UPDATES ON THE REVISION AND EXPANSION OF ASME/ANS EXTERNAL FLOODING PRA STANDARD

Michelle (Shelby) Bensi, Ph.D.* University of Maryland, College Park

> 2019 NRC PFHA Workshop May 2, 2019

*Presenting on activities of the JCNRM Part 8 Project Team.

Background and Context

ASME/ANS PRA Standard for Level 1/Large Early Release Frequency Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) for Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) Applications

Purpose

- Sets forth requirements for probabilistic risk assessments (PRAs) used to support riskinformed decisions for commercial nuclear power plants
- Prescribes a method for applying these requirements for specific applications

Background and Context

ASME/ANS PRA Standard for Level 1/Large Early Release Frequency Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) for Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) Applications

Structure

- Parts (Hazard Groups)
- Technical Requirements
- High-level Requirements
- Supporting Requirements
- Capability Categories
- Commentary/Non-Mandatory Appendices

Background and Context

ASME/ANS PRA Standard for Level 1/Large Early Release Frequency Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) for Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) Applications

Key notes

- Consensus Standard
- "What to do" not "how to do"
- Reflects current state of practice
- Periodically revised

Uses three technical elements (like other external hazard groups)

- 1. Hazard Analysis Technical Element
- 2. Fragility Technical Element
- 3. Plant Response Technical Element

1. Hazard Analysis Technical Element

- Screening
- Data collection, site characterization, and walkdowns
- Hazard severity characterization
- PFHA and development of hazard curves

3. Fragility Technical Element

- Identification of SSCs for which evaluation is needed (in conjunction with plant response)
- Walkdowns
- Identification of local hazard conditions (demands placed on SSCs)
- Fragility evaluation

3. Plant Response Technical Element

- Scenario identification and mission times
- Initiating events, accident sequences, and accident progression sequences
- Walkdowns, exercises, and simulations
- Human action identification and reliability assessment
- Quantification of CDF and LERF

Revision of Standard

Standard (all parts) currently being updated

- Modification, deletion, and creation of requirements
- Varying degrees of revision between Parts
- Consistency efforts (particularly between Parts)

Significant effort undertaken by Project Team 8 to revise Part 8

Current version of Part 8

- Limited in detail
- Judged external flooding to be of low significance
- Allowed aggressive screening

Revised version of Part 8

Significantly more detailed

Revision of XFPRA Standard

Revision recognizes current knowledge and recent lessons-learned

- Understanding of flooding hazards and risks
- Operating experience
- Hazard and plant response insights from Post-Fukushima activities

Revision recognizes limitations in current state of practice

- Uses many non-prescriptive requirements
- Provides significant flexibility in addressing requirements

Developed extensive non-mandatory appendix

Provides commentary, clarifications, and insights

Challenges Encountered During Revision of XFPRA Standard

Nature of flooding hazards

- Diverse flooding hazards
- Diverse characteristics of flooding events

Plant Impacts From Flooding Hazards

- Diverse ways flood hazards may affect site
- Diverse strategies that may be employed to protect against or mitigate
- Warning time and (potentially) extensive human actions

Challenges Encountered During Revision of XFPRA Standard

Limited state of practice of NPPs

Unique relative to other applications

Other issues

- Define scope
- Assign responsibility between hazard groups
- Offer flexibility while avoiding ambiguity
- "To screen or not to screen... that is [one key] question"

Challenges: Nature Of Flooding Hazards

Multiple and diverse phenomena may lead to flooding at NPP sites

- Natural (weather, geo-hazards)
- Man-made

Combinations of flood-causing mechanisms

- Independent events
- Common cause events
- Induced events

Coexistent hazards

- Events involving multiple hazard groups
- Identifying which hazard "leads the analysis"

Challenges: Nature Of Flooding Hazards

Flooding events are complex

- Temporally and spatially dynamic
- Multiple measures of severity (e.g., flood height and associated effects)
- Warning time and (potentially extended) duration of inundation

Challenges: Plant Impacts From Flooding Hazards

Plant response strategies differ across sites and flooding mechanisms

- Protection approaches
 - Passive/active
 - Permanent/temporary
 - Different strategies for different hazards
- Mitigation approaches
 - Unconventional strategies (particular for hazards not considered in original design)
- Manual actions
- Equipment that are not modeled in the baseline PRA

Challenges: Plant Impacts From Flooding Hazards

Warning time may be available

- Changes in operating mode
- Plant re-configurations
- Installation of plant protective features

Duration of event may be days to weeks (or longer)

Differs from conventional PRA mission times

Summary

Revision of Part 8 ...

- Is significantly expanded and more detailed that previous version
- Recognizes that external flooding is a potentially significant risk contributor
- Includes a large number of new requirements (full re-write)
- Affords significant flexibility is addressing most requirements
- Includes a detailed non-mandatory appendix (companion document)

Status

- Final technical review by Part 8 underway
- Awaiting outcomes of broader consistency efforts
- Expected to be reviewed/balloted in coming months