

**From:** [Naquin, Ty](#)  
**To:** [Whaley, Paul M](#)  
**Subject:** RE: RE: RE: RE: RE: RE: Accession No ML19079A165  
**Date:** Friday, April 26, 2019 9:46:00 AM

---

Just to stay in touch, the document has yet to hit ADAMS. I should receive notification when something hits ADAMS under a docket I look after. I'll be looking for it next week and let you know how it goes. In the meantime I'll begin some work on the copy you've given me. I'll be on travel Wednesday through Friday next week.

T. D. Naquin, CHP  
NMSS/DFCSE/FFLB  
(301) 415-7352



---

**From:** Whaley, Paul M [mailto:[whaley@mail.utexas.edu](mailto:whaley@mail.utexas.edu)]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 22, 2019 1:55 PM  
**To:** Naquin, Ty <[Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov)>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: RE: RE: RE: RE: Accession No ML19079A165

Signed out today; our admin support is out & therefore will hit FedEx tomorrow.

I'm a little conflicted about public disclosure of facility details that might give indication of fuel storage location, and would appreciate if you would consider redacting "(Auxiliary Equipment Room, Rm. 2.204B within the Reactor room)" and references to "room 2.204B" as per attached.

Thanks,  
pmw

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

---

**From:** Naquin, Ty <[Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 22, 2019 9:44:55 AM  
**To:** Whaley, Paul M  
**Subject:** RE: RE: RE: RE: RE: Accession No ML19079A165

Sounds good.

T. D. Naquin, CHP  
NMSS/DFCSE/FFLB  
(301) 415-7352



---

**From:** Whaley, Paul M [<mailto:whaley@mail.utexas.edu>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 22, 2019 10:44 AM  
**To:** Naquin, Ty <[Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov)>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: RE: RE: RE: Accession No ML19079A165

Thanks; should go out today or tomorrow. As previously, when I get it in the mail (FedEx) I will send a courtesy copy email to you.

pmw

---

**From:** Naquin, Ty <[Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 22, 2019 9:35 AM  
**To:** Whaley, Paul M <[whaley@mail.utexas.edu](mailto:whaley@mail.utexas.edu)>  
**Subject:** RE: RE: RE: RE: Accession No ML19079A165

It looks pretty complete to me. Fill in your subject line. I think we have something to work with.

T. D. Naquin, CHP  
NMSS/DFCSE/FFLB  
(301) 415-7352



---

**From:** Whaley, Paul M [<mailto:whaley@mail.utexas.edu>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 22, 2019 10:24 AM  
**To:** Naquin, Ty <[Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov)>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: RE: RE: Accession No ML19079A165

OK. Does this cover all the bases?

Thanks  
pmw

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

---

**From:** Naquin, Ty <[Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 22, 2019 9:10:13 AM  
**To:** Whaley, Paul M  
**Subject:** RE: RE: RE: Accession No ML19079A165

The absolute clearest would be you withdrawing the original in the beginning of the submittal. You don't have to do a lot to explain it. It could be 'based on input from DOE

and the preparation required for shipping these materials.’  
I might even prefer that. Just make reference to the original submittal and a one-liner about why. What do you think?

T. D. Naquin, CHP  
NMSS/DFCSE/FFLB  
(301) 415-7352



---

**From:** Whaley, Paul M [<mailto:whaley@mail.utexas.edu>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 22, 2019 10:04 AM  
**To:** Naquin, Ty <[Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov)>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: RE: Accession No ML19079A165

Would referencing a telecom requesting further detail create a possible flag perception that it should have been a public meeting? Or skirting the RAI process? I don't mind doing adding the reference and the signed letter hasn't gone out yet (I think), just want to make sure this won't cause problems.

Thanks  
pmw

---

**From:** Naquin, Ty <[Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 22, 2019 4:30 AM  
**To:** Whaley, Paul M <[whaley@mail.utexas.edu](mailto:whaley@mail.utexas.edu)>  
**Subject:** RE: RE: Accession No ML19079A165

Sorry about not getting back sooner. I was traveling on Friday.

Now looking again at this, here is what happened on this. Document Control did not have me identified as the PM, so it was never sent to me. I remember at the time that you had said you were sending in an amendment. I finally went looking for it in ADAMS, as NRC staff, and found it. Document Control posted it as non-public because they had no PM to profile the document for public availability. That has been corrected, but the profile on that document has not been fixed.

I have requested Document Control to change it. That may take some time, but that shouldn't hold you up from going ahead with your submittal. Go ahead and cite the document under that ADAMS Accession Number and that should work just fine. Please state in this submittal why you are supplementing the original submittal. You can cite telephone conversation requesting further detail....

T. D. Naquin, CHP  
NMSS/DFCSE/FFLB  
(301) 415-7352



---

**From:** Whaley, Paul M [<mailto:whaley@mail.utexas.edu>]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 19, 2019 8:19 AM  
**To:** Naquin, Ty <[Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov)>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: Accession No ML19079A165

Is this document public?

I searched ADASM for the accession number and didn't return anything; so I searched for SNM-180, came up with 2 items for SNM-1800 and a 2009 item for SNM-180 for UT Austin.

Thanks  
pmw

---

**From:** Naquin, Ty <[Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov](mailto:Tyrone.Naquin@nrc.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 18, 2019 3:39 PM  
**To:** Whaley, Paul M <[whaley@mail.utexas.edu](mailto:whaley@mail.utexas.edu)>  
**Subject:** Accession No ML19079A165

T. D. Naquin, CHP  
NMSS/DFCSE/FFLB  
(301) 415-7352

