

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of: )  
 )  
Holtec International ) Docket No. 72-1051  
 )  
HI-STORE Consolidated Interim Storage Facility )  
 )

**JOINT MOTION TO CORRECT ORAL ARGUMENT TRANSCRIPT**

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.323(a) and 2.327(d), Beyond Nuclear, on behalf of itself, Fasken Land and Minerals and Permian Basin Land and Royalty Owners (“Fasken”), the Sierra Club, Don’t Waste Michigan, Citizens’ Environmental Coalition, Citizens for Alternatives to Chemical Contamination, Nuclear Energy Information Service, Public Citizen, San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace, and Nuclear Issues Study Group, Holtec International, and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff (collectively, the “Participants”), hereby requests the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board to issue an Order correcting the transcript of the oral argument held on January 23 and 24, 2019. The proposed transcript corrections are set forth in Attachment 1. All of the Participants have authorized Beyond Nuclear to state that these corrections are necessary either to correct material errors in the transcript or to ensure that the transcript accurately reflects the statements made during the oral argument.

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_/signed electronically by/\_\_\_  
Diane Curran  
Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, L.L.P.  
1725 DeSales Street N.W., Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
240-393-9285  
[dcurran@harmoncurran.com](mailto:dcurran@harmoncurran.com)

February 21, 2019

**ATTACHMENT 1 -- PARTICIPANTS' PROPOSED TRANSCRIPT ERRATA**

**Proceeding Name:** Holtec International (Consolidated Interim Storage Facility)

**Docket No.:** 72-1051

**January 23, 2019**

<b>Page</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Now Reads</b>	<b>Should Read</b>	<b>Speaker</b>
14	7	Stender	Stenger	Desai
22	21	women	will mean	Reiser
23	14	sacrificed	sacrifice	Reiser
26	17	posed	opposed	Curran
29	7-8	a gross of the Administrative Procedure Act	a gross violation of the Administrative Procedure Act	Curran
33	12	railroad trunk	railroad track	Curran
33	13	dive	drive	Curran
33	21	saying that is we're using in the numbers	saying that we're using the numbers	Curran
34	3-4	petitioners that represented	petitioners that we represented	Curran
37	17-18	make an item	take it to them	Curran
39	14	COI-02-29	CLI-02-29	Curran
54	8	purpose and use statement	purpose and need statement	Taylor
54	25-26	finding of the – your new storage rule	finding of the Continued Storage Rule	Taylor
57	15	wouldn't be enough	would be enough	Taylor
67	3	cited up to Gordon Thompson's paper	cited to Gordon Thompson's paper	Taylor
77	5	if they had ADTS	if they had a DTS	Taylor
81	12	Mr. Reisser's report	Mr. Rice's report	Taylor
81	17	as Mr. Reisser notes	as Mr. Rice notes	Taylor
84	4	Reiss declaration	Rice declaration	Trikouros
84	6	Reiss declaration	Rice declaration	Trikouros

<b>Page</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Now Reads</b>	<b>Should Read</b>	<b>Speaker</b>
85	5	Mr. Reiss was not an expert	Mr. Rice was not an expert	Taylor
85	12	burner fuel	burnup fuel	Trikouros
85	24	burner fuel	burnup fuel	Trikouros
85	25	high burner fuel	high burnup fuel	Trikouros
86	13	high burner	high burnup	Trikouros
88	8	high burner fuel	high burnup fuel	Trikouros
89	5	burner fuel	burnup fuel	Trikouros
89	14	high burner fuel	high burnup fuel	Trikouros
131	18-18A	I believe Mr. Lodge, yes. And you are	I believe Mr. Lodge. MR. LODGE: Yes.	Ryerson
131	19	Representing the joint petitioners	CHAIR RYERSON: And you are representing the joint petitioners.	Ryerson
131	20	Rule 7	MR. LODGE: The rainmaker, all 7.	Lodge
132	15	gapping	gaping	Lodge
132	17	gapping	gaping	Lodge
132	24	situations	situation	Lodge
135	11-12	84 or 85	94 or 95	Lodge
136	15	full	final	Lodge
141	13	my unspoken implication	by unspoken implication	Lodge
145	25	They other	The other	Trikouros
146	10	we're already required	we're not required	Lodge
147	12-13	that's not inaccurate	that's not accurate	Lodge
148	10	We've done this before.	We've never done this before	Lodge
158	11	DIS	DEIS	Lodge
167	4	difficultly	difficulty	Lodge
178	2	a milestone case	The Millstone case	Lodge

**January 24, 2019**

<b>Page</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Now Reads</b>	<b>Should Read</b>	<b>Speaker</b>
179	18	our involvement	are involved	Desai
179	23	Holtec CISF	a Holtec CISF	Desai
180	11	We're getting to	We'll get to	Desai
180	19	as the petition	as of the petition date	Desai
180	21	providence	provenance	Desai
180	22	new home	NUHOMS	Desai
181	8	alternativeness	alternatives	Desai
181	16	admission, its answer doesn't have	admission in its answer that it doesn't have	Desai
182	2	value it	evaluate	Desai
182	12	and its	in which	Desai
182	16	canisters and	canisters in	Desai
183	7	having incorrect	having an incorrect	Desai
184	2	The reasons	There are reasons	Desai
184	4-5	cask, canister, and direction	cask-canister interaction	Desai
184	7	droughts	drops	Desai
184	15	for	before	Desai
184	20	NRC to draw	NRC. We draw	Desai
185	2	CS	CISF	Desai
185	5	the request	requests	Desai
186	5	canisters and Holtec's	canisters in Holtec's	Desai
186	16	project explained	project -- as explained	Desai
187	2	very	every	Judge Ryerson
187	23	and then	and regard	Desai
187	23	Holtech's	Holtec's	Desai
188	2	get them	get to that	Desai
188	4	is not opposed	has not opposed	Desai
189	9	to phase two	at phase two	Desai
190	3	before the	they throw the	Desai

<b>Page</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Now Reads</b>	<b>Should Read</b>	<b>Speaker</b>
193	16	Holtec's 4.1.1	Holtec's SAR 4.1.1,	Desai
194	16	analysis. How	analysis. Let's take a look. How	Desai
195	4	providence	provenance	Desai
196	10-11	So according to the safety -- the intent of the safety report,	So turning to the safety contention,	Desai
196	25	a CISF	the CISF	Desai
197	1	a CISF	the CISF	Desai
198	8	Now I looked	Now we've looked	Desai
198	10	casks, canister, and directions	cask-canister interactions	Desai
198	11	have passed	would have passed	Desai
198	12	had passed	would have passed	Desai
198	19	want an	want to do an	Desai
198	24	And put in	Now we point out in	Desai
199	4	stay in this	stay in this SAR	Desai
199	9	the road try	the road they try	Desai
199	11	ER	SAR	Desai
200	16	amendment	amended	Desai
201	12	analysis that are	analysis that relates to the fact that they are	Desai
204	1	for Vogtle ESP	to the Vogtle ESP	Desai
204	2	in page	on page	Desai
204	9	had said	had said in	Desai
205	3	three phases	twenty phases	Desai
205	6	with --	with this misleading marketing too,	Desai
206	8	reevaluate	really evaluate	Desai
207	2	nuclear	NEPA	Judge Trikouros
207	19	of me	for me	Judge Trikouros
207	25	incorporate a COC	incorporate the COC	Desai
240	1	if we could have the	if we could pass the	Silberg

<b>Page</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Now Reads</b>	<b>Should Read</b>	<b>Speaker</b>
250	10-11	on their current legislation	under current legislation	Silberg
250	19-20	have you position	have your position	Ryerson
251	16	for other than R&D purposes	for anything other than R&D purposes	Silberg
265	7-8	a cask-canister infraction	the cask-canister interaction	Desai
266	22	come up, we won't	come up... We won't	Silberg
266	23	facility Phases	facility. Phases	Silberg
267	1-2	putting out competitor's	putting our competitor's	Silberg
278	2	About	above	Leidich
279	5-7	leaking oil, fuel, oil field waters, old burn injection wells, or broken casing causing a dissolution cavity	leaking oilfield waters from old brine injection wells or broken casing causing a dissolution cavity	Leidich
283	2	MR. TAYLOR:	MR. LODGE:	Lodge
283	8	MR. TAYLOR:	MR. LODGE:	Lodge
283	11	MR. TAYLOR:	MR. LODGE:	Lodge
283	24	MR. TAYLOR:	MR. LODGE:	Lodge
291	15	reasonably bounding	reasonably bounded	Walsh
344	12	No change in speaker indicated	Speaker should be Judge Trikouros	J. Trikouros
358	4	clauses and contracts	clauses in contracts	Curran
359	11	in sort	in short	Curran
362	20	in the answers of	and the answers of	Taylor
363	18	with a private reactor owners	with private reactor owners	Taylor
370	19	with NAC International	of NAC International	Desai
370	20-21	We've timed it, we've established	But we've timed it, we have a stopwatch	Desai
371	7	not been disavowed	now been disavowed	Desai
371	18-19	our exchange about alternatives in competitions	our AM exchange about alternatives and competition	Desai

<b>Page</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Now Reads</b>	<b>Should Read</b>	<b>Speaker</b>
374	11	founding	bounding	Helfrich
374	21	exists	exist	Helfrich
376	11	West Chester	Westchester	Eye
376	15	quantity	community	Eye
378	16	refutable	irrefutable	Silberg
381	10	NAC	NRC	Silberg

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Holtec International	)	Docket No. 72-1051
	)	
(HI-STORE Consolidated Interim	)	
Storage Facility)	)	
	)	

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 21, 2019, Joint Motion to Correct Oral Argument Transcript and Attachment 1 were posted on the NRC's Electronic Information Exchange System.

\_\_\_/signed electronically by/\_\_\_

Diane Curran  
Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, L.L.P.  
1725 DeSales Street N.W., Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
240-393-9285  
[dcurran@harmoncurran.com](mailto:dcurran@harmoncurran.com)