UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

E. Roy Hawkens, Chairman Dr. Michael F. Kennedy Dr. Sue H. Abreu

In the Matter of

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

(Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Units 3 and 4)

Docket Nos. 50-250-SLR & 50-251-SLR

ASLBP No. 18-957-01-SLR-BD01

March 21, 2019

INITIAL SCHEDULING ORDER

In the above-captioned proceeding, this Licensing Board granted hearing requests filed by (1) Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE), and (2) Friends of the Earth, Inc., Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., and Miami Waterkeeper, Inc. (collectively, Joint Petitioners), challenging the subsequent license renewal application by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) for two nuclear power reactors, Turkey Point Units 3 and 4, at FPL's facility near Homestead, Florida. <u>See</u> LBP-19-3, 89 NRC (2019).

On March 19, 2019, SACE, Joint Petitioners, FPL, and the NRC Staff filed a joint motion regarding the hearing schedule, mandatory disclosures, and hearing file obligations in this proceeding. <u>See</u> Joint Motion Regarding Hearing Schedule, Mandatory Disclosures, and Hearing File Obligations (Mar. 19, 2019). This motion confirmed that the NRC Staff expects to issue the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS) in March 2019, and it also indicated that the NRC Staff expects to issue the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (FSEIS) in August 2019. <u>See id.</u> at 3. Consistent with these expectations, the

hearing schedule.

We grant the requested disclosure protocol, as follows:

Disclosure Protocol

- 1. The parties are not required to identify or produce privileged documents (e.g., attorney-client communications, attorney work product, deliberative process). However, the parties will identify proprietary documents;¹
- 2. The parties are not required to identify or produce any document that has been served on all other parties to this proceeding;
- 3. The parties are not required to identify or produce press clippings;
- 4. The parties are not required to identify or produce draft documents (including comments on drafts, resolutions of comments, draft transmittals, or similar documents). However the parties will identify (and produce, if requested) any relevant final revisions of documents, i.e., Rev. 1, Rev. 2.
- 5. The NRC Staff will combine its mandatory disclosures and hearing file disclosures, and will identify the location where all non-privileged documents that it is required to disclose are available via the NRC's website or the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), as required by 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.336(b) and 2.1203. The parties are not otherwise required to identify or produce documents available via the NRC's website or ADAMS.
- 6. The parties are not required to produce documents that are publicly available. However, the parties will identify such documents (other than documents available via the NRC's website or ADAMS, which are covered in item 5 above), along with information regarding the location of such documents (e.g., web address).
- 7. If an identical document is found in multiple locations or in multiple formats (e.g., hardcopy and electronic), the parties are only required to identify or produce one instance of the document; and
- 8. All required productions shall be provided in a text-searchable electronic format, to the extent practicable.

¹ Any request for the production of proprietary documents would be governed by the terms of an appropriate protective order to be issued by this Licensing Board. Within 14 days of initial disclosures, the parties will submit a joint proposal for this Board's consideration regarding the issuance of a protective order.

Issuance of DSEIS (D) (expected March 2019)			
			al Disclosures Due (I) (Disclosures shall be supplemented by each party ne last working day of each month thereafter).
D + 30 Dea		Dea	dline for dispositive motions based on DSEIS.
D + 50 Dea		Dea	dline for answer supporting or opposing a dispositive motion.
[Later of D or I] De + 45		Dea	dline for new (N) or amended contentions based on DSEIS.
			wers to new or amended contentions based on DSEIS.
N + 32	N + 32 Rep		lies to answers to new or amended contentions based on DSEIS.
			rd Order on admission of new or amended contentions based on DSEIS ral argument or supplemental briefing is not required).
Issuance of FSEIS (F) (expected August 2019)			
YES	NO		[NEW OR AMENDED CONTENTIONS ON FSEIS]
F + 30			Deadline for new or amended contentions based on FSEIS.
F + 55			Answers to new or amended contentions based on FSEIS.
F + 62			Replies to answers to new or amended contentions based on FSEIS.
F + 107			Board Order (O) on admission of new or amended contentions based on FSEIS (if oral argument or supplemental briefing is not required).
O + 14	F + 44		Complete mandatory disclosures. ²
O + 30	F + 60		Deadline for dispositive motions based on FSEIS.
O + 50	F + 80		Deadline for answer supporting or opposing a dispositive motion.
O + 70	F + 100		Deadline for initial written statements of position, written direct testimony, and proposed exhibits [10 C.F.R. § 2.1207(a)(1)].
O + 110	F + 140		Deadline for written responses, written rebuttal testimony, and proposed exhibits [10 C.F.R. § 2.1207(a)(2)].
O + 125	F + 155		Proposed questions (filed <u>in camera</u>) for the Board to consider propounding at the evidentiary hearing [10 C.F.R. § 2.1207(a)(3)(i) & (ii)].
O + 150	F + 180		Evidentiary Hearing (HRG).
HRG + 24			Deadline for proposed findings of fact & conclusions of law.
[later of HRG or close of record] + 90			Initial Decision.

We grant in part the requested hearing schedule, as follows:

² We note that the duty to disclose is "continuing" and only "ends when the [Board] issues a decision resolving the contention, or at such other time as may be specified by the [Board] or the Commission." 10 C.F.R. § 2.336(d).

In LBP-19-3, this Board referred to the Commission our ruling that 10 C.F.R.

§ 51.53(c)(3) applies to the preparation of environmental reports in subsequent license review proceedings. <u>See LBP-19-3</u>, 89 NRC at __, n.46 (slip op. at 25 n.46). It is to be acknowledged that the Commission's decision on our referred ruling could impact this hearing schedule.

Any objection to this order must be filed within five days after service of this order. <u>See</u> 10 C.F.R. § 2.329(e).

It is so ORDERED.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

/RA/

E. Roy Hawkens, Chairman ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Rockville, Maryland March 21, 2019

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

(Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Units 3 & 4) Docket Nos. 50-250-SLR 50-251-SLR

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing **INITIAL SCHEDULING ORDER** have been served upon the following persons by Electronic Information.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication Mail Stop: O-16B33 Washington, DC 20555-0001 E-mail: <u>ocaamail@nrc.gov</u>

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of the Secretary of the Commission Mail Stop: O-16B33 Washington, DC 20555-0001 E-mail: <u>hearingdocket@nrc.gov</u>

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 E. Roy Hawkens, Chairman Sue Abrue, Administrative Judge Taylor A. Mayhall, Law Clerk Joseph D. McManus, Law Clerk E-mail: Roy.Hawkens@nrc.gov Sue.Abrue@nrc.gov Taylor.Mayhall@nrc.gov Joseph.McManus@nrc.gov U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of the General Counsel Mail Stop - O-14A44 Washington, DC 20555-0001 Anita Ghosh, Esg. Brian Harris, Esq. Esther R. Houseman David E. Roth, Esq. Sherwin E. Turk, Esq. Jeremy L. Wachutka, Esq. Mitzi A. Young, Esg. Krupskaya T. Castellon, Paralegal E-mail: Anita.Ghosh@nrc.gov Brian.Harris@nrc.gov Esther.Houseman@nrc.gov David.Roth@nrc.gov Sherwin.Turk@nrc.gov Jeremy.Wachutka@nrc.gov Mitzi.Youna@nrc.gov Krupskaya.Castellon@nrc.gov

Florida Power & Light Company 801 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Suite 220 Washington, DC 20004 Steven C. Hamrick, Esq. E-mail: <u>steven.hamrick@fpl.com</u> Turkey Point, Units 3 & 4, Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251-SLR **INITIAL SCHEDULING ORDER**

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20004 Paul M. Bessette, Esq. Stephen J. Burdick, Esq. Ryan K. Lighty, Esq. Martin J. O'Neill E-mail: Paul.Bessette@morganlewis.com <u>Stephen.Burdick@morganlewis.com</u> <u>Ryan.Lighty@morganlewis.com</u> <u>Martin.Oneill@mrganlewis.com</u>

Natural Resources Defense Council 1152 15th Street, NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20005 Geoffrey H. Fettus E-mail: <u>gfettus@nrdc.org</u>

Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 1725 DeSales Street N,W., Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036 Diane Curran Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, LLP E-mail: <u>dcurran@harmoncurran.com</u>

Counsel for Miami Waterkeeper, Inc. The Super Law Group 180 Maiden Lane, Suite 601 New York, NY 10038 Edan Rotenberg, Esq. Email: <u>edan@superlawgroup.com</u> Monroe County, Florida Derek Howard, Esq. Assistant Monroe County Attorney 1111 12th Street, Suite 408 Key West, FL 33040 E-mail: howard-derek@monroecounty-fl.gov

[Original signed by Clara Sola] Office of the Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of March, 2019