >					

July 2, 1975

On the following day Lou Bykoski and Tom Sav, both from Cost/Benefit Analysis Branch, accompanied me to four separate meetings with the following state and local officials:

9:00 a.m.	Mr. Charles Hoy, Chairman Dauphin Board of County Commissioners
10:00 a.m.	Mr. Oliver Fanning, Director Dauphin County Regional Planning Commission
11:00 a.m.	Mr. Robert Keller, District Engineer Dauphin County, Pennsylvania Department of Transportation
1:15 p.m.	Mr. James Howad Harrisburg Labor Market Analysis Pennsylvania Bureau of Employment Security

The topics discussed related to impacts of the construction of Unit 2 and included, in part, taxes, social impact, effects on local business, schools, transportation, traffic, housing, labor market, wages, effects of thermal plumes from Unit 1 and other topics. The findings can be summarized as follows:

- a) There was no apparent impact on traffic, on conditions of nearby roads and on accident rates due to the construction of the plant. Since the construction force come from various directions there was no noticeable traffic congestion except for brief periods at the site entrance itself.
- b) Plant construction had a beneficial effect on the local labor force in that it helped to reduce seasonal unemployment. It had little effect on the prevailing wage scales although higher rates paid by the plant have drawn away some labor from local business.
- c) There was no noticeable impact on local housing situation. This can be partly attributed to the fact that plant construction coincided with the phasing out of Olmstead Air Force Base which was located nearby.

OFFICE

SUPERVISOR

DATE

50-070

- d) Local and state highway officials reported no apparent problems from fogging or icing attributable to the operation of the Unit 1 natural draft cooling towers.
- e) There was no evidence of an adverse impact on local community services.
- f) In general there appeared to be an opinion that the presence of the Three Mile Island plant is beneficial to the community.

Original Signed
 Jan A. Morris

Jan A. Morris, Project Manager
 Environmental Projects Branch 4
 Division of Reactor Licensing

Enclosure:
 List of Attendees

cc: Metropolitan Edison Company
 ATTN: R. C. Arnold
 P. O. Box 542
 Reading, Pennsylvania 19603

GPU Service Corporation
 ATTN: J. M. Yann
 260 Cherry Hill Road
 Parsippany, New Jersey 07054

G. F. Trowbridge, Esq.
 Shaw, Pittman, Potts, Trowbridge & Madden
 Barr Building
 910 17th Street, N. W.
 Washington, D. C. 20006

Mr. Chauncey R. Kepford
 Citizens for a Safe Environment
 108 North Pershing Avenue
 York, Pennsylvania

Karin W. Carter
 Assistant Attorney General
 Department of Environmental Resources

OFFICE >	709 Health and Welfare Building RL:EP Parsippany, Pennsylvania 17120				
SEARCHED >	JMorris:aj	WRagan			50-071
DATE >	7/23/75	7/.../75			

ATTENDEESNUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

L. Andrews	Meteorologist
L. Dykoski	Cost Benefit Analysis Branch
M. Dunton	Licensing Assistant
R. E. Hoffman	Seismologist
W. E. Knapp	Environmental Specialist Branch
R. Kornsiewicz	Meteorologist
J. A. Norris	Environmental Project Manager
W. R. Ott	Environmental Specialist Branch
H. D. Sauerth	Environmental Specialist Branch
G. T. Sav	Cost Benefit Analysis Branch
J. W. Skrove	Geologist

GENERAL PUBLIC UTILITIES

D. Callahan	Environmental Engineering
F. Daley	Economics
J. R. Deanna	System Planning
L. Howell	Mechanical Engineering (Guide)
J. M. Vann	Safety & Licensing

METROLOGITAN Edison

K. S. Brown	Licensing
T. A. Jenches	Supervisor Radiation Safety & Environmental Engineering
J. E. Mudge	Environmental Engineering
J. F. Villaume	Licensing (Geologist)

CONSULTANTS

J. M. Normann	Supervising Hydraulic Engineer	Gilbert Associates
W. Potter	Project Leader	Ichthyological Assoc.
K. Woodard	Site Meteorology	Pickard, Lowe & Assoc.
A. F. Zalinick	Licensing	Burns & Roe, Inc.

POOR ORIGINAL

50-072