10 CFR 2.201		SAFETY INSPECTION	REPORT AN	D COMPLIANCE INSP	PECTION	
1. LICENSE	EE/LOCATIO	DN INSPECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE		
Curators Chancell	of the U	iniversity - Columbia c/o Gary crations	Ward Vice	Region III		
900 East	Stadium	Blvd., Suite 180		U.S. Nuclear Regulatory	Commission	
Columbi	ia. MO			2443 Warrenville Road, S	Suite 210	
REPORT	NUMBER	s) 2019002		Lisle, IL 60532-4352		
3. DOCKET	NUMBER(S	)	4. LICENSE NUMBER	(8) 5.	DATE(S) OF INSPECT	IÓN
030-02278			24-00518-32	5/	/6-10/2019	
LICENSEE The inspect Regulatory procedures	E: ction was a / Commiss s and repre	n examination of the activities conduct ion (NRC) rules and regulations and th sentative records, interviews with pers	ed under your licens e conditions of your onnel, and observati	e as they relate to radiation safety license. The inspection consisted ons by the inspector. The inspecti	and to compliance w of selective examination findings are as to	ith the Nuck tions of llows:
] 1.	Based on	the inspection findings, no violations w	vere identified.	· ·	-	
7 2	Previous	violation(s) closed.				
3.	The violat non-repet discretion	ions(s), specifically described to you by itive, and corrective action was or is be , were satisfied.	y the inspector as no ling taken, and the re	n-cited violations, are not being cit emaining criteria in the NRC Enforc	ted because they wa cement Policy, to exe	r <b>e self-id</b> ent Pr <b>cis</b> e
	1	Non-cited violation(s) were discuss	ad involving the folio	wing requirement(s):		
	Title 10	of the Code of Federal Regulat	ions (CFR) Sect	ion 20.1801 requires that the	e licensee secure	from
	unautho	rized removal or access license	d materials that	are stored in controlled or u	nrestricted areas.	Contrar
	4- C4		19 the licensee	hid not secure from unsutho	-ind removal or	. 12mm 14
	to secuc	11 20.1001, 01 reoruary 23, 20	17, and meetinger		NIZEU TEILIOVAL OI	. nmir
	access to	144 microcuries of Phosphoru	is-32 located in l	Room 415A of the Bond Lif	fe Science Center	r, which i
	access to controlle	o 144 microcuries of Phosphoru od area. (See Part 2 for correcti	is-32 located in live actions to pre	Room 415A of the Bond Life event a similar violation)	fe Science Center	r, which i
. <b>4</b> .	During the cited in ac with 10 Cl (Violations	a inspection, certain of your activities, a s inspection, certain of your activities, a coordance with NRC Enforcement Polic FR 19.11. a and Corrective Actions)	as described below a by. This form is a NO	Ad not secure nom unaution Room 415A of the Bond Lif went a similar violation) Ind/or attached, were in violation of TICE OF VIOLATION, which may i	f NRC requirements be subject to posting	nmıt r, which i and are bei In accordai
4. i hereby sta corrective a date when	During the controlled During the ched in ac with 10 Cl (Violations)	Statish 30 days, the actions described by so accordance with the requirement solution of your activities, a contance with NRC Enforcement Polic FR 19.11. a and Corrective Actions) Statishin 30 days, the actions described by nade in accordance with the requirement ance will be achieved). I understand the	tement of Correct me to the inspector as of 10 CFR 2.201	Actions will be taken to correct the violation (corrective steps already taken, co response to NRC will be required,	f NRC requirements be subject to posting ns identified. This sta orrective steps which unless specifically re	and are being in accordant in a
4. I hereby str corrective a date when TTT	During the check in ac with 10 Cl (Violations) ate that, wi actions is n full complik	Sta thin 30 days, the actions described by nade in accordance with the requirement states and Corrective Actions)	tement of Correct me to the inspector me to the inspector	Actions will be taken to correct the violation (corrective steps already taken, cc response to NRC will be required, StiONATURE	f NRC requirements i be subject to posting ns identified. This sta orrective steps which unless specifically re	and are bein and are bein in accordant atement of will be take quested. DATE
4. I hereby sta corrective a date when TIT LCENSEE* REPRESEN	During this cited in ac with 10 Cl (Violations) atte that, wi actions is n full compli-	Sta thin 30 days, the actions described by nade in accordance with the requirement s and Corrective Actions)	tement of Corrections of the temperature of	Actions will be taken to correct the violation (corrective steps already taken, co response to NRC will be required, StiGNATURE	f NRC requirements be subject to posting ns identified. This sta orrective steps which unless specifically re	and are bein and are bein in accordar atement of will be take squested. DATE
4. I hereby state corrective a date when TTT ICENSEE REPRESEN NRC INSPE	During this cited in ac with 10 Cl (Violations) ates that, with actions is n full complia LE S NTATIVE ECTOR	Stathin 30 days, the actions described by nade in accordance with the requirement scordance with NRC Enforcement Polic FR 19.11. Sound Corrective Actions) Stathin 30 days, the actions described by nade in accordance with the requirement ance with be achieved). I understand the PRINTED NAME	tement of Correct me to the inspector me to the inspector me to the inspector	Actions we a similar violation of TICE OF VIOLATION, which may in TICE OF VIOLATION, which may in the actions will be taken to correct the violation (corrective steps already taken, corresponse to NRC will be required, StiONATURE	f NRC requirements be subject to posting ns identified. This sta orrective steps which unless specifically re	and are being in accordance of will be take equested.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION					
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE			
Curators of the University - Columbia c/o Gary Ward Vice Chancellor of Operations 900 East Stadium Blvd., Suite 180 Columbia, MO REPORT NUMBER(S) 2019002		Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352			
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 4. LICENSE NUMBER		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION		
24-00518-32			5/6-10/2019		

## (Continued)

Two workers who used Room 415A locked the door and confirmed it was locked. The authorized user (AU) determined that the door was unlocked by an unknown individual. A Radiation Safety Professional delivered a package containing licensed material for Room 415A and identified that the door was unlocked and there was nobody in the room to maintain surveillance of 0.144 millicuries of phosphorus-32 to prevent unauthorized access or removal of licensed material. There was no loss of the licensed material.

Corrective actions to prevent a similar violation included: (1) locking the door; (2) verifying the licensed material inventory; (3) providing licensed material security re-training; (4) re-keying the lab door lock and providing new keys; (5) implemented that keys not be shared with personnel outside of the group unless prior authorization is obtained by the (AU); (6) people who wish to use the room must have an authorized person to unlock the lab door and the AU is responsible for ensuring the door is closed once the visitor leaves; and (7) the door tumbler lock was modified so that the key can only be removed from the door when it was in the locked position such that it will be impossible for the door to be left closed but not unlocked.

During the onsite inspection, the inspectors verified that the licensee implemented the corrective actions to prevent a similar violation. As such, this violation is closed.

NRC FORM 591M PART 3			U.S. NU(	CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
(07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201 Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION					
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECT	ED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE			
Curators of the University - Columbia c/o Gary Chancellor of Operations 900 East Stadium Blvd., Suite 180 Columbia, MO REPORT NUMBER(S) 2019002		y Ward Vice Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulato 2443 Warrenville Road Lisle, IL 60532-4352		ory Commission 1, Suite 210	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER	5)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION	
030-02278		24-00518-32		5/6-10/2019	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES US 87134	ED	7. INSPECTION FOCU 03.01- 03.07 & (	s areas )3.09		
. <u></u>	SUPPLEN	ENTAL INSPECT	ION INFORMATION		
1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 02110	2. PRIORITY 2	3. LICENSEE CONTAC Sue Langhorst, F	T RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER (573) 864-2941	
<ul> <li>Main Office Inspect</li> <li>Field Office Inspect</li> <li>Temporary Job Site</li> </ul>	ction ction te Inspection	Next Inspectior	Date: 05/06/20	20	
		PROGRAM S	COPE		
PROGRAM SCOPE This was an announced, routine inspection of the licensee's radiation protection program. The licensee operated a large Type A medical/academic and research broad scope program under the authority of NRC byproduct materials License No. 24-00513-32. The license authorized, in part, the possession of any byproduct material with atomic numbers between 3 and 96, in any form, for human medical use and for research and development pursuant to 10 CFR 30.4, including animal use and select actinides, in any form, for laboratory research and development. The majority of the medical use occurred at the University Hospital. Collectively, the licensee's nuclear medicine departments were staffed with six full-time technologists (some individuals rotate to the other sites) who performed approximately 250-350 plus diagnostic nuclear medicine procedures monthly, including PET studies. The hospital performed a full spectrum of studies and received unit doses only. The hospital administered numerous iodine-131 (I-131) dosages (mostly capsules) for whole body follow-up studies, hyperthyroid, and thyroid carcinoma treatments. One physician served as the primary authorized user (AU) for the nuclear medicine activities and there are other AUs that work under the Authorization; additional qualified physicians work under the supervision of the authorized user. In April 2019, the radiation oncology department began administering high dose rate afterloader gynecological and breast treatments. In addition, the licensee administered palladium-103 permanent prostate seed implants. The					
The licensee established a Radiation Safety Committee (RSC) to review and approve all users and uses of licensed material. Each authorized user performed his research under the permit issued by the RSC, and the user must renew the permit every 3 years. The RSC provided program direction and oversight through its established policies and procedures. The RSC met on a bimonthly basis to conduct business. The licensee established a medical quorum to review the human uses of byproduct material. (Continued on next page)					

•

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201	D	ocket File Info	U.S. NUC	CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
SAFE	TY INSPECTION	REPORT AND	COMPLIANCE INS	SPECTION	
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTE	ED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE		
Curators of the University - Columbia c/o Gary Ward Vice Chancellor of Operations 900 East Stadium Blvd., Suite 180 Columbia, MO			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352		
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER	S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION	
030-02278		24-00518-32		5/6-10/2019	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USE	D	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS			
87134		03.01- 03.07 & 03.09			
	SUPPLEM	ENTAL INSPECT	ION INFORMATION		
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAC	T	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER	
02110	2	Sue Langhorst, F	RSO	(573) 864-2941	
✓ Main Office Inspec	tion	Next Inspection	Date: 05/06/20	20	
Field Office Inspec	Field Office Inspection				
Temporary Job Site Inspection					
		PROGRAM S	COPE		
The radiation safety prog	ram was managed by	a dedicated, part	-time, temporary radiatio	on safety officer (RSO), eported to the Director of	

supported by two health physicists and one full time lab safety professional. The RSO reported to the Director of Environmental Health and Safety; the director reported to the Vice Chancellor for Operations. The radiation safety staff audited all areas of use and storage at frequencies based on the amount of material processed/used. Each member of the radiation safety staff served as a principle auditor or project manager for select research laboratories. Radiation safety also performed confirmatory surveys (monthly or quarterly based on the amount of material and use) of these areas to ensure compliance with the licensee's NRC license and NRC regulations.

## Performance Observations

The inspectors: (1) noted that the licensee is actively searching for a permanent RSO; (2) reviewed records showing that the two high dose rate afterloader unit (HDR) AMPs were qualified; (3) reviewed records showing that the 3 AUs for HDR were qualified, including the licensee's RSC approval; (4) noted that the HDR intercom was on full volume; however, the volume was low but audible, and the licensee planned to either replace the intercom or repair it; (5) observed the licensee's switch to prevent the HDR and the linear accelerator from simultaneous operation; (6) observed that the HDR was secured as required; (7) used an NRC, calibrated survey instrument to conduct independent exposure rate surveys at the surface of the HDR, and the result was well below the public dose limit; (8) conducted an ambient exposure rate survey at selected surfaces of the exterior walls of the HDR treatment room with the source exposed in the room, and the highest result was low background; (9) observed that selected survey instruments were calibrated as required; (10) noted that the licensee had emergency tools in the event of an HDR source stuck in the patient; (11) reviewed selected HDR written directives and there were no concerns; (12) observed an HDR breast treatment and there were no concerns; (13) observed that the AU and AMP were at the HDR console during the HDR treatment; (14) reviewed documents pertinent to HDR treatment planning, in part, anatomy images overlaid with the isodose(s), and comparing the pretreatment records showing the settings for the HDR to complete the treatment properly and comparing them with the post-treatment records showing that the settings for the HDR were correct to complete the treatment; (15) reviewed the HDR procedures required by 10 CFR 35.41; (See next page)

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (07-2012)		eekot Eilo Infr	U.S. NU	CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:     2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
Curators of the University - Columbia c/o Gary Ward Vice Chancellor of Operations 900 East Stadium Blvd., Suite 180 Columbia, MO		Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352			
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(	S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION	
030-02278		24-00518-32		5/6-10/2019	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USE	Đ	7. INSPECTION FOCU	SAREAS		
87134		03.01-03.07 & 0	03.09		
	SUPPLEM	ENTAL INSPECT	ION INFORMATION		
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAC	т	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER	
02110	2	Sue Langhorst, F	RSO	(573) 864-2941	
✓ Main Office Inspection       Next Inspection Date:       05/06/2020         ✓ Field Office Inspection					
		PROGRAM S	COPE		
(16) noted that the license observed an HDR spot ch treatments and there were concerns; (20) reviewed i as required; (21) observed including, in part, ambier	e conducted ambient eck; (18) reviewed se no concerns; (19) re nformation showing two radiation safety the exposure rate surve	t exposure rate sur elected HDR patie viewed the HDR that the licensee r y professionals eac eys and removable	rveys of HDR patients be ents' records including gy Acceptance Testing docu released licensed materia ch conduct an audit of a e surveys in the lab, licen interviewed a veteringry	efore releasing them; (17) ynecological and breast ument, and there were no il into the sanitary sewer system lab to assess radiation safety, used material security, and y nuclear medicine technologist	

training and refresher training and there were no concerns; (22) interviewed a veterinary nuclear medicine technologist (VNMT) and observed the VNMT demonstrate how Flourine-18 FDG was handled for diagnostic scans for dogs; (23) observed the VNMT demonstrate how to respond to a Flourine-18 FDG spill based on a scenario posed by the inspector, and there were no concerns; (24) observed that the VNMT wore whole body and extremity badges; (25) conducted an independent ambient exposure rate survey at selected surfaces in the veterinary hot lab, and the highest result was well below the public dose limit; (26) observed that licensee material was properly secured in the veterinary hot lab; (27) observed a prostate implant procedure; (28) interviewed the authorized medical physicist (AMP) and authorized user (AU) prior to and after the procedure and observed both AU and AMP demonstrate sound radiation safety practices; (29) observed and interviewed several nuclear medicine technologists in the performance of their duties; (30) observed several injections; (31) observed a package receipt survey; (32) observed an iodine-131 capsule administration; and (33) reviewed dosimetry records and all were within regulatory limits; (34) verified that the licensee implemented its corrective actions to prevent previous violations from the previous inspection regarding two examples of a violation of 10 CFR 20.1801 for failure to secure from unauthorized removal or access licensed materials that are stored in controlled or unrestricted areas (i.e., On May 11, 2017, the licensee identified an apparent violation of 10 CFR 20.1801 when a health physics technologist (technologist) left a radioactive materials waste storage room unattended). The room contained 2.51 millicuries (mCi) of radium-223, 0.5 mCi of technetium-99m (Tc-99m), and 21 mCi of cesium-137 (Cs-137). The stored licensed material was not secured by the licensee which could have resulted in unauthorized removal or access of the licensed material. Specifically, upon leaving the room, the technologist turned the room door key right in a left locking deadbolt and nudged the door too softly to move it, leaving the door unlocked for about 30 minutes. The inspectors determined that the root cause of the apparent violation was human error.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201 Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION					
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECT	ED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE		
Curators of the University - Columbia c/o Gary Ward Vice Chancellor of Operations 900 East Stadium Blvd., Suite 180 Columbia, MO REPORT NUMBER(S) 2019002		y Ward Vice	Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352		
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER	S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION	
030-02278		24-00518-32		5/6-10/2019	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES US	ED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS			
87134		03.01 through 03.07 & 03.01 through 03.09			
	SUPPLEM	ENTAL INSPECT	ION INFORMATION		
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAC	т	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER	
02110	2	Sue Langhorst, F	۱SO	(573) 864-2941	
Main Office Inspection     Field Office Inspection		Next Inspection	) Date: 5/6-10/20	019	
Temporary Job Site Inspection					
		PROGRAM SO	COPE		

As corrective actions for the May 11, 2017, security violation, the licensee secured the room and conducted an inventory of licensed material and verified that no licensed material was missing. On May 23, 2017, the licensee replaced the door lock and installed an automatic door closer such that the door shuts automatically, locks on its own, and unlocks with use of a key.

During the onsite inspection on May 14, 2018, the inspectors observed that a University Hospital nuclear medicine hot lab door was propped open and not secured to prevent unauthorized removal or access to licensed materials that were stored in a controlled area. The hot lab was unsecured for a few minutes, and the licensee failed to maintain constant surveillance over the licensed material. Two nuclear medicine technologists were nearby; however, they were in separate rooms such that they were unable to surveil the licensed material that was in the hot lab. The hot lab contained 0.098 mCi of Cs-137, 3.15 mCi of cobalt-57 (Co-57), 0.118 mCi of barium-133, and 68.12 mCi of Tc 99m. There was no evidence of lost licensed material. The inspectors determined that the root cause of the apparent violation was individual error, in that the licensee propped the hot lab door open, thereby preventing the automatic door closer from closing, latching, and locking the hot lab door. As corrective actions for the May 14, 2018, security violation the licensee trained applicable staff about closing the hot lab door when leaving the hot lab, and the importance of not propping the hot lab door open such that the automatic door closer is able to close, latch, and lock the hot lab door. On May 15, 2018, the licensee trained all hospital nuclear medicine staff members about the apparent violation and its causes, discussed 10 CFR 20.1801 (including constant surveillance), and removed the hardware that propped the door open such that the automatic door closer was able to close, latch, and lock the hot lab door, and discussed the information with the AU. In addition, the licensee enforced the policies set forth as part of the radiation safety program, and emphasized the importance of securing radioactive material from unauthorized removal or access. As such, this violation is closed.

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201	D	ocket File Info	U.S. NUC	CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION					
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
Curators of the University - Columbia c/o Gary Ward Vice Chancellor of Operations 900 East Stadium Blvd., Suite 180 Columbia, MO REPORT NUMBER(S) 2019002			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352		
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S	5)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION	
030-02278		24-00518-32		5/6-10/2019	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES US	ED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS		
87134		03.01 through 03.07 & 03.01 through 03.09			
	SUPPLEME	ENTAL INSPECT	ION INFORMATION		
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAC	T	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER	
02110	2	Sue Langhorst, R	₹SO	(573) 864-2941	
✓ Main Office Inspec	tion	Date: 5/6-10/20	19		
Field Office Inspec	tion				
Temporary Job Sit	e Inspection				

## **PROGRAM SCOPE**

Title 10 CFR 30.41(c) requires that, prior to transferring byproduct material, the licensee verify that the transferee's license authorizes the receipt of the type, form, and quantity of byproduct material to be transferred. Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 30.41(d) specifies acceptable methods for this verification. On November 2, 2017, the licensee transferred 5.35 millicuries (mCi) of cobalt-57 (Co-57) to International Isotopes, Inc. and, prior to the transfer, the licensee did not verify by an acceptable method that International Isotopes, Inc.'s license authorized receipt of this material. In addition, on March 20, 2018, the licensee transferred 15 mCi of Co-57 to International Isotopes, Inc. and, prior to the transfer, the licensee did not verify by an acceptable method that International Isotopes, Inc.'s license authorized receipt of this material. As corrective action to prevent a similar violation, the licensee verified that all of the offsite licenses on file have the current amendment. In addition, the licensee revised a "Control Check Sheet for Packaging Radioactive Material for a Type A and Limited Quantity Shipment". Specifically, the licensee added verbiage about the need to verify that the licensee has the transferee's current license amendment to verify that the transferee is authorized to receive the radioactive material before transferring the radioactive material. In addition, the licensee updated its Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to add verbiage about the need to verify that the licensee has the transferee's current license amendment to verify that the transferee is authorized to receive the radioactive material before transferring the radioactive material. The licensee transported licensed material on a public highway. For example, on May 4, 2018, the licensee transported 368 microcuries of phosphorus-32, and on May 15, 2018, the licensee transported 3.9 mCi of gallium-67 on a public highway. On both dates, the driver placed the shipping paper on the floor between the front bucket seats of the vehicle with licensed material inside. Title 10 CFR 71.5(a) and 49 CFR 177.817(e) requires, in part, that the driver of a motor vehicle containing hazardous material ensure that the shipping paper is readily available to, and recognizable by, authorities in the event of an accident or inspection. Specifically, when the driver is not at the vehicle's controls, the shipping paper shall be: in a holder which is mounted to the side of the door on the driver's side of the vehicle; or on the driver's seat in the vehicle. As corrective action to prevent a similar violation, the licensee placed the shipping paper in a new pouch mounted to the inside of the door on the driver's side of the vehicle. As such, this violation is closed.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (07-2012) Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION					
<ol> <li>LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:</li> <li>Curators of the University - Columbia c/o Gary Ward Vice Chancellor of Operations</li> <li>900 East Stadium Blvd., Suite 180 Columbia, MO</li> <li>REPORT NUMBER(S) 2019002</li> </ol>			<ul> <li>2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE</li> <li>Region III</li> <li>U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission</li> <li>2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210</li> <li>Lisle, IL 60532-4352</li> </ul>		
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 24-00518-32		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 5/6-10/2019	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87134		7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 through 03.07 & 03.01 through 03.09		.09	
	SUPPLEM	ENTAL INSPECT	ION INFORMATION		
1. program code(s) 02110	2. PRIORITY 2	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Sue Langhorst, RSO		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER (573) 864-2941	
✓ Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection	Date: 5/6-10/20	)19	
Field Office Ins	pection				
Temporary Job	Site Inspection			· · · ·	
		PROGRAM SO	COPE		

On May 15 and May 16, 2018, the inspectors visited a category II laboratory that was approved to possess and use mCi quantities of natural uranium and thorium, depleted uranium, and neptunium-237, in any form. Due to the hazards associated with studies that were being conducted in this laboratory, the handling of the nuclides was conducted within ventilated glove boxes. The inspectors noted that three trained radiation workers who were processing and handling RSC-approved radionuclides in glove boxes, were not wearing laboratory coats. The inspectors interviewed the workers who stated that laboratory coats were not used because the laboratory coat sleeves restricted their arm movement in the glove ports of the boxes.

License Condition Number 33.E of Amendment Number 120 requires, in part, that the licensee conduct its program in accordance with statements made in the letter dated December 30, 2013. In Item 10.E of the letter, entitled "Safe Use of Radionuclides and Emergency Procedures", the licensee committed to adopt the general rules published in Appendix R to NUREG-1556, Volume 11, dated April 1999. Specifically, Appendix R describes topics to be implemented for the safe use of radioisotopes, which included, "Wear a laboratory coat or other protective clothing at all times in areas where licensed materials are used". The licensee's failure to wear laboratory coats or other protective clothing in areas where licensed materials were being used is a violation of License Condition Number 33.E. of Amendment Number 120.

At the time the violation was observed, the licensee staff in the laboratory were instructed to don laboratory coats. Immediate corrective action was taken by the end of the day on May 16, 2018, and the inspectors observed that all three workers were wearing laboratory coats. The licensee re-educated AUs and laboratory personnel on the importance of proper PPE usage, created a laboratory PPE policy for all campus laboratories, and enforced existing applicable policies. In addition, the licensee had its Industrial Hygienist perform a formal risk assessment regarding the concern about the laboratory coat sleeves restricting arm movement in the glove ports of the boxes. The licensee prepared a lab specific PPE plan for the laboratory where this violation was observed. As such, this violation is closed.

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201	D	ocket File Info	U.S. NU	CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION					
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Curators of the University - Columbia c/o Gary Ward Vice Chancellor of Operations			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III		
900 East Stadium Blvd., Suite 180 Columbia, MO			U. S. Nuclear Regular 2443 Warrenville Roa Lisle, IL 60532-4352	ad, Suite 210	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(	S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION	
030-02278		24-00518-32		5/6-10/2019	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87134		7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 through 03.07 & 03.01 through 03.09		09	
	SUPPLEME	ENTAL INSPECT	ION INFORMATION		
1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 02110	2. PRIORITY 2	3. LICENSEE CONTAC Sue Langhorst, F	RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER (573) 864-2941	
✓ Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection	Date: 5/6-10/20	119	
Field Office Inspec	tion	A.11			
Temporary Job Sit	e Inspection				
		PROGRAM SO	COPE		

Title 10 CFR 20.1502(a)(1) states that each licensee shall monitor exposures to radiation and radioactive material at levels sufficient to demonstrate compliance with the occupational dose limits of this part. As a minimum, (a) each licensee shall monitor occupational exposure to radiation from licensed and unlicensed radiation sources under the control of the licensee and shall supply and require the use of individual monitoring devices by (1) adults likely to receive in 1 year from sources external to the body, a dose in excess of 10 percent of the limits in 10 CFR 20.1201(a). The licensee failed to conduct an evaluation for the need to wear dosimeter badges, or to monitor individuals with dosimeter badges is a violation of 10 CFR 20.1502(a)(1) and License Condition Number 33.E. of Amendment Number 120. The licensee implemented corrective actions to prevent a similar violation. Specifically, on the day that the violation was identified, the licensee assigned dosimeter badges to the three aforementioned radiation workers and the workers started to wear their dosimeter badges. The licensee reviewed their dosimeter badge results to inform their evaluation to determine if the workers are likely to receive in one year from sources external to the body, a dose in excess of 10 percent of the limits in 10 CFR 20.1201(a). In addition, the licensee used dosimetry results for the three staff who use radioactive material at the highest frequency for a year to inform their dose modeling for the other lab staff. As such, this violation is closed.