

CHAIRMAN Resource

From: Tom Gurdziel <tgurdziel@twcny.rr.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2019 9:42 PM
To: Transformation Resource
Cc: CHAIRMAN Resource; Bridget Frymire; techols@psc.state.ga.us; 'Ed Stronski'; Screnci, Diane
Subject: [External_Sender] More REG CON 2018 Comments

Good morning,

I watched the entire REG CON 2018, 2 day meeting. Here are some comments.

From Day 1

1:44:04 Why are we spending money exploring a higher temperature limit on cladding than 400 deg. C.? Isn't 400 deg. C. good enough?

4:12:42 A request for information was not, in my opinion, handled well. Why not have some NRC-paid person do an ADAMS search for the requestor, or at least make the offer to do so?

5:07:44 and 5:28:36 I noted comments asking for less requirements. That seems to make sense to me. What do you think?

From Day 2

2:59:xx There was a comment that welded casks don't allow interior inspection. There was no rebuttal answer from either the panel participants nor any NRC employee(s) present. Why was this claim not refuted? What about using a cutting torch on carbon steel or maybe air arc or a grinder? And, I think there is a new electro static discharge method in use now, too. If the claim is actually true, shouldn't somebody (in the NRC) start requiring the use of bolted casks?

To me this is pretty much stonewalling. And probably, such behavior in the past is what has led to the next item below.

3:32:47 "...I assumed that the local activist community would not trust the operator: the utility."..."I've been astonished the extent to which the many folks in the activist community don't trust you: the NRC"

Thank you,

Tom Gurdziel



Virus-free. www.avast.com