



11/09/99

Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

President of the Senate Speaker of the House of Representatives GAO

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
3. Rule Title	

Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program

5.	Major Rule \bigcirc	Non-major Rule 🛡				
ð.	Final Rule	Other O				
7. With	respect to this rul	le, did your agency solicit publ	ic comments?	Yes ●	No O	N/A O
3. Priori	ty of Regulation (fill in one)				
		Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant	0	Routine and Frequ Informational/Adm (Do not complete of this form if fi	inistrative/0 the other s	side
) Effec	tive Date (if appli		di in the E	ederal Register		
. 2100		cable) 120 days from publi	cation in the Fe	0		
		of the Rule provided?	Yes •	No O		
10. Is a	concise Summary Submitted by: Name: Eugene 1	v of the Rule provided? /RA/ Dacus	Yes ●	No 🔾		
10. Is a	concise Summary Submitted by: Name: Eugene 1	v of the Rule provided? /RA/	Yes ●	No 🔾		
10. ls a	concise Summary Submitted by: Name: Eugene 1	v of the Rule provided? /RA/ Dacus Director, Office of Congress	Yes ●	No 🔾		
10. ls a	concise Summary Submitted by: Name: Eugene I Title:	of the Rule provided? /RA/ Dacus Director, Office of Congress al Use Only:	Yes ●	No 🔾		



		Yes	No	N/A
Α.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	٠	0	0
Β.	With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
	1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)?	۲	0	0
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?		\bigcirc	\bigcirc
C.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	٠
	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	0	•	Ò
	Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	۲	0	0
F.	Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?			
	 E.O. 13132, Federalism 	\bigcirc	۲	0
	 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	\bigcirc		0
	 E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review 	\bigcirc	•	\bigcirc
	E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	.0	•	0
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0		0
	 Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) 			

National Technology and Advancement Act of 1995 The Plain Writing Act of 2010 Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)) Paperwork Reduction Act Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 808)





Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

President of the Senate Speaker of the House of Representatives GAO

1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
3. Rule Title	
Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program	
4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique I	dentifier (if applicable) RIN-3150-AK07 (NRC-2017-0151)
5. Major Rule O Non-major Rule	
6. Final Rule ● Other ○	
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit pub	blic comments? Yes ● No ○ N/A ○
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)	
 Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant 	 Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) 120 days from publ	lication in the Federal Register
	Yes No 🔿

Submitted by:	/RA/	(signature)

Name: Eugene Dacus

Title: Office Director, Office of Congressional Affairs

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received:

Committee of Jurisdiction:



		Yes	No	N/A
ŀ	A. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	٠	0	0
E	3. With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
	 certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)?. 	۲	0	0
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	۲	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
C	C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	٠
C	D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	0	٠	0
E	E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	۲	0	0
F	5. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?			
	 E.O. 13132, Federalism 	0	٠	0
	 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	\bigcirc	۲	0
	 E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review 	0	•	0
	E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	\bigcirc	٠	0
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	\sim		0
	 Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) 			

The Plain Writing Act of 2010 Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)) Paperwork Reduction Act Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 808)





Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act President of the Senate Speaker of the House of Representatives Z GAO

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
3. Rule Title	
Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program	

5. Major	r Rule 🔾	Non-major Rule 🛡				
6. Fina	I Rule	Other O				
7. With respect t	o this rule	did your agency solicit public	comments?	Yes ●	No O	N/A O
B. Priority of Reg	ulation (fil	in one)				
	S	conomically Significant; or gnificant; or ubstantive, Nonsignificant	0	Routine and Frequ Informational/Adm (Do not complete of this form if fin	ninistrative/ • the other	side
9. Effective Date	(if applies			doral Dogistor		
		ble) 120 days from public	ation in the Fe	edelal Register		
		f the Rule provided?	Yes •			
10. Is a concise S Submitte	Summary o	f the Rule provided?	Yes ●	No O		
10. Is a concise S Submitte Name: <u>P</u>	Summary o ed by: Eugene D	f the Rule provided?	Yes ●	No O		
10. Is a concise S Submitte Name: <u>F</u> Title:	Summary o ed by: Eugene D	f the Rule provided? /RA/acus ector, Office of Congressio	Yes ●	No O		
10. Is a concise S Submitte Name: <u>F</u> Title: <u>C</u> For Cong	Summary of ed by: Eugene D Office Dir gressional	f the Rule provided? /RA/acus ector, Office of Congressio	Yes ●	No O		



		Yes	No	N/A
 A.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	٠	0	0
Β.	With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
	1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)?	۲	0	0
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	۲	\bigcirc	0
C.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•
	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	0	•	0
	Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	۲	\bigcirc	0
F.	Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?			
	 E.O. 13132, Federalism 	\bigcirc	۲	\bigcirc
	 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	\bigcirc	۲	0
	 E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review 	\bigcirc	۲	\bigcirc
	E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	\bigcirc	•	0
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	٠	0
	 Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) 			
	National Technology and Advancement Act of 1995 The Plain Writing Act of 2010 Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)) Paperwork Reduction Act Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 808)	e E		