Probabilistic Risk Assessment

Evidence and Estimation

Lecture 5-1

Region III

The NRC's policy statement on probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) encourages greater use of this analysis technique to improve safety decisionmaking and improve regulatory efficiency. The NRC staff's PRA Implementation Plan describes activities now under way on planned to expand this use. These activities include, for example, providing guidance for NRC inspectors on focusing inspection resources on risk-important equipment, as well as reassessing plants with relatively high core damage frequencies for possible backfits.

Another activity under way in response to the policy statement is using PRA to support decisions to modify an individual plant's licensing basis (LB). This regulatory guide provides guidance on the use of PRA findings



Protecting People and the Environment

Schedule

Course Overview

| | Wednesday 1/16 | Thursday 1/17 | Friday 1/18 | Tuesday 1/22 | Wednesday 1/23 | |
|-------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| Module | 1: Introduction | 3: Characterizing Uncertainty | 5: Basic Events | 7: Learning from Operational Events | 9: The PRA Frontier | |
| 9:00-9:45 | L1-1: What is RIDM? | L3-1: Probabilistic modeling for NPP PRA | L5-1: Evidence and estimation | L7-1: Retrospective PRA | L9-1: Challenges for NPP PRA | |
| 9:45-10:00 | Break | Break | Break | Break | Break | |
| 10:00-11:00 | L1-2: RIDM in the nuclear industry | L3-2: Uncertainty and uncertainties | L5-2: Human Reliability Analysis (HRA) | L7-2: Notable events and lessons for PRA | L9-2: Improved PRA using existing technology | |
| 11:00-12:00 | W1: Risk-informed thinking | W2: Characterizing uncertainties | W4: Bayesian estimation | W6: Retrospective Analysis | L9-3: The frontier: grand challenges and advanced methods | |
| 12:00-1:30 | Lunch | Lunch | Lunch | Lunch | Lunch | |
| Module | 2: PRA Overview | 4: Accident Sequence Modeling | 6: Special Technical Topics | 8: Applications and Challenges | 10: Recap | |
| 1:30-2:15 | L2-1: NPP PRA and RIDM: early history | L4-1: Initiating events | L6-1: Dependent failures | L8-1: Risk-informed regulatory applications L8-2: PRA and RIDM infrastructure | L10-1: Summary and closing remarks | |
| 2:15-2:30 | Break | Break | Break | Break | | |
| 2:30-3:30 | L2-2: NPP PRA models and results | L4-2: Modeling plant and system response | L6-2: Spatial hazards and dependencies | L8-3: Risk-informed fire protection | Discussion: course feedback | |
| 3:30-4:30 | L2-3: PRA and RIDM: point-counterpoint | W3: Plant systems modeling | L6-3: Other operational modes L6-4: Level 2/3 PRA: beyond core damage | L8-4: Risk communication | Open Discussion | |
| 4:30-4:45 | Break | Break | Break | Break | | |
| 4:45-5:30 | Open Discussion | W3: Plant systems modeling (cont.) | W5: External Hazards modeling | Open Discussion | | |
| 5:30-6:00 | | Open Discussion | Open Discussion | | | |

Overview



Learning Objectives

- Range of evidence used in NPP PRA
- Sources of operational data
- Bayesian estimation
- Treatment of model predictions and expert judgment



Resources

- J. Lane, "U.S. NRC Operational Experience Data Collection Program," NEA Workshop on the Use of Operational Experience in PSA, Boulogne-Billancourt, France, April 26-27, 2018. (ADAMS ML18123A479)
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Reliability and Availability Data System (RADS)" <u>https://nrcoe.inl.gov/resultsdb/RADS/</u>
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Industry Average Parameter Estimates," <u>https://nrcoe.inl.gov/resultsdb/AvgPerf/</u>
- N. Siu and D.L. Kelly, "Bayesian parameter estimation in probabilistic risk assessment," *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, **62**, 89-116, 1998.
- C.L. Atwood, et al., "Handbook of Parameter Estimation for Probabilistic Risk Assessment," *NUREG/CR-6823*, September 2003.
- R. J. Budnitz, et al., "Recommendations for Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis: Guidance on Uncertainty and Use of Experts," *NUREG/CR-6372*, 1997.



Other References

- "IEEE Guide to the Collection and Presentation of Electrical, Electronic, Sensing Component, and Mechanical Equipment Reliability for Nuclear-Power Generating Stations," *IEEE Std 500-1984*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, New York, 1983.
- Center for Chemical Process Safety, *Guidelines for Process Equipment Reliability Data with Data Tables*, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New York, 1989.
- G.E.P. Box and G.C. Tiao, *Bayesian Inference in Statistical Analysis*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1973.
- D. Kahneman, P. Slovic, and A. Tversky (eds.), *Judgment Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1982.
- M. Granger Morgan, "Use (and abuse) of expert elicitation in support of decision making for public policy," *National Academy of Sciences Proceedings (NASP)*, **111**, No. 20, 7176-7184, May 20, 2014.
- J. Xing and S. Morrow, "White Paper: Practical Insights and Lessons Learned on Implementing Expert Elicitation," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 13, 2016. (ADAMS ML16287A734)

Introduction



Basic event probabilities reflect state of knowledge

P{X|C,H}

- P = Probability
- X = Proposition of concern (e.g., SI pump failure rate < 10⁻³ per demand)
- C = Conditions of assessment (e.g., key assumptions)
- H = State of knowledge (dependent on assessor)

Introduction



State of Knowledge (About X)

- Affected by evidence; common forms:
 - Data (operational, tests, simulator exercises, experiments)
 - Generic estimates
 - Model predictions
 - Expert judgment
- Changes in H lead to changes in the probability distributions for model parameters

 $\pi_0(\theta|H_0) \to \pi_1(\theta|H_1)$

• Bayes' Theorem is the formal tool for updating



On "Data"

- "Data" (plural of "datum")
 - Facts, information, statistics, or the like, either historical or derived by calculation or experimentation
 - Any facts assumed to be a matter of direct observation
- PRA community uses both views:
 - System analyst: input parameters for PRA model
 - NPP-specific
 - Generic (e.g., IEEE Std-500, CCPS)
 - Data analyst: empirical observations used to estimate input parameters

Dafa



Operational Data

- See Lane (2018): history of NRC operational experience data collection and current programs.
- Key sources
 - Licensee Event Reports
 - See https://lersearch.inl.gov
 - >54,000 records (1980-)
 - Rich source, but level of detail and scope can vary
 - INPO Consolidated Events Database (ICES) – proprietary
 - Required (MSPI program) + voluntary reporting
 - Includes some demand and runtime data

| Protecting People a | nd the Environme | - | | | • A50 | ETH GONCEP | | |
|--|---|--|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| N.G.LAR REACTONS | MATCHINA | HADIOACTIVE WASTE | NUCLEAR | PUBLIC MEETINGS & INVOLVEMENT | NIC LOWART | | | |
| & REACTOR OPERATE | JAAL | Plana + Nuclear No. | ctors + Operating News | es + Operational Experience + He | wulte and Debelowers | (MINIT | | |
| FALENDARE | | Deceler C | | | | | | |
| Acces Automatic | n Pagana | Reactor C | perational | Experience Re | suits and | | | |
| Sharinel Physics Action | Date | Database | S | | | | | |
| Controls Degradation at Southeast Ruckear Prove Plant Dedrectors Reactor Vessel Plant Degradation | | This area contains undated results for a sensity of anovisable | | | | | | |
| | | published studies or | published studies conducted by the office of Natione Regulatory Research. | | | | | |
| | | Risearch. | | | | | | |
| Fits Photocher | | The numerous comp | The numerous component and system reliability and brending study | | | | | |
| Filmen-for-Outy Pe | igiana (| sapdatos of industry (| updates of industry performance will now be performed and reported every other year instead of yearly, as in the past. The convert update of these studies uses industry data threads calendar year 2016. The | | | | | |
| Groundwaler Conta | erenation children) | of these studies unit | | | | | | |
| at Noticer Plants | | nost upplane will use | most update will use data through calendar year 2008 and will be | | | | | |
| Photoan Factory | | availably in 2020, Th | available in 2020. The time of offsite prover and initiating event | | | Industry Trends | | |
| Japan Lassens Laamed | | updates wet will be performed and made available yearly. | | | CONTR Issues | | | |
| Operating Experience Smart Sample | | What's Nove in the 2 | What's Nove in the 2015 tipdate | | | | | |
| Operating Heador I Unactiveness | Somewary of Separate Investe for 2016 21 Overview and Informatic 21 Overview and Informatic 21 Overview and Informatic 21 | | | | | | | |
| Part Seath 2005-3 | - 100 | Parameter Estimat | 96 | | | | | |
| Multiple/Nepatitive Degraded Comencione Octume | | Initiatry Assage Pasameter Estimates | | | | | | |
| PART Surge Parkers | and the second second | Common Gauss Fadura Renamitize Externation | | | | | | |
| Parally (Dessays 2) | interior interactive | Loss of Offsee Power Lockstry Porformance of Index Values | | | | | | |
| Inside for Phenople | net Water Pleastors | | | | | | | |
| Peacher Verseel Into | anty . | Trends and Insight | la l | | | | | |
| Steen Generator Tube Degradation at Sen Onche Nuclear Generating Station | | Instantes Events | | | | | | |
| | | System Studies Communit Refeatures | | | | | | |
| Reading and Called | | Contention Cau | so Raking Insights | | | | | |
| a second | | Setomational | Common Gause Failur | | | | | |
| | | Augustam and at lothing | makes | | | | | |
| SIL | a contra la | and the second second | (Colored) | | | | | |
| A Destination of the | E 200 | Other Docerty | and a | | | | | |
| The shirt The | I TOP AND | Published Rep | tert Last 22 | | | | | |
| and the second | and the second | Print and a set of the | | | | | | |
| and the second | 26/2010 | Delabeses and Pro | ograme | | | | | |
| | | NIC Isactor - Industries Col | Operating Experience | Data (NERIDO) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | Barris Land Recorded | Contract Transformed Add | - F 1015 | | | | |
| | | | | 10,2017 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | |
| CONT OF LA ADD | | Constanting on and | (03.4) | ner son coconens | 500 0000 | 1.160 | | |
| NEW REPORTS | | BUDGET & PERFORMANCE | ****** | FACTORES IS & BROCH HILE | Man.oc | | | |
| CANE | | THE ARCOUNTRING | 12.111 | FORME | MPUOR. | | | |
| INCR GOV | | HISTORY OF THE MIC | 340.3 | ELLCTRONC SUDMITTALS | TWI ILS | 1 | | |
| TURNITE & TEACHER | 5 | EMPLOYNENT | | NRC REPORTS - NUREQ | C YOUTUR | s | | |
| HOTOS & VIDEO | | NELTHES | | NHC REGULATIONS - TO-CHI. | B +55 | | | |
| | | ADDNCY STATUS | DUNCY STATUS INSPECTION HUPCHIS | | | | | |
| | | CONTACT US | ONIACTUS PLAN IDNI ING | | | | | |
| | | | ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS | | | | | |



NRC Data Summaries

- Multiple links at http://nrcoe.inl.gov/resultsdb/
- Industry average estimates, trends, and summary data for PRA model parameters:

https://nrcoe.inl.gov/resultsdb/AvgPerf/

- Initiating events
- Component reliabilities
- Common cause failures: <u>https://nrcoe.inl.gov/resultsdb/ParamEstSpar/</u>

Event reports often require interpretation

- Plant-specific terminology
- Severity truly a "failure"?



Operating Experience Data

Operational Data Collection and Analysis for Risk-Informed Activities



J. Lane, "U.S. NRC Operational Experience Data Collection Program," NEA Workshop on the Use of Operational Experience in PSA, Boulogne-Billancourt, France, April 26-27, 2018. (ADAMS ML18123A479)

Data

Bayesian Estimation



Bayesian Estimation – Principles



- Bayes' Theorem: an expression of conditional probability
- Prior distribution quantifies belief before new evidence E
- Likelihood function quantifies probability of seeing E, given θ
- Posterior distribution quantifies belief given E
- k = normalization constant = $\int_{all \theta} L(E|\theta) \pi_0(\theta) d\theta$

Bayesian Estimation



Likelihood Functions – Examples

- General: $L(E|\theta) = P\{observing E|\theta\}$
- Poisson process (frequency = λ)
 - Evidence: *n* events in time *t*
 - Likelihood function: $L(n, t | \lambda) = \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t}$
 - Evidence: occurrence times $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$
 - Likelihood function: $L(t_1, \dots, t_n | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda t_i}$
- Bernoulli process (probability = ϕ)
 - Evidence: *n* events in *m* trials

- Likelihood function: $L(n, m | \phi) = {m \choose n} \phi^n (1 - \phi)^{m-n}$



Likelihood Functions – Another Example

- Expert judgment
 - Evidence = estimate $\hat{\lambda}$ for failure frequency λ
 - A possible likelihood function: lognormal

$$L(\hat{\lambda}|\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma\lambda}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\ln\lambda - (\hat{\lambda} + B)}{\sigma}\right)}$$

- B = bias
- $-\sigma$ = measure of confidence in expert

 $\sigma = 0, B = 0 \Rightarrow L(\hat{\lambda}|\lambda)$ is delta function about $\hat{\lambda} \Rightarrow$ perfect expert $\sigma = \infty \Rightarrow L(\hat{\lambda}|\lambda)$ is flat \Rightarrow completely non-expert

Likelihood Function = model of the evidence-generating process

Bayesian Estimation



Prior Distributions

- General: characterizes state of knowledge regarding uncertain parameter(s)
- Informative
 - Preferred in principle
 - Takes effort to develop
- Non-informative
 - Objective
 - Low effort
 - Conservative but can be "good enough"

Bayesian Estimation



Informative Prior Distribution Construction Methods

- Direct quantification
 - Percentiles
 - Parametric form + select characteristics (e.g., moments, percentiles)
- Hierarchical Bayes (notably "two-stage Bayes")
 - Model plant-to-plant (population) variability
 - Use population variability result as prior for plant of interest
- "Reverse engineering:" make judgments about generated samples (vs. model parameters)
- Be cognizant of biases from common heuristics (Lecture 2-3)
 - Representativeness
 - Availability
 - Anchoring and adjustment



Non-Informative Prior Distributions

- Based upon mathematical definitions of relative ignorance (relative to data) – not generally flat/uniform
- Examples ("Jeffrey's Rule priors")
 - Bernoulli process: $\pi_0(\phi) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi(1-\phi)}}$
 - Poisson process: $\pi_0(\lambda) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$
- Other "non-informative" distributions: maximum entropy, constrained non-informative
- See Siu and Kelly (1998) and Atwood et al. (NUREG/CR-6823) for further discussion of forms used in NPP PRAs
- Computational caution: non-informative prior distributions are often unbounded at one or both extremes; need to be careful if using numerical integration



Knowledge Check

If λ is distributed according to a Jeffrey's prior, what is the mean value for λ ?

Bayesian Estimation



Conjugate Likelihood-Prior Pairs

- Result in analytical solution of Bayes' Theorem => often used for computational convenience
- Can be informative or non-informative
- Examples:*
 - Binomial likelihood and beta prior => beta posterior
 - Assume n failures in m trials, and a beta prior with parameters a and b
 - Posterior distribution is beta with parameters a' = a + n and b' = b + m
 - Poisson likelihood and gamma prior => gamma posterior
 - Assume n failures in time t and a gamma prior with parameters α and β
 - Posterior distribution is gamma with parameters $\alpha' = n + \alpha$ and $\beta' = t + \beta$

*See Probability Math background slides for more information on the beta and gamma distributions



Bayesian Estimation

Sample Results





Comments

- When data are plentiful ("strong"), posterior is relatively insensitive to reasonable priors
- When data are weak, prior is important => important to construct carefully
 - Overly broad (undervaluing state of knowledge) => overly conservative results
 - Overly narrow (too confident in state of knowledge) => insensitive to data when obtained
 - Need to be wary of biases from heuristics



Model Predictions

- Common aleatory model: stress vs. strength
 - Time-reliability

 $P{Failure} = P{Time_{available} < Time_{needed} | \theta_{TR}}$

- Fire-induced damage

 $P\{Failure\} = P\{Temperature_{damage} < Temperature_{fire} | \theta_{fire}\}$

- Seismically-induced damage

 $P\{Failure\} = P\{Capacity_{seismic} < Acceleration_{EQ} | \theta_{seismic} \}$

 Uncertainties in parameters can be quantified and propagated through models; uncertainties in model outputs can also be quantified (Lecture 3-2)



Mechanistic Modeling - Comments

- Results and even models used in NPP PRAs
 - Component behavior (e.g., reactor coolant pump seals)
 - Success criteria
 - Internal and external hazards
- "Physics of Failure" models proposed for various issues (e.g., aging, CCF)
- Appealing approach to better account for current, relevant state of knowledge ("what we know")
- Need to account for parameter and model uncertainties
- Increases vulnerability to completeness uncertainty



Fire Model Uncertainty Example



time



Fire Model Uncertainty – Results





Cautionary Examples

- Multi-unit trip due to loss of communication
- Capacitor failure from operation at belowdesign voltage (non-nuclear)
- Increased accident consequences of a stronger pressure vessel (non-nuclear)
- Reactivity accident from rocking

Evidence from Experts



Expert Judgment

- Fundamental component of PRA ullet
 - Modeling
 - Data selection and analysis
 - Direct elicitation (qualitative and quantitative)
- Justification: decision support •

what "we" know

what "we" believe $\rightarrow \mathsf{P}\{\mathsf{X}|\mathsf{C},\mathsf{H}\}$

proposition/event of concern

conditions of probability statement

For RIDM, "we" = informed technical community (not just analyst/analysis team)





Direct Elicitation

- Aim
 - Take advantage of human ability to consider/integrate complex information
 - Engage a wide variety of expert viewpoints
- Key PRA applications
 - Problem formulation, planning of experiments and analyses (Phenomena Identification Ranking Tables)
 - Scenario development (logic trees)
 - Estimation of model parameters
- Key guidance documents
 - Processes designed to address known sources of biases
 - NUREG/CR-6825 and subsequent documents (Senior Seismic Hazard Analysis Committee: "SSHAC")





SSHAC Overview

- Designed with recognition of potential individual and social biases, e.g.,
 - Underestimation of uncertainties from heuristics (availability, anchoring and adjustment, representativeness, etc.)
 - Social influences on individual judgment (e.g., group dynamics, organizational influences)
- Emphasizes characterizing full community point of view (center, body, and range); documentation (and ownership) of bases for judgments
- Different "levels" for different needs

| Level | Characteristics |
|-------|--|
| 1 | TI only (literature review, personal experience) |
| 2 | TI interacts with proponents and resource experts |
| 3 | TI brings together proponents and resource experts |
| 4 | TFI organizes expert panel to develop estimates |

TI = Technical Integrator

TFI = Technical Facilitator/Integrator



Evidence from Experts



Evidence from Experts



Direct Elicitation – Cautions

- Experts
 - Key experts might not be available during project
 - Difficult to get undivided attention for extended periods of time
 - Different perspectives/frameworks => considerable effort to develop common understanding of problem
 - Inclination to provide the "right answer" based on individual point of view
 - Subject to usual human biases
- Results can be viewed as "the final solution"

A serious, important activity, but not the "Easy Button"