FS1-0038397	REVISION 2.0	Framatome Fuel	framatome
TOTAL NUMBER OF PAG	i <b>ES:</b> 375		
MAP PWR Fu	uel Shipping	Package – US	6A/9319/B(U)F-96

PROJECT			DISTRIBUTION TO	PURPOSE OF DISTRIBUTION
HANDLING	Public			
CATEGORY	TEP - Technical Report			
STATUS				
This document is el employees to civil a <u>Role</u> Writer Reviewer Approver	ectronically approved. Records rega nd criminal penalties. EDM Object lo Name FLANAGAN Bryan PRICE Jason TATE Timothy	rding the signatures : 0901216780c84cbr	are stored in the Fuel BU Document Datab - Release date (YYYY/MM/DD) : 2018/12 Date (YYYY/MM/DD) Organ 2018/12/12 23:49:24 Frama 2018/12/13 00:34:57 Frama 2018/12/13 00:48:18 Frama	ase. Any attempt to modify this file may subject /13 00:48:25 [Western European Time] ization atome Inc. atome Inc. atome Inc.
RELEASE DA	TA:		Classification Export AL: 0E001 ECCN: ( Les marchandises portant la désignation "AL iné allemande en matière de contrôle des exportatio désignation "ECCN inégal N" sont soumises à la désignations "AL.N" ou "ECCN:N" peuvent, selon l soumises à autorisation.	E001 gal N° sont soumises à la réglementation européenne ou ns au sein ou hors de l'UE. Les marchandises portant la a réglementation américaine. Les marchandises portant les a destination ou l'utilisation finales du produit, également être
SAFETY RELA	ATED DOCUMENT:	Y	Export classification AL: 0E001 ECCN: Goods labeled with "AL not equal to N" are subje exported within or out of the EU. Goods labeled authorization. Even without a label, or with label "A final whereabouts and purpose for which the goods	0E001 et to European or German export authorization when being g with "ECCN not equal to N" are subject to US reexport L: N" or "ECCN: N", authorization may be required due to the are to be used.
CHANGE CON This document, v reviewed or appr	ITROL RECORDS: when revised, must be oved by following regions:	France: N USA: Y Germany: N	Exportkennzeichnung AL: 0E001 ECCN: ( Die mit "AL ungleich N" gekennzeichneten innergemeinschaftlichen Verbringung der europäi "ECCN ungleich N" gekennzeichneten Güter un Kennzeichen, bzw. bei Kennzeichen "AL: N" od anderem durch den Endverbleib und Verwendungsz	DE001 Güter uterliegen bei der Ausfuhr aus der EU bzw. Schen bzw. deutschen Ausfuhrgenehmigungspflicht. Die mit terliegen der US-Reexportgenehmigungspflicht. Auch ohne er "ECCN: N", kann sich eine Genehmigungspflicht, unter weck der Güter, ergeben.

Framatome INC. PROPRIETARY – This document and any informatin contained herein is the property of Framatome Inc. (Framatome) and is to be considered proprietary and may not be reproduced or copied in whole or in part. This document shall not be furnished to others without the express written consent of Framatome and is not to be used in any way which is or may be detrimental to Framatome. This document and any copies that may have been made must be returned to Framatome upon request.

N°	FS1-0038397		
Hand	ling:		
Restricted Framatome			

Rev. 2.0

# REVISIONS

REVISION	DATE	EXPLANATORY NOTES
2.0	See 1 <sup>st</sup> page release date	Application revised to evaluate the use of chromium coated to the fuel cladding. Changed Tables 1-4, 6-3, Sections 2.11, 3.2.2, and added new section 6.4.2.1.1. Added new appendices 2.12.2 and 2.12.3 as document references.
1.0	12/12/2018	The previous revision to the document was 51-9026593-009.
		The drawings in section 1.1.3 have been updated as indicated in the table in that section.
		Editorial corrections and general formatting updated to meet current standards.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
I his document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	Ν
N°	ES1-0038397	Rev 20	

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	GEN	ERAL I	NFORMATI	ON	18
	1.1	INTRO	DUCTION		18
	1.2	PACKA	GE DESCRI	PTION	18
		1.2.1	PACKAGIN	G	18
			1.2.1.1	MAP BASE	18
			1.2.1.2	MAP LID	19
			1.2.1.3	MAP MATERIALS OF CONSTRUCTION	19
			1.2.1.4		19
		100		FACIAGE WEIGHTS AND DIMENSIONS	20
		1.2.2	CONTENTS		25
			1.2.2.1	TYPE A CONTENTS	25 25
			1.2.2.3	QUANTITY OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS OF MAIN	20
			1221	PACKING MATERIALS	25
		100			20
		1.2.3		LQUIREMENTS FOR PLUTONIUM	20
	13				30
	1.5	121			
2 0	отрі				
2.0	3180				40
	2.1	DESCH		STRUCTURAL DESIGN	40
		2.1.1		N	40
		2.1.2		ND CENTERS OF GRAVITY	40 41
		2.1.4	IDENTIFICA	TION OF CODES AND STANDARDS FOR PACKAGE	
			DESIGN		41
	2.2	MATEF	RIALS		41
		2.2.1	MATERIAL	PROPERTIES AND SPECIFICATIONS	41
			2.2.1.1	STAINLESS STEEL	42
			2.2.1.2	ALUMINUM	42
			2.2.1.3	POLYURETHANE FOAM	42
			2.2.1.4	LIDERGLASS	43 43
			2.2.1.6	BRITTLE FRACTURE	43
			2.2.1.7	FATIGUE	43
			2.2.1.8	BUCKLING	44
		2.2.2	CHEMICAL,	GALVANIC, OR OTHER REACTIONS	44
		2.2.3	EFFECTS C	F RADIATION ON MATERIALS	44
	2.3	FABRIC	CATION AND	EXAMINATION	44
		2.3.1	FABRICATI	ON	44
	24	Z.J.Z			44
	2.4				45
		2.4.1	TAMPER-IN	DICATING FFATURE	45
		2.4.3	POSITIVE C	LOSURE	45
	2.5	LIFTIN	G AND TIE-D	OWN STANDARDS FOR ALL PACKAGES	45
		2.5.1	LIFTING DE	VICES	45
		2.5.2	TIE-DOWN	DEVICES	48
	2.6	NORM	AL CONDITIO	DNS OF TRANSPORT	48
		2.6.1	HEAT		48
			2.6.1.1	SUMMARY OF PRESSURES AND TEMPERATURES	48

N° FS1-00	38397	Rev.	2.0		nning Packago	_
Handling: Restricted Fram	natome	Page 4/375		– USA/9319/	B(U)F-96	framatome
		2.6.1.2 2.6.1.3 2.6.1.4	DIFFI STRE COM	RENTIAL THERMAL E S CALCULATIONS ARISON WITH ALLOW	XPANSION	
	2.6.2 2.6.3 2.6.4 2.6.5 2.6.6 2.6.7	COLD REDUCED E INCREASED VIBRATION WATER SPF FREE DROF	EXTER DEXT	NAL PRESSURE RNAL PRESSURE		
27	2.6.8 2.6.9 2.6.10	2.6.7.1 CORNER DI COMPRESS PENETRATI	SUMI ROP . SION . ON	ARY OF NCT FREE D	ROPS	50 51 51 54 54
2.1	2.7.1	FREE DROF 2.7.1.1 2.7.1.2 2.7.1.3 2.7.1.4 2.7.1.5	END SIDE CORI OBLI SUMI	ROP – JUSTIFICATIC ROP – JUSTIFICATIC ER DROP – JUSTIFIC UE DROPS – JUSTIFIC ARY OF THE HAC FR	IN OF DROP ANGLE ON OF DROP ANGLE ATION OF DROP AN ICATION OF DROP AN IEE DROP RESULTS	
	2.7.2 2.7.3	CRUSH PUNCTURE 2.7.3.1 2.7.3.2	PUNO	FURE – JUSTIFICATIO	ON OF DROP ANGLI URE DROP RESULT	
	2.7.4 2.7.5	SUMMARY ( THERMAL 2.7.5.1 2.7.5.2 2.7.5.3 2.7.5.4	of IN Sumi Diffi Stre Com	ERNAL DAMAGE RES ARY OF PRESSURES RENTIAL THERMAL E SS CALCULATIONS ARISON WITH ALLOV	SULTS S AND TEMPERATU XPANSION VABLE STRESSES	
	2.7.6 2.7.7 2.7.8 2.7.9	IMMERSION IMMERSION DEEP WATE SUMMARY (	I — FIS I — AL ER IM OF DA	SILE MATERIAL PACKAGES ERSION TEST MAGE		
2.8 2.9	ACCIE ACCIE PACK/	DENT CONDIT DENT CONDIT AGES	IONS	OR AIR TRANSPOR	OF PLUTONIUM OF FISSILE MATE	77 RIAL 78
2.10 2.11 2.12	SPECI FUEL APPEI	AL FORM RODS NDICES				
	2.12.1	2.12.1.1 2.12.1.2	INTR PRE-	DUCTION EST MEASUREMENT	S AND INSPECTION	80 NS80
		2.12.1.2.1 2.12.1.2.2 2.12.1.2.3 2.12.1.2.4 2.12.1.2.5	TEST WEIG PUNC PENE TEST	ISTRIBUTION T DISTRIBUTION URE BAR MEASUREME RATION ROD MEASURI AD CHARACTERIZATIO	ENTS EMENTS	
		2.12.1.3 2.12.1.3.1		ARY OF TESTS AND	RESULTS	81
		2.12.1.3.2 2.12.1.4 2.12.1.4.1	CERI CTU 2	FICATION TESTS		82 
		2.12.1.4.1.1 2.12.1.4.1.2 2.12.1.4.1.3	PRE 1 POST	ST ST		85 85 85

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-00	38397	Rev.	2.0		l Shinning Package	<b>•</b> •
Handl Restr	ling: ricted Fram	atome	Page 5/375	9 5	– USA/9	319/B(U)F-96	framatome
L			2.12.1.4.1.4	CTU 2	POST TEST INSPE	CTION	
			2.12.1.4.2	UOT.			
			2.12.1.4.2.1		FT 10 SLAP-DOWI ST	N ON BASE	8/ 87
			2.12.1.4.2.3	POST	IFST		
			2.12.1.4.2.4	HAC	FT 30° SLAP-DOV	VN ON BASE	
			2.12.1.4.2.5	PRE 1	EST		
			2.12.1.4.2.6	POST	FEST		
			2.12.1.4.2.7	20 0		THROUGH CG ON LID	
			2.12.1.4.2.8	PRE	-51 1597		
			2.12.1.4.2.10	CGO	ER BASE SIDE CL	OSURE JOINT PUNCTURE	
			2.12.1.4.2.11	PRE	EST		
			2.12.1.4.2.12	POST	rest		92
			2.12.1.4.2.13	CTU <sup>·</sup>	POST TEST INSPE	CTION	93
			2.12.1.4.3	CTU 3			96
			2.12.1.4.3.1	NCT 4	FT HORIZONTAL L	ID DOWN	96
			2.12.1.4.3.2	PRE	<u>=</u> ST		
			2.12.1.4.3.3	HAC	Ι Ε 5 Ι ) FT ΗΩΡΙΖΩΝΤΔΙ		
			2.12.1.4.3.5	PRE	EST		
			2.12.1.4.3.6	POST	rest		
			2.12.1.4.3.7	20° O	LIQUE PUNCTURE	THROUGH CG ON LID	
			2.12.1.4.3.8	PRE	EST		
			2.12.1.4.3.9	P031			
			2.12.1.4.4	HAC	MINUTE THERMA	L IESI	
			2.12.1.4.4.1	PRE	-51		101
			2.12.1.4.5	THER	1AL TEST		102
			2.12.1.4.5.1 2.12.1.4.5.2	POST CTU 3	FEST POST TEST INSPE	CTION (EXTERIOR AND IN	ITERIOR OF
			2.12.1.4.5.3	CTU 3	GE) POST TEST INSPE	CTION (CUT AWAY OF LIE	
			2.12.1.5	TEST	S FINAL RESULT	S	116
			2.12.1.6	CER	FICATION TEST	UNIT DESCRIPTION	118
			2.12.1.6.1 2.12.1.6.2	CERT SIMU	FICATION TEST UN ATED PAYLOAD	NITS	118 118
		2.12.2	FS1-004026 SHIPMENT	50 ME	HANICAL INPUT	S TO LICENSING FOR A	TF FUEL
		2.12.3	FS1-003630	08 CR-	COATED M5 CLAI	DDING INPUT DATA FO	R US LTR
	30 TUE			יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי			160
				/IN			
	3.1	DESCI			AL DESIGN		
		3.1.1	DESIGN FE		:5 V UEAT		
		3.1.2 3.1.2					
		314	SUMMARY	OF M		RFS	104 155
	2.2						156
	3.2					IT SPECIFICATIONS	
		3.2.1					
	0.0						ODT 400
	3.3	3.3.1	HEAT AND				
			3.3.1.1	MAX	UM TEMPERAT	URES	163
			3.3.1.2	MINI	UM TEMPERATU	IRES	164
		3.3.2	MAXIMUM	NORM	L OPERATING P	RESSURE	164
	3.4	THERM	MAL EVALUA		OR HYPOTHETI	CAL ACCIDENT CONDIT	TIONS168
		3,4.1	INITIAL CO	NDITI	NS		
		3.4.2	FIRE TEST	CONE	TIONS		
		3.4.3	MAXIMUM	TEMP	RATURES AND F	PRESSURE	171

N°	FS	\$1-003	38397	Rev.	2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package	<b>L</b> uciona transforma
Hand Resti	lling: ricteo	l Frama	atome	Page 6/37	5	– USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome
_				3.4.3.1	MAXI	AUM HAC TEMPERATURES	
			344			AL STRESSES	173
		35					181
		0.0	3.5 1	COMPLITE	R ANA	YSIS RESULTS	181
			3.5.2	ANALYTIC	AL THE	RMAL MODEL	
				3.5.2.1	DESC	RIPTION OF THERMAL MODEL FOR N	ICT
				2500	CON		
			0.5.0	3.5.2.2			184
			3.5.3	LAST-A-FC	DAM' R	SPONSE UNDER HAC CONDITION	
	4.0	CON	TAINM	ENT			
		4.1	CONT	AINMENT BO	DUNDA	RY	
			4.1.1			ENETRATIONS	
			4.1.2 4.1.3		JVVEL		
		42	REQU			RMAL CONDITIONS OF TRANSPORT	196
		1.2	421	CONTAINM			196
			1.2.1	4211	TYPE	A SHIPMENTS	196
				4.2.1.2	TYPE	B SHIPMENTS	
			4.2.2	PRESSURI	ZATIO	I OF CONTAINMENT VESSEL	
			4.2.3	CONTAINM	IENT C	RITERION	198
		4.3	CONT	AINMENT RE	QUIR	MENTS FOR HYPOTHETICAL ACCIDE	INT
			COND	ITIONS (HAC	:)		198
			4.3.1	FISSION G			
			4.3.2				
				4.3.2.1	TYPE	A SHIPMENTS	
			433	CONTAINM			199
	50	SHIE					202
	6.0	CDIT					202
	0.0	6 1			•••••		202
		6.2	DESC	RIPTION OF	CRITI	ALITY DESIGN	
			6.2.1	DESIGN FE	ATUR	S	204
			0	6.2.1.1	CON	AINMENT SYSTEM	206
				6.2.1.2	FLUX	TRAP	
				6.2.1.3	NEU	RON-ABSORBING MATERIALS	208
				6.2.1.3.1	MATE		
				0.2.1.3.2 6.2.1.3.2.1			208
				6211			208
				62141	MATE		208
				621411	POLY	IRETHANE FOAM	208
				6.2.1.4.1.2	NEOF	RENE	
				6.2.1.4.1.3	NYLO		
				6.2.1.4.2	MODE		
				6.2.1.5	FLOC		
				6.2.1.5.1 6.2.1.5.2	REGI	N 1 – FUEL CAVITY N 2 – OUTER CAVITY – VOID BELOW 'W' F	
				6.2.1.5.3	REGI	N 3 – OUTER CAVITY – POLYURETHANE	FOAM REGION210
				6.2.1.5.4	REGI	N 4 – OUTER CAVITY – REGION EXTERIO	R TO OUTER
			600		SHEL		
			0.2.2 6.2.3	CRITICAL I	TABL	ETY INDEX (CSI)	211
					, .		

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-00	38397	Rev.	2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome		atome	Page 7/375		– USA/9319/B(U)F-96	rramatome
			6.2.3.1	PWR	FUEL TRANSPORT INDEX	
	6.3	FISSIL				
	0.0	6.3.1	PWR FUEL	ASSE	MBLIES	
	6.4	MODE	LING CONSI	DERA	LIONS	
		6.4.1	MODEL OR	IENTA	TION	
		6.4.2	PACKAGE I	MODE	L	214
			6.4.2.1	CON	ENTS MODEL	214
			6.4.2.1.1 6.4.2.1.2	PWR CR CO	FUEL ASSEMBLY MODEL: 15 TYPE 1A DATED RODS	214 215
			6.4.2.2	PACk	AGING MODEL	216
			6.4.2.2.1	FUEL	CAVITY AND FLUX TRAP MODEL	216
			6.4.2.2.2	OUTE		
		6.4.3	MATERIAL	PROP	ERTIES FOR PACKAGE MODEL	
			6.4.3.1	PACK	AGE TO MODEL COMPARISON	
		6.4.4	COMPUTER		ES AND CROSS-SECTION LIBRARIES	
		0.4.5				
			64511	MOST	DEACTIVE FUEL ASSEMBLY TYPE (CONTENTS	223
			6.4.5.1.2	MOST	REACTIVE FLOODING CONFIGURATIONS	
			6.4.5.1.3	CONS	ERVATIVE MATERIAL ASSUMPTIONS	224
			6.4.5.2	AS-B		
			6.4.5.3 6.4.5.4		MAL CONDITION OF TRANSPORT	
			6.4.5.5	LICE	ISING-BASIS MODELS	
	6.5	SINGL	E PACKAGE	EVAL	JATION	229
	0.0	6.5.1	CONFIGUR		FOR MAP PACKAGE WITH FUEL ASSEMB	LIES
		0.011	6.5.1.1	NORI	AL CONDITIONS OF TRANSPORT	
			6.5.1.2	HYPO	THETICAL ACCIDENT CONDITIONS	
		6.5.2	DELETED			
		6.5.3	RESULTS F	OR M	AP PACKAGE WITH FUEL ASSEMBLIES	
	6.6	PACKA	AGE ARRAY	EVAL	JATION	230
		6.6.1	CONFIGUR	ATION	FOR MAP PACKAGE WITH FUEL ASSEMBI	LIES230
			6.6.1.1	NOR	MAL CONDITIONS OF TRANSPORT	
			6.6.1.2	HYPC	THETICAL ACCIDENT CONDITIONS	
		6.6.2	DELETED.			
	67	SENG				230 220
	0.7	671				230 231
		6.7.2	PACKAGE	MODE	RATION STUDIES	234
			6.7.2.1	SING	LE PACKAGE EVALUATION	
			6.7.2.1.1.1	PART	AL FLOODING	234
			6.7.2.1.2	PART	AL DENSITY INTERSPERSED MODERATION	236
			6.7.2.2	PAC	AGE ARRAY EVALUATION	239
			6.7.2.3	POLY	URETHANE FOAM MODERATING EFFECT	241
		6.7.3	FLUX TRAF	P EFFE	CTIVENESS	241
			6.7.3.1		ERATOR DENSITY IN FLUX TRAP	
			0.7.3.2 6733	BEW	LINGT Y IN ABSORBER PLATES	
		674	NON-FLUX	TRAP	PACKAGING MATERIAL PROPERTY MODE	LING 245
		0.7.4	6741	ΜΔΤΓ		DDING 245
		o = -	6.7.4.2	ELIM	NATION OF STRUCTURAL STAINLESS STE	EL
		6.7.5	VARIATION	IS ON ES IN <sup>-</sup>	THE DEGREE OF INTERACTION BETWEEN THE SAME CONTAINER	247

N°	FS1-00	38397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package	
Hand Rest	Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 8/375	– USA/9319/B(U)F-96 <b>Tra</b> l	natome
		6.7.6	FUEL ASSEMBLY	SHIFTING WITHIN CONTAINER	248
			6.7.6.1 LATE	ERAL SHIFTING OF FUEL ASSEMBLIES	248
		0 7 7	6.7.6.2 AXIA	L SHIFTING OF FUEL ASSEMBLIES IN A CONTAIN	NER249
		6.7.7	PACKAGE ARRA	Y SIZE	250
			6.7.7.1 ORIE	ENTATIONS OF PACKAGES WITHIN ARRAY	
			6.7.7.2 PAC	KAGE ARRAY SIZE	255
		6.7.8	REMOVAL OF SF	PACING PROVIDED BY LID STIFFENERS	263
	6.8	BENC			
		6.8.1 6.8.2		OF BENCHMARK EXPERIMENTS NOF THE UPPER SAFETY LIMIT (USL)	
	6.9	APPEI	NDICES		
		6.9.1	FUEL ASSEMBLY	C DESIGN PARAMETERS AND PIN LAYOUTS	272
		6.9.2	EXPLICIT MAP-12		279
		6.9.3	COMPARISON B	ETWEEN KENOV AND KENOVI VERSIONS OF	280
		6.9.4	SAMPLE INPUT (	CASES	
			6.9.4.1 INPU	IT FOR LICENSING-BASIS CASE FOR PACKAGE	
			ARR 6942 INPL	AY WITH DRY FUEL-CLAD GAP IT FOR LICENSING-BASIS MODEL FOR SINGLE	
			CON	TAINER	324
	6.10	REFE	RENCES		
	7.0 PAC	KAGE	<b>OPERATIONS</b>		
	7.1	PACK	AGE LOADING		
		7.1.1	PREPARATION F	OR LOADING	
			7.1.1.1 REC	EIVE SHIPPING PACKAGE	
			7.1.1.2 PRE	FIGURE PACKAGE FOR FUEL ASSEMBLY LOADII	NG368
			7.1.1.4 INSP	PECTION	
		7.1.2	LOADING CONTE	ENTS	
			7.1.2.1 INSP	ECTION SE SHIPPING PACKAGE	
			7.1.2.3 INSP	ECTION	
		7.1.3	PREPARATION F	OR TRANSPORT	
			7.1.3.1 TRU	CK LOADING OF SHIPPING PACKAGES	
			7.1.3.2 REG	ULATORY	370 370
	7.2	PACK	AGE UNLOADING		
		7.2.1	RECEIPT OF PAG	CKAGE FROM CARRIER	
		7.2.2	REMOVAL OF CO	ONTENTS	370
	7.3	PREP	ARATION OF EMP	TY PACKAGE FOR TRANSPORT	371
	8.0 ACC	EPTA		MAINTENANCE PROGRAM	
	8.1	ACCE	PTANCE TESTS		
		8.1.1	WELD EXAMINA	TIONS AND MEASUREMENTS	
		8.1.3	STRUCTURAL AI	ND PRESSURE TESTS	
		8.1.4 8 1 5	LEAK TESTS	ID MATERIAL TESTS	372 372
		0.1.5	8.1.5.1 POLY	YURETHANE FOAM	
			8.1.5.1.1 DENS	SITY	
			8.1.5.1.2 MECH	HANICAL PROPRIETIES	
			8.1.5.1.4 THEF	RMAL PROPRIETIES	
			8.1.5.1.5 CHEN	AICAL COMPOSITION	

N°	FS1-00	38397	Rev. 2	2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package	
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 9/375		– USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome	
			8.1.5.2 N	NEUT	FRON ABSORBER PLATES	
			8.1.5.2.1 <sup>1</sup> 8.1.5.2.2 M 8.1.5.2.3 N	<sup>0</sup> BOF MECH /ISU/	RON AREAL DENSITY IANICAL TESTS AL INSPECTION	
			8.1.5.3 N	NEUT	FRON MODERATOR SHEETING	
			8.1.5.3.1 N	NYLO	N COMPOSITION	
		8.1.6 8.1.7	SHIELDING T THERMAL TE	TEST ESTS	S	375 375
	8.2	MAINT	ENANCE PRO	)GR/	AM	
		8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3	STRUCTURA LEAK TESTS COMPONEN	AL AN 5 T AN	ND PRESSURE TESTS ID MATERIAL TESTS	
			8.2.3.1 F 8.2.3.2 E	FAST BRAI	ENERS DED FIBROUS SLEEVING	375 375
		8.2.4	THERMAL			

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1 – Quantity of Radioactive Materials for Shipment in MAP (Type A and B)	27
Table 1-2 – Maximum Allowable Quantity of Radioactive Material	27
Table 1-3 – Typical Dimensions of the Main Components of Fuel Assembly and Fuel	
Rod	28
Table 1-4 – Typical Fuel Structure Materials	29
Table 2-1 – Nominal Material Properties of 10 lb/ft <sup>3</sup> Polyurethane Foam	42
Table 2-2 – Nominal Material Properties of 6 lb/ft <sup>3</sup> Polyurethane Foam	43
Table 2-3 – MAP Package Certification Drop Test Series	74
Table 2-4 – Comparison of Coated vs. Uncoated Cladding	78
Table 3-1 - Maximum Package Temperatures under NCT and HAC Conditions	155
Table 3-2 – Thermal Properties of Metallic Materials	159
Table 3-3 – Thermal Properties of Non-Metallic Materials	160
Table 3-4 – Effective Thermal Properties for Homogenized Fuel Region	161
Table 3-5 – Thermal Properties of Air	161
Table 3-6 – Thermal Radiative Properties	162
Table 3-7 – Diurnal Insolation Values for Horizontal Surfaces	188
Table 4-1 – Package Total Maximum Radioactivity for Type B Payload	200
Table 4-2 – Mixture A <sub>2</sub> Calculation for Type B Payload	201
Table 6-1 – Summary Table for MAP with PWR Fuel Assembly	211
Table 6-2 – Modeled uranium isotopic distribution within uranium material specification	212
Table 6-3 – Fuel assembly parameters for Certificate of Compliance	212
Table $6-4$ – Conservative modeling parameters for the 15 Type 1a fuel assembly model .	215
Table 6-4b - Comparison of Coated vs. Uncoated Cladding	216
Table 6-5 – Excerpt from an input deck showing material properties description	220
Table 6-6 – Material Descriptions	221
Table 6-7 – Actual Mass versus Modeled Mass – Packaging	222
Table 6-8 – Actual Mass versus Modeled Mass – Contents	223
Table 6-9 – Summary of Packaging Modeling and Conservatisms	228
Table 6-10 – Results for Single Package with Fuel Assemblies	229
Table 6-11 – Results for Package Array with Fuel Assemblies	230

№ FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 10/375	– USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Table 6-12 – Key results for single PWR fuel assembly calculations         23	33
Table 6-13 – Single Package Results for the sensitivity study for variation of nylon density in Flux Trap- preferentially and non-preferentially flooded Fuel	
Cavity evaluated	12
Table 6-14 – Package array results for the sensitivity study for variation of nylon density in Flux Trap– preferentially and non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity	10
Table C 45 - Deputte of the experit its study for veriation of 40D erich density in Elvy	ŧ۷
Trap for the package array model – non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity/single container evaluated	13
Table 6-16 – Results of the sensitivity study for removal of various flux trap (FT)	
components from the single container model – preferentially and non- preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity evaluated	14
Table 6-17 – Results of the sensitivity study for removal of various flux trap (FT)	
components from the package array model – preferentially and non- preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity evaluated	15
Table 6-18 – Results for neoprene material specification sensitivity study – non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity/single container evaluated	16
Table 6-19 – Results of the sensitivity study for removal of various stainless steel	
components in the base models – non-preferentially flooded Fuel	
Cavity/single container evaluated	16
Table 6-20 – Results for lateral assembly shift sensitivity study – non-preferentially	
flooded Fuel Cavity/single container evaluated	19
Table 6-21 – Results for study evaluating different configurations of flipped packages	
within a package array25	55
Table 6-22 – Results for final package array size sensitivity study; FLIP1 configurations	
with fuel-clad gap flooded (and pellet diameter minimized) and spacing	
provided by lid stiffeners removed; remaining 9 fuel assembly designs	
evaluated at a package array size 2N = 40 with no guide or instrument tube	
cladding credited; supports licensing-basis of 2N = 36 for all remaining	
designs except for the 15 Type 3 assembly 25	58
Table 6-23 – Data used to create plots    26	30
Table 6-24 – Results for sensitivity on container array for removal of spacing provided         by lid spacers	33
Table 6-25 – Listing and descriptions of benchmark experiment groups that MAP	
benchmarks were selected from (reports referenced in Ref. 3), and number of experiments selected from each group	35
Table 6-26 – Listing of MAP critical benchmark cases by report and by group	37
Table 6-27 – Comparison of critical benchmark experiment properties to MAP 26	39
Table 6-28 – Design parameters for the 14 Type 1 assembly 27	72
Table 6-29 – Design parameters for the 14 Type 2 assembly	/2
Table 6-30 – Design parameters for the 15 Type 1a assembly	73
Table 6-31 – Design parameters for the 15 Type 1b assembly	73
Table 6-32 – Design parameters for the 15 Type 1c assembly	74
Table 6-33 – Design parameters for the 15 Type 2 assembly 27	74
Table 6-34 – Design parameters for the 15 Type 3 assembly	75
Table 6-35 – Design parameters for the 16 Type 1 assembly $27$	75
Table 6-36 - Design parameters for the 17 Type 1 assembly	76
Table 6.37 Design parameters for the 17 Type 1 assembly $27$	76
Table 0-37 – Design parameters for the MAD 42 style MAD 40.	70
I able 6-38 – Comparison between the MAP-13 and MAP-12	9

№ FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package	framatome	
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 11/375	– USA/9319/B(U)F-96		

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 – MAP Package – Isometric View	. 21
Figure 1-2 – MAP Package – Cross Section at Internal Stiffeners	. 22
Figure 1-3 – MAP Package – Cross Section at Moderator and Absorber	. 22
Figure 1-4 – MAP Package – Close-up of Closure Cross Section	. 23
Figure 1-5 – MAP Package – Cross Section Lengthwise through Center	. 23
Figure 1-6 – MAP Package – Cross Section through Center at Bottom and Top (Left to	
Right)	. 24
Figure 1-7 – MAP Package – Cross Section at Interlocking L-Channels at End Impact Limiters	. 24
Figure 2-1 – MAP Package CG	. 41
Figure 2-2 – Primary Impact Stiffener Damage	. 50
Figure 2-3 – Secondary Impact Stiffener Damage	. 50
Figure 2-4 – Typical Stiffener after Drop	. 51
Figure 2-5 – Front View of Impact Limiter Damage	. 57
Figure 2-6 – Side View of Impact Limiter Damage	. 57
Figure 2-7 – Global Package Damage after Test CD3	. 58
Figure 2-8 – Primary Impact End Damage	. 58
Figure 2-9 – Secondary Impact End Damage	. 59
Figure 2-10 – Global Package Damage after Test CD5	. 60
Figure 2-11 – Top End Stiffener Damage	. 60
Figure 2-12 – Bottom End Stiffener Damage	. 60
Figure 2-13 – Shell Deformation at Lid Lifting Locations	. 61
Figure 2-14 – Puncture Damage for Test CP1	. 62
Figure 2-15 – Close-up of Puncture Damage for Test CP1	. 63
Figure 2-16 – Puncture Damage for Test CP2	. 64
Figure 2-17 – Close-up of Puncture Damage for Test CP2	. 64
Figure 2-18 – Puncture Damage for Test CP3	. 65
Figure 2-19 – Close-up of Puncture Damage for Test CP3	. 65
Figure 2-20 – Broken Door Rivets	. 66
Figure 2-21 – Primary Impact End, Broken Latch Receptacle and Bent Center Latch Bar	66
Figure 2-22 – Sheared Base Assembly Rivets	. 67
Figure 2-23 – Impact End Door Assemblies	. 68
Figure 2-24 – Impact End, Ballast Door Assembly, Damaged Hinge Knuckles	. 68
Figure 2-25 – Impact End, Bottom Fuel Segment	. 69
Figure 2-26 – Impact End, Bottom Ballast Segment	. 69
Figure 2-27 – Post Testing, Base Internal View	. 70
Figure 2-28 – Typical Door Latches after Testing	. 71
Figure 2-29 – Fuel Assembly with Bag and Tag Intact after Fire Test	. 71
Figure 2-30 – Certification Tests on CTU2	. 75
Figure 2-31 – Certification Tests on CTU	. 76
Figure 2-32 – Certification Tests on CTU3	. 77
Figure 2-33 - View showing CTU 3 (left) and CTU 2 (right) during heating	82

N°	FS1-0038397

**Restricted Framatome** 

Handling:

Page

12/375

	0.5
Figure 2-34 - CTU2 prior to drop	85
	. 85
Figure 2-36 - Bottom end fitting	86
Figure 2-37 - Bottom view of end fitting	. 86
Figure 2-38 - Assembly mid-span	86
Figure 2-39 - Top end fitting	87
Figure 2-40 - Base interior after impact	87
Figure 2-41 - Side view of Base after impact	. 87
Figure 2-42 - CTU1 prior to NCT test	88
Figure 2-43 - CTU1 after impact	88
Figure 2-44 - CTU1 prior to impact	89
Figure 2-45 - CTU1 after impact	89
Figure 2-46 - CTU1 close-up of impact end	90
Figure 2-47 - CTU1 inverted for puncture test	90
Figure 2-48 - CTU1 prior to puncture	90
Figure $2-40$ – Angle of CTU1	. 00
Figure 2-40 - Aligie of CTU1 an impact	01
Figure 2-50 - CTU1 on impact	
Figure 2-51 - CTUT impact area	92
	92
Figure 2-53 - CTU1 side puncture area	. 93
Figure 2-54 - Cracked barrel housing	93
Figure 2-55 - Location of broken rivets	93
Figure 2-56 - No door damage	94
Figure 2-57 - Cracked top weld	94
Figure 2-58 - Cracked top weld	94
Figure 2-59 - Cracked bottom weld	94
Figure 2-60 - No damage to bottom closure	94
Figure 2-61 - No damage to lid interior	95
Figure 2-62 - Slight rod movement (bottom)	95
Figure 2-63 - Bottom end fitting	95
Figure 2-64 - Mid-span edge grid failure	95
Figure 2-65 - Mid-span lattice compression	96
Figure 2-66 - Slight rod movement (top)	96
Figure 2-67 - CTU3 prior to NCT test	97
Figure 2-68 - CTU3 after NCT test	97
Figure 2-69 - CTU3 prior to HAC test	98
Figure 2-70 - CTU3 after impact	98
Figure $2-71 - CTU3$ lid impact area	08
Figure 2.77 CTU2 lid impact area	. 30
Figure 2-72 - CTUS inverted	90
Figure 2-73 - CTUS invented	
Figure 2-74 - CTU3 lid deformation measurement	. 99
Figure 2-75 - CTU3 prior to puncture test	
Figure 2-76 - CTU3 on impact	100
Figure 2-// - CTU3 puncture area	100
Figure 2-78 - CTU3 puncture depth measurement	101
Figure 2-79 - CTU3 thermal test position	101
Figure 2-80 - CTU3 lock pin removal	102

N°	FS1-0038397

**Restricted Framatome** 

Handling:

Page

13/375

Figure 2-81 - CTU3 lock pin removal opposite side	102
Figure 2-82 - CTU3 fully engulfing fire	103
Figure 2-83 - Puncture area after thermal test	104
Figure 2-84 - Side view after removal from pad	104
Figure 2-85 - Vent at stiffener	104
Figure 2-86 - Vent on package side	104
Figure 2-87 - Foam char in lock pin area	105
Figure 2-88 - CTU3 lid removal	105
Figure 2-09 - CTU2 interior	105
Figure 2-90 - CTU3 linehol	105
Figure 2-91 - CTOS view of fuel assembly and ballast	106
Figure 2-92 - Neoprene adhesive degradation during thermal test	106
Figure 2-93 - Polypropylene liner and paper release tay intact	106
Figure 2-94 - Ceramic fiber paper middle	. 100
Figure 2-96 - Ceramic fiber paper end	107
Figure 2-97 - Foam Char	107
Figure 2-98 - Foam Char	107
Figure 2-99 - Appearance of Nylon 6.6	108
Figure 2-100 - Identification of Segment #5	108
Figure 2-101 - Nylon 6.6 Segment Locations Designa ted from Forward to Aft o	f
Package	. 109
Figure 2-102 - Nylon 6,6 Moderator Block Nomenclature within each Segment Location	า
(Block Type/Location as indicated in Drawing 9045399)	109
Figure 2-103 - Nylon 6,6 Segments #2, #3, and #4. Foam char is still visible on segmen #3	t 111
Figure 2-104 - Nylon 6,6 Segments #6, #7, and #8. Foam char visible on all segments	111
Figure 2-105 - Nylon 6,6 Segments #9, #10, and #11. Foam char visible on bottom	า
sections of each segment	112
Figure 2-106 - Nylon 6,6 Segment. #5. Melted blocks shown at left with similar non melted section at right.	- 112
Figure 2-107 - Segment #5 after cleaning	113
Figure 2-108 - Segment #5 melted piece	113
Figure 2-109 - Ceramic fiber paper in Base	115
Figure 2-110 - Base liner with foam char removed	115
Figure 2-111 - Base edge Nylon 6,6	115
Figure 2-112 - Base edge Nylon 6,6, opposite end	116
Figure 2-113 - Nylon 6,6 at top (aft)	116
Figure 2-114 - Nylon 6,6 at center	116
Figure 2-115 - Base Nylon 6,6 at bottom (forward)	116
Figure 3-1 - Heat-up of MAP Package under NCT Hot Conditions with Insolation	165
Figure 3-2 – Heat-up of MAP Package under NCT Hot Conditions with Insolation	1
(Enlarged View)	166
Figure 3-3 – Package Temperature Distributions	16/
Figure 3-4 – Fire Test Pool at South Carolina Fire Academy	. 173
Figure 3-5 – Weir Structure Setup for Fire Test	. 1/4
Figure 3-0 – MAP CIU 3 Mounted on Insulated Lest Stand Within Weir	174
Figure 3-7 – Close-up of MAP CIU 3 on Test Stand Showing Puncture Bar Damage	175

N°	FS1-0038397
Hand	ling:
Restricted Framatome	

Figure 3-8 – Location of Flame and Baffle Thermocouples	75
Figure 3-9 – Location of Package Skin Thermocouples	76
Figure 3-10 – Fire Conditions at Approximately 1833 Hours	76
Figure 3-11 – Fire Conditions at Approximately 1900 Hours	76
Figure 3-12 – Fire Conditions at Approximately 1908 Hours	77
Figure 3-13 – Fire Conditions at Approximately 1916 Hours	77
Figure 3-14 – Fire Conditions at Approximately 1924 Hours	77
Figure 3-15 – Package Shell (Skin) Temperatures	78
Figure 3-16 – MAP CTU 3 on Test Stand after Fire Test	78
Figure 3-17 – MAP CTU 3 after Fire Test: Lid Assembly Removed	79
Figure 3-18 – Dissection of CTU 3 after Fire Test: Lid Outer Shell Removal	79
Figure 3-19 – Dissection of CTU 3 after Fire Test: Lid 6 lb/ft3 Foam Char Removal 18	80
Figure 3-20 – Plan & Perspective Views of Package Symmetry Thermal Model	86
Figure 3-21 – Plan & Perspective Views of Detailed Fuel Assembly Thermal Model 18	86
Figure 3-22 – Plan & Perspective Views of Lid End Impact Limiter Thermal Model 18	87
Figure 3-23 - Comparison of Package Temperatures with Diurnal vs. steady-state	
Insolation Loading18	89
Figure 3-24 – TGA Analysis of Foam Decomposition in Nitrogen	93
Figure 3-25 – Foam Recession vs. Density for 30-minute Fire	94
Figure 3-26 – MAP Foam Bucket Burn Test Setup for 6 lb/ft3 Foam 19	94
Figure 3-27 – Intact ceramic fiber following test	95
Figure 3-28 – Elevation view of dissected bucket	95
Figure 3-29 – Remaining 6 lb/ft <sup>3</sup> foam, backside	95
Figure 3-30 – Foam char under ceramic fiber paper	95
Figure 3-31 – Remaining 6 lb/ft <sup>3</sup> foam	95
Figure 3-32 – Moderator surface, flame side	95
Figure 6-1 – MAP Package – Isometric View 20	05
Figure 6-2 – MAP Package Cross Sectional View	06
Figure 6-3 – Flux Trap System – a) Shows Cross-Sectional View, b) Shows Isometric View	07
Figure 6-4 – Floodable Void Spaces	10
Figure 6-5 – Fuel Cavity with surrounding flux trap, fuel assembly placed on one side to illustrate loaded and empty Fuel Cavity; a) is an isometric view, and b) is a lateral cross-sectional view; green=nylon, purple=Boral, red=steel, yellow=neoprene (water), remainder is within fuel assembly envelope	17
Figure 6-6 – Fuel Cavity with surrounding flux trap removed; a) is an isometric view, and b) is a lateral cross-sectional view; green=nylon (only in central blocks), red=steel, yellow=neoprene (water), remainder is within fuel assembly envelope	18
Figure 6-7 – Outer Cavity; a) is an isometric view, and b) is a lateral cross-sectional view green=pylon red=steel purple=Boral	19
Figure 6-8 – View of lateral cross section for one case from partial flooding scenario #12	35
Figure 6-9 – View of lateral cross section for one case from partial flooding scenario #2 23	35
Figure 6-10 – Results of Fuel Cavity flood height sensitivity study; shows relationships between reactivity (keff) and incremental addition of water from the bottom to the top (container top up), and from the top to the bottom (container top up).	
aown)	36
moderation in the Fuel Cavity and Outer Cavity; blue=full density water (in	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		15/375

	fuel assembly envelope), orange=partial density water in remainder of Fuel	227
Figure 6-12	e – Results of partial density moderation study for the Outer Cavity, single	201
Figure 6-13	container B – Results of partial density moderation study for the Fuel Cavity, single container	237 238
Figure 6-14	<ul> <li>View of lateral cross section for package under most reactive flooding condition for a single container – fully flooded Fuel Cavity and in Outer Cavity; blue=full density water</li></ul>	238
Figure 6-15	b – View of lateral cross section for model used to evaluate partial density moderation in the Fuel Cavity and Outer Cavity; blue=full density water (in fuel assembly envelope), orange=partial density water in remainder of Fuel Cavity, grey=partial density water in Outer Cavity	240
Figure 6-16	- Results of partial density moderation study for the Fuel Cavity, package array evaluated	240
Figure 6-17	- Results of partial density moderation study for the Outer Cavity, package array	241
Figure 6-18	3 – View of lateral cross section for model used to evaluate interaction between fuel assemblies in the same container with aluminum/stainless steel slabs; shows the aluminum or stainless steel slab between the assemblies	247
Figure 6-19	9 – Results of fuel assembly interaction study for variable aluminum slab thickness between assemblies in the same container	248
Figure 6-20	<ul> <li>Results of fuel assembly interaction study for variable stainless steel slab thickness between assemblies in the same container</li></ul>	248
Figure 6-21	<ul> <li>View of lateral cross section for model used to evaluate lateral shifting of fuel assemblies within a container</li></ul>	249
Figure 6-22	– View of the side of the package (y-z plane) where assemblies have been shifted axially where 15 cm (5.906 inches) of the assemblies are outside of the flux trap region	250
Figure 6-23	<ul> <li>Results of fuel assembly axial shift sensitivity study (variable active length shifted outside flux trap region) – non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity/single container evaluated</li> </ul>	250
Figure 6-24	I – a) View of lateral cross section for unit 1000: package under most reactive flooding condition for a container array – fully flooded Fuel Cavity, void in Outer Cavity, blue=full density water, grey=void; b) view of lateral cross section for unit 1001: unit 1000 flipped upside down (rotated 180° about the z-axis)	252
Figure 6-25	<ul> <li>Illustrations of the FLIP1 configuration; a) illustrates a 4x6x1 array with only one possibility for the FLIP1 configuration; b) illustrates a 3x9x1 array with the FLIP1a configuration; c) illustrates a 3x9x1 array with the FLIP1b configuration.</li> </ul>	253
Figure 6-26	- Illustration of the FLIP2 configuration; shows 4x6x1 array	254
Figure 6-27	- Illustration of the FLIP3 configuration; shows 4x6x1 array	254
Figure 6-28	B – Results for package array size sensitivity study; shows keff versus number of containers for three array configurations – regular stacking/one container deep in z direction, regular stacking/two containers deep in z direction, FLIP1 stacking/one container deep in z direction	256
Figure 6-29	<ul> <li>Results for final package array size sensitivity study; FLIP1 configurations with fuel-clad gap dry and spacing provided by lid stiffeners removed; 2N = 36 supports the licensing-basis case for the array</li></ul>	257

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package	<b>c</b>
Hand Rest	ling: ricted Framatome	Page 16/375	– USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome
	Figure 6-30 - Resu	Its for final nac	kage array size sensitivity study: ELID1	configurations

Figure 6-30 – Results for final package array size sensitivity study; FLIP1 configurations with fuel-clad gap flooded (and pellet diameter minimized) and spacing provided by lid stiffeners removed; 2N = 36 supports the licensing-basis case for the array with guide and instrument tube cladding credited for the bounding 15 Type 1a assembly
Figure 6-31 – Results for final package array size sensitivity study; FLIP1 configurations with fuel-clad gap flooded (and pellet diameter minimized) and spacing provided by lid stiffeners removed; 2N = 36 supports the licensing-basis case for the array with guide and instrument tube cladding credited for the 15 Type 3 assembly
Figure 6-32 – Critical benchmark experiment calculational results; shows USL-1 and USL-2 plots over range of EALF values for benchmark set
Figure 6-33 – Pin layouts for 14x14 assemblies; 14 Type 1 (left – 20 non-fueled locations) and 14 Type 2 (right – 17 non-fueled locations)
Figure 6-34 – Pin layouts for 15x15 assemblies; 15 Type 1 (top – 17 non-fueled locations, note that center location is for instrument tube, and remaining 16 are for guide tubes), 15 Type 2 (bottom-left – 9 non-fueled locations), and 15 Type 3 (bottom-right – 21 non-fueled locations)
Figure 6-35 – Pin layout for 16x16 assembly; 16 Type 1 (20 non-fueled locations)
Figure 6-36 – Pin layout for 17x17 assembly; 17 Type 1 and 17 Type 2 (25 non-fueled locations)

	N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	
Handling:		ling:	Page	
Restricted Framatome		ricted Framatome	17/375	

# Framatome Inc.



Application for Certificate of Compliance for the MAP Series of PWR Fuel Shipping Packages

NRC Certificate of Compliance USA/9319/B(U)F-96 Docket 71-9319

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	ling:	Page
Restricted Framatome		18/375

#### 1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

The MAP package is designed to transport both Type A and Type B fissile material in the form of unirradiated nuclear fuel assemblies containing sintered uranium dioxide fuel pellets enriched up to 5.0 weight percent <sup>235</sup>U. The Criticality Safety Index (CSI) for the MAP is 2.8 when transporting fuel assemblies. The criticality assessment documented in Section 6 modeled a 36 package array for optimum conditions that remained below the derived Upper Safety Limit (USL) as further defined in Section 6<sup>1</sup>. The CSI value of 2.8 is based upon the HAC flooded gap results for an array of 36 containers listed in Table 6-23 and illustrated in Figure 6-30. The results show that  $k_{eff} + 2\sigma$  for an array containing up to 36 packages satisfies the defined USL of 0.94 (Section 6.8.2). The bounding value occurs for a 5x6 array of packages containing Type 1a fuel assemblies with  $k_{eff} + 2\sigma = 0.9380 \pm 0.0018 = 0.9398 < 0.94$ . Sensitivity studies for packages containing the other fuel assembly types (Figure 6-31) verify that the Type 1a fuel assembly provides the bounding values for HAC conditions with flooded rod gaps. For an infinite array of packages under normal conditions,  $k_{eff} + 2\sigma = 0.2127$  (Table 6-11).

#### **1.2 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

The major components of the MAP package are presented in Figure 1-1 through Figure 1-7. Detailed drawings are included in Appendix 1.3.1. There are two versions of the MAP packaging: the MAP-12 and the MAP-13. The primary difference between the two versions is the active fuel length of the payload assembly: the MAP-12 is used to ship 144" nominal active fuel and the MAP-13 is used to ship 150" nominal active fuel lengths. The packaging for the two versions is essential identical with the exception of the longer package length.

#### 1.2.1 PACKAGING

The MAP package is designed to carry two (2) PWR fuel assemblies. The package consists of two basic components: a Base and a Lid. A typical cross-section showing the components of the package is depicted in Figure 1-2 and Figure 1-3. Figure 1-2 is a cross sectional view of the package at the inner stiffeners of the Base and Lid. Figure 1-3 is a cross-sectional view of the package at the location of the moderator and absorber interface within the Base and Lid. The Lid includes independent impact limiters at opposite ends of the package. A close-up view of the package closure is shown in Figure 1-4.

#### 1.2.1.1 MAP BASE

The Base consists of a fixed stainless steel strong-back which supports the fuel assembly or Rod Container. The "W" shaped strong-back is secured in the Base using a riveted construction through a fiberglass thermal barrier. A series of inner stiffeners are secured to the underside of the strong-back to provide additional support to the fuel assembly during transport. A neutron moderator and absorber are positioned directly beneath the strongback between each inner stiffener. The Base inner stiffeners are further retained by a stainless steel cover. The Base stiffener region is not filled with polyurethane foam; however, this volume of the package is sealed from the elements. Each stiffener is perforated to reduce weight and prevent partial flooding of the region during HAC.

Exterior to the cover is a layer of rigid polyurethane foam and an outer shell of 11 gauge stainless steel. An additional 12 gauge stainless steel sheet is provided between the two

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the 36 package array, 2N=36, N=18 and the CSI is derived by 50/18 which is rounded conservatively to 2.8.

	_
Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	_

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shinning Package	<b>6</b>
Hand Rest	lling: tricted Framatome	Page 19/375	– USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

middle stiffeners to provide local protection against HAC puncture. Four stainless steel outer stiffeners support the package Base and further allow stacking.

The payload rests on the "W" shaped strong-back (referred to as a W-plate) and is held in place with hinged and latched aluminum doors. Shims are used, as necessary, to align the fuel by supporting the assembly at the upper and lower end fittings within the package for normal conditions of transport including both loading and unloading. The length and design of the shims vary according to the fuel assembly design. A hold-down bar provides positive axial pressure on the upper end fitting to prevent shifting of the payload during shipment.

#### 1.2.1.2 MAP LID

The construction of the MAP Lid is very similar to that of the Base – a "W" shaped stainless steel inner shell is fitted with a series of inner stiffeners, neutron moderator and absorbers, and a stainless steel cover is fitted over the stiffeners. A layer of rigid polyurethane foam provides impact and thermal protection and the outer shell of the packaging is fabricated using 11-gauge stainless steel. An additional 12 gauge stainless steel sheet is provided between the two middle stiffeners to provide local protection against HAC puncture. Unlike the inner stiffeners in the Base of the package, the Lid inner stiffeners are not fully imbedded in the polyurethane foam. The outer stiffeners on the Lid are offset from the Base outer stiffeners to allow for stacking, and are reinforced at the package lift points.

The MAP Lid is fitted with trapezoidal impact limiters at each end. The impact limiters are constructed from rigid polyurethane foam encased by the package outer stainless steel skin. Both the Base and the Lid include end plates with interfacing angles. These angles interlock when the package is assembled, providing strength to the closure and limiting fire ingress during HAC. Figure 1-5 shows a lengthwise cross sectional view. Figure 1-6 provides an enlarged view of the end impact limiters. Figure 1-7 shows an enlargement of the interlocking angle of the Base with the end impact limiters of the Lid.

The polyurethane foam in the Lid and Base is insulated from the outer shell with two layers of ceramic fiber paper. The Lid and Base for a stepped joint with a fibrous high temperature seal and closure using ball lock fasteners.

#### 1.2.1.3 MAP MATERIALS OF CONSTRUCTION

The MAP is primarily constructed from: stainless steel, aluminum, and rigid polyurethane foam. Other materials used are fiberglass reinforced polyester resin, refractory insulation, Nylon 6,6 and borated metal matrix composite. Each end impact limiter contains 10 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> polyurethane foam.

The balance of the polyurethane foam used is 6 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>. The foam is rigid, closed cell polyurethane that is an excellent impact absorber and thermal insulator and has well defined characteristics that make it ideal for this application. Fiberglass strips and a fibrous high temperature seal provide a thermal barrier between the exterior shell and the strong-back. The neutron absorber consists of a borated metal matrix composite in the form of a thin plate. Blocks of Nylon 6,6 are used as a neutron moderator. This thermoplastic is self-extinguishing and has a relatively high melting point. The neutron moderator and absorber are significant components used for criticality safety. Further discussion is presented in Section 6, Criticality Evaluation, and Section 8, Acceptance Tests and Maintenance Program.

#### 1.2.1.4 CONTAINMENT SYSTEM

The Containment System for the MAP is the fuel rod cladding. Requirements for containment are described in Section 4.

Framatomo - Fuel
Franatome - Fuei
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397
Handling:	

**Restricted Framatome** 

Page

20/375



### 1.2.1.5 PACKAGE WEIGHTS AND DIMENSIONS

#### MAP-12 (144-in Maximum Nominal Fuel Length)

•	Maximum Gross Weight	8,630 pounds (3,923 kg)
•	Maximum Payload Weight	3,400 pounds (1,545 kg)
•	Overall Outer Dimensions	208" x 45" x 31" high (5,283 mm x 1,143 mm x 787 mm)

#### MAP-13 (150-in Maximum Nominal Fuel Length)

•	Maximum Gross Weight	8,630 pounds (3,923 kg)
•	Maximum Payload Weight	3,400 pounds (1,545 kg)
•	Overall Outer Dimensions	221" x 45" x 31" high (5,613 mm x 1,143 mm x 787 mm)

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page





Figure 1-1 – MAP Package – Isometric View







Figure 1-3 – MAP Package – Cross Section at Moderator and Absorber

Framatome - Fuel	
Trainatorile - T dei	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	



Figure 1-4 – MAP Package – Close-up of Closure Cross Section



Figure 1-5 – MAP Package – Cross Section Lengthwise through Center



Figure 1-6 – MAP Package – Cross Section through Center at Bottom and Top (Left to Right)



Figure 1-7 – MAP Package – Cross Section at Interlocking L-Channels at End Impact Limiters

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	Rev. 2.0	
Hand	lling:	Page
Rest	ricted Framatome	25/375

#### 1.2.2 CONTENTS

Table 1-1 provides a listing of the type, form and mass of material that may be shipped in the MAP. Both Type A and Type B materials are allowed for shipment for materials meeting the isotopic requirements listed in Table 1-2. The fuel assemblies may be of various model and type as long as they meet the specified requirements delineated in Section 6.0. Typical dimensions of the main components in the fuel assemblies are listed in Table 1-3.

The chemical and physical form of the Type A and Type B contents are the same and are described in Section 1.2.2.1 and 1.2.2.2. The primary difference between the Type A and a Type B content is the uranium fuel for the Type B content has elevated concentrations of <sup>236</sup>U. An example of structural materials of the fuel assembly is provided in Table 1-4. Zirconium alloy, stainless steel and Ni-Cr-Fe alloy are chemically stable materials, and are stable to temperatures above 1,475°F.

In addition to the fuel assembly configuration described previously, each fuel assembly may be shipped with an absorber or control rod cluster inserted into the assembly. The absorber and control rods consist of a very strong thermal neutron absorber clad in metal tubes and further clustered for insertion within a fuel assembly for either reactor flux conditioning or reactor control. The clusters are very effective in reducing the multiplication factor for the package and array of packages such that criticality is not possible in any configuration. However, for purposes of this application, such strong neutron absorbers are not credited for criticality control.

The decay heat of the contents is essentially zero. Neutron and gamma shielding is not required or provided.

#### 1.2.2.1 TYPE A CONTENTS

The Type A content of the packaging is fresh unirradiated low enriched uranium Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) nuclear fuel assemblies. A maximum of two fuel assemblies are placed in each packaging. The packaging is designed and analyzed to ship fuel configured either in a 14x14, 15x15, 16x16 or 17x17 array and positioned in one or both sides on the strong-back.

The nuclear fuel pellets loaded in rods and contained in the packaging are uranium oxides primarily as ceramic  $UO_2$  and  $U_3O_8$ . The fuel assembly maximum enrichment is less than or equal to 5.0 wt% <sup>235</sup>U.

#### 1.2.2.2 TYPE B CONTENTS

The Type B content of the packaging is unirradiated low enriched uranium Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) nuclear fuel assemblies derived from off-specification high enriched uranium or reprocessed uranium. The increase in <sup>236</sup>U causes the contents to fall under the Type B requirements. A maximum of two fuel assemblies are placed in each packaging. The mixture  $A_2$  value is 0.17 as calculated in Section 4.0. The packaging is designed and analyzed to ship fuel configured either in a 14x14, 15x15, 16x16 or 17x17 array and positioned on one or both sides of the strong-back.

The nuclear fuel pellets loaded in rods and contained in the packaging are uranium oxides primarily as  $UO_2$  and  $U_3O_8$ . The fuel assembly maximum enrichment is less than or equal to 5.0 wt% <sup>235</sup>U.

#### 1.2.2.3 QUANTITY OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS OF MAIN NUCLIDES

The fuel assemblies in this packaging are loaded with low enrichment uranium dioxide less than or equal to 5 wt%  $^{235}$ U. When used as a Type A package the contents conform to the A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> values for a Type A package. Table 1-1 shows the quantity of uranium and enrichment common to both the Type A and Type B contents. These values are carried

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

№ FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package	<b>C</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 26/375	– USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

forward to Table 1-2 to calculate total activity for the mixtures. Activity fractions and  $A_2$  for the mixtures are determined in Section 4.0, Containment.

Fuel rods assembled into the fuel assemblies are those loaded with sintered pellets of uranium dioxide and/or with sintered pellets of uranium dioxide mixed with various additives (e.g., Chromium, Boron, Gadolinium, and Europium). These neutron absorbers are not credited in the safety basis.

#### 1.2.2.4 PACKING MATERIALS

A number of packing materials may be used to protect the fuel assembly from superficial damage during shipment (e.g., Neoprene, polyethylene bags).

#### 1.2.3 SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PLUTONIUM

Plutonium will not be shipped in the MAP; therefore, this section is not applicable.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 27/375	– USA/9319/B(U)F-96

# Table 1-1 – Quantity of Radioactive Materials for Shipment in MAP (Type A and B)

Allowable Assembly Arrays	14x14, 15x15, 16x16 and 17x17		
Main Nuclides	Low enriched uranium $\leq 5$ wt% <sup>235</sup> U		
State of Uranium	Uranium oxide ceramic pellet, Solid Normal Form		
Fuel Assembly Maximum Enrichment	5.0 wt% Maximum		
Number of Fuel Rods Containing Absorbers	Unlimited		
Maximum mass of Uranium Dioxide Pellets	574 kg per Fuel Assembly 1,148 kg per Package		
Maximum <sup>235</sup> U mass	25.5 kg per Fuel Assembly 51.0 kg per Package		

 Table 1-2 – Maximum Allowable Quantity of Radioactive Material

lsotope	Maximum content, g/gU	Maximum mass, g	Total Activity, TBq	Total Activity, Ci
<sup>232</sup> U	2.00E-09	2.02E-03	1.68E-03	4.54E-02
<sup>234</sup> U	2.00E-03	2.02E+03	4.65E-01	1.26E+01
<sup>235</sup> U	5.00E-02	5.06E+04	4.05E-03	1.09E-01
<sup>236</sup> U	2.50E-02	2.53E+04	6.07E-02	1.64E+00
<sup>238</sup> U	9.23E-01	9.34E+05	1.12E-02	3.03E-01
<sup>237</sup> Np	1.66E-06	1.68E+00	4.37E-05	1.18E-03
<sup>238</sup> Pu	6.20E-11	6.27E-05	3.95E-05	1.07E-03
<sup>239</sup> Pu	3.04E-09	3.08E-03	7.07E-06	1.91E-04
<sup>240</sup> Pu	3.04E-09	3.08E-03	2.58E-05	6.98E-04
Gamma Emitters	6.46E+05 MeV-Bq/kgU			

N°	FS1-0038397	
Hand Rest	ling: ricted Framatome	

Rev. 2.0

# Table 1-3 – Typical Dimensions of the Main Components of Fuel Assembly andFuel Rod

Attribute	Dimensions			
Package Type	MAI	P-12	MAP-13	
Fuel Type		Pressurized V	Vater Reactor	
Maximum Fuel Assembly Length (including cluster assembly), inches	172		184	
Active Fuel Length (Maximum Nominal Length of enriched material zone), inches	144		150	
Maximum Nominal Fuel Grid Envelope, inches	8.546		8.546	
Fuel Assembly Type	14x14	15x15	16x16	17x17
Maximum Nominal Active Fuel Length, inches	144	144	150	144
Nominal Fuel Pellet Diameter, inches	0.367 – 0.381	0.360 – 0.375	0.327	0.319 – 0.322
Nominal Cladding Wall Thickness, inches	0.025 – 0.028	0.024 – 0.030	0.024	0.022 – 0.024
Nominal Cladding Inner Diameter, inches	0.374 – 0.387	0.364 – 0.380	0.334	0.326 – 0.329
Nominal Fuel Rod Outer Diameter, inches	0.424 – 0.440	0.416 – 0.430	0.382	0.374 – 0.376
Number of Fuel Rods	176 – 179	204 - 216	236	264
Fuel Rod Helium Cover Gas Pressure, psig	145 – 450			

N°	I° FS1-0038397			
Handl	ing:			
Restr	icted Framatome			

29/375

#### Table 1-4 – Typical Fuel Structure Materials

Component parts Structural Materials		Typical Density
Pellets Sintered Uranium Dioxide (in some cases uranium dioxide blended with other non-fissile additives)		10.96 g/cm <sup>3</sup> (0.396 lb/in <sup>3</sup> )
Cladding tube	Zirconium alloy, metallic zirconium (may include chromium coating)	6.5 g/cm <sup>3</sup> (0.24 lb/in <sup>3</sup> )
Internal spring	Stainless steel	7.8 g/cm <sup>3</sup> (0.28 lb/in <sup>3</sup> )
Upper and Lower end plug	Zirconium alloy	6.5 g/cm <sup>3</sup> (0.24 lb/in <sup>3</sup> )
Guide/Instrument Tube	Zirconium alloy or stainless steel	6.5 to 7.8 g/cm <sup>3</sup> (0.24 to 0.28 lb/in <sup>3</sup> )
Upper and Lower tie plate	Stainless steel	7.8 g/cm <sup>3</sup> (0.28 lb/in <sup>3</sup> )
Spacer	Zirconium alloy and Ni-Cr-Fe alloy	6.5 to 8.5 g/cm <sup>3</sup> (0.24 to 0.31 lb/in <sup>3</sup> )
Finger spring	Zirconium alloy and Ni-Cr-Fe alloy	6.5 to 8.5 g/cm <sup>3</sup> (0.24 to 0.31 lb/in <sup>3</sup> )
Expansion spring	Zirconium alloy and Ni-Cr-Fe alloy	6.5 to 8.5 g/cm <sup>3</sup> (0.24 to 0.31 lb/in <sup>3</sup> )

#### 1.2.4 OPERATIONAL FEATURES

The primary operational feature of the package is the ball-lock closure pins used to secure the Lid to the Base. Fork lift pockets are provided on the Base of the package. Stacking brackets, which double as lift points, are attached on the Lid and Base at four (4) locations. The package must be up-righted onto one end for loading and unloading. No valves or rate-monitored seals are used.

N° FS1-0038397
----------------

Handling: Restricted Framatome

#### **1.3 APPENDICES**

#### 1.3.1 PACKAGE DRAWINGS

Drawing Number	Revision Drawing Number		Revision
9045393	7	9045402	5
9045397 2		9045403	4
9045399 3		9045404	4
9045401	4	9045405	4

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

№ FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 31/375	Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title pa	age

№ FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping	<b>c</b> ,
Handling: Restricted	Page 32/375	Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
<u> </u>			

-

τ.

№ FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping	6
Handling:		Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome
Restricted	Page 33/375		
Framatome			

№ FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 34/375	Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

№ FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
Handling:	Page 35/375		
Restricted			
Framatome			

№ FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
Handling:	Page 36/375		
Restricted			
Framatome			
№ FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping	<b>6</b>
--------------------------------------	-------------	-----------------------------	-----------
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 37/375	Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

№ FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping	<b>6</b>
Handling:	Page 38/375	Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome
Restricted			
Framatome			

№ FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping	<b>6</b>
Handling:	Page 20/275	Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome
Restricted	Page 39/375		
Framatome			

N° FS1-0038397

Page

40/375

### 2.0 STRUCTURAL EVALUATION

This chapter identifies and describes the principal structural design aspects of the MAP package, and demonstrates the structural safety of the packaging and compliance with the structural requirements of 10 CFR 71<sup>2</sup>.

For normal conditions of transport (NCT), demonstration of compliance is by performance testing for free drop and penetration, by calculation for stacking, and by reasoned argument for the water spray requirements. For hypothetical accident conditions (HAC), including the free drop, puncture drop, and fire tests, demonstration of compliance is accomplished by performance testing utilizing multiple, prototypic, full-scale MAP packages.

The compliance of the MAP package with all applicable general structural requirements is discussed in the following sections. The results of the NCT and HAC performance tests are summarized in Section 2.6, *Normal Conditions of Transport*, and Section 2.7, *Hypothetical Accident Conditions*, respectively. Detailed results from all testing is found in Appendix 2.12.1, *MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*.

#### 2.1 DESCRIPTION OF STRUCTURAL DESIGN

#### 2.1.1 DISCUSSION

The MAP package is designed to carry two (2) PWR fuel assemblies or two (2) containers for loose rods. The fuel assemblies or rod containers are arranged side-by-side and orientated in a diamond configuration with respect to the package transportation surface.

A detailed description of the package components is provided in Section 1.2, *Packaging Description*, and on the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Package Drawings*.

#### 2.1.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

The MAP package has been designed to meet all the applicable structural requirements of 10 CFR 71. The design objectives for the MAP package are twofold:

1. Demonstrate that, under NCT, the MAP package maintains confinement of the fuel assemblies within the package, and the package experiences no significant reduction in its effectiveness to withstand HAC; and

2. Demonstrate that, under HAC, the MAP package maintains the fuel assemblies in a subcritical configuration and maintains the required level of containment (as defined by Section 4, Containment). This is accomplished by:

a. Continuing to maintain confinement of the fuel assemblies.

b. Ensuring that the fuel rod cladding is not breached to the extent required by the containment analysis (see Section 4).

c. Ensuring that the fuel assemblies and strong-back geometry is maintained to the extent required by the criticality analysis (see Section 6).

d. Ensuring that the neutron moderators and absorbers remain in place and functional to the extent required by criticality analysis (see Section 6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 71 (10 CFR 71), *Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material*, 01-01-06 Edition.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 41/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Consequently, the design criteria for NCT are that the MAP package exhibit only minor damage subsequent to the NCT conditions and tests, including no damage that would prevent the package from meeting the success criteria for any subsequent HAC test.

For HAC, the design criteria are: a) that the Lid remain attached to the Base, thus ensuring confinement of the fuel assemblies, b) that the fuel rod cladding does not show visible indications of breach, thus ensuring containment is maintained, c) that observed and measured fuel assembly and strong-back post-test configurations are bounded by the assumptions made in the criticality analysis, and d) that measured post-test deformations of the moderators and absorbers are bounded by the assumptions made in the criticality analysis.

The structural components relied on for NCT and HAC protection are the Lid and Base outer shell and stiffeners, polyurethane foam, fiberglass thermal breaks, ball lock pins and the "W" plate.

#### 2.1.3 WEIGHTS AND CENTERS OF GRAVITY

Weights of the MAP packaging components and the maximum gross weight of the loaded package are presented in Section 1.2.1.6. The weights shown in the table are for both the long and short version of the package. The difference in actual tare weights between the two packages is allotted to the fuel weight in the shorter package, which actually carries the heavier fuel. The calculated center of gravity (CG) of the empty package assembly is located at the geometric center for the width and height, and 1 3/4 inches towards the bottom for the length. The calculated CG of the loaded package assembly is also located at the geometric center for the width and height, but 2 2/3 inches towards the bottom for the length, as shown in Figure 2 1. The loaded package CG assumes an even distribution throughout the package cavity of the payload weight.



Figure 2-1 – MAP Package CG

# 2.1.4 IDENTIFICATION OF CODES AND STANDARDS FOR PACKAGE DESIGN

In lieu of extensive reliance on the use of codes or standards in design, compliance with requirements is demonstrated via full scale testing of the MAP package under both NCT and HAC, resulting in a high level of confidence in the integrity of the design.

#### 2.2 MATERIALS

#### 2.2.1 MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND SPECIFICATIONS

All materials used in the fabrication of the Certification Test Units (CTU) meet 10 CFR 71 requirements. However, simulated neutron absorber plates were fabricated from 1100

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397 Rev. 2.		
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 42/375	

aluminum. These component plates did not contain boron, and were used to simulate the mechanical and thermal properties of the neutron absorber plates. The 1100 aluminum was used due to its low mechanical properties. In production units, the actual neutron absorber plates will have insignificant differences in the material properties compared to the material used in the CTU packages.

#### 2.2.1.1 STAINLESS STEEL

The structural steel used in the MAP packaging is ASTM Type 304 stainless steel, having a room temperature yield strength of 30,000 psi and ultimate strength of 75,000 psi. The weld consumable material is ASTM Type 308 or equivalent, which results in weld metal deposits which have properties at least as great as the base metal.

Miscellaneous door assembly hardware and the closure pins are fabricated of ASTM A564 Type 630. Various conditionings of Type 630 are used including: H900 having a room temperature yield strength of 170,000 psi and ultimate strength of 190,000 psi, H1100 having a room temperature yield strength of 115,000 psi and ultimate strength of 140,000 psi, and H1150 having a room temperature yield strength of 105,000 psi and ultimate strength of 135,000 psi.

#### 2.2.1.2 ALUMINUM

The door assemblies are comprised of a hinge side panel weldment, latch side panel weldment, and center hinge that are all fabricated from aluminum. Additionally, the door latch receptacles and end restraint bar are fabricated from aluminum. The door panel weldments and end restraint bar are ASTM Type 6061-T6, T651 with a room temperature yield strength of 35,000 psi and ultimate strength of 38,000 psi. The door center hinge and latch receptacles are ASTM Type 2024-T3, T351 with a room temperature yield strength of 42,000 psi and ultimate strength of 62,000 psi.

#### 2.2.1.3 POLYURETHANE FOAM

The performance of the MAP package in free drop and puncture events is partially dependent on the energy-absorbing performance of rigid, closed-cell polyurethane foam. The foam is machined to size after casting or is cast in place and installed within the Lid, Base, and end impact limiters. The foam in the end impact limiters has a nominal density of 10 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>. The foam in the Base and Lid has a nominal density of 6 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>. Acceptance is based on the properties detailed in Section 8.1.5.1, *Polyurethane Foam*. The nominal, room-temperature crush properties of the polyurethane foam are given in Table 2-1 and Table 2-2. Properties for both "parallel to rise" and "perpendicular to rise" are given. The "rise" direction is parallel to the force of gravity during solidification, and is oriented to be parallel to the thickness dimension of the planar foam components.

Property	Direction	Room Temperature Value
Compressive Strength S	Parallel-to-Rise (Parallel to Package Length)	333 psi @ 10% Strain 367 psi @ 40% Strain 955 psi @ 70% Strain
Compressive Strength, S	Perpendicular-to-Rise	334 psi @ 10% Strain 366 psi @ 40% Strain 970 psi @ 70% Strain

Table 2-1 – Nominal Waterial Properties of 10 lp/lt Polyurethane Foam
---

Franctional Fuel	
Franatome - Fuei	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

Table 2-2 – Nominal	Material Pro	operties of 6	lb/ft <sup>3</sup> Poly	vurethane Foam
				yurethane i bann

Property	Direction	Room Temperature Value
Compressive Strength, S	Parallel-to-Rise (Parallel to Package Length)	143 psi @ 10% Strain 152 psi @ 40% Strain 312 psi @ 70% Strain
	Perpendicular-to-Rise	139 psi @ 10% Strain 146 psi @ 40% Strain 311 psi @ 70% Strain

#### 2.2.1.4 FIBERGLASS

The thermal breaks in the Lid and Base help isolate the payload cavity from the outer shell along the package closure area. The material used to make the sheets and angles for the thermal breaks is a combination of fiberglass and thermosetting polyester or vinyl ester resin that is fire retardant.

#### 2.2.1.5 LATCHES

The upper and lower door assemblies each use four tension latches while the mid-span door assemblies each use two tension latches. The manufacturer's specified minimum breaking strength is 4,400 pounds. This breaking strength is defined as the point in which plastic deformation begins.

#### 2.2.1.6 BRITTLE FRACTURE

The materials utilized in the MAP package are not subject to brittle fracture at temperatures to -40 °C (-40 °F). With the exception of the some door assembly components and closure pins, all metallic structural components of the MAP package are fabricated of austenitic stainless steels. Austenitic stainless steels do not undergo a ductile-to-brittle transition in the temperature range of interest, and thus do not need to be evaluated for brittle fracture. Further, Regulatory Guide 7.11<sup>3</sup> states, "Since austenitic stainless steels are not susceptible to brittle failure at temperatures encountered in transport, their use in containment vessels is acceptable to the staff and no tests are needed to demonstrate resistance to brittle fracture."

The closure pins are also fabricated from ASTM A564, Type 630, Condition H900, precipitation hardened stainless steel. Per Section 5 of NUREG/CR-1815<sup>4</sup>, bolts are not considered as fracture-critical components because multiple load paths exist and bolting systems are generally redundant, as is the case with the MAP package. Therefore, brittle fracture is not a failure mode of concern.

#### 2.2.1.7 FATIGUE

The MAP package is not subject to fatigue from repeated use. Since the package does not have any pressure boundaries, no repeated loadings can occur from pressurization, and since no threaded fasteners are used in the closure, fatigue of fasteners from repeated preloading cannot occur. In addition, the payload weight is well distributed over the Base components, thus avoiding load and stress concentrations that could lead to fatigue. The package design is relatively insensitive to any fatigue cracks which could occur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> W.R. Holman, R. T. Langland, *Recommendations for Protecting Against Failure by Brittle Fracture in Ferritic Steel Shipping Containers Up to Four Inch Thick*, NUREG/CR-1815, UCRL-53013, August 1981.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 7.11, *Fracture Toughness Criteria of Base Material for Ferritic Steel Shipping Cask Containment Vessels with a Maximum Wall Thickness of 4 Inch (0.1 m)*, June 1991.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hano	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	44/375

package's performance under NCT and HAC is dependent primarily on the deformation or thermal behavior of relatively large regions of the package, regions which are much larger than any fatigue cracks which might escape detection. Consequently, fatigue associated with repeated use is not of concern.

Fatigue associated with normal vibration over the road is discussed in Section 2.6.5, *Vibration*.

### 2.2.1.8 BUCKLING

Certification testing has demonstrated that buckling of the MAP package does not occur as a result of any NCT or HAC tests.

#### 2.2.2 CHEMICAL, GALVANIC, OR OTHER REACTIONS

The materials of construction of the MAP packaging are primarily Type 304 stainless steel, polyurethane foam, refractory insulation, and fiberglass reinforced polyester resin. Since these materials are relatively unreactive, no excessive corrosion or other reactions will occur during normal use. The package is normally transported on a flatbed trailer, and is not subject to immersion or exposure to water or chemicals other than occasional precipitation or mild cleaning agents. In addition, all of these materials have been used in Type A and Type B packagings for many years without incident. The polyurethane foam is sealed within the package shell, and has been used in a large number of radioactive materials packages without evidence of corrosion or deterioration. The foam is a rigid, closed-cell (non-water absorbent) material that is free of halogens and chlorides, as discussed in Section 8.1.5.1, Polyurethane Foam. If unusual corrosion of the stainless steel components occurs, it can be readily detected during preparation of the packaging for use. The other packaging components are not subject to chemical degradation or corrosion during normal use. The aluminum components as well as the zinc-coated components are corrosion resistant. All of the non-stainless steel components are open to inspection and can be inspected at each use.

#### 2.2.3 EFFECTS OF RADIATION ON MATERIALS

Since the payload of the MAP package is fresh unirradiated fuel assemblies, radiation from the payload is negligible (see Section 5, *Shielding Evaluation*). Consequently, there will be no radiation effects on the materials of construction and the requirements of 10 CFR §71.43(d) are met.

#### 2.3 FABRICATION AND EXAMINATION

#### 2.3.1 FABRICATION

The metallic components of the MAP packaging are fabricated using conventional metal forming and welding techniques. The polyurethane foam is procured and fabricated using written specifications. See Section 8.1.5, *Component and Material Tests*, for details of the fabrication and performance requirements of these components. Components are fabricated in accordance with the requirements delineated on the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging Drawings*.

#### 2.3.2 EXAMINATION

Each component of the MAP packaging is examined per the approved fabrication drawings and fabrication specifications to ensure acceptable materials and workmanship. Applicable welds on the package are examined per the requirements of AWS D1.6:1999.<sup>5</sup> The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> ANSI/AWS D1.6:1999, Structural Welding Code-Stainless Steel, American Welding Society (AWS).

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 45/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

polyurethane foam is examined according to written procedures as described in Section 8.1.5, *Component and Material Tests*.

#### 2.4 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL PACKAGES

#### 2.4.1 MINIMUM PACKAGE SIZE

The minimum dimension of the MAP package is the height dimension of 30.8 inches, or 78.2 cm. Thus, the minimum 10 cm requirement of 10 CFR §71.43(a) is satisfied.

#### 2.4.2 TAMPER-INDICATING FEATURE

Tamper-indicating devices are installed in the brackets located adjacent to the package stiffeners on opposite sides of the package. A device is installed at each end, diagonal to one another, such that failure of the devices will indicate that the Lid may have been removed from its secured position. Thus, the requirement of 10 CFR §71.43(b) is satisfied.

#### 2.4.3 POSITIVE CLOSURE

The package Lid is secured to the Base with numerous (44 for the MAP-12 and 48 for the MAP-13 designs, respectively) ball lock pins. The ball lock pins have a button actuator that must be pressed and held to release retention balls at the end of the pins to facilitate removal. Therefore, the package cannot be opened unintentionally, meeting the requirement of 10 CFR §71.43(c).

#### 2.5 LIFTING AND TIE-DOWN STANDARDS FOR ALL PACKAGES

#### 2.5.1 LIFTING DEVICES

The MAP package is handled using a fork lift truck, interfacing with integral fork accommodations in the Base. The lifting features in the inboard Lid external stiffeners are designed to require the use of removable shackles and are rendered inoperable by their removal. Thus, the requirements of 10 CFR §71.45(a) are satisfied.

In addition to lifting the package by sling or by forklift, the entire package may also be lifted by hook attachment to the Lid. The outer Lid stiffener cut out is increased to a notch 1.5 inch wide and 3 inch deep both vertically and horizontally in the box section. A one inch diameter rod 9 inches long is placed in the corner of the stiffener centered on the notch. The rod penetrates the sheet that goes across the box section. The rod is welded with flared bevel welds on both sides of the slot on both the vertical and horizontal plates making up the box section. The fillet weld attaching the inner vertical plate to the outer shell of the package is continuous for the 9 inches beneath the rod.

By the use of the rod configuration with the existing inner stiffener the performance of the package in any of the tested configurations is unchanged. The stiffener would still crush the same and the rounded bar parallel with the surface of the package would not compromise the shell of the package.

This additional lifting configuration is demonstrated to be capable of lifting the loaded package in accordance 10 CFR §71.45(a).

The maximum gross package weight is 8,630 lbs. There are four lift points. A factor of safety of three is required on yield. It is assumed that sufficient length slings are used to have a minimum lifting angle of 60 degrees with horizontal. The angle from the axial center line with the package is:

Φ=arctan (13.75/34.5)

 $\varphi$  = 21.73 degrees

The applied load is:

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

$$P = 8,630(3)/[4(\sin 60)(\cos \phi)] = 8,046$$
 lbs

The cross sectional area of the 1 inch rod is 0.785 sq inches.

The maximum shear is:

 $\tau = P/0.785 \text{ in}^2 = 10,250 \text{ psi}$ 

The plastic section modulus is:

 $Z = 0.098 \text{ in}^3$ 

For a concentrated load in the center of a short beam, 1.5 inches long:

σ<sub>b</sub> = (P\*1.5)/(8\*Z) = 15,394 psi

Combining this with the shear stress due to the hook load gives a maximum shear stress of:

τ<sub>max</sub> = 12,818 psi

The allowable shear stress based on yield is:

 $\tau_{all} = .57^* \sigma_{yield} = 14,250 \text{ psi}$  $MS_{rod} = \tau_{all} / \tau_{max} - 1 = 0.11$ 

The load parallel with the longitudinal axis of the package  $P_x$  and the vertical load  $P_y$  is:

 $P_x = P\cos(60)\cos(\phi)$  P = 3,737 lbs

 $P_v = Psin(60) = 6.968$  lbs

Thickness of the sheet is: t = 0.11 in

Tensile stress below the bar

 $\sigma_{tb} = P_v/(t7.5 \text{ in}) = 8,446 \text{ psi}$ 

The weakest weld is the weld holding the vertical wall to the shell. The effective weld is the length of the 9 in bar.

$$T_{weld} = P_v / (9^* \cos (45)^* t) = 9,954 \text{ psi}$$

The allowable shear stress in the weld is 0.57 times the yield of the filler metal or 14,250 psi.

The axial load is carried by the internal stiffening plate in the stiffener. Assume that the load is carried by the top two inches of the internal stiffening plate. This is conservative since much of the load is carried by the top sheet of the stiffener.

$$\sigma = P_x/(t^2) = 16,986 \text{ psi}$$

Applying the methodology of Table 35 case 3a (6<sup>th</sup> Edition *Roark's Formulas for Stress and Strain*) for a plate with linear varying stress, if the critical buckling stress is greater than the applied stress the plate won't buckle.

ſ	Framatome - Fuel
	This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

 $E = 27.5 \times 10^6 \text{ psi}$ 

v = 0.29

The ratio of the unloaded side (a) to the loaded side (b) of:

By interpolation:

Assume stress varies from 0 at bottom to the average at top, making  $\alpha$  = 1.

K = 7.404

$$\sigma_{\rm cstif} = \mathbf{K} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{E}}{1 - v^2} \cdot (\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{b}})^2$$

 $\sigma_{\text{cstif}}$  = 177,800 psi

This is greater than the yield stress, therefore it will not buckle with the applied stress.

The lifting load is transferred from the lift point to the outer side shell of the package. From the outside shell it is transferred to the closure structure, the ball lock pins and the bottom structure which carries the payload. The inboard outer stiffeners on the Lid that contain the lift points are treated as simple box beams conservatively ignoring the shell between the stiffeners and the end plates.

The "box beam" is approximated with the following dimensions.

Outer base:	b = 8.49 in
Inner base:	b <sub>i</sub> = 8.27 in
Outer height:	d = 4.12 in
Inner height:	d <sub>i</sub> = 3.9 in
	4

Moment of inertia about the weak axis:  $I = 8.598 \text{ in}^4$ 

The distance to the outer fiber is y = 2.06 in

Section Modulus: S = I/y = 4.174 in <sup>3</sup>

For a beam with two point loads applied 7.73 inches in from the edge of the package shell.

 $\sigma_{bb} = P_y(7.73 \text{ in})/S = 12,900 \text{ psi}$ 

Margin of Safety for bending: MS<sub>bb</sub> = 25,000/12,900-1 = 0.94

The double shear lock pins have a capacity of 57,200 lbs and the single shear pins have a capacity of 28,600 lbs. There are 32 double shear pins and 12 single shear pins for the

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PW
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 48/375	

short package version. This is more than adequate capacity to carry the load from the Lid to the Base.

Thus, the requirements of 10 CFR §71.45(a) are satisfied.

#### 2.5.2 **TIE-DOWN DEVICES**

The MAP package is normally transported by flatbed trailer. The packages are secured on the trailer in the two horizontal axes by shoring on the trailer floor and straps that go completely over the package. The package is to be tied down using passive-contact devices such as load-restraint bars, cargo netting, or over-the-top strapping that does not rely on any feature of the package for a tie-down attachment point. Failure of these restraint devices will not impair or reduce the package ability to perform as required to protect the payload, satisfying the requirement of 10 CFR §71.45(b)(2).

#### 2.6 NORMAL CONDITIONS OF TRANSPORT

#### 2.6.1 HEAT

#### 2.6.1.1 SUMMARY OF PRESSURES AND TEMPERATURES

As presented in Section 3.1.3, Summary of Temperatures, the maximum MAP package temperature under conditions of 100 °F ambient temperature and full insolation are as follows. The maximum temperature anywhere in the package is 210 °F, located in the Lid top outer steel shell. The polyurethane foam average temperature in the Base is 148 °F, while the end impact limiters have an average polyurethane foam temperature of 141 °F. As presented in Section 3.1.4, Summary of Maximum Pressures, the maximum normal operating pressure (MNOP) of the MAP package is zero. This is assured by the use of a breathable braided fibrous material between the Lid and Base. The braided material cannot retain pressure.

#### 2.6.1.2 DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL EXPANSION

There is no feature, on the MAP package, such as rigid lids or containment seals that could be affected by the differential thermal expansion of the package components. In addition, since the package has a negligible internal decay heat, any temperature differences will arise only from the solar loading, and will consequently be relatively small. Therefore, differential thermal expansion is not of concern.

#### 2.6.1.3 STRESS CALCULATIONS

Since no internal pressures can develop, stresses due to NCT pressures and temperatures

are negligible.

#### 2.6.1.4 COMPARISON WITH ALLOWABLE STRESSES

Since NCT stresses are negligible, this section does not apply.

#### 2.6.2 COLD

With an internal decay heat load of zero, no insolation, and an ambient temperature of -40 °F, the average package temperature will be -40 °F. None of the structural materials of construction (i.e., austenitic stainless steel, polyethylene foam, or fiberglass) undergo a ductile-to-brittle transition at temperatures of -40 °F or higher. Therefore, the minimum NCT temperature is of negligible consequence.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

#### 2.6.3 REDUCED EXTERNAL PRESSURE

As discussed in Section 2.6.1.1, *Summary of Pressures and Temperatures*, the MAP package is not capable of retaining pressure. Therefore, the effect of a reduced external pressure on the MAP package of 25 kPa (3.5 psia), per 10 CFR §71.71(c)(3), is negligible.

The cladding has been shown to sustain pressures from 0 psig to pressures consistent with reactor operation. The reduced external pressure has no impact on the fuel rod cladding.

#### 2.6.4 INCREASED EXTERNAL PRESSURE

An increase in external pressure of 140 kPa (20 psia) as required by 10 CFR T1.71(c)(4) would not affect the MAP package. The breathable braided fibrous material between the Lid and Base is not capable of sustaining pressure. Therefore, the effect of an increased external pressure would be negligible.

The cladding has been shown to sustain pressures from 0 psig to pressures consistent with reactor operation. The reduced external pressure has no impact on the fuel rod cladding.

#### 2.6.5 VIBRATION

The MAP package is designed to withstand the effects of NCT and HAC, and is consequently a robust structure. The design avoids the use of high mass-to-stiffness ratio (i.e., low vibration frequency) components, such as cantilever beams or large, unsupported panels. The internal strong-back supporting the payload has numerous stiffeners that brace and control the internal configuration. The flat sheets used in the package are also supported by the materials that they enclose, e.g., the polyurethane foam and the ceramic fiber insulation material. In addition, due to the presence of a large number of welded and riveted joints and interfaces, and to the damping qualities of the polyurethane foam and insulation, the package is heavily vibrationally damped. For these reasons, any vibration normally incident to transport will not be significant for the MAP package, and the requirements of 10 CFR §71.71(c)(5) are satisfied.

#### 2.6.6 WATER SPRAY

The external surfaces of the MAP package are made entirely from ASTM Type 304 stainless steel which is not be affected by the water spray as specified in 10 CFR §71.71(c)(6). The joint between the Lid and Base has a small, downward facing skirt, which cannot collect water or admit water spray into the fuel cavity. Any openings into the polyurethane foam are closed with plastic plugs. For these reasons, the effect of water spray, per 10 CFR §71.71(c)(6), is not of concern for the MAP package.

#### 2.6.7 FREE DROP

10 CFR §71.71(c)(7) requires a free drop for the MAP package. Since the package gross weight is less than 11,000 lbs, the applicable free drop distance is 4 ft. Two NCT free drops were performed, including a horizontal flat drop on the Lid and 10° slap-down on the Base. These two drops are the most credible NCT drops, given the package configuration and how it will be handled. The horizontal Lid down was conservatively performed instead of the more credible NCT horizontal Base down drop, because the horizontal Lid down HAC drop was to be performed for thermally limiting deformations.

The damage in each case was modest. There was no loss or dispersal of the package contents, and no substantial reduction in the effectiveness of the packaging. The latter result was confirmed by the successful completion of the subsequent HAC testing. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR T.71(c)(7) are satisfied. Furthermore, due to the relatively fragile nature of the fuel assembly payload in maintaining its configuration for operational use, any event that would come close to approximating the NCT free drop would cause the package to be removed from service and re-examined prior to continued use.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hano	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	50/375

The long version (MAP 13) was tested as the bounding version for both NCT and HAC. Both packages are made from the same gauge steel and strength material. Both have the same maximum gross weight, since the fuel shipped in the MAP 12 is expected to be slightly heavier than the fuel to be shipped in the MAP 13.

#### 2.6.7.1 SUMMARY OF NCT FREE DROPS

A summary of the individual NCT free drops is provided below. See Appendix 2.12.1, *MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*, for detailed information. Refer to Table 2-4 for test nomenclature.

#### <u>10° Slapdown (Test CD2, CTU1)</u>

The NCT slap-down drop exhibited very little damage to CTU1. The end and top bottom stiffeners of the Base sustained minor deformation as expected. Both sustained approximately 1" of deformation. One ball lock pin, second up from the Base on the top end, came out. The pin and hole exhibited no significant damage. Figures 2-2 and 2-3 show the subsequent damage.



Figure 2-2 – Primary Impact Stiffener Damage



Figure 2-3 – Secondary Impact Stiffener Damage

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		51/375

#### Horizontal Lid Down (Test CD4, CTU3)

The horizontal Lid down drop showed negligible damage. No ball lock pins came out and very little deformation of the outer stiffeners was observed. Figure 2-4 shows the subsequent damage.



Figure 2-4 – Typical Stiffener after Drop

#### 2.6.8 CORNER DROP

This test does not apply, since the MAP package is not made of fiberboard, and weighs more than 220 lbs, as specified in 10 §CFR 71.71(c)(8).

#### 2.6.9 COMPRESSION

Since the package weighs less than 5,000 kg (11,000 pounds), as delineated in 10 CFR 71.71(c)(9), the package must be able to support five times its loaded weight without damage. The load to be used as the test condition is the load ( $W_1$ ) equal to five time the weight of this package or the load ( $W_2$ ) which is obtained through multiplying the package's vertical projected area by 13 kPa or 2 psi, whichever is heavier. In the case of this package, the equations to obtain the loads are:

 $W_1 = 5 \times W$  Where W is the weight of the package.

$$W_2 = 2 psi x L x B$$

Where:

W: Weight of package	8,630 lb
L: Length of package	221 in
B: Width of package	45 in

From this:

 $W_1 = 5 \times 8,630 = 43,150 \text{ lb}$ 

W<sub>2</sub> = 221 x 45 x 2 = 19,870 lb

Therefore, since  $W_1 > W_2$ , the stacking load is 43,150 lb.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	6
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 52/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

The sides of the Base and Lid must withstand the 43,150 lb and the Base outer stiffeners must withstand the 43,150 lb plus the package weight of 8,630 lb (51,780 lb).

The load is carried down through the shell via the four outer stiffeners on the Lid and Base. Although the outer stiffeners on the Base and Lid do not line up they are adjacent to each other and end at the structural steel members that make up the joint which easily spread the load to the adjacent shell and outer stiffener. There is a plate welded to the closure angle on the bottom section that is behind each stiffener to ensure that the load applied to the Lid and closure is transferred to the shell in the area of the stiffeners. Therefore, the vertical faces of the outer stiffeners carry the full load.

Number of Base vertical faces per package: N<sub>s</sub> = 8

Load per vertical stiffener: 
$$P_{vs} = \frac{W_1}{N_s}$$
 P<sub>vs</sub>= 5,394 lbs

When welded to the shell, the stiffener acts as a rectangular tube, having the following dimensions:

t = 0.11 in 
$$a_w$$
 = 0.89 in The Lid outer stiffener protrudes from the shell 0.89 in. 
$$b_h$$
 = 8.5 in

The area of the metal that can carry the load is:

$$A_{ms} = 2 \cdot t \cdot (a_w + b_h) = 2.066 \text{ in}^2$$
$$\sigma_c = \frac{P_{vs}}{A_{ms}} = 2,611 \text{ psi}$$

The compressive stress is well below the minimum yield stress of the shell and stiffener material of 25,000 psi.

$$MS_{c} = \frac{\sigma_{y}}{\sigma_{c}} - 1 = 8.57$$

The stiffener itself is stable due it being welded to the side of the container. However, the sheet material making up the stiffener column is checked for stability under the compressive load. The edges are conservatively assumed to be simply supported.

Using Table 35 Case 1a (*Roark's Formulas for Stress and Strain,* 6<sup>th</sup> edition) a critical buckling load is determined.

E = 27.5 x 10<sup>6</sup> psi

u = 0.29

The longest unsupported side is 16.64 in (side of the base portion of the stiffener that is 8.5 in wide). This gives a ratio of the unloaded side to the loaded side of:

a = 16.64 in

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

a/b = 1.958

By interpolation:

K = 3.296

$$\sigma_{\rm cr} = \mathbf{K} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{E}}{1 - v^2} \cdot (\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{b}})^2$$

The 8.5 inch wide plate gives the lowest critical buckling stress of:

$$\sigma_{cs}$$
 = 16,574 psi  
MS<sub>cs</sub> =  $\frac{\sigma_{cs}}{\sigma_{c}}$  -1 = 5.35

Likewise checking the Base outer stiffeners:

t = 0.11 in	Plate thickness
a = 4 in	Plate height
b = (44.74 - 2·3) =	= 38.74 in

The loaded length is the width of the stiffener minus the radius at each end.

Number of supporting plates (Two per stiffener):

$$N_{p} = 8$$
  

$$\sigma_{appliedb} = W_{c}/(N_{p}tb)$$
  

$$\sigma_{appliedb} = 1,519 \text{ psi}$$
  

$$MS = \frac{\sigma_{y}}{\sigma_{appliedb}} - 1 = 15.46$$

The stiffener itself is stable due it being welded to the side of the container. However, the sheet material making up the stiffener across the bottom of the package is checked for stability under the compressive load. The edges are conservatively assumed to be simply supported.

Using Table 35 Case 1a (*Roark's Formulas for Stress and Strain,* 6<sup>th</sup> edition) a critical buckling load is determined.

 $E = 27.5 \times 10^6 \text{ psi}$ v = 0.29

The ratio of the unloaded side (a) to the loaded side (b) of:

a/b = 0.103

By interpolation:

K = 33.132

$$\sigma_{\text{cbbottom}} = \mathbf{K} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{E}}{1 - v^2} \cdot (\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{b}})^2$$

 $\sigma_{cbbottom}$  = 8,021 psi

$$MS_{bbottom} = \frac{\sigma_{cbbottom}}{\sigma_{appliedb}} - 1 = 4.28$$

Therefore, the vertical faces of the outer stiffeners remain stable when the weight of five packages is placed on the package. Thus, the requirements of 10 CFR <sup>371.71(c)(9)</sup> relative to the stacking test provision are satisfied.

#### 2.6.10 PENETRATION

10 CFR §71.71(c)(10) requires that a bar of hemispherical end, weighing at least 6 kg (13.2 lb), be dropped from a height of 1 m (40 in) onto the weakest part of the specimen. As documented in Appendix 2.12.1, *MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*, the MAP package was not damaged by the penetration test. Therefore, the requirements of the 10 CFR §71.71(c)(10) are satisfied.

#### 2.7 HYPOTHETICAL ACCIDENT CONDITIONS

10 CFR §71.55 requires that packages containing fissile material be evaluated for criticality with the inclusion of any damage resulting from the NCT tests specified in §71.71 plus the damage from the HAC tests specified in §71.73. As demonstrated in Section 2.6, *Normal Conditions of Transport*, the damage from the NCT tests was negligible, and consequently its effects are not included in the HAC considerations below. An exception is the damage from the NCT free drop tests, which is included as discussed in Section 2.6.7, *Free Drop*.

The following sections describe the response of the MAP package to the hypothetical accident conditions. As discussed in Section 2.1.2, *Design Criteria*, the design criteria for HAC are a) that the Lid remain attached to the Base, thus ensuring confinement of the payload, b) the fuel cladding shows no visible signs of breach, thus ensuring containment is maintained, c) that observed and measured fuel assembly and strong-back post-test configurations are bounded by the assumptions made in the criticality analysis, and d) that measured post-test deformations of the moderators and absorbers are bounded by the assumptions made in the criticality analysis. Note that design criteria *c*, regarding the post-test configurations of the fuel assembly and strong-back, may be more specifically described as a requirement that the fuel assembly does not have any loose pellets or lattice configuration outside the criticality limits, as discussed in Chapter 6, *Criticality Evaluation*.

A total of three, full-scale, prototypic test units (CTU1, CTU2, and CTU3) were used in certification testing. The tests applied to CTU2 were focused on achieving the greatest degree of lattice expansion (via axial buckling) of the fuel assembly. The tests applied to CTU1 were focused on achieving separation of the Lid from the Base, either at the end impact limiter or along the closure joint. The tests applied to CTU3 were focused on thermally compromising damage in view of the subsequent fire test. For this reason, only CTU3 was burned. Each test unit included a full complement of payload including: one fuel assembly with lead and tungsten carbide pellets, and one ballast assembly.

More detail concerning the configuration and placement of the payload is available in Appendix 2.12.1, *MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*.

Prior to performing the HAC, 30 ft free drop tests, the CTUs were thermally conditioned to a temperature of 120 °F. The foam temperatures recorded just prior to the tests ranged between 100 °F and 120 °F. CTU1 and CTU3 were tested at warm temperature in order to obtain maximum deformations. Warm temperature is also bounding for CTU2, which is focused on maximum impact. This is because the polyurethane foam in the end impact limiter approaches compression to a "solid" before all of the drop energy is absorbed, which

Framatome - Fuel		
	Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	6
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 55/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

allows the last stage of the impact to be uncushioned. This is a more severe case than cold foam which, due to greater strength, does not become "solid".

A summary and discussion of the certification tests is provided in Sections 2.7.1 through 2.7.8. The tests performed, and their sequence, are summarized in Table 2-3 and depicted in Figure 2-30 through Figure 2-32.

#### 2.7.1 FREE DROP

10 CFR §71.73(c)(1) requires the drop of the package onto an essentially unyielding surface from a height of 9 m (30 ft) in the orientation for which maximum damage is expected. As discussed in Section 2.6.7, *Free Drop*, certain HAC free drops from a height of 30 ft were receded by a NCT free drop from a height of 4 ft in the same orientation.

#### 2.7.1.1 END DROP – JUSTIFICATION OF DROP ANGLE

The MAP Package is slightly different, internally, from the top end to the bottom end. The top has an aluminum restraint bar for applying a slight axial preload to the fuel assemblies. The preload helps minimize movement of the fuel assemblies inside the package during transport. The end impact limiters are the same. Both have the same shape, volume, and density of polyurethane foam. The stainless steel shells are also of similar construction. Therefore, the impact force between the top and bottom end drops should be virtually the same with regard to the package. The fuel assemblies, however, are bounded by a bottom drop. Each fuel rod has an internal spring assembly in the top to permit thermal growth of the pellets. During an impact, these spring assemblies act as an energy absorber for the fuel pellets, which make up most of the weight of the fuel. Also, the top fuel assembly hold down spring further cushions the top. A bottom down drop excludes the rod spring assemblies from absorbing any of the impact energy; therefore the bottom down drop is bounding.

#### 2.7.1.2 SIDE DROP – JUSTIFICATION OF DROP ANGLE

When the package is horizontal, there are five unique impacts that can occur. The Lid and Base impact response is slightly different due to the thinner foam layer in the Lid. For the side drop, the package response is the same for both sides. The side and Base down horizontal impact are bounded by the Lid down impact, as discussed below. The other two impacts involve the package edge, which is comprised of the edge between the side and Lid, and the edge between the side and Base. Neither of the edge impacts are a concern, due to the significant amount of rib and foam stroke to absorb the impact energy.

The side down drop has the least amount of foam volume to absorb the impact energy, which could lead to the most amount of crush, potentially impacting the thermal protection of the package. However, the side down drop crush involves deforming robust angles compromising the closure system. Additionally, the surface area of the moderator facing the side is much less than the amount facing the Lid. Therefore, significantly less heat could be input to the moderator through the side than the Lid.

Thus, the Lid down drop is more severe than the Base down drop and side down drop regarding maximum damage to make the moderator most vulnerable to the fire test. Therefore, only the Lid down drop was performed out. The Lid down drop was performed in both NCT and HAC drops.

The most credible NCT drop is with the Base down. However, no Base down drops are performed as they are bounded by the Lid down drops. The Lid down NCT bounds the Base down NCT. The Lid down combination of NCT and HAC also functions to consider the worst case cumulative damage with respect to the foam protecting the most vulnerable moderator from melting in a subsequent thermal test.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	-

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		56/375



#### 2.7.1.3 CORNER DROP – JUSTIFICATION OF DROP ANGLE

The near vertical, c.g. over edge, and c.g. over corner ends are not of concern because of the length of the package. A package having a perspective length much greater than its width and height makes the angle so small for these drops that they are basically equivalent to the vertical end drop. Therefore, the vertical end drop is bounding for impact force.

#### 2.7.1.4 OBLIQUE DROPS – JUSTIFICATION OF DROP ANGLE

If the end impact limiter were to shear or tear off during a shallow slap-down, the package would become more vulnerable to the subsequent thermal test. An angle equal to or less than 20° will contact the shell of the package before impacting the impact limiter. Thus, a 30° drop orientation is used to maximize damage to the interface between the impact limiter and the Base. To maximize the vulnerability of the end impact limiter during the 30° slap-down HAC test, a 10° slap-down NCT test will be initially performed. The shallower NCT slap-down will challenge the outer stiffener and base-plate interlocking L-channels, making the HAC test more difficult for the end impact limiter.

Additionally, the end impact limiter joint is most vulnerable when the Base is facing down; neither the Lid down nor side are as susceptible to damage. The reason for the Base being most vulnerable reflects on the orientation of interlocking L-channels between the end impact limiters and Base (see Figure 1-7). The impact force perpendicular to the Base (largest at shallow slap-down angle) drives the L-channels apart when the Base is down (the impact side). These interlocking L-channels are driven together if the top or sides are down (the impact side).

A concern for the end drop slap-down is the global bending of the package. The bending moment generated during the slap-down is transmitted thru the package. A shear load is also transmitted thru the package, and of particular interest is the connection between the Lid and Base. Numerous ball lock pins serve as shear connections between the Lid and Base. These ball lock pins and associated receptacle channels must withstand the bending and subsequent shear load from the slap-down. If the joint between the Lid and Base is opened at any location along the package length, then the thermal protection capabilities could be compromised.

#### 2.7.1.5 SUMMARY OF THE HAC FREE DROP RESULTS

The following paragraphs summarize damage to the outside of the CTUs. Internal damage is discussed in Section 2.7.8, *Summary of Damage*. For detailed test result information see Appendix 2.12.1, *MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*.

#### End Drop (Test CD1, CTU2)

The end drop showed expected deformation of the forward (bottom) impact limiter. The package, CTU2, landed completely vertical and actually remained standing on the impact limiter after the drop. The impact limiter deformed approximately 6", as measured axially from the outside of the package, see Figures 2-5 and 2-6. No tears were observed in the outer shell.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		57/375

### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

framatome



Figure 2-5 – Front View of Impact Limiter Damage



Figure 2-6 – Side View of Impact Limiter Damage

E.	
	Framatome - Fuel
	This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		58/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

#### <u>30° Slapdown (Test CD3, CTU1)</u>

The HAC slap-down showed various types of damage to the external components of the package. The outer stiffeners were deformed as follows from the forward (bottom) end to the aft (top) end of the Lid: 2", 0", 1.5", and 4". The outer shell of the package sustained a global bend or curve from the slap-down, along with rippling on the sides and Base, see Figures 2-7 through 2-9. The ball lock pins at the primary impact end were sheared on both sides of the package. The sheared ball lock pins include the three vertical pins (per side) supplementing the impact limiter attachment and first two horizontal ball lock pins (per side) up to the first outer stiffener, see Figure 2-8. Although sheared, two pins on each side of the impact limiter prevent removal of the Lid. The Lid and Base remained securely connected. No tears were observed in the outer shell.



Figure 2-7 – Global Package Damage after Test CD3



Figure 2-8 – Primary Impact End Damage

№ FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shinning Package –	
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 59/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96 framatome	

2

0

TOP

a

ŝ

ê.

Figure 2-9 – Secondary Impact End Damage

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	60/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

#### Horizontal Lid Down (Test CD5, CTU3)

2.0

The HAC horizontal lid down drop caused significant deformation of the outer lid stiffeners. All four outer lid spacers were completely flattened, a total of 4". The stiffeners showed buckling and tearing in various locations, see Figure 2-10 through 2-12. There was no tearing of the outer shell. On the inboard stiffeners at the locations of the lid only lift doubler plates, there was deformation of the shell inward approximately 2", see Figure 2-13. There was no other significant deformation of the package exterior.



Figure 2-10 – Global Package Damage after Test CD5



Figure 2-11 – Top End Stiffener Damage



Figure 2-12 – Bottom End Stiffener Damage

Framatome - Fuel
The design of the second state of the design of the design of the design of the design of the second state of the design of the
I his document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 61/375

Rev. 2.0

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

framatome



#### Figure 2-13 – Shell Deformation at Lid Lifting Locations

#### 2.7.2 CRUSH

Per 10 CFR §71.73(c)(2), because the MAP package maximum weight of 8,630 lbs (3,915 kg) is greater than 1,100 lbs (500 kg) and because its density of 68 lbs/ft<sup>3</sup>  $(1,089 \text{ kg/m}^3)$  is greater than 62.4 lbs/ft<sup>3</sup>  $(1,000 \text{ kg/m}^3)$ , this test does not apply.

#### 2.7.3 PUNCTURE

10 CFR §71.73(c)(3) requires the drop of the package onto a 15 cm (6-inch) diameter steel bar from a height of 1 m (40 inches). The primary focus of the puncture drops is to try and create damage that will be thermally compromising during the subsequent fire test. The package must be able to thermally protect the moderator from melting during the fire test, after sustaining worst case free and puncture drop damage. The worst case puncture drops cause maximum local deformation, attempt to shear or tear the outer shell, or penetrate the Base-Lid closure.

#### 2.7.3.1 PUNCTURE – JUSTIFICATION OF DROP ANGLE

The most potentially damaging puncture drop is oblique on the lid through the c.g. An initial impact to the side slightly offset from the center of the package (4.2 inches) aligns the lid surface with the edge of the puncture through the c.g. at an angle of 20° from horizontal (70° from vertical).

The lid is potentially more vulnerable to thermal consequences from the puncture drops than the Base. The moderator in the lid is directly backed by the foam, however the moderator in the Base has an air gap and additional stainless steel sheet between it and the foam (see Figure 1-3). Therefore, puncture damage to the Lid would be more likely to lead to the moderator melting in the fire test than would puncture damage to the Base. Additionally, the oblique Lid down puncture is the most likely puncture to challenge the package locally, to the extent of possibly damaging the fuel cladding. This orientation offers the least protection at the most opportune location to affect the fuel assembly.

No puncture drop will be performed to attack the damaged end impact limiter. The purpose of this puncture would be to maximize the cumulative damage to the end impact limiter and

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	-

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – <b>frai</b> USA/9319/B(U)F-96	<b>c</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 62/375		framatome

end plate of the package. However, the primary objective of the vertical end drop is to check for axial fuel buckling. This puncture drop after the HAC end drop is unlikely to produce additional damage with respect to axial fuel buckling. Additionally, no puncture drop will be performed to attempt to rip off the end impact limiter. Orientations necessary to rip off the end impact limiter are away from the package c.g., and are more likely to produce rotation of the package rather than significant puncture damage.

Finally, one puncture drop was performed to attack the closure joint. This drop was through the package c.g. with the exact orientation chosen based on the free drop damage to the joint. The purpose was to create the largest possible opening of the closure joint.

#### 2.7.3.2 SUMMARY OF THE PUNCTURE DROP RESULTS

The following paragraphs summarize damage to the outside of the CTUs. Internal damage is discussed in Section 2.7.8, *Summary of Damage*. For detailed test result information see Appendix 2.12.1, *MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*.

#### Oblique CG Over Lid (Test CP1, CTU1)

The oblique puncture on CTU1 went through the outer shell into the Lid foam, see Figures 2-14 and 2-15. The puncture was through the package c.g. at an angle of 20° to horizontal, about the package axis, Figure 2-31. The puncture was 6" in diameter, consistent with the puncture bar. The puncture depth was 7" measured from the flat surface of the Lid. The puncture slightly exposed some of the moderator. As a result of this puncture, 12 gauge plates were welded to CTU3 to "double" the thickness of the outer shell. These double plates were tested in the same orientation in puncture drop test CP3 on CTU3.



Figure 2-14 – Puncture Damage for Test CP1

```
N° FS1-0038397
```

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 63/375

Rev. 2.0

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

# framatome



Figure 2-15 – Close-up of Puncture Damage for Test CP1

#### CG Over Side Closure Joint (Test CP2, CTU1)

The c.g. over side closure joint puncture was performed on CTU1 after the first oblique puncture on the Lid (CP1). The side was punctured just below the closure seam on the package Base, see Figure 2-16 through 2-17. The puncture was 6" diameter over 180 degrees with tears extending outward on both sides of the 180 degrees. The puncture depth measured from the flat surfaces was 3.5". Very little polyurethane foam was exposed, as the ceramic fiber paper remained intact.

N 10	EC1 002020	7
N°.	F31-003839	11

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page

Rev. 2.0

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96





Figure 2-16 – Puncture Damage for Test CP2



Figure 2-17 – Close-up of Puncture Damage for Test CP2

#### Oblique CG Over Lid (Test CP3, CTU3)

The second oblique c.g. over Lid puncture was performed with additional coverplates welded to the center sections of the Lid and Base of CTU3. The additional coverplates were stitch

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2
Han	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	65/375

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96 **framatome**

welded to the outer shell, and are the same thickness as the outer shell. The cover plates are part of the design as represented in Appendix 1.3.1, *Package Drawings*. The Lid shell and coverplate were punctured, but to a lesser extent than the first Lid puncture on CTU1, see Figure 2-18 and 2-19. The puncture depth measured from the flat surfaces was 3.5".

2.0



Figure 2-18 – Puncture Damage for Test CP3



Figure 2-19 – Close-up of Puncture Damage for Test CP3

#### 2.7.4 SUMMARY OF INTERNAL DAMAGE RESULTS

The following paragraphs summarize damage to the package internals and payload. For detailed test result information see Appendix 2.12.1, *MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*.

#### CTU1 Internal Damage

CTU1 was tested in the 10° NCT slap-down, 30° HAC slap-down, oblique c.g. over Lid puncture drop, and c.g. over side closure joint puncture drop. The cumulative internal damage from these tests was minor and acceptable. A door assembly closest to the bottom end on the fuel assembly latch side broke 21 out of 132 hinge rivets, see Figure 2-20. The

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fi
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 66/375	USA

doors were intact. On the opposite ballast door, the latch receptacle closest to the forward (bottom) end was fractured, see Figure 2-21.

A few of the center latch bars showed bending; however none exceeded their ultimate capacity. Numerous rivets attaching the inner Base weldment to the outer Base channels with the fiberglass thermal break in the Base assembly were sheared, see Figure 2-22. The sheared rivets were located on both sides from the approximate package center to the top end. No internal damage was observed on the Lid. Slight bowing of the fuel assembly was observed but no visible rod cladding breach was evident.



Figure 2-20 – Broken Door Rivets



Figure 2-21 – Primary Impact End, Broken Latch Receptacle and Bent Center Latch Bar

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page



Figure 2-22 – Sheared Base Assembly Rivets

#### CTU2 Internal Damage

CTU2 was used to evaluate the package and fuel assembly performance for the HAC end drop. Virtually no internal damage was sustained by the package during the end drop. Minor bowing of the forward (bottom) end plate occurred, but was less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ " and did not impede removal of the Lid, see Figure 2-23 through 2-25. There was no physical damage to the fuel assembly as observed in Figure 2-25.

The ballast did show buckling of the threaded rods in the bottom segment, see Figure 2-26. The bucking of the threaded ballast rods was contained by the door assembly. The hinge between the doors did have damage to three hinge knuckles from buckling of the ballast threaded rods, see Figure 2-24. The ballast threaded rods buckled do to their separation from the door assembly, since their movement was not restricted by the doors prior to the on-set of plastic deformation.

Most importantly, no damage occurred to the fuel assembly.

FS1-0038397 Rev. 2.0 N° MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96 Handling: Page

68/375

**Restricted Framatome** 



framatome

Figure 2-23 – Impact End Door Assemblies



Figure 2-24 – Impact End, Ballast Door Assembly, Damaged Hinge Knuckles

FS1-0038397 Rev. 2.0 N° Handling: Page 69/375 **Restricted Framatome** 

## MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96 **framatome**



Figure 2-25 – Impact End, Bottom Fuel Segment



Figure 2-26 – Impact End, Bottom Ballast Segment

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		70/375

#### CTU3 Internal Damage

CTU3 was tested in the NCT and HAC Lid down horizontal drops, oblique c.g. over Lid with doubler plate puncture drop, and fire test. No significant internal damage occurred. Minor discoloration occurred from smoke and residue in the fire test (Figures 2-27 and 2-28), which has no bearing on the design criteria. The plastic bag on the fuel assembly and paper identification tag both survived the fire test (Figure 2-29), demonstrating the package's significant thermal protection capabilities.



Figure 2-27 – Post Testing, Base Internal View

N° FS1-0038397

Rev. 2.0

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 71/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

framatome

Figure 2-28 – Typical Door Latches after Testing



Figure 2-29 – Fuel Assembly with Bag and Tag Intact after Fire Test

Franatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		72/375

#### 2.7.5 THERMAL

10 CFR §71.73(c)(4) requires the thermal testing of the MAP packaging by exposing it to a hypothetical fire event. To demonstrate the thermal performance of the MAP packaging under accident condition, the full scale CTU3 was physically tested by exposing it to a fully engulfing hydrocarbon fuel fire. As discussed previously, the mechanical testing performed on CTU3 prior to the fire test resulted in the greatest thermally compromising deformation damage when compared with that sustained by CTU1 and CTU2. It was on that basis that CTU3 was selected for thermal testing under the hypothetical fire conditions. Discussion and results of the fire test are presented in Section 3.4, *Thermal Evaluation for Hypothetical Accident Conditions*. Further details of the fire testing can be found in Appendix 2.12.1, *MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*.

#### 2.7.5.1 SUMMARY OF PRESSURES AND TEMPERATURES

2.0

10 CFR §71.73(c)(4) requires the thermal testing of the MAP packaging by exposing it to a hypothetical fire event. To demonstrate the thermal performance of the MAP packaging under accident condition, the full scale CTU3 was physically tested by exposing it to a fully engulfing hydrocarbon fuel fire. As discussed above, the mechanical testing performed on CTU3 prior to the fire test resulted in the greatest thermally compromising deformation damage when compared with that sustained by CTU1 and CTU2. It was on that basis that CTU3 was selected for thermal testing under the hypothetical fire conditions. Discussion and results of the fire test are presented in Section 3.4, *Thermal Evaluation for Hypothetical Accident Conditions*. Further details of the fire testing can be found in Appendix 2.12.1, *MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*.

#### 2.7.5.2 DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL EXPANSION

The fire test of the full scale CTU3 demonstrated that the effects associated with differential thermal expansion of the various packaging components is negligible.

#### 2.7.5.3 STRESS CALCULATIONS

Because the MAP package is evaluated by fire test, package stresses are not explicitly evaluated.

#### 2.7.5.4 COMPARISON WITH ALLOWABLE STRESSES

Since thermal stresses were not specifically evaluated, no comparison with allowable stresses can be made. However, as evidenced by the structural integrity of the package and absence of moderator melting, overall internal temperature remaining below approximately 480 °F, and no loss or dispersal of the contents, the HAC thermal event is not of concern for the MAP package.

#### 2.7.6 IMMERSION – FISSILE MATERIAL

10 CFR §71.73(c)(5) requires performance of the immersion test for packages containing fissile material. The criticality evaluation presented in Chapter 6.0, *Criticality Evaluation*, assumes optimum hydrogenous moderation of single MAP packages and arrays of packages, thereby conservatively addressing the effects and consequences of water inleakage.

#### 2.7.7 IMMERSION – ALL PACKAGES

10 CFR §71.73(c)(6) requires performance of the immersion test only if it is more limiting than the series of mechanical and thermal tests considered so far.

The immersion test is defined to be equivalent to a uniform external pressure of 150 kPa, or 21.7 psig. The breathable braided fibrous material between the Lid and Base does not form

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page
N° FS1-0038397
-----------------------------------
Handling: Restricted Framatome

a leak tight seal. Also, the stainless steel outer shell of the package coupled with the inner structure is not susceptible to compression at the prescribed external pressure.

The cladding and fuel assembly structure has been shown to sustain pressures consistent with reactor operation. The reduced external pressure would have no impact on the fuel rod cladding or the fuel assembly structure.

Immersion testing is not required since the mechanical and thermal tests are more limiting.

## 2.7.8 DEEP WATER IMMERSION TEST

The MAP package does not contain more than  $10^5 A_2$  hence, this requirement does not apply.

#### 2.7.9 SUMMARY OF DAMAGE

The discussions of Sections 2.7.1, *Free Drop*, through 2.7.7, *Immersion – All Packages*, demonstrate that the MAP package prevents loss or dispersal of the payload when subjected to all applicable hypothetical accident tests. The testing performed on CTU1, CTU2, and CTU3 consisted of NCT 4 ft free drops, HAC 30 ft free drops, and/or puncture drop tests. Since the orientation and magnitude of damage to CTU3 was more severe with regard to thermal protection of the moderator than that resulting from CTU1 and CTU2, CTU3 was subjected to the HAC thermal test.

The results of free drop and puncture drop tests, as noted external to the package, are recorded in Section 2.7.1.5, *Summary of the HAC Free Drop Results*, and Section 2.7.3.2, *Summary of the Puncture Drop Results*, respectively. The oblique c.g. over Lid punctures inflicted significant damage to the outer shell and was a primary factor in selection of CTU3 for the thermal test.

None of the damages inflicted as a result of the testing posed any serious challenge to the package closure integrity.

These demonstrations, in conjunction with the criticality evaluation in Chapter 6, *Criticality Evaluation*, and containment discussion in Chapter 4, *Containment*, show that the MAP package meets the design criteria specified in Section 2.1.2, *Design Criteria*. Thus, the MAP package meets the requirements of 10 CFR 71.

**Restricted Framatome** 

Page

74/375



## Table 2-3 – MAP Package Certification Drop Test Series

Test No.	Test Type	Orientation	Purpose		
CTU1 (Figu	CTU1 (Figure 2-31)				
CD2	Free Drop, NCT	10° Slapdown on forward end of base.	Perform prior to HAC test, for cumulative damage. Shallower angle than HAC drop impacts outer stiffener and baseplate to weaken interlocking channels connecting end impact limiter.		
CD3	Free Drop, HAC	30° Slapdown on forward end of base.	Attempt to remove end impact limiter and open closure seam due to maximum global bending.		
CP1	Puncture Drop	20° Oblique puncture on lid thru c.g.	Check puncture of shell and to cause potential maximum local damage.		
CP2	Puncture Drop	Puncture on side closure joint thru c.g.	Attack closure and attempt to create a flame path into package.		
CTU2 (Figu	ure 2-30)				
P1	Penetration Test, NCT	Penetration bar drop on lid.	Confirm penetration requirement is met.		
CD1	Free Drop, HAC	Vertical forward (bottom) end drop.	Assess maximum impact and orientation for potential fuel buckling.		
CTU3 (Figu	ure 2-32)	•			
CD4	Free Drop, NCT	Horizontal lid down drop.	Perform prior to HAC test, for any cumulative damage.		
CD5	Free Drop, HAC	Horizontal lid down drop.	Attempt maximum crush along package length.		
CP3	Puncture Drop	20° Oblique puncture on lid thru c.g.	Check puncture of shell and to cause potential maximum local damage. Same as CP1 on CTU 1, but CTU 3 was modified with center cover plates due to results of CP1.		
RF1	Fire Test	worst	Burn unit on any face, in the orientation that is most vulnerable. If applicable, place such that any sequential gaps, punctures, or tears are allowed to function as "chimneys" thru the package. This allows hot flames/gases to take advantage of natural convection, which optimizes the potential thermal damage.		



Figure 2-30 – Certification Tests on CTU2

Handling: Restricted Framatome

Page

## MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96



Figure 2-31 – Certification Tests on CTU



Figure 2-32 – Certification Tests on CTU3

# 2.8 ACCIDENT CONDITIONS FOR AIR TRANSPORT OF PLUTONIUM

The MAP package is not transported by air; hence, this section does not apply.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397

**Restricted Framatome** 

Handling:

Page

78/375



### 2.9 ACCIDENT CONDITIONS FOR AIR TRANSPORT OF FISSILE MATERIAL PACKAGES

The MAP package is not transported by air; hence, this section does not apply.

## 2.10 SPECIAL FORM

Since special form is not claimed for the MAP package or fuel, this section does not apply.

## 2.11 FUEL RODS

In each event evaluated either by analysis or by test, the fuel rods were protected by the MAP package so that they sustained no significant damage. Fuel rod cladding is considered to provide containment of radioactive material under both normal and accident test conditions. Discussion of this cladding and its ability to maintain sufficient mechanical integrity to provide such containment is described in Section 2.12.1 "*MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*" and Section 4.0 "*Containment*".

Fuel rods with a chromium coating along the length of the cladding are evaluated in Framatome document FS1-0040260<sup>6</sup> (included in Section 2.12.2) and shown that the structural safety analysis for the MAP packages are unaffected for the chromium coating features. The nominal thickness of the coating is 15 µm which is applied to the standard zirconium alloy rod. Elementary data regarding the physical and mechanical properties of the chromium coated M5 cladding are summarized in Table 2-4 which show similar or improved mechanical and strength behavior compared to uncoated M5. FS1-0040260 (Section 2.12.2) also presents the strength and toughness evaluation of the Cr-coated cladding, which further demonstrates the similar behaviors of coated and uncoated M5 cladding radionuclide boundary as evaluated and tested.

Parameter	Cr-Coated M5 Cladding VS. M5 Cladding
Coating Thickness	15 μ <i>m</i> nom. 20 μ <i>m</i> max.
Chromium Composition	99.5% Pure
Coated Clad Equivalent Density	<0.2% Increase
Thermal Resistance	<0.5% increase
Specific Heat Capacity	<1% increase
Phase Transformation $\alpha$ to $\beta$	No change
Young's Modulus	<5% increase
Poisson's Ratio	0.6% increase
Thermal Creep	Within the range for M5
0.2% Yield Strength	Within the range for M5
Yield Strength Burst Test	Within the range for M5
Ultimate Tensile Strength	Within the range for M5
Ultimate Strength Bust Test	In the upper limit for M5

## Table 2-4 – Comparison of Coated vs. Uncoated Cladding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> FS1-0040260, Rev. 1.0, *Mechanical Inputs to Licensing for ATF Fuel Shipments in MAP Series Containers*, 2018, Framatome Inc., Richland, WA.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		79/375

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

# 2.12 APPENDICES

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

Page

80/375

## 2.12.1 MAP SHIPPING PACKAGE CERTIFICATION TESTS

## 2.12.1.1 INTRODUCTION

A total of eight (8) tests as discussed in this Section were conducted at the National Transportation Research Center in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, on February 12, 2007. A single thermal test as discussed in this section was further conducted at the Carolina Fire Academy in Columbia, South Carolina, on February 15, 2007. Additional testing and inspections were conducted at the AREVA NP Inc., Mount Athos Road Facility in Lynchburg, Virginia, on February 19 and 20, 2007.

- Three (3) MAP shipping packages were subjected to the following on February 12, 2007.
  - Five (5) free drop tests, two (2) NCT and three (3) HAC.
  - Three (3) puncture tests.
- One (1) MAP shipping package was subject to the following on February 15, 2007.
  - One (1) HAC thermal test.
- One (1) MAP shipping package was subject to the following on February 19, 2007.
  - One (1) penetration rod test.

#### 2.12.1.2 PRE-TEST MEASUREMENTS AND INSPECTIONS

Detailed fabrication travelers documented the configuration of three (3) prototype MAP-13 units. These packages were verified and further identified as Certification Test Units (CTU) 1, 2, and 3.

#### 2.12.1.2.1TEST DISTRIBUTION

Table 2.12.1-1 shows the various tests completed for each CTU.

CTU #	Penetration	Puncture	4' NCT	30' HAC
1	n/a	<ol> <li>20° Oblique puncture through CG on lid</li> <li>CG over base side closure joint puncture</li> </ol>	10o slap-down on base	30o slap-down on base
2	Lid impact	n/a	n/a	Vertical bottom end
3	n/a	20° Oblique puncture CG on lid	Horizontal lid down	Horizontal lid down

#### Table 2.12.1-1 – MAP-13 CTU Certification Tests

## 2.12.1.2.2WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION

Each package weight was measured and recorded as shown in Table 2.12.1-2.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

Page 81/375

CTU #	Empty CTU Weight, Ib	Fuel Assembly/ Ballast Weight, Ib	Adjusted Package Weight, Internal/External, Ib	Gross Package Weight, Ib
1	5,078	3,400	132/20	8,630
2	5,079	3,400	131/20	8,630
3	5,077 <sup>(1)</sup>	3,400	133/20	8,630

 Table 2.12.1-2 – CTU Weight Characterization

(1) The doubler plates installed on both the lid and base increased the empty weight by 150 lb. This is discussed further in Sections 2.12.1.4.2 and 2.12.1.4.3 for the  $20^{\circ}$  oblique puncture bar tests of CTU 1 and CTU 3, respectively.

## 2.12.1.2.3 PUNCTURE BAR MEASUREMENTS

Puncture bar size and weight: Steel cylindrical bar measured at 6" diameter x 36" tall with a radius of curvature of the bar of less than  $\frac{1}{4}$ ". The bar had a square mounting base which was bolted to the drop pad.

# 2.12.1.2.4 PENETRATION ROD MEASUREMENTS

Penetration rod size and weight: Steel cylindrical rod measured at 1.25" diameter x 39" long with one end being hemispherical. The rod weight was measured at 13.55 lb.

# 2.12.1.2.5TEST PAD CHARACTERIZATION

The larger (exterior) drop pad (target) at the National Transportation Research Center (NTRC), Packaging Research Facility (PRF), has been demonstrated to meet the regulatory definition of a flat, essentially unyielding, horizontal surface for packages weighting up to 28,184 lb (12,811 kg) as certified in Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report ORNL/NTRC-001. Several packages exceeding the tested weight of the MAP-13 package have been previously tested at the NTRC.

# 2.12.1.3 SUMMARY OF TESTS AND RESULTS

# 2.12.1.3.1 INITIAL CONDITIONS

Each CTU was dimensionally inspected to the fabrication drawings. The fabrication records were also reviewed prior to accepting each package for testing.

The CTUs were heated in the large bay at the NTRC. CTUs 1 and 3 were wrapped with two (2) 1,500 watt heat strips and insulated with 6" of insulation. CTU 2 was also wrapped with two (2) 1,500 watt heat strips, only the bottom half, since this package was used in the end drop.

CTU 3 was reinsulated for transport to the Carolina Fire Academy and further heated prior to the burn test.

CTUs were heated for at least 48 hours prior to testing to maintain a package temperature of near 100  $^{\circ}$ F. The foam temperature in the area of the impending impact region was measured and recorded immediately prior to the corresponding test. Figure 2-33 shows the packages being heated at the NTRC.

N° FS1-0038397

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 82/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96





## Figure 2-33 - View showing CTU 3 (left) and CTU 2 (right) during heating

## 2.12.1.3.2TEST DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS

Table 2.12.1-3 includes a summary description and results of the tests conducted for each CTU. The tests are listed in the sequence performed. Based on the worst damage received and the potential to challenge the moderator in the thermal test, CTU 3 was designated, packaged, and shipped for further testing to the Carolina Fire Academy.

CTU No.	Drop Height	Test Designation/Description	Test Results
2	40 in	Penetration test on lid	Slight indentation
2	30 ft	HAC vertical free drop on end	Package end impact limiter crush from 14.25" to ~8.37". Total crush of 5.88". No physical damage to other portions of the package. No loose or damaged closure pins
1	4 ft	10° slap-down on base	Minor compression to top/bottom stiffeners in impact region of base. Single closure pin (ballast side, second from top) fell out after impact
1	30 ft	30⁰ slap-down on base	Top two stiffeners crushed flat. Shoulders sheared off on five bottom closure pins on ballast side with four being sheared off on fuel assembly side. Two pins on opposite sides restrict further removal of lid. Bottom impact limiter movement upward ~1" with the edge of the braided fibrous sleeving visible. Closure along inner rail maintained with no direct path for flame entry. Bottom impact limiter gap between bottom plate of base increased from ~5/8" to ~1.38" on bottom edge but remained at ~5/8" at top. Closure angles remained intact with no direct path for flame entry. Top impact limiter gap remained at 5/8".
3	4 ft	Horizontal lid down	Minor compression to top/bottom stiffeners in impact region of lid.

Table 2.12.1-3 – Summar	v of Drop Tests and Results

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 83/375

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96



CTU No.	Drop Height	Test Designation/Description		Test Results
3	30 ft	Horizontal lid down	Outer (inboard) stiffeners were torn from package side. Outer (outboard stiffeners were crushed. Lid deforme 1/2" along stiffeners. Lid deforme further in lifting area ~ 1.5". Six closur pins sheared but their bases remaine in place.	
1	40 in	20° Oblique puncture through CG on lid	Maximum moderato Longitudi	n puncture depth of 7" with or hold down strap visible. nal tearing of shell.
1	40 in	CG over base side closure joint puncture	Maximun Minor Ion	n puncture depth of 3 ½". Igitudinal tearing of shell.
3	A double plate v repeated. This ac	vas added to the lid and b dded 150 lb to the existing pa	ase of CT ackage wei	U 3 and the oblique puncture ight of 8,630 lb.
3	40 in	20° Oblique puncture thro on lid	ugh CG	Maximum puncture depth of 3.5". No longitudinal tearing of shell.
2	Closure pins were easily removed to facilitate internal inspections. The fuel assembly remained in very good condition with no rod movement or lattice expansion. The fuel assembly bottom end fitting bowed at its edge mid-span on all four sides by less than 1/16". There was no impact between the end fitting and pedestal gage used to monitor movement of the bottom end fitting. There were no bent rods, no visible rod cladding cracks and therefore no loose pellets. There was also no fuel assembly lattice expansion. The neoprene on the doors and strong-back was not damaged. The bottom span of the ballast collapsed which caused the support rods to expand beyond its fabricated envelope and impact and break two sections along the hinge of the bottom door.			
1	A single closure shoulders as a r sheared fell off of permit lid remova section were furth sheared off during ~0.4" at the mid- grid sections at t ends were randor similar effect was end fitting bowing used to monitor visible rod claddin and strong-back fuel assembly sid was more severe was a gap at the multiple remainin puncture depth of package closure CTU 3 in the 30 doubler plates we package. The per	pin fell out during the norma esult of the 30 ft drop. A during the puncture testing. I. The lid was easily remov- ner sheared during lid remov- g the 30 ft test. The fuel ass span with no compression of he assembly mid-span brok mly gapped from the bottom observed at the top end fitt g. There was no impact be movement of the bottom en ng cracks and therefore no le was not damaged. There was a long the fiberglass there than the fuel assembly side to portion of the fiberglass g rivets kept the bottom port on the package lid was exc was limited. Due to more se ft drop test, the oblique pun- ere installed in the lid and ba netration of the bar within CT had the double plates been in	I testing. tenth pin Three cl ed once tw al. The sh pembly exp or expansion e allowing end fitting. There tween the nd fitting. There tween the nd fitting. There estween the nd fitting. There estween the nd fitting. There estween the nd fitting. There estween the nose pellet rere broker nal barrier esthermal tion of the cessive whe exerse foam acture was se to limit TU 1 would installed pri	Nine closure pins had sheared which was likely to have also losure pins were drilled out to vo additional pins in the bottom oulders on these two pins were erienced lattice compression of on at the assembly ends. Two one rod to move freely. Rod due to the slap down effect. A e was no fuel assembly bottom end fitting and pedestal gage There were no bent rods, no ts. The neoprene on the doors in rivets on both the ballast and of the base. The ballast side ballast was more rigid. There barrier on the ballast side but fiberglass and unit intact. The nile the puncture depth on the compression experienced with repeated with CTU 3 however the migration of the pin into the have been similar to CTU 3 as ior to testing of CTU 1.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397

Rev. 2.0

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 84/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

CTU No.	Drop Height	Test Designation/Description	Test Results
	The outer (inboard) outer (outboard) outer (outboard) of $\frac{1}{2}$ along the stiff stiffeners by ~ 1.5 the 30 ft drop templates were instance package. The primpact along the due to the more moderator. The line duced similar to on CTU 1 prior to	rd) stiffeners were torn in lo stiffeners were crushed cont feners. The lid further defo o". Due to more severe foan st, the oblique puncture was alled in the lid and base to enetration of the bar within package closure of CTU 1. e severe package crush a evel of penetration of the ba o that observed with CTU 3 h the test.	cal regions from the package side. The iguous to the lid shell. The lid deformed ormed in the lifting areas of the inboard n compression with CTU 3 experienced in s repeated with CTU 3 however doubler o limit the migration of the pin into the CTU 3 was still more severe than the CTU 3 was selected for the thermal test and greater potential to challenge the r within the lid of CTU 1 would have been had the doubler plates also been installed
3	As noted, six clo subjected to at le subjected to fire package continue observed in the l were drilled out expansion of the experienced lattic expansion. Then monitor movemen cladding cracks a back was not dam	sure pins were removed pr ast an 800 °C fire for at lea for 6-7 minutes as the relead to burn for approximate blowout plugs and also surr to permit lid removal that e package. The lid was be compression of ~0.2" alon e was no impact between the thet of the bottom end fitting. Ind therefore no loose pellets haged.	tior to the burn test. The package was st 38 minutes. The package was further maining fuel burned. Off gas from the ly 1 hour. On cooling, foam char was ounding the puncture. Ten closure pins were most likely stuck due to thermal easily removed. The fuel assembly ing the entire length. There was no lattice he end fitting and pedestal gage used to There were no bent rods, no visible rod the neoprene on the doors and strong-
	Further disassem some cutting tor remained in-place foam char with so the base of the p puncture. Mode closest to the exte worst case mel examination. Bas most, actually me losses were meas	bly of the lid and base was ches were also used. The e within the lid and base. one portions being unburnt. backage however some mod rator melting was observed erior of the package. The m ted portion, based on vis sed on a mass comparison t elting together, experienced sured.	permitted using grinding wheels however e ceramic fiber paper was charred but The foam regions consisted primarily of There was no melting of the moderator in derator melted in the local vicinity of the I in the peaks of two moderator blocks oderator in the lid was inspected with the sual examination, removed for further wo moderator blocks that had melted the I a 7.2% weight loss. No other weight

Notes:

All NCT free drops are from 4 ft, HAC drops are from 30 ft, and all puncture drops are from 40 in. Distance is measured by the closest package point to the impact surface or object.

Packages subject to all NCT, HAC and Thermal testing were heated to approximately 100 °F prior to test, however due to unpredictable/uncontrolled ambient thermal gradient (convection and radiation effect) the package surface temperature varied somewhat. The high temperature was held for a minimum of 30 minutes with package being reinsulated between testing and prior to conduct of the thermal test.

N°	FS1-0038397

**Restricted Framatome** 

Handling:

Page 85/375

## 2.12.1.4 CERTIFICATION TESTS

#### 2.12.1.4.1CTU 2

### 2.12.1.4.1.1 30 FT HAC VERTICAL BOTTOM END DROP

## 2.12.1.4.1.2 PRE TEST

- Ambient temperature: 48 51 °F
- CTU foam temperature: 105 °F
- Time: 1115 hours, 02/12/2007
- Drop Height: 30 ft

The package was rigged, stabilized and lifted 30 ft by crane over the pad, Figure 2-35. The vertical package with bottom end down was dropped accurately on the steel pad.



Figure 2-34 - CTU2 prior to drop

## 2.12.1.4.1.3 POST TEST

There was minor damage to the bottom end impact limiter as shown in Figure 2-35. Note that the package came to rest firmly on the test pad.



Figure 2-35 - CTU2 immediately after drop

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397
Hand Res	lling: tricted Framatome

# Page

## MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

### 2.12.1.4.1.4 CTU 2 POST TEST INSPECTION

86/375

Rev. 2.0

There was no lattice expansion within the fuel assembly or yielding of the bottom end fitting as shown in Figures 2-36 and 2-37. Based on observation and physical measurements, there was no lattice expansion or compression of the fuel assembly. There were no bent or cracked rods (cladding) and no loose pellets (rods loaded with Tungsten Carbide pellets were used in the Fuel Assembly fabrication). There was also no significant deformation to either the bottom or top fuel assembly end fittings. The fuel cavity geometry was maintained. The fuel assembly did not shift outside of the original envelope placement on the strong-back nor did it axially shift outside of the flux trap region. No change in the geometric placement of the surrounding flux trap components of the package was observed. Based on these observations and physical measurements the HAC drop tests performed on CTU 2 had no impact on criticality or containment.



Figure 2-36 - Bottom end fitting



Figure 2-37 - Bottom view of end fitting



Figure 2-38 - Assembly mid-span

FS1-0038397 Rev. 2.0 N° MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package framatome USA/9319/B(U)F-96 Handling: Page 87/375 **Restricted Framatome** 



Figure 2-39 - Top end fitting

There was no significant package internal damage as shown in Figures 2-40 and 2-41.



Figure 2-40 - Base interior after impact



Figure 2-41 - Side view of Base after impact

# 2.12.1.4.2 CTU 1

# 2.12.1.4.2.1 NCT 4 FT 10<sup>°</sup> SLAP-DOWN ON BASE

# 2.12.1.4.2.2 PRE TEST

• Ambient temperature: 48 °F

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 88/375		framatome

- Time: 1140 hours, 02/12/2007
- Drop Height: 4 ft

The package was rigged, stabilized and lifted 4 ft by crane over the pad, Figure 2-42.

The package oriented at a 10° for the slap-down was dropped accurately on the steel pad.



Figure 2-42 - CTU1 prior to NCT test

# 2.12.1.4.2.3 POST TEST

There was minor damage to the bottom end stiffener as shown in Figure 2.12.1-9.



# Figure 2-43 - CTU1 after impact

# 2.12.1.4.2.4 HAC 30 FT 30<sup>°</sup> SLAP-DOWN ON BASE

## 2.12.1.4.2.5 PRE TEST

- Ambient temperature: 48 °F
- Time: 1150 hours, 02/12/2007
- Drop Height: 30 ft

The package was rigged, stabilized and lifted 30 ft by crane over the pad, Figure 2-44. The package oriented at a  $30^{\circ}$  for the slap-down was dropped accurately on the steel pad.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

```
N° FS1-0038397
```

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 89/375 framatome



## Figure 2-44 - CTU1 prior to impact

## 2.12.1.4.2.6 POST TEST

There was minor damage to the bottom end stiffener as shown in Figures 2-45 through 2-47. The close-up view in Figure 2-46 shows that five closure pins had sheared off on the ballast side of the package. On the opposite (fuel assembly) side, four bottom pins were further sheared off. Portions of the pins remained in place indicating that only the pin shoulders had sheared off. This view shows that the closure is maintained. Remaining closure pins were removed to facilitate lid removal. However during lid removal, single pins on each side within the bottom end impact limiter prevented lid removal since they were still engaged. These two pins were inadvertently sheared during lid removal. Once sheared the lid was easily removed. Figure 2-47 shows an inverted package after the side puncture test. This view shows that the closure and interlocking angles remained intact. There was no significant compression of the body (e.g., foam) of the package.



Figure 2-45 - CTU1 after impact

FS1-0038397 N°

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 90/375

## MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96





Figure 2-46 - CTU1 close-up of impact end



# Figure 2-47 - CTU1 inverted for puncture test

# 2.12.1.4.2.7 20° OBLIQUE PUNCTURE THROUGH CG ON LID

# 2.12.1.4.2.8 PRE TEST

- Ambient temperature: 50 °F
- Time: 1450 hours, 02/12/2007
- Drop Height: 40 in

The package was rigged, stabilized and lifted 40 in by crane over the test bar, Figure 2-48.



Figure 2-48 - CTU1 prior to puncture

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	91/375

The package with lid oriented down at a 20° oblique angle was dropped accurately on the puncture bar. The angle of the orientation is shown in Figure 2-49.



Figure 2-49 - Angle of CTU1

### 2.12.1.4.2.9 POST TEST

The package came to rest on the puncture bar as shown in Figure 2-50. The puncture bar protruded into the package (as measured by the depth of the sheet metal shell) approximately 5.5" as shown in Figure 2-51. On closer inspection a hold-down strap for the moderator was visible which corresponded to a depth of approximately 7". Based on these observations, it appeared that the puncture bar entered the lid to a depth of approximately 7" and that the sheet metal shell retracted with foam expansion to a depth of 5.5". This same drop orientation was duplicated with CTU 3 using a doubler plate since the protrusion into CTU 1 was greater than desired for the subsequent thermal testing. The puncture did not compromise the fuel cavity and had no effect on the fuel assembly envelope. The only concern being the survivability of the moderator in the thermal test.



Figure 2-50 - CTU1 on impact

N°	FS1-0038397
	101000001

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 92/375

Rev. 2.0



Figure 2-51 - CTU1 impact area

# 2.12.1.4.2.10 CG OVER BASE SIDE CLOSURE JOINT PUNCTURE

## 2.12.1.4.2.11 PRE TEST

- Ambient temperature: 50 °F
- Time: 1530 hours, 02/12/2007
- Drop Height: 40 in

The package was rigged, stabilized and lifted 40 in by crane over the test bar, Figure 2-52. The package with side closure oriented down was dropped accurately on the puncture bar.



Figure 2-52 - CTU1 side puncture test

# 2.12.1.4.2.12 POST TEST

The puncture bar protruded into the package 3  $\frac{1}{2}$ " as shown in Figure 2-53. The package impacted the puncture bar, bounced and impacted the puncture bar a second time prior to falling off the bar and landing lid down on the test pad. This impact did not compromise the package closure.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 93/375





Figure 2-53 - CTU1 side puncture area

# 2.12.1.4.2.13 CTU 1 POST TEST INSPECTION

The bottom barrel nut housing on the ballast side fractured however the second latch remained intact as shown in Figure 2-54. Figure 2-55 shows a portion of the rivets that failed along the fiberglass thermal barrier. This also shows that the barrier is maintained. Figure 2-56 shows the thermal barrier along the inner length on the fuel assembly as being intact. Figures 2-57 and 2-58 show the locking angles in the top closure that experienced minor bending but remained intact. These figures also show the weld failure on the top and the inner closure flange that connect to the fiberglass thermal barrier. Figures 2-59 and 2-60 show the locking angles in the bottom closure that experienced minor bending but remained intact. These figures also show the weld failure on the top and the inner closure flange that connect to the fiberglass thermal barrier. Figures 2-59 and 2-60 show the locking angles in the bottom closure that experienced minor bending but remained intact. These figures also show the weld failure on the bottom end and the inner closure flange that connects to the thermal barrier. Figure 2-61 shows essentially no damage to the underlining of the lid and the closure angles of the lid bottom.



Figure 2-54 - Cracked barrel housing



Figure 2-55 - Location of broken rivets

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 94/375

## MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96



Figure 2-56 - No door damage



framatome

Figure 2-57 - Cracked top weld



Figure 2-58 - Cracked top weld



Figure 2-59 - Cracked bottom weld



Figure 2-60 - No damage to bottom closure

FS1-0038397 Rev. 2.0 N°

Handling: **Restricted Framatome**  Page 95/375



Figure 2-61 - No damage to lid interior

Figure 2-62 shows the rod movement at the bottom end fitting and further shows no lattice expansion or migration beyond the end fitting. Figure 2-63 indicates that the bottom end fitting is intact and undamaged. Figure 2-64 shows the single rod that protruded from the damage grid at the fuel assembly peak. Figure 2-65 further shows that the lattice was compressed at the mid-span by about 0.4". Figure 2-66 shows the corresponding rod movement at the upper end fitting and further shows no lattice expansion or rod migration beyond the end fitting.





framatome

Figure 2-62 - Slight rod movement (bottom) Figure 2-63 - Bottom end fitting



Figure 2-64 - Mid-span edge grid failure

N° FS1-0038397

Handling: Restricted Framatome



Figure 2-65 - Mid-span lattice compression



Figure 2-66 - Slight rod movement (top)

There was no lattice expansion and only minor compression of the fuel assembly at the midspan. There were no bent or cracked rods (cladding) and no loose pellets (rods loaded with Tungsten Carbine pellets were used in the Fuel Assembly fabrication). There was also no significant deformation or yielding to either the bottom or top fuel assembly end fittings. A single grid broke mid-span on the fuel assembly however this did not lead to bending or cracking of the fuel rod. The fuel cavity geometry was maintained. The fuel assembly did not shift outside of the original envelope placement on the strong-back nor did it axially shift outside of the flux trap region. No change in the geometric placement of the surrounding flux trap components of the package was observed. Based on these observations and physical measurements the HAC drop tests performed on CTU 1 had no impact on criticality or containment.

# 2.12.1.4.3 CTU 3

## 2.12.1.4.3.1 NCT 4 FT HORIZONTAL LID DOWN

#### 2.12.1.4.3.2 PRE TEST

- Ambient temperature: 48 °F
- CTU foam temperature: 94 °F
- Time: 1330 hours, 02/12/2007

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 97/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

• Drop Height: 4 ft

The package was rigged, stabilized and lifted 4 ft by crane over the pad, Figure 2-67. The horizontal package with lid down was dropped accurately on the steel pad.



Figure 2-67 - CTU3 prior to NCT test

# 2.12.1.4.3.3 POST TEST

There was minor damage to the bottom end stiffener as shown in Figure 2-68.



Figure 2-68 - CTU3 after NCT test

## 2.12.1.4.3.4 HAC 30 FT HORIZONTAL LID DOWN

## 2.12.1.4.3.5 PRE TEST

- Ambient temperature: 48 °F
- CTU foam temperature: 94 °F
- Time: 1400 hours, 02/12/2007
- Drop Height: 30 ft

The package was rigged, stabilized and lifted 30 ft by crane over the pad, Figure 2-69.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 98/375 framatome



Figure 2-69 - CTU3 prior to HAC test

The horizontal package with lid down was dropped accurately on the steel pad, Figure 2-70.



Figure 2-70 - CTU3 after impact

# 2.12.1.4.3.6 POST TEST

There was damage to the top end stiffeners as shown in Figures 2-71 through 2-72.



Figure 2-71 - CTU3 lid impact area



Figure 2-72 - CTU3 lid impact area

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		99/375

Figure 2-73 and Figure 2-74 show that the outboard stiffeners have buckled and that the inboard stiffeners have torn away from the side of the package. Figure 2-74 shows that the lid compression ranges from  $\frac{1}{2}$ " to 1  $\frac{1}{2}$ " in the vicinity of the lid lifting brackets.





Figure 2-73 - CTU3 inverted Figure 2-74 - CTU3 lid deformation measurement

# 2.12.1.4.3.7 20° OBLIQUE PUNCTURE THROUGH CG ON LID

#### 2.12.1.4.3.8 PRE TEST

- Ambient temperature: 50 °F
- Time: 1625 hours, 02/12/2007
- Drop Height: 40 in

The package was rigged, stabilized and lifted 40 in by crane over the test bar, Figure 2-75.



Figure 2-75 - CTU3 prior to puncture test

This test is essentially identical to the test documented in Section 2.12.1.4.2 for CTU 1 however two (2) 12 GA doubler plates were added to the lid and base increasing the

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		100/375

package weight by 150 lb. The plates were skip welded in place to prevent movement during the puncture test. The package with lid oriented down at a 20° oblique angle was dropped accurately on the puncture bar as show in Figure 2-76.



Figure 2-76 - CTU3 on impact

# 2.12.1.4.3.9 POST TEST

The puncture bar protruded into the package 3.5" as shown in Figures 2-77 and 2-78. The puncture depth for this test was the same as reported for the CG over side closure in Section 2.12.1.4.2 for CTU 1. Since the moderator in the lid is more susceptible to potential melting as opposed to the moderator in the base, CTU 3 was selected as the target for thermal testing.



Figure 2-77 - CTU3 puncture area

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 101/375





# 2.12.1.4.4HAC 30 MINUTE THERMAL TEST

## 2.12.1.4.4.1 PRE TEST

- Ambient temperature: 55 °F
- CTU foam temperature: 73 °F
- Time: 1829 hours, 02/15/2007
- Height above pad: 40 in

The package was rigged, stabilized and positioned 40 in above the pool, Figure 2-79, on an insulated test stand.



Figure 2-79 - CTU3 thermal test position

When the test article was mounted on the test stand, the distance between the sides of the test article and the inside walls of the weir varied between 39.5 inches (100 cm) and 45.25 inches (115 cm). The sides of the test article were between 71.5 inches (182 cm) and 77.25 inches (196 cm). The lowest corner of the package (stiffener) was approximately 39.9 inches (101 cm) above the normal waterline when the pool is filled to maximum capacity. The test article was mounted onto this stand with the top lid and bottom surfaces 14 degrees from vertical. This provided maximum exposure of the package penetration due to the puncture bar. The desired pre-heat foam and moderator temperature of 100  $^{\circ}$ F was not

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PV
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 102/375	

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – **framatome** USA/9319/B(U)F-96

achieved prior to the thermal test due to a short in the heat tape. However, this was compensated for in an extended burn time. Figure 2-80 and Figure 2-81 show the location of the six (6) closure pins (three on each side) that were removed from the package prior to the test. This further simulated damage experienced with CTU 1 and allowed further fire ingress into the package. The shoulders of pins in these locations on CTU 1 had sheared, but the base of the pins remained in the package.



Figure 2-80 - CTU3 lock pin removal



Figure 2-81 - CTU3 lock pin removal opposite side

# 2.12.1.4.5THERMAL TEST

The fuel in the pool was ignited at 1829 hours and full engulfment was achieved at approximately 1831 hours, however ful1 engulfment of the central portion of the package was achieved after 1 minute. After ignition, fuel flow was maintained at an average rate of approximately 32 gallons per minute until 1858 hours. At this time, all fuel flow was stopped, and fuel valves and pumps were secured. The package remained engulfed until approximately 1909 hours. Between 1900 and 1915 hours, fire suppressant foam was added below the surface of the pool to extinguish the fire. The fire suppressant was introduced to the test setup via piping below the surface of the fuel pool. At no time did the fire suppressant make contact with any portion of the package or serve to cool the package, nor did the suppressant stop any combustion occurring in or on the package.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		103/375

Full engulfment of the test article was achieved for approximately 38 minutes as shown in Figure 2-82. The thermal test involved: 2 minutes to achieve full engulfment, 38 minutes of full engulfment, and approximately 15 minutes until the pool burn was terminated.



Figure 2-82 - CTU3 fully engulfing fire

## 2.12.1.4.5.1 POST TEST

Fire temperatures averaged above 800°C (1,472°F) with peak temperatures reaching 1,200°C (2,192°F). Within 30 seconds after the start of test, average shell temperatures measured at eight different locations exceeded 800°C (1,472°F). Peak shell temperatures also reached 1,200°C (2,192°F). Fire temperatures below the test article also averaged above 800°C (1,472°F).

# 2.12.1.4.5.2 CTU 3 POST TEST INSPECTION (EXTERIOR AND INTERIOR OF PACKAGE)

The package was allowed to cool overnight prior to removal from the test pad for inspection. Figure 2-83 shows a foam char in the vicinity of the puncture. Figure 2-84 shows the uprighted package being prepared for opening. Ten (10) closure pins had to be drilled to facilitate lid removal. Figures 2-85 and 2-86 show typical foam char from vent ports located in the stiffeners and package side, respectively. Figure 2-87 further shows foam char from one of the closure pin locations removed prior to the test. Figure 2-88 shows the lid removal for inspection of the doors, door hardware, package interior, fuel assembly, and ballast.

Rev. 2.0 FS1-0038397 N°

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 104/375





Figure 2-83 - Puncture area after thermal test



Figure 2-84 - Side view after removal from pad



Figure 2-85 - Vent at stiffener



Figure 2-86 - Vent on package side

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	M
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 105/375	





framatome

Figure 2-87 - Foam char in lock pin area Figure 2-88 - CTU3 lid removal

Figure 2-89 and Figure 2-90 shown that the doors and door hardware remain intact however rivets are visible on the inner closure flange. The fiberglass thermal barrier is coated with residue but is essentially intact with minor gaps along the top. Figure 2-91 and Figure 2-92 show that the fuel assembly and ballast are not significantly damaged. The fuel assembly compressed approximately 0.2" along the mid-span but remained intact at the end fittings. The neoprene on the strong-back and doors remained intact and in their fixed locations however the neoprene on the inner mid-span doors on the ballast side became detached during door opening indicating that the adhesive bad deteriorated. Figure 2-93 shows that the fuel assembly polypropylene cover and paper fabrication route card remained intact. Figure 2-94 shows the pristine condition of the fuel assembly once the polypropylene cover is removed and further indicates no bent or broken rods and no rod movement.

There was no lattice expansion and only minor compression of the fuel assembly at the midspan. There were no bent or cracked rods (cladding) and no loose pellets (rods loaded with Tungsten Carbine pellets were used in the Fuel Assembly fabrication). There was also no significant deformation or yielding to either the bottom or top fuel assembly end fittings. The fuel cavity geometry was maintained. The fuel assembly did not shift outside of the original envelope placement on the strong-back nor did it axially shift outside of the flux trap region. No change in the geometric placement of the surrounding flux trap components of the package was observed. Based on these observations and physical measurements the HAC drop and thermal tests performed on CTU 3 had no impact on criticality or containment.



Figure 2-89 - CTU3 interior



Figure 2-90 - CTU3 interior

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 106/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome
-				



Figure 2-91 - CTU3 view of fuel assembly and ballast



Figure 2-92 - Neoprene adhesive degradation during thermal test



Figure 2-93 - Polypropylene liner and paper release tag intact



# Figure 2-94 - Polypropylene sheet removal showing bright intact rods

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		107/375

With the internals of the package being characterized, the fuel assembly and ballast were removed and the package shells transported to the AREVA NP Inc, Lynchburg Mount Athos Road Fuel Fabrication Facility for further examination.

# 2.12.1.4.5.3 CTU 3 POST TEST INSPECTION (CUT AWAY OF LID AND BASE)

The sheet metal shell of the lid was cut and removed between the stiffeners and also adjacent to the end impact limiters. Figure 2-95 shows the package mid-span while Figure 2-96 shows the section between the bottom stiffeners. These figures show that the ceramic fiber paper was not charred but saturated with condensed products from foam out-gassing. The paper remained in its relative position. Figure 2-97 and Figure 2-98 show the removal of the ceramic fiber paper and foam char. Figure 2-99 shows the emerging moderator to be in good condition. The moderator was covered by remaining un-burnt foam, foam char, and further saturated with condensed products from foam out-gassing. Figure 2-100 shows the worst case span (segment #5) of moderator in the lid that exhibited melting at the higher peak edges of the blocks. This section of moderator was removed for characterization. The Nylon 6,6 melting with subsequent material loss appeared to be very localized at the peaks of the blocks closest to the puncture location in the lid.





Figure 2-95 - Ceramic fiber paper middle Figure 2-96 - Ceramic fiber paper end



Figure 2-97 - Foam Char



Figure 2-98 - Foam Char

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	ľ
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 108/375	



Figure 2-99 - Appearance of Nylon 6,6



Figure 2-100 - Identification of Segment #5

Figure 2-101 shows the segment number assignments used in support of characterization of the Nylon 6,6 moderator blocks. Segment #1 is located at the forward of the package (fuel assembly bottom) while segment #11 is located at the package aft (fuel assembly top). Figure 2-102 further shows the assignment of the moderator block locations in each segment. The moderator block numbering assignment is consistent with drawing 9045399. Table 2.12.1-4 provides the post burn test characterization of each moderator block specific to segment location and further by position within each segment. The post burn test condition is characterized based on a visual examination of each block while retained within the package. The blocks are characterized by no melt, slight melt, and melt. The moderator blocks are further shown in Figures 2-103 through 2-106.

The moderator blocks for segment #1 are not shown in the following figures however their condition is similar to the conditions for segment # 11 as indicated in Figure 2-106. There were no visible signs of melting of the moderator blocks in segment # 1 or segment # 11. Figure 2-103 shows the moderator blocks for segments #2, #3, and #4. The Nylon 6,6 shows no visible signs of melting in either segment. Figure 2-104 shows the moderator blocks for segments #6, #7, and #8. The moderator blocks in segment #5 were removed for characterization and are discussed later in this section. The moderator blocks at locations B3/(7) and B4/(6) showed signs of slight melting at their respective peak edges in segments #6, #7, and #8. Figure 2-105 shows the moderator blocks for segments #9, #10, and #11. The moderator blocks at locations B3/(7) and B4/(6) also showed signs of slight melting at their respective peak edges in segments #6 through #10 had slight melting at peak areas closest to the exterior lid however, in no case did there appear to be any loss of material.
N°	FS1-0038397 Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
Handling: Restricted Framatome			

Figure 2-106 identifies the condition of the moderator blocks within segment #5 prior to removal. The moderator block at location B4/(6) showed signs of melting at its respective peak edge, melting into and attaching to block B6/(5). The moderator block at location B3/(7) showed signs of slight melting at its respective peak edge. The moderator block at location B2/(8) showed signs of slight melting at its outer comers adjacent to the stiffeners along the outer closure. Only moderator block B4/(6) in segment #5 experienced melting with loss of material. The melting with loss of material appeared to be localized.







Figure 2-102 - Nylon 6,6 Moderator Block Nomenclature within each Segment Location (Block Type/Location as indicated in Drawing 9045399)

	Framatome - Fuel	
Т	his document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or til	tle page

N°	ES1-0038397
IN	101-0000000

Handling: Restricted Framatome

Page 110/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

### Table 2.12.1-4 – Post Burn Test Characterization of Moderator in Test Package CTU 3

Moderator Block No./ Label	Visual Observations of Nylon 6,6 from Forward (Segment #1) to Aft (Segment #11) of Test Package								
	Segment #1	Segment #2	Segment #3	Segment #4	Segment #5	Segment #6			
B2/(1)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt			
B3/(2)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt			
B4/(3)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt			
B5/(4)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt			
B6/(5)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	Slight Melt	No Melt			
B4/(6)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	Melted to B6(5) <sup>1</sup>	Slight Melt			
B3/(7)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	Slight Melt	Slight Melt			
B2/(8)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt			
B1/(9)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt			
B1/(10)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt			
B1/(11)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt			
B1/(12)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt			
	Segment #7	Segment #8	Segment #9	Segment #10	Segment #11				
B2/(1)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt				
B3/(2)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt				
B4/(3)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt				
B5/(4)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt				
B6/(5)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt				
B4/(6)	Slight Melt	Slight Melt	Slight Melt	Slight Melt	No Melt				
B3/(7)	Slight Melt	Slight Melt	Slight Melt	Slight Melt	No Melt				
B2/(8)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt				
B1/(9)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt				
B1/(10)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt				
B1/(11)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt				
B1/(12)	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt	No Melt				

FS1-0038397 Rev. 2.0 N° Handling: Page **Restricted Framatome** 111/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

### framatome



Figure 2-103 - Nylon 6,6 Segments #2, #3, and #4. Foam char is still visible on segment #3.



Figure 2-104 - Nylon 6,6 Segments #6, #7, and #8. Foam char visible on all segments.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	



### framatome



Figure 2-105 - Nylon 6,6 Segments #9, #10, and #11. Foam char visible on bottom sections of each segment.



## Figure 2-106 - Nylon 6,6 Segment. #5. Melted blocks shown at left with similar non-melted section at right.

Figure 2-107 shows the section of moderator removed that by visual examination exhibited the most melting. The moderator blocks above the ballast in the peak of the lid exhibited the most melting with one melting into a second block as shown in Figure 2-108. The blocks in

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Han	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	113/375

Figure 2-107 from left to right (see Figure 2-102) are B2/(1), B3/(2), 84/(3), B5/(4), 8 6/(5), B4/(6), B3/(7), B2/(8), respectively. Blocks B6/(5) and B4/(6) are further shown in Figure 2-108. Block B4/(6) appears to have melted into B6/(5) in segment #5. The melted peak edge on B4(6) is also shown, with jagged edge, in Figure 2-107.



Figure 2-107 - Segment #5 after cleaning



Figure 2-108 - Segment #5 melted piece

The top surface of the moderator was coated with condensed products from the out-gassing of the polyurethane foam. Minor scrapping on the surface of the moderator removed the tar to reveal the white nylon as installed in the package, Figure 2-108, center of the picture. The moderator was pressure washed to remove foam char and residue which further removed a minor amount on Nylon. The pretest measured mass2 (block thicknesses ranging from 1.27 to 1.28-inches) and the design required minimum mass (based on a moderator minimum thickness of 1.25-inches) of the two items that bonded together as a result of the test was 6.95 lb and 6.80 lb, respectively. The post lest mass was 6.35 lb. By weight characterization, the two items lost 8.6% of their pretest mass or 6.6% based on the design minimum required mass. The credited 85% moderator mass (Table 6-7) as specified in the criticality assessment was 5.68 lb. The post-test mass of blocks B6/(5) and B4/(6) in segment #5 remained above the 85% modeled mass in the criticality assessment. The average loss of Nylon 6,6 in the lid is much less than 6.6% since only two blocks appeared to have a reduce mass due to melting as a result of the thermal test. Averaging the weight loss in segment #5 with the other ten lid segments results is a weight loss of less than 2.1 %.

Moderator loss was anticipated but expected to be minimal with the use of a high temperature thermoplastic material. Nylon 6,6 was specifically selected for this application

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hano	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	114/375

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96 **framatome**

due to its high temperature resistance and self-extinguishing characteristics. Table 2.12.1-5 summarizes the results of these measurements. Figure 2.12.1-70 identifies the location of the moderator blocks with respect to the package. The neutron absorber plates (positioned beneath the moderator blocks) did not experience any deformation or melting.

### Table 2.12.1-5 - Post Burn Test Characterization of Moderator in Segment #5 (See Figure 2-102)

Block No./ Label	Pre Tes	st Mass, lb	Mini Design I	mum Mass, Ib	Post Test Mass, lb	Moderator Loss, Percent <sup>3</sup>	85% <sup>4</sup> Design Mass, Ib		Visual Observations	
B2/(1)	1	3.25	3.18		3.25	0.0	2.70		No Melt	
B3/(2)	2	3.35	3.1	28	3.35	0.0	2.79		No Melt	
B4/(3)	2	2.90	2.8	34	2.90	0.0	2.4	11	No Melt	
B5/(4)	1	3.00	2.9	93	3.00	0.0	2.4	19	No Melt	
B6/(5)	4.05	6.05	3.96	6.90	6.25	66	3.37	7 Slight Melt	Slight Melt	
B4/(6)	2.90	0.95	2.84	0.80	0.35	6.6	2.41	3.68	Melted to B6/(5)	
B3/(7)	3.35 3.25 5.05		3.2	28	3.35	0.0	2.7	79	Slight Melt	
B2/(8)			3.	18	3,25	0.0	2.7	70	No Melt	
B1/(9)			4.9	94	5.05	0.0	4.4	15	No Melt	
B1/(10)	1	5.05		94	5.05	0.0	4.4	15	No Melt	
B1/(11)	4	5.05	4.94		5.05	0.0	4.45	No Melt		
B1/(12)	3	5.05	4.9	)4	5.05	0.0	4,4	15	No Melt	
Total	4	6.25	45.	23	45.70	6.6	39.44			
Average Mo	derator V	Veight Loss	in Segmer	nt #5 (Lid)	)	2.1	% (Based	on Pre	e Test Mass)	
Average Moderator Weight Loss in Segment #5 (Lid/Base)						1.2	% (Based	on Pre	e Test Mass)	
Average Moderator Weight Loss in Package					< 0.1	% (Base	d on Pr	e Test Mass)		

<sup>3</sup> Loss based on the minimum design mass.

<sup>4</sup> The criticality assessment in Section 6 credited 85% of the lid and 90% of the base Nylon 6,6. Mass values reported

for the base are 90% of the minimum design mass.

The sheet metal shell of the base was also cut and removed between the stiffeners and also adjacent to the end impact limiters. Figure 2-109 shows the package mid-span while Figure 2-110 shows the ceramic fiber paper and foam char being removed to expose the inner cover beneath the strong-back. Figure 2-111 shows the emerging moderator along the outside of the package to be in good condition while Figure 2-112 shows the emerging moderator along the outside opposite end of the package to also be in good condition. This was typical of the moderator along the full length of the base of the package which exhibited no melting. Figure 2-113 shows the base moderator in the package forward. Also shown in Figure 2-113 is a section of moderator 1hat was cleaned to remove condensed off-gases from foam combustion to reveal the white Nylon 6,6. The neutron absorber plates (positioned beneath the moderator blocks) also did not experience any deformation or melting.

Table 2.12.1-4 summarizes the moderator block inspections in the base of the package. Table 2.12.1-5 summarizes the base package moderator block inspections for segment #5. The average Joss of Nylon 6,6 due to the thermal test was less than 1.2% based on the pretest mass of the moderator in the lid and base for segment #5. The post-test mass of 45.70 lb for segment #5 remained above the minimum design mass of 45.23 lb (minimum

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	lling:	Page
Rest	ricted Framatome	115/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96 **framatome**

1.25-inch moderator thickness) and significantly above the 39.44 lb mass (85% lid and 90% base) credited in the criticality assessment. The average moderator loss within the package is about - 0.1% when considering all eleven Nylon 6,6 segments in the lid and base.



Figure 2-109 - Ceramic fiber paper in Base



Figure 2-110 - Base liner with foam char removed



Figure 2-111 - Base edge Nylon 6,6

FS1-0038397 N°

Rev. 2.0

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 116/375 framatome



Figure 2-112 - Base edge Nylon 6,6, opposite end



Figure 2-113 - Nylon 6,6 at top (aft)



Figure 2-114 - Nylon 6,6 at center



### Figure 2-115 - Base Nylon 6,6 at bottom (forward)

### 2.12.1.5 TESTS FINAL RESULTS

Upon completion of the Certification Tests, based on the severity of the damage it was determined that CTU 3 was the most damaged package (with doubler plate) in terms of the following thermal event. Therefore, CTU 3 was sent for thermal testing since its configuration appeared to be most challenging for potential melting and ignition of the credited neutron moderator (nylon). The condition of CTU 3 was worsened by the removal of six (6) closure pins in the bottom of the package allowing flame ingress to the interior of the package during the thermal test.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	117/375

2.0

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package framatome USA/9319/B(U)F-96

CTU 1 and 2 were opened with CTU 1 requiring drilling to remove three closure pins. The lids were easily removed to view the internals of the package. Based on observation and physical measurements, there was no lattice expansion of the fuel assemblies in either package. Also, there were no loose pellets (Rods loaded with Tungsten Carbine pellets were used in the Fuel Assembly fabrication) and no bent or cracked rods. There was also no significant deformation to either the bottom or top fuel assembly end fittings. There was also no significant damage to the interior of either package including the neoprene supports for the assembly.

CTU 3 was thermally tested exceeding the 30 minute – 1,475 °F (800 °C) fully engulfing fire in both duration and temperature. The amount of un-burnt foam remaining within the package shell was minimal however this still did not lead to significant melting of the neutron (nylon) moderator or absorber. The worst case melting (weight loss) was determined to be less than 7.2% for two moderator blocks, with an average weight loss in the Lid of less than 1.6%, and less than 1.0% average weight loss when considering that there was no measured loss of moderator in the Base. The package criticality analysis modeled the moderator at greater than 10% reduction in both the Lid and Base. The analyzed configuration exceeds the observed damage and is therefore conservatively modeled.

Ten closure pins required drilling to allow removal of the Lid on CTU 3. These were most likely stuck due to thermal expansion and warping of the package. The lid was easily removed to view the internals of the package. Based on observation and physical measurements, there was no lattice expansion of the fuel assembly. Also, there were no loose pellets (rods loaded with Tungsten Carbine pellets were used in the Fuel Assembly fabrication) and no bent or cracked rods. There was also no significant deformation to either the bottom or top fuel assembly end fittings. There was also no significant damage to the interior of the package including the neoprene supports for the assembly.

A visual inspection of the fuel rods in the CTUs did not identify any bent or damage rods. The test assemblies were removed from the CTUs and further inspected, and no cracked or breached rods were visually identified. Thirty-five (35) days after completion of the drop tests, a random sample of rods from the most damaged assembly were checked for pressurization. The rods were punctured by inserting the fuel rod into a holding block and tightening a screw into the cladding until the cladding was breached. All rods were found to be pressurized as evidenced by a steady, audible gas release from the cladding as it was punctured. Since all of the rods sampled were found to be pressurized and the rods provided are representative sample of the worst case damage to the fuel rods, and there was no visible crack of breach in any of the test rods, it was concluded that no rod breach or leakage occurred as a result of the performance tests.

Further HAC fire testh1g also had not effect on the cladding. The interior of the package was coated with tars as a result of the condensation of foam off-gas; however the fuel rods, being covered by a thin sheet of polypropylene, remained in their as fabricated bright condition.

Visual inspection of the fuel rod cladding after the drop and thermal test performed for the MAP package demonstrated that the containment boundary (fuel rod cladding) remained intact and leak-free during all normal and hypothetical accident conditions. The immersion tests further specified in 10 CFR 71(c)(5) for fissile and (6) for all packages, require immersion equivalent to an external water pressure of 21.7lb/in<sup>2</sup>, however intact and leakfree rods can tolerate much higher pressures and remain internally dry. As a result, the immersion tests were not performed. From these results it is also feasible to model the fuel rod fuel-cladding gap as moderator free. However, to meet the regulatory requirement without a special provision for an exemption, the criticality assessment calculations include water flooding in the fuel-cladding gap.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hanc	lling:	Page
Rest	tricted Framatome	118/375

#### 2.12.1.6 CERTIFICATION TEST UNIT DESCRIPTION

This section describes the certification test units and simulated payload used for the normal conditions of transport (NCT) and hypothetical accident condition (HAC) tests performed in accordance with 10 CFR §71.

#### 2.12.1.6.1 CERTIFICATION TEST UNITS

Certification tests of the MAP packaging utilized full-scale CTUs that were fabricated, inspected, and received in accordance with quality procedures.

Through the design and fabrication process, a single design was proposed and three (3) certification test units were fabricated in accordance with an NRC approved quality assurance program. The drawings presented in Appendix 1.3.1, *Packaging Drawings*, fully represent the design of these CTUs.

Additional weight was added to each CTU both internally and externally to increase the weight and provide margins for fabrication. The gross package test weight of each CTU was 8,630 lb.

Stainless steel double plates were added to the lid and base of CTU 3 prior to the lid oblique puncture test. This added 150 lb to the unit. It was clear from the three (3) 30 foot drop tests that the package integrity was not challenged, and the small additional weight (1.7%) from the doubler plates would not alter these results. The production packages will require doubler plate installation. This increases the gross package weight to 8,780 lb. Sufficient weight margin exists in the gross package tested weight of 8,630 lb such that the increased weight of the double plates does not need to be included during the initial package approval. However, this margin may need to be licensed at a later date to facilitate shipment of all fuel assembly designs in the MAP-13 package.

#### 2.12.1.6.2 SIMULATED PAYLOAD

Each CTU was loaded with a dummy fuel assembly and a ballast weight. The fuel assembly design selected for testing was chosen due to its weaker structure, thinnest rod and cladding wall thickness of all current AREVA NP Inc. designs. Certification testing of the package with a fuel assembly with the above traits is likely to lead to more fuel assembly damage in all drop test orientations considered. The weaker structure is likely to lead to more assembly lattice expansion and more rod movement during the drop tests increasing the potential for rod bending and subsequent failure. The thinner rod and cladding wall thickness is also likely to increase the potential for rod bending and subsequent failure.

Each rod was loaded with Tungsten Carbide (WC) pellets with dimensions and density similar to current uranium oxide fabricated pellets. Two different rods were loaded for testing; 1) Rods with a 24" WC section in the bottom of the rod followed by 10-12" sections of lead rod followed by a WC pellet cap producing a 144" nominal length material zone, and 2) Rods loaded entirely with WC pellets producing a 144" nominal length material zone. In prior drop tests, rod bending was observed in the bottom section of the assembly generally between the end fitting and the first grid. The 24" WC section will provide ample coverage for rod bending within this region. However, rods loaded entirely with WC pellets will identify any performance differences. Based on the document in Section 2.12.1.4, there appeared to be no observable performance differences between either rods design.

All rods were pressurized with Helium gas to the maximum design pressure for the tested assembly type, 225 +0/-15 psig. Following the 10 CFR 71 HAC performance tests, no leakage was observed. Thus, the post-test leakage rate is the same as the pre-test leakage rate (on the order of 1E-7 ref-cc/s) and the expected leakage rate is much less that the allowable post-HAC leakage rate (2.25E+3 ref-cc/s assuming aerosol leakage) as calculated in Section 4. Thus, there is significant margin to the allowable leakage rate.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page
I his document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	lling:	Page
Rest	tricted Framatome	119/375

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96 **framatome**

The use of WC pellets as a non-fuel replacement for uranium oxide pellets in axially oriented drop tests will conservatively envelope the dynamic response of uranium pellets. WC is harder, stronger in compressive strength and has a higher elastic modulus as compared to uranium oxide pellets. In a pure axial rod drop test these properties would make the use of WC produce at least equivalent and probably greater impact loads than uranium oxide pellets. The WC pellets used in rod fabrication do not have dished or chamfered ends as compared to uranium pellets such that movement of the WC pellet within the rod is more likely to engage the cladding and lead to more damage due to its sharper edges. In an axial drop test, the major parameter to reproduce is the mass in the clad. Mass per unit length is probably a second-order effect. In this case, WC is an appropriate replacement for uranium pellets. Should there be any lateral forces induced into the drop test, then pellet diameter, length, and mass per unit length need to be duplicated so that the cladding support, and hence the fuel rod lateral dynamics, will be reproduced. The higher density, higher modulus and higher compressive strength will cause more clad damage than uranium pellets. This will increase the conservatism of the test.

The weight of each dummy fuel assembly was increased by loading of lead in the guide and instrument tubes. This additional weight increases the likelihood of damage to the fuel assembly in either lateral or axial drop orientations. The lead did not increase the stiffness of the fuel assembly. A ballast weight consisting of stacked 1.5" steel plates and 5/8" steel threaded rod was used to simulate a second assembly and also add additional weight to the package. The fuel assembly and ballast weights were shimmed within the package fuel cavity. The total weight of fuel assembly and ballast was 3,400 lb as loaded into each CTU for package certification testing.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 120/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

#### 2.12.2 FS1-0040260 MECHANICAL INPUTS TO LICENSING FOR ATF FUEL SHIPMENTS IN MAP SERIES CONTAINERS

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	6
Handli Restri	<sup>ng:</sup> icted Framatome	Page 121/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 122/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 123/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	_ MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 124/375		framatome

Framatome - Fuel
Trainatorite - Tuei
This desumant is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the hist of the page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 125/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038	8397 Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handling:	Page	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
Restricted Framat	tome 126/375		

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 127/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel
i ramatorine - i dei
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page
This document is subject to the restrictions set for the hist of the page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 128/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 129/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 130/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° F	S1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling Restricte	: ed Framatome	Page 131/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

№ FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 132/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 133/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the hist of the page

№ FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 134/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-003	38397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handling: Restricted Fram	natome	Page 135/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

2.12.3 FS1-0036308 CR-COATED M5 CLADDING INPUT DATA FOR US LTR JUSTIFICATION

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or ti	itle page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	
Handling:	Page	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
Restricted Framatome	136/375		

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

№ FS1-	0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted F	ramatome	Page 137/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	£
Handling:	Page	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome
Restricted Framatome	138/375		

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 139/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b> ,
Handling:	Page	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
Restricted Framatome	140/375		

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

№ FS1	-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted I	Framatome	Page 141/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b> .
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 142/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	_

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 143/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome
127			55

Framatome - Fuel
i ramatorine - i dei
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page
This document is subject to the restrictions set for the hist of the page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	_ MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 144/375		
		•	

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page
N° FS1-0038397
----------------------
Handling:
Restricted Framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title name	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the hist of the page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling:	Page	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome
Restricted Framatome	146/375		

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 147/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –
USA/9319/B(U)F-96 <b>Tramatome</b>

Framatome - Fuel
I his document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Page 149/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
	Rev. 2.0 Page 149/375	Rev. 2.0MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –PageUSA/9319/B(U)F-96149/375

Framatome - Fuel
I his document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

№ FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 150/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° F	S1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handling Restricte	: ed Framatome	Page 151/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 152/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Han	dling:	Page
Restricted Framatome		153/375

# 3.0 THERMAL EVALUATION

This chapter identifies and describes the principal thermal design aspects of the MAP Series of PWR Fuel Shipping Packages (MAP Package). Further, this chapter presents the evaluations that demonstrate the thermal safety of the MAP Package and compliance with the thermal requirements of 10 CFR 71.

Specifically, all package components are shown to remain within their respective temperature limits under the normal conditions of transport (NCT). Further, per 10 CFR §71.43(g), the maximum accessible package surface temperature is demonstrated to be less than 122 °F (50 °C) for the maximum decay heat loading, an ambient temperature of 100 °F (38 °C), and no insolation. Finally, the MAP Package is shown to retain sufficient thermal protection following the HAC drop scenarios to maintain all package component temperatures within their respective short term limits during the regulatory fire event and subsequent package cool-down.

#### 3.1 DESCRIPTION OF THERMAL DESIGN

The MAP Packaging, illustrated in Figures 1-1 through 1-7 from Section 1.0, *General Information*, consists of two basic components: a Base and a Lid. There are two configurations of the MAP: the MAP-12 and the MAP-13. The MAP-12 is capable of handling assemblies with 144-in nominal active fuel lengths and has a gross weight of 8,630 pounds (3,909 kg), a tare weight of approximately 5,200 pounds (2,364 kg), and approximate outer dimensions of 208" long x 45" wide x 31" high. The MAP-13 configuration is capable of handling assemblies with 150-in nominal active fuel lengths and has the same gross weight as the MAP-12 configuration, and is approximately 221" long x 45" wide x 31" high. The gross weight for MAP-13 package remains the same as the shorter MAP-12 package since the fuel to be transported is lighter. Both package configurations have the same thermal design features.

A detailed description of the package components is provided in Section 1.2, *Packaging Description*, and on the drawings in Appendix 1.3.1, *Package Drawings*.

#### 3.1.1 DESIGN FEATURES

The primary heat transfer mechanisms within the MAP packaging are conduction and radiation, while the principal heat transfer from the exterior of the packaging is via convection and radiation to the ambient environment.

The Base and Lid serve as the primary impact and thermal protection. The design of the Base and Lid are similar in that each consist of a stainless steel outer shell, a layer of rigid polyurethane foam, and an inner stainless steel shell. The stainless steel provides structural strength and a protective covering for the foam. A typical cross-section showing key elements of the package is depicted in Figure 1-2 and Figure 1-3. Figure 1-2 is a cross sectional view of the package at the inner stiffeners of the Base and Lid. Figure 1-3 presents a similar cross sectional view of the package, but at the location of the moderator and absorber interface within the Base and Lid.

The polyurethane foam in the Base is sandwiched between the stainless steel cover and the exterior shell of the Base and provides the principal thermal protection for the Fuel Cavity during the HAC fire event. Section, 3.5.3, 'Last-A-Foam' Response under HAC Condition, describes the prototypic performance of the polyurethane foam under elevated temperatures and the mechanisms through which it achieves its thermal protection. Unlike the Base, the polyurethane foam in the Lid assembly extends down to the backside surface of the neutron moderator with no sheet metal separation or void space. A double layer of ceramic fiber paper insulation is used between the exterior shell of the Base and Lid and the polyurethane foam. Thermal tests (see Section 3.5.3) and experience with other package designs have

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 154/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

shown that the use of ceramic fiber paper significantly improves the performance of the polyurethane foam under HAC conditions.

The strong-back is secured to the outer shell of the Base via angle irons that form a stepped, inter-locking joint with the Lid. This design feature creates a labyrinth-like pathway to the package interior and in combination with the braided fibrous high temperature sleeving at the Lid-Base package closure ensures that the hot gases generated during the HAC fire event cannot easily enter the interior cavity of the package. Additional thermal protection is provided by the use of a fiberglass thermal barrier (see Figure 1-4) that eliminates the continuous metallic pathway that would otherwise exist between the exterior shell of the Base and the strong-back assembly.

Impact limiters at each end of the Lid assembly consist of 10 pcf polyurethane foam encased in 11 gauge Type 304 stainless steel. The inner plate of the impact limiters are fitted with L-channels that engage similar L-channels in the Base assembly and lock the impact limiters to the Base of the package. The inter-locking nature of the L-channels restricts the inflow of hot gases generated by the HAC fire. Figure 1-7 illustrates the interlocking engagement of the Base with the end impact limiters of the Lid.

The neutron moderator and the absorber materials are mounted along the length of the Base and Lid W-plates. The neutron moderator material is the most thermally limiting component and is protected from HAC fire conditions by the polyurethane foam, ceramic fiber paper and other design features limiting fire ingress.

### 3.1.2 CONTENT'S DECAY HEAT

The decay heat loading associated with the fresh, unirradiated low enriched PWR fuel assemblies to be carried within the MAP Package is negligible.

### 3.1.3 SUMMARY OF TEMPERATURES

Table 3-1 provides a summary of the package component temperatures under NCT and HAC. The temperatures for normal conditions are based on an analytical model of the MAP Package for extended operation with an ambient temperature of 100°F and a diurnal cycle for the insolation loading. The temperatures for accident conditions are based on a fire test conducted using a full-scale certification test unit (CTU).

The results for NCT conditions demonstrate that significant thermal margin exists for all package components. This is to be expected since the only significant thermal loads on the package arise from insolation and ambient temperature changes. Further, the evaluations for NCT demonstrate that the package skin temperature will be within the maximum temperature of 122°F permitted by 10 CFR §71.43(g) for accessible surface temperature in an nonexclusive use shipment when transported in a 100°F environment with no insolation.

The results for HAC also demonstrate that the design of the MAP Package provides sufficient thermal protection to yield component temperatures that are significantly below the acceptable limits defined for each component. Although a small section the moderator surface in the Lid was noted to have experienced incidental melting during the fire test, this involved only 2.1% of the moderator material in the worst affected Lid segment. This level of moderator loss is significantly below the acceptable limit established in Chapter 6. There was no moderator melting for the majority of the blocks neither in the Lid nor anywhere in the BASE; thus reducing the affected moderator material within the entire package to less than 0.1%. The results are seen as conservative given the fact the CTU test article was subjected to an extended exposure to the HAC environment that exceeded the regulatory requirement in both temperature level and duration (see Section 3.4.3.1, Maximum HAC Temperatures, for further discussion).

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hano	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	155/375

#### 3.1.4 SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM PRESSURES

Both the maximum normal operating pressure (MNOP) and the maximum pressure developed under the HAC condition are 0 psig. The maximum pressure developed in the fuel rods is 506 psig and 654 psig for NCT and HAC, respectively, assuming a worst case initial rod pressurization of 450 psig. As demonstrated in Chapter 4, *Containment*, these pressure levels do not present a safety issue for the application.

	NOT	u cl	Maximum Allowable <sup>2</sup>	
Location / Component	NCI	НАС	Normal	Accident
Fuel Assembly	131°F	< 300°F	752°F	1,058°F
Polyethylene Wrap (on Fuel Assembly)	131°F	< 300°F <sup>3</sup>	225°F	300°F
Neoprene Rubber (Fuel Cavity)	130°F	< 300°F <sup>3</sup>	225°F	500°F
Fuel Cavity Doors	130°F	< 300°F <sup>3</sup>	400°F	1,100°F
Borated Aluminum Neutron Absorber	133°F	< 300°F	850°F	1,000°F
Thermoplastic Neutron Moderator	135°F	< 500°F <sup>4</sup>	500°F	500°F
Polyurethane Foam, Body - Maximum - Average	201°F 148°F <sup>3</sup>	n/a <sup>5</sup> n/a <sup>6</sup>	500°F 150°F	n/a n/a
Polyurethane Foam, Impact Limiter - Maximum - Average	206°F 141°F <sup>6</sup>	n/a <sup>5</sup> n/a <sup>5</sup>	500°F 150°F	n/a n/a
Fiberglass Thermal Breaks	138°F	< 500°F <sup>7</sup>	250°F	2,900°F
Exterior Shell	210°F	2,192°F	800°F	2,700°F

#### Table 3-1 - Maximum Package Temperatures under NCT and HAC Conditions

Table Notes:

- 1) Except for the exterior sheet, all other listed accident temperatures are estimates based on visual observations of the material condition following the fire test.(see Section 3.4.3.1, Maximum HAC Temperatures, for further discussion).
- 2) Maximum allowable temperatures are defined in Section 3.2.2, Technical Specifications of Components.
- 3) Estimated component temperature for accident conditions are listed for information only. Component not required for the package safety.
- 4) The peak moderator temperature of approximately 500°F (indicated by incidental melting) was noted for approximately 2.1% of the moderator material at the worst affected segment and only about 0.1% of the total moderator material. This level of melting is well within acceptable limits (see Section 3.4.3.1, Maximum HAC Temperatures, for further discussion).
- 5) The polyurethane foam is designed to be consumed during the accident fire event to provide thermal protection to the underlying components. Therefore, temperatures achieved during the fire event are not applicable to the safety evaluation of the package.
- 6) The average temperature of the polyurethane foam used only to set the structural properties for the drop analyses.
- 7) Estimate based on observed condition of the fiberglass showing structural members intact with slight surface charring.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

Page

156/375

Handling: Restricted Framatome

# 3.2 MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND COMPONENT SPECIFICATIONS

# 3.2.1 MATERIAL PROPERTIES

The thermal material properties for the MAP components are provided in Tables 3-2 through 3-6. The MAP packaging is fabricated primarily of Type 304 stainless steel, 6061-T6 aluminum, polyurethane foam, ceramic fiber paper, and thermoplastic moderator. Lesser amounts of Type A564, Gr 630 and XM-19 stainless steel, structural fiberglass, borated metal matrix composite (MMC), neoprene synthetic rubber, and braided fibrous sleeving are also used in the in the fabrication of the package.

The payload materials include Type 304 stainless steel, zirconium alloy, Ni-Cr-Fe alloy, uranium dioxide pellets, and polyethylene plastic used as a protective sleeving over the fuel assemblies.

Table 3-2 presents the thermal properties for the Type 304 stainless steel, 6061-T6 aluminum, and borated MMC. Properties between the tabulated values are calculated via linear interpolation within the heat transfer code. Thermal properties for the Type A564, Gr 630 and XM-19 stainless steels are assumed to be the same as Type 304 stainless for NCT. The thermal performance under accident conditions is determined via test and not analytical methods.

The borated MMC neutron absorber material is fabricated as a hot-rolled composite sheet consisting of a core of uniformly distributed boron carbide and aluminum particles enclosed between layers of pure aluminum. Because the material is a composite of two different materials, borated MMC will exhibit a different thermal conductivity for heat being conducted through the material vs. heat being conducted along the material. However, since the presence of the borated MMC material is not critical to the thermal performance of the MAP Package, its thermal properties are simplified to a single, non-temperature dependent value for conductivity and specific heat. The thermal data listed in Table 3-2 is based on the specification for the material.

The thermal properties for the structural fiberglass reinforced plastic (FRP), polyurethane foam, moderator, and neoprene synthetic rubber are assumed to be constant with temperature. The values assumed for the NCT evaluation are presented in Table 3-3.

The polyurethane foam used in the package is based on a proprietary formulation and its thermal properties under NCT conditions are obtained from the manufacturer's on-line website.

The ceramic fiber paper insulation used in the MAP Package is a lightweight refractory material processed from high purity alumina silica fibers that are washed and spun into a highly flexible sheet. The material is easy to cut, wrap or form, offers low thermal conductivity, low heat storage, and high heat reflectance, and the material is resilient with excellent compression recovery. The thermal properties are presented in Table 3-3.

The heat transfer within the Fuel Assemblies is a combination of conduction and radiation heat transfer within and between the individual fuel rods. A detailed model of the fuel geometry is not required for the purposes of this evaluation. Instead, the fuel assemblies and the surrounding air space between the edges of the assembly and the internal surfaces of the Fuel Cavity are represented as homogenous solid region with anisotropic thermal properties. The thermal properties are based on a detailed model of typical fuel assembly geometry (see Appendix 3.5.2.1, Description of Thermal Model for NCT Conditions). The model accounts for conduction and radiation heat transfer between the individual fuel rods and across the space between the assembly edges and the Fuel Cavity surfaces. The results of the detailed modeling are used to compute an 'effective thermal conductivity' for the radial and the axial directions. Table 3-4 presents the effective, anisotropic thermal properties for the homogenized fuel region.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	lling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	157/375

The thermal properties for air are presented in Table 3-5. Because the thermal conductivity of air varies significantly with temperature, the computer model calculates the thermal conductivity across air spaces as a function of the mean film temperature. All void spaces within the MAP Package are conservatively assumed filled with air at atmospheric pressure.

Table 3-6 presents the emissivity ( $\epsilon$ ) for each radiating surface and the solar absorptivity ( $\alpha$ ) value for the exterior surface. The solar absorptivity value is applied by multiplying the incident insolation on the package surfaces by the Table 3-6 value to yield the amount of solar energy actually absorbed by the package<sup>7</sup>. The polyurethane foam, ceramic fiber paper, and the moderating material have an assumed emissivity of approximately 0.90 based on a combination of the material type and surface roughness.

#### 3.2.2 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS OF COMPONENTS

Table 3-1 lists the maximum allowable operating temperatures for the MAP package. The materials used in the MAP Package that are considered temperature sensitive are the neutron moderator, the borated matrix composite (MMC) absorber plates, the neoprene synthetic rubber, and the polyethylene wrap used as a protective sleeving around the fuel assemblies. Of these materials, only the neutron moderator and absorber materials are considered critical to the safety of the package. The other materials either have temperature limits above the maximum expected temperatures or are not considered essential to the function of the package.

Type 304 stainless steel exhibits only small thermal property variations within the expected normal operating temperature range to be experience by the MAP Package. Its melting point is above 2,700°F. In compliance with the ASME B&PV Code<sup>8</sup>, the maximum allowable temperature of stainless steel used for structural purposes is limited to 800°F. However, the ASME allowable temperature limit applies only to thermal loading conditions where the material's structural properties are relied on for loads postulated to occur in the respective operating mode or load combination (such as the NCT and HAC free drops). Since containment boundary for the package is the cladding on the fuel assemblies and the package is vented to atmosphere, the appropriate upper temperature limit is 800°F for normal conditions and 2,700°F for accident conditions. The same temperature limits apply for the Type 564 and XM-19 stainless steel components.

Aluminum 6061-T6 has a melting point of approximately  $1,100^{\circ}F$ ; however for strength purposes the normal operational temperature should be limited to  $400^{\circ}F$ . The temperature limit for the structural fiberglass reinforced plastic (FRP) used for thermal breaks in the package is a combination of the maximum temperature for the glass fibers  $(2,900^{\circ}F)$  and the maximum temperature for the resin used to bind the fibers  $(250 \text{ to } 300^{\circ}F)^9$ . To maintain structural strength under normal conditions, a maximum temperature of  $250^{\circ}F$  is assumed for the resin temperature within the fiberglass thermal breaks. Under HAC conditions, the resin can be allowed to burn out and the thermal break will still serve its purpose, thus the maximum temperature for HAC is  $2,900^{\circ}F$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> NUREG-1609, "Standard Review Plan for Transportation Packages for Radioactive Material", Spent Fuel Project Office, US NRC, Washington, DC 20555 March 2000. ¶3.5.2.1 states that the thermal absorptivities and emissivities are to be appropriate for the package surface conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, *Rules for Construction of Nuclear Facility Components*, Division 1, Subsection NB, *Class 1 Components*, & Subsection NG, *Core Support Structures*, 2001 Edition, 2002 Addendum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Fiberglass Reinforced Plastic (FRP) Piping Systems Designing Process/Facilities Piping Systems With FRP: A Comparison To Traditional Metallic Materials, Specialty Plastics, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA, May 29, 1998

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.
Hano	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	158/375

2.0

The thermoplastic moderator material (i.e, Nylon 6,6) is self-extinguishing, and has a melting point of 490 to 510°F. Material testing conducted for this application showed that the material has a flash ignition temperature of approximately 752°F<sup>10</sup>. Although the presence of moderator is required for the safety of the design, a portion of it may be lost due to melting or burning without creating a safety concern. Chapter 6 defines the acceptable limits. The borated MMC neutron absorber material has a recommended temperature limit of 850°F for continuous operation and 1,000°F for non-continuous operation<sup>11</sup>.

The ceramic fiber paper insulation<sup>12</sup> has a melting point of 3.200°F and recommended continuous use temperature limit of 2.300°F.

Section 3.5.3, 'Last-A-Foam' Response under HAC Condition, describes the behavior of the rigid polyurethane foam as a function of temperature. Based on this information, a peak NCT temperature limit of approximately 500 °F is used to avoid non-reversible changes in the thermal properties. No temperature limit exists under HAC conditions since the thermal decomposition of the foam material plays a significant role in the level of thermal protection the material provides to underlying foam material and components. A design limit of 150°F is imposed for the NCT bulk average foam temperature to establish a lower bound on the foam's structural properties which decrease with increased temperature level.

The polyethylene plastic wrap used as a protective sleeve around the fuel assemblies has a melting temperature of approximately 273 to 329°F<sup>13</sup>. For the purposes of this analysis, a limit of 300°F is assumed for accident conditions, while a service temperature limit of 225°F is used for NCT conditions. Loss of the plastic wrap is of no consequence to the safety of the MAP Package since its effect on conductive and radiative heat transfer is negligible. Similarly, the neoprene used to provide cushioning between the Fuel Assemblies and the strong-back is not required for the safety of the package. The neoprene material will undergo thermal decomposition when raised above its recommended service temperature of approximately 250°F<sup>14</sup>. This decomposition begins with the neoprene becoming hard and brittle before charring and outgassing. The allowable temperature for the neoprene under accident conditions is in excess of 500°F for exposures of 30 minutes or less<sup>15</sup>. Given that the neoprene is attached to the strong-back structure using an adhesive with a recommended service temperature of 225°F<sup>16</sup>, the allowable NCT temperature for neoprene is set at 225°F.

The materials used in the fabrication of the Fuel Assemblies are chemically stable materials with excellent heat resistance. These materials have a minimum temperature rating of 752°F under NCT conditions and 1.058°F under HAC conditions<sup>17</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Interim Staff Guidance #11, Rev. 3, "Cladding Considerations for the Transportation and Storage of Spent Fuel", Spent Fuel Project Office, US NRC, Washington D.C., 2003.

Framatama Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> MSDS Sheet for Nylon 101, Quadrant EPP, 2120 Fairmont Avenue, P.O. Box 14235, Reading, PA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Based on similar specifications contained in AAR Product Sheet, Standard Specification for Boral Composite Sheet, AAR Advanced Structures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Based on Grade 1530-L and 1535-L LyTherm<sup>®</sup> insulation, Lydall Industrial Thermal Solutions, Inc.

<sup>13</sup> Generic low density polyethylene, film grade, obtained from online material database, http://www.matweb.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> DuPont Performance Elastomers LLC, Wilmington, DE 19809.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Parker O Ring Handbook, ORD 5700/USA, 2001 www.parker.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Scotch-Grip<sup>TM</sup> 1300L adhesive, 3M Industrial Adhesives and Tapes Division, St. Paul, MN

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		159/375

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96 **framatome**

The use of a chromium-clad coating of nominal 15-µm thickness has no impact to the thermal analysis. The chromium coating provides a stable metallic non-flammable layer that further protects the zirconium alloy fuel rod from the effects of accelerated oxidation as tested up to approximately 2730 °F (1500 °C). The chromium coating provides a lower melting eutectic of 2425 °F (1330 °C) which is well above the maximum HAC temperature. The variation of the specific heat capacity of the cladding at room temperature due to the Cr-coating is less than 1% which is in the range of the uncertainty of the specific heat capacity as evaluated in FS1-0040260 (provided in Section 2.12.2). This document also evaluates the density and thermal conductivity of the Cr-coated cladding concluding that there is no impact to the thermal analysis.

The chromium clad coating does not affect the zirconium alloy at low temperatures (-40 °F) as the zirconium allow does not have a nil-ductility temperature. The minimum allowable service temperature for all MAP components is below -40 °F.

Material	Temperature (°F)	Thermal Conductivity (Btu/hr-ft-°F)	Specific Heat (Btu/lb <sub>m</sub> -°F)	Density (lb <sub>m</sub> /in <sup>3</sup> )
	-40 <sup>4</sup>	8.23	0.112	
	70	8.60	0.114	
	100	8.70	0.115	
Stainless Steel	150	9.00	0.117	$0.289^{5}$
Type 504	200	9.30	0.119	
	250	9.60	0.122	
	300	9.80	0.123	
	-40 <sup>4</sup>	93.2	0.208	
	70	96.1	0.214	
<b>A</b> 1 <sup>2</sup>	100	96.9	0.216	
Type 6061 T6	150	98.0	0.220	0.0975 <sup>5</sup>
1 ypc 0001, 10	200	99.0	0.222	
	250	99.8	0.224	
	300	100.6	0.227	
Borated MMC <sup>3</sup>		65.0	0.220	0.0938

#### Table 3-2 – Thermal Properties of Metallic Materials

Notes:

- American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section II, *Materials, Part D – Properties*, Table TCD, Material Group K, 2001 Edition, 2002 and 2003 Addenda, New York.
- American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section II, *Materials, Part D – Properties*, Table TCD, 2001 Edition, 2002 and 2003 Addenda, New York.
- 3) Conservative values for the borated metal matrix composite (MMC) are based on *Standard Specification for Boral Composite Sheet*, AAR Advanced Structures.
- 4) ASME table values were extrapolated to provide data for this temperature condition.
- 5) <u>http://www.matweb.com</u>

## MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

Material	Temperature (°F)	Thermal Conductivity (Btu/hr-ft-°F)	Specific Heat (Btu/lb <sub>m</sub> -°F)	Density (lb <sub>m</sub> /in <sup>3</sup> )	Comments
Structural Fiberglass <sup>1</sup>		0.33	0.28	0.065	
Moderator <sup>2</sup>		0.14	0.41	0.041	
Neoprene <sup>3</sup>		0.23	0.52	0.0443	
Polyurethane		0.025	0.353	0.00347	6 lb/ft <sup>3</sup>
foam <sup>4,6</sup>		0.025	0.353	0.00579	$10 \text{ lb/ft}^3$
	-40	0.036			
	500	0.036			
Ceramic Fiber	800	0.048	0.104	0.00424	Density may
Paper <sup>5</sup>	1,300	0.072	0.194	0.00434	$6 \text{ to } 9 \text{ lb/ft}^3$
	1,600	0.084			
	2,000	0.132			

#### Table 3-3 – Thermal Properties of Non-Metallic Materials

Notes:

1) "Typical Properties – FRP Structural Shapes", Enduro Systems, Inc., <u>www.endurocomposites.com</u>.

2) Quadrant Engineering Plastic Products, Material Data Sheets, <u>www.matweb.com</u>..

3) Polymer Data Handbook, Oxford University Press, Inc., 1999.

4) Last-A-Foam<sup>™</sup> FR3710 On-line Data Sheet, <u>www.generalplastics.com</u>.

5) Based on Grade 1530-L and 1535-L LyTherm<sup>®</sup> paper insulation, Lydall Industrial Thermal Solutions, Inc.

6) Table values have been modified to feflect the revised foam specification consistent with Section 8. However, the thermal evaluation uses thermal conductivity values of 0.0170 and 0.0183 for 6 and 10  $lb/ft^3$ , respectively. The impact on the results is considered to be negligible.

N°	FS1-0038397			
Hand	lling:			
Rest	Restricted Framatome			

Rev. 2.0

Material	Temperature	Thermal Condu ft-°	rctivity (Btu/hr- F) <sup>1</sup>	Specific Heat	Density (lb <sub>m</sub> /in <sup>3</sup> )
	(°F)	Axial	Radial	(Btu/lb <sub>m</sub> -°F)	
Homogenized FA Region	46	0.255			
	80	0.254			
	260	0.225			
	-20		0.0278	0.0638	0.124
	50		0.0323		
	150		0.0385		
	275		0.0468		

#### Table 3-4 – Effective Thermal Properties for Homogenized Fuel Region

Notes: 1) See Appendix 3.5.2.1, Description of Thermal Model, for NCT condition basis of table values

Temperature (°F)	Density (lb <sub>m</sub> /in <sup>3</sup> )	Specific Heat (Btu/lb <sub>m</sub> -°F)	Dynamic Viscosity (lb <sub>m</sub> /ft-hr)	Thermal Conductivity (Btu/hr-ft-°F)	Prandtl No.	Coef. Of Thermal Exp. (°R <sup>-1</sup> )
-40		0.240	0.0367	0.0121		
0	Use Ideal Gas Law w/ Molecular wt = 28.966 g/mole	0.240	0.0395	0.0131		
50		0.240	0.0429	0.0143	Compute as	Compute as
100		0.241	0.0461	0.0155	$Pr = c \mu / k$	$\beta = 1/(^{\circ}F + 459.67)$
200		0.242	0.0521	0.0178		p = 1/(1+3).07
300		0.243	0.0576	0.0199		
400	]	0.245	0.0629	0.0220		

# Table 3-5 – Thermal Properties of Air

<u>Notes:</u> Based on Rohsenow, Hartnett, and Cho, *Handbook of Heat Transfer*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, McGraw-Hill Publishers, 1998, curve fit equations on pp 2.4

N°	FS1-0038397
Hand	lling:

**Restricted Framatome** 

Rev. 2.0

Material	Assumed Conditions	Assumed Emissivity (ε)	Absorptivity (α)
Outer Sheet, Exterior Surface (Type 304 Stainless Steel)	Weathered	0.45 <sup>2</sup>	0.52 <sup>3</sup>
Outer Sheet, Interior Surface and Interior Sheets (Type 304 Stainless Steel)	'As- Received'	0.25 <sup>1</sup>	
Polyurethane Foam, Moderator, & Neoprene		0.90 <sup>3</sup>	
Strongback Doors (Type 6061-T6 Aluminum)	Un-oxidized	0.15 <sup>4</sup>	
Polypropylene Plastic Wrap	Not included in Model	Transmittance = 0.75	
Ambient Environment		1.00	N/A

#### Table 3-6 – Thermal Radiative Properties

Notes:

 Frank, R. C., and W. L. Plagemann, *Emissivity Testing of Metal Specimens*. Boeing Analytical Engineering coordination sheet No. 2-3623-2-RF-C86-349, August 21, 1986. Testing accomplished in support of the TRUPACT-II design program.

2) "Emissivity Measurements of 304 Stainless Steel", Azzazy, M., prepared for Southern California Edison, September 6, 2000, Transnuclear File No. SCE-01.0100.

3) G. G. Gubareff, J. E. Janssen, and R. H. Torborg, *Thermal Radiation Properties Survey*, 2nd Edition, Honeywell Research Center, 1960.

4) Assumed based on standard aluminum properties.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.
Han	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	163/375

#### 3.3 THERMAL EVALUATION FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS OF TRANSPORT

2.0

This section presents the thermal evaluation of the MAP Package for normal conditions of transport (NCT). Under NCT, the package is assumed to be mounted horizontally on its transport trailer. This establishes the orientation of the exterior surfaces of the package for determining the free convection heat transfer coefficients and insolation loading. While the package would normally be transported in tiered stacks consisting of 3 packages each, the evaluation for NCT assumes a single package since this will bound the maximum and minimum temperatures achieved within any of the packages. Further, the surface of the transport trailer is conservatively assumed to prevent heat exchange between the package and the ambient. Thus, the bottom of the MAP Package is conservatively treated as an adiabatic surface.

The thermal loading on the MAP Package during NCT arises only from insolation on the outer skin of the package since the decay heat of the payload is essentially zero. The thermal conditions that are considered for NCT are those specified in 10 CFR §71.71(c)(1). Accordingly, an ambient temperature of 100°F with the regulatory insolation (i.e, the NCT Hot condition) is used for heat input to the exterior package surfaces. The combination of the foam and the relatively thin exterior skin of the package results in the peak surface temperatures of the package responding rapidly to changes in the external environment, while the interior of the package response change is significantly slower. Since the regulatory insolation represents the total insolation over a 12-hour period, the modeling for NCT converted the insolation values to a diurnal cycle to permit a transient modeling of the insolation loading. Insolation loading based on a diurnal cycle captures the peak component temperatures near the exterior of the package in a more accurate manner<sup>18</sup> as opposed to that obtained using an average insolation loading.

The details of the thermal modeling used to simulate the MAP Package under NCT conditions, together with the insolation loading based on a diurnal cycle, are provided in Appendix 3.5.2, Analytical Thermal Model.

#### 3.3.1 **HEAT AND COLD**

#### 3.3.1.1 MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES

The maximum temperature distribution for the NCT Hot condition specified by 10 CFR §71.71(c)(1) of 100°F with the regulatory insolation is determined using a transient evaluation and a diurnal cycle for the insolation loading<sup>19</sup>. Figure 3-1 illustrates the expected heat-up transient for the MAP Package calculated using the model described in Appendix 3.5.2, Analytical Thermal Model, while Figure 3-2 presents an enlarged view at the end of the evaluated heat up period. As seen from the figures, more than 10 days of insolation are required to reach the maximum temperatures under the NCT Hot conditions. The noted change in the component temperatures over the last 24 hours of the evaluated heat up period (see Figure 3-2) if 0.5°F, or less, thus indication that steady-state conditions are essentially attained. Table 3-1 presents the maximum temperatures reached for various components of the package. All are within in their respective temperature limits. Figure illustrates the predicted temperature distribution within the body of the package a selected time points.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See Section 3.5.2, Analytical thermal Model, for details of the thermal modeling and a comparison of the results obtained by steady-state and transient modeling of the insolation loading for this application.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Per IAEA Safety Guide TS-G-1.1, ¶654.4, a time dependant sinusoidal heat flux is the more precise way to model insolation.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MA
Hano	dling:	Page	
Res	tricted Framatome	164/375	

The maximum temperature distribution for the MAP Package without insolation loads occurs with an ambient air temperature of 100°F. Since the package payload dissipates essentially zero watts of decay heat, the thermal analysis of this condition represents a trivial case and no thermal calculations are performed. Instead, it is assumed that all package components achieve the 100°F temperature under steady-state conditions. The resulting 100°F package skin temperature is within the maximum temperature of 122°F permitted by 10 CFR §71.43(g) for accessible surface temperature in a non-exclusive use shipment.

#### 3.3.1.2 MINIMUM TEMPERATURES

The minimum temperature distribution for the MAP Package occurs with a zero decay heat load and an ambient air temperature of -40°F per 10 CFR §71.71(c)(2). Since the thermal analysis of this condition represents a trivial case, no thermal calculations are performed. Instead, it is assumed that all package components achieve the -40°F temperature under steady-state conditions. As discussed in Section 3.2.2, Technical Specifications of Components, the -40 °F temperature is within the allowable operating temperature range for all MAP Package components.

#### 3.3.2 MAXIMUM NORMAL OPERATING PRESSURE

The maximum normal operating pressure (MNOP) for the package is 0 psig. The containment boundary for the package is defined as the fuel rod cladding. The peak fuel rod cladding temperature of 131°F is reached under extended operations in the NCT Hot environment (i.e., an ambient air temperature of 100°F and a diurnal cycle on insolation).

Given that each fuel rod is internally pressurized with helium to a pressure ranging from 145 to 450 psig, depending on assembly design (see Table 1-3), and assuming a 68°F rod temperature at the time of filling, the maximum internal rod pressure that will occur under NCT conditions is determined using the ideal gas law as follows:

Max. rod pressure = initial fill pressure, 
$$psia \times \left[\frac{absolute rod temp. at NCT}{absolute rod temp. at fill}\right]$$

Max. rod pressure = 
$$(450 \text{ psig} + 14.7) \times \left[\frac{131^{\circ} \text{F} + 459.67^{\circ} \text{R}}{68^{\circ} \text{F} + 459.67^{\circ} \text{R}}\right]$$

Max. rod pressure = 520.2 psia = 506 psig

The acceptability of this pressure rise of 56 psig from the initial fill condition is provided in Chapter 4, *Containment*.



Figure 3-1 - Heat-up of MAP Package under NCT Hot Conditions with Insolation





Figure 3-2 – Heat-up of MAP Package under NCT Hot Conditions with Insolation (Enlarged View)

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	-

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	167/375



Package @ Time of Peak Fuel Assembly Temperature



Impact Limiter @ Time of Peak Avg. Foam Temperature

# Figure 3-3 – Package Temperature Distributions

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	ling:	Page
Rest	ricted Framatome	168/375

#### 3.4 THERMAL EVALUATION FOR HYPOTHETICAL ACCIDENT CONDITIONS

This section presents the thermal evaluation of the MAP Package under the hypothetical accident condition (HAC) specified in 10 CFR §71.73(c)(4). The evaluation is based on a burn test using a full-scale, certification test unit (CTU). The CTU was fabricated to the same specifications as a production MAP Package with the exception of a few thermally insignificant components. For example, 1100 aluminum was substituted for the borated MMC neutron absorber plates. The CTU was loaded with one dummy fuel assembly fabricated to the same specifications as an actual fuel assembly with the exception that the fuel pellets were simulated with Tungsten Carbide Pellets and lead rod. The other position in the Fuel Cavity was occupied by a ballast unit that weighed the same as a batch fuel assembly.

No analytical modeling for the hypothetical accident condition was conducted for this evaluation.

#### 3.4.1 INITIAL CONDITIONS

Three CTUs were subjected to the free and puncture drop tests specified in 10 CFR §71.71 and 10 CFR §71.73. Different package orientations were used for each CTU. The specific drop orientations tested were selected from a suite of credible drop orientations based on the potential to develop the greatest package damage. Section 2.12.1, *MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests*, presents a summary of the tested orientations and the observed damage noted on each CTU. Evaluations of the damaged CTUs showed that the 'horizontal lid down' orientation with subsequent 20° oblique puncture through the package CG on the lid (i.e., CTU 3) produced the most severe damage to the thermal protection features of the package's Lid assembly, whereas the damage noted for CTUs 1 and 2 produced more localized damage, with the area of greatest damage occurring away from the thermally sensitive neutron moderator material. However, to address the loss of closure pins observed during the drop testing on CTU 3 to simulate a similar damage condition (see Section 2.12.1).

Prior to the fire test, CTU 3 was insulated and heated to achieve a mean package temperature of 100°F, which represents the pre-test package temperature in the most unfavorable ambient condition, as specified in 10 CFR §71.73(c)(4). The 100°F target package temperature was not achieved due to a combination of ambient temperatures that averaged 55°F, the need to remove the insulation for extended periods of time to rig the CTU above the test pool, and a short in the heating system. Instead, the average package skin temperature prior to the fire was measured at 73°F. Although the package began the fire test at less than the desired 100°F, the impact on the maximum temperatures achieved during the fire was offset by the extended burn time (see below) that more than compensated for the lower starting temperature.

The initial internal package heat load and pressure were identical between test article and the actual MAP Package. Since the MAP payload will consist of fresh fuel assemblies, the zero internal heat load of the CTU essentially matches the internal heat load for a production MAP Package. Likewise, since the MAP Package is not sealed, the internal pressure of the CTU prior to testing was atmospheric.

The fuel rod pressure used for the prototype fuel assembly is the highest batch fuel pressure specified for AREVA fuel assembly design tested.

### 3.4.2 FIRE TEST CONDITIONS

The fire test was performed using one of the test pools at the South Carolina Fire Academy (SCFA) in Columbia, SC. The selected test pool (see Figure 3-4) has inside dimension of 24 by 50 feet and walls that are approximately 24 inches high and 8 inches thick. The facility

N°	FS1-0038397
Hand	lling:
Rest	ricted Framatome

Page 169/375

Rev. 2.0

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96 **framatome**

was modified to perform the regulatory burn test on the MAP CTU by adding a water cooled weir (see Figure 3-5) that limited the burn pool area to approximately 10 by 25 feet. A kerosene-like hydrocarbon fuel was distributed to the burn pool area by two separate fuel lines located below the water level. The fuel distribution system was designed to provide uniform fuel distribution across the surface of the pool. Steel baffles were mounted onto the weir immediately above the water surface and below the bottom of the test article to help control flame direction and minimize the impact of winds on the flame distribution around the test article. The structure also incorporated a steel diffuser around the perimeter. The diffuser increased the effective surface dimensions of the fire to 14 by 30 feet. The top of the diffuser was approximately 6 feet above the top of the pool surface. The diffuser was also designed to reduce the impact of wind on flame engulfment of the test article.

Water and fuel lines from central pumping stations provided water to fill the pool and to cool support equipment used in the fire test while fuel lines provided liquid fuel for the burn. The pool incorporates a drain provided to empty the pool when desired. A stand-pipe system in the drain is available to maintain a constant water level during testing even with continuous water addition to the pool supplied through the cooling lines.

In accordance with 10 CFR §71.73(c)(4), the MAP CTU was supported by a simple, watercooled, insulated, steel support stand. This structure, shown in Figure 3-6, consisted of four, 4 inch square tube vertical legs welded to a base of steel channel. The vertical legs were connected, by two square tubes of the same size, one meter above the surface of the pool to form two "H" structures. Cooling water was provided to the support stand to ensure adequate strength during the burn test. The test stand was wrapped with a minimum of one inch of refractory fiber insulation to minimize cooling water requirements and to minimize the local cooling effects at the test article. The MAP CTU test article was mounted on the stand with the top lid surface oriented approximately 14 degrees downward from the vertical position. This orientation was chosen to maximize the heat flux onto the puncture bar damaged area of the package (see Figure 3-7) and allow active flame access to the package closure.

The distance between the MAP CTU test article and the weir's inside walls varied from 39.5 to 45.25 inches at the sides of the test article and from 71.5 to 77.25 inches at the ends. The lowest corner of the package was approximately 39.9 inches above the normal waterline when the pool is filled to maximum capacity. These dimensions comply with the requirements of 10 CFR §71.73(c)(4).

Temperature monitoring during the fire test was accomplished using Inconel sheathed, type K thermocouples. Eight thermocouples were suspended around the test article, approximately 40 inches away from the surface of the package to provided direct measurement of the flame temperature at corners of the pool. Four of these thermocouples were mounted approximately 6 feet above the surface of the pool, while the remaining four were mounted approximately 4 feet above the surface of the pool. In addition, two thermocouples were placed at the mid-point of the steel baffles. The locations of these thermocouples are illustrated in Figure 3-8.

The package shell temperature of the MAP CTU test article was monitored using eight thermocouples that were mounted directly to the test article using sheet metal screws. Three thermocouples were mounted to the Lid's outer shell, three were mounted on the Base's outer shell, and one thermocouple mounted on each of the end impact limiters. The thermocouples on the Lid and Base were roughly centered on the flat surface between stiffeners. The thermocouples mounted on the impact limiters were mounted on the sloped side of the impact limiter shell. Figure 3-9 illustrates the placement of the package shell thermocouples.

The fuel in the burn pool was ignited at 1829 hours on February 15, 2007 and the package was fully engulfed at approximately 1831 hours, formally starting the timed burn test. The package remained essentially engulfed until approximately 1909 hours resulting in a burn

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	lling:	Page
Rest	ricted Framatome	170/375

test length of approximately 38 minutes during which time the test article was exposed to a fire environment that met or exceeded the temperature requirements of 10 CFR §71.73(c)(4). The flame conditions during this time period are illustrated by Figure 3-10 to Figure 3-14.

At 1900 hours fire suppressant foam was introduced below the surface of the pool to stop the combustion of the fuel remaining in the pool and end the 'fire' portion of the test<sup>20</sup>. Despite this action, combustion of the fuel continued and full engulfment of the package remained until 1909 hours. Thermal input to the package continued until approximately 1924 hours from remnants of fuel that continued to burn in the pool. This added heat input is illustrated by the flame conditions depicted in Figure 3-13 and Figure 3-14. The flames seen at the base of the weir are from the combustion of the remaining fuel, as seen through the vent holes in the weir.

The flames seen higher up at various spots on the package are the result of the flammable gases generated from the thermal decomposition of the polyurethane foam burning after exiting the package at the vent ports and being exposed to a pilot source and air. The combustion of the foam's outgas began early in the fire duration as the foam began to decompose and continued after the end of the fire until the temperature in the foam components dropped below the decomposition point (i.e., approximately 670°F) and the gas retained within the foam matrix was expelled. The foam out-gassing and vent port combustion was noted to continue at a relatively vigorous level until approximately 1945 hours, and then subside and extinguish by 2030 hours. This condition is consistent with that noted for other packages using polyurethane foam.

The measured fire flame temperatures averaged above 800°C, with peak temperatures reaching 1,200°C. The average skin temperature of the test article, as measured at eight different locations, exceeded 800°C within 30 seconds after full engulfment of the test article was achieved. The peak skin temperatures reached also 2,192°F. Fire temperatures below the test article, as measured by the thermocouples mounted on the steel baffles, averaged above 800°C. Figure 3-15 presents the transient temperature measurements for the six package skin temperatures located above the temperature sensitive moderator components within the package. As seen from the figure, the average package skin temperatures significantly exceeded the regulatory flame temperature of 1,475°F (800°C) for 38 minutes, with the computed average temperature being 1,746°F. This result confirms that the flame temperature and emissivity generated during the fire test exceeded the requirements of 10 CFR §71.73(c)(4).

Given that the heat transfer via radiation into the package varies with absolute temperature to the fourth power, the heat input into the package is estimated to be approximately 70%<sup>21</sup> higher than that to be expected at the regulatory minimum temperature of 800°C. When combined with the more than 8 additional minutes of flame exposure, the total heat input into the test article is seen to significantly exceed the level from a 30-minute exposure to an 800°C flame.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Based on a package skin temperature of 1475°C for a regulatory fire vs. 1746°C for the fire test, a foam char temperature of approximately 650°C, an effective emissivity exchange factor of 0.9, and a convection coef. of 3.5 Btuh/sq. ft.-f. The heat input for a regulatory fire would be on the order of  $((1475+460)^4 - (650+460)^4)^*0.9^*1.714e-9 + (1475-650)^*3.5 = 22,172$  Btuh/sq-ft. The heat input for the observed fire test was on the order of  $((1746+460)^4 - (650+460)^4)^*0.9^*1.71e-9 + (1746-650)^*3.5 = 38,026$  Btuh/sq-ft. The ratio of hear input is then 38,026/22,172 = 1.72 of 72% higher than a minimum regulatory fire.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> At no time did the fire suppressant make contact with any portion of the package or serve to cool the package, nor did the suppressant stop any combustion occurring in or on the package.

N° FS1-0038397

Page

Handling: **Restricted Framatome** 

#### MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES AND PRESSURE 3.4.3

#### 3.4.3.1 MAXIMUM HAC TEMPERATURES

The temperatures achieved by the various components within MAP CTU test article were not directly measured. Instead, the maximum temperatures achieved within the test article during the fire test are estimated based on visual observations of the component conditions following the test. Estimating peak temperatures attained in a fire based on the condition of the various components involved is a standard fire investigation technique. Further, given the large thermal margin established below for the fuel assemblies, the accuracy of the predicted temperatures based on this approach is sufficient to assess the safety of the package design.

Figure 3-16 illustrates the Lid cover sheet and puncture bar damage area following the fire test. As seen from the photographs, the intumescent char developed by the polyurethane foam effectively plugged the breach in the outer skin of the package caused by the puncture bar. This protective mechanism of the foam prevents the hot flame gases from entering the interior of the package. A similar result would occur for any other breach in the package.

Figure 3-17 illustrates the condition of the Fuel Cavity following the fire test. The photograph on the left side of the figure demonstrates the Fuel Cavity is undamaged, except for the condensation of the outgas products from the decomposed polyurethane foam and the resin in the fiberglass thermal breaks. Additional photographs of the Fuel Cavity interior are provided in Section 2.12.1, MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests (see Figures 2.12.1-57 to 2.12.1-62). The relatively low temperature level reached with the Fuel Cavity was confirmed by examination of the interior of the Fuel Cavity. Not only were the dummy fuel assembly and ballast unit physically undamaged, their surfaces were found to be in pristine condition (see 2.12.1-62). The polypropylene protective wrap on the fuel assembly was undamaged except for a slight 'curling' at the base of the fuel assembly. The neoprene showed no signs of curling or hardening. But the adhesive used to attach the neoprene sheets opposite the ballast unit had soften and allowed the neoprene to become detached (see Figure 2.12.1 1-60).

Given the overall condition of the components within the Fuel cavity as described above, the fact that the polypropylene plastic (with it melting point of approximately 300°F) was essentially undamaged, but the neoprene adhesive (with its recommended service temperature limit of 225°F) had failed in several locations, the peak temperature reached within the Fuel Cavity is estimated to have been below 275°F. For the purpose of this safety evaluation, a temperature level of 300°F is used to conservatively bound the peak temperature achieved by the fuel assemblies during the HAC event. This temperature level is well below the allowable HAC temperature limits of 1,058°F established for the fuel assemblies and the 1,100°F limit for the borated MMC plates and the fuel cavity doors. Further, the magnitude of these demonstrated thermal margins bounds any reasonable uncertainty in the methodology used to estimate the peak temperature. It should also be noted the thermal conductivity of the polyurethane foam used in the CTU was 0.24 for the batch, while the current specification allows a maximum of 0.30. This differential in thermal conductivity is consider negligible since the thermal protection offered b the foam is primarily related to the energy required to char the foam which is a function of its density.

Figure 3-19 illustrates the condition of the ceramic fiber paper insulation placed between the polyurethane foam and the outer skin, while Figure 3-18 illustrates the condition of the 6 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> foam. The ceramic fiber paper survived intact although it was saturated with condensed products from the foam out-gassing. In contrast, the 6 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> foam was essentially fully decomposed. As expected, the decomposed foam created a char layer that thermally shields the underlying components. This performance is consistent with the bucket tests described in Appendix 3.5.3, 'Last-A-Foam' Response under HAC Condition. The fact that very little undamaged foam remained within the package is attributed to the added exposure

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	lling:	Page
Rest	ricted Framatome	172/375

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96 **framatome**

to the fire conditions that exceeded the regulatory requirements (see Section 3.4.2, Fire Test Conditions). Given that the thermal decomposition of the foam proceeds at fairly uniform rate, a reduction in the exposure to the fully engulfing fire condition from the tested 38 minutes to the regulatory required 30 minutes would have resulted in a correspondingly increase in the amount of undamaged foam.

Examination of the neutron moderator components within the package Lid (see Section 2.12.1, MAP Shipping Package Certification Tests) showed that the majority of the moderator was undamaged. The principal change from the fabrication condition was due the condensation of tars, etc. generated from the decomposition of the foam and, to a lesser degree, the resin in the fiberglass thermal breaks. Only 13 out of the 88 individual blocks of moderator used in the package Lid showed any sign of surface melting, with the incidental melting limited to small areas at the edges of the blocks in most cases. No melting or damage of any kind was observed for the 44 moderator blocks used in the package base. Segment #5 (see Figure 2-101 for the location of this segment) contains 3 of the affected 13 moderator blocks and these blocks exhibited the worst case melting observed during the post-fire dissection of the package (see Figure 2-100 and Figures 2-106 to 2-108). Although relatively minor, the melting on block B4(6) in this segment does indicate that a local temperature in excess of 500°F was achieved for a sufficient time to overcome the heat of fusion for the material. The remaining 10 of the 13 moderator blocks showing any sign of melting are dispersed over the adjacent 5 segments (see Table 1.12.1-4). These blocks exhibited only very minor melting at the corners of the blocks where the thermal mass to surface area ratio is the smallest. The location and extent of melting for these blocks demonstrates that the local peak temperature must not have been above 500°F for long or by much.

A measurement of the moderator blocks in segment #5 (see Table 2.12.1-5) showed that the weight loss due to melting was approximately 6.6% by weight for the worst two blocks and 2.1% when averaged over all blocks in Segment #5 of the Lid. The combined weight loss for all moderator blocks in eh package is less than 0.1%. As discussed in Chapter 6, Criticality Evaluation, this level of moderator loss is well within acceptable limits.

Examination of the Base assembly for the package showed that similar post-fire conditions existed for the ceramic fiber paper and polyurethane foam as was noted in the Lid assembly. As seen from Figure 2-111 to Figure 2-115, the moderator blocks in the Base were undamaged with only a slight discoloration due to condensation of decomposition products form the polyurethane foam.

In conclusion, the fire test demonstrated that the MAP Package design incorporates sufficient thermal protection features to protect the moderator and absorber material under the HAC condition. The level of damage noted for the moderator material is well within the safety limits established in Chapter 6, Criticality Evaluation. Further, the thermal margin provided by the design of the MAP Package is demonstrated by the fact that the test article was subjected to an extended exposure to the fully engulfing fire condition in terms of both time and temperature level during the thermal test, as described in Section 3.4.2, Fire Test Conditions, and yet all of the thermal requirements for the components were successfully met.

#### 3.4.3.2 MAXIMUM HAC PRESSURES

The MAP Package does not contain any sealed enclosures other than the fuel rods contained in the payload. As such, the maximum package pressure developed during the HAC fire event remained near atmospheric conditions at all times. The outgassing which accompanies the thermal decomposition of the polyurethane foam can be expected produced slightly elevated pressures within the various package enclosures containing the foam material, but each of these enclosures contain a plastic 'blow-out' plug designed to fail under either the heat or pressures generated during the fire event.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	173/375

The maximum rod pressure under HAC condition is determined in the same manner as that for NCT conditions. Based on a bounding initial rod pressure of 450 psig, a 68°F rod temperature at the time of filling, and a maximum 300°F rod temperature during the HAC fire event, the maximum internal rod pressure under HAC is:

Max. rod pressure = (450 psig + 14.7) × 
$$\left[\frac{300^{\circ} \text{ F} + 459.67^{\circ} \text{ R}}{68^{\circ} \text{ F} + 459.67^{\circ} \text{ R}}\right]$$

```
Max. rod pressure = 669 psia = 654 psig
```

The acceptability of a pressure rise of 204 psig from the initial fill condition is provided in Chapter 4, *Containment*.

### 3.4.4 MAXIMUM THERMAL STRESSES

The MAP Package is fabricated principally of sheet metal and relatively thin structural steel shapes. Further, no pressure containing enclosures exist within the packaging. As such, the thermal stresses developed during the HAC fire event will be low and not significant to the safety of the package.



Viewed from Southwest – Other Structures Are Props Used for Firefighter Training

# Figure 3-4 – Fire Test Pool at South Carolina Fire Academy



Viewed from Southwest - Other Structures Are Props Used for Firefighter Training





Figure 3-6 – MAP CTU 3 Mounted on Insulated Test Stand within Weir

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

```
N° FS1-0038397 Rev. 2.0 MAP PWR Fuel
```

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 175/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

framatome



Figure 3-7 – Close-up of MAP CTU 3 on Test Stand Showing Puncture Bar Damage



Figure 3-8 – Location of Flame and Baffle Thermocouples

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	6
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 176/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome	



Figure 3-9 – Location of Package Skin Thermocouples



Figure 3-10 – Fire Conditions at Approximately 1833 Hours



Figure 3-11 – Fire Conditions at Approximately 1900 Hours

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAI
Hand	ling:	Page	
Rest	ricted Framatome	177/375	

### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96





Figure 3-12 – Fire Conditions at Approximately 1908 Hours



Figure 3-13 – Fire Conditions at Approximately 1916 Hours



Figure 3-14 – Fire Conditions at Approximately 1924 Hours





Figure 3-15 – Package Shell (Skin) Temperatures



View of Puncture Bar Damage Area

Close-up View of Puncture Bar Damage Area



N°	FS1-0038397
IN	131-0030397

Handling: Restricted Framatome

Page 179/375

Rev. 2.0

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96



View of Fuel Cavity Doors



framatome

View of Fuel Cavity with Doors Open





View of Lid After Outer Shell Removal



Close-up View of Puncture Bar Damage Area

Figure 3-18 – Dissection of CTU 3 after Fire Test: Lid Outer Shell Removal

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	M
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 180/375	

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96



Foam Under Ceramic Fiber Paper Intumesced Filling Voids

Sections of Undamaged Foam Remaining

framatome

Figure 3-19 – Dissection of CTU 3 after Fire Test: Lid 6 lb/ft3 Foam Char Removal

Framatome - Fuel				
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first o	r title page			
NI <sup>o</sup>		00	202	07
-----------------	-----	-----	-----	----
N° .	F31	-00	ათა	97

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

### 3.5 APPENDICES

## 3.5.1 COMPUTER ANALYSIS RESULTS

Due to the size and number of the output files associated with each analyzed condition, results from the computer analysis are provided on a CD-ROM.

## 3.5.2 ANALYTICAL THERMAL MODEL

The analytical thermal model of the MAP Package was developed for use with the Thermal Desktop<sup>®22</sup> and SINDA/FLUINT<sup>23</sup> computer programs. These programs are designed to function together to build, exercise, and post-process a thermal model. The Thermal Desktop<sup>®</sup> computer program is used to provide graphical input and output display function, as well as computing the radiation exchange conductors for the defined geometry and optical properties. Thermal Desktop<sup>®</sup> is designed to run as an AutoCAD<sup>®</sup> application. As such, all of the CAD tools available for generating geometry within AutoCAD<sup>®</sup> can be used for generating a thermal model. In addition, the use of the AutoCAD<sup>®</sup> layers tool provides a convenient means of segregating the thermal model into its various elements.

The SINDA/FLUINT computer program is a general purpose code that handles problems defined in finite difference (i.e., lumped parameter) and/or finite element terms and can be used to compute the steady-state and transient behavior of the modeled system. Although the code can be used to solve any physical problem governed by diffusion-type equations, specialized functions used to address the physics of heat transfer and fluid flow make the code primarily a thermal code.

The SINDA/FLUINT and Thermal Desktop<sup>®</sup> computer programs have been validated for safety basis calculations for nuclear related projects<sup>24</sup>.

Together, the Thermal Desktop<sup>®</sup> and SINDA/FLUINT codes provide the capability to simulate steady-state and transient temperatures using temperature dependent material properties and heat transfer via conduction, convection, and radiation. Complex algorithms may be programmed into the solution process for the purposes of computing heat transfer coefficients as a function of the local geometry, gas thermal properties as a function of species content, temperature, and pressure, or, for example, to estimate the effects of buoyancy driven heat transfer as a function of density differences and flow geometry.

#### 3.5.2.1 DESCRIPTION OF THERMAL MODEL FOR NCT CONDITIONS

Two 3-dimensional thermal models of the MAP Package were developed for the NCT condition. One model is representative of the package along its length and the second simulates one of the end impact limiters. Given the essentially zero decay heat loading of the payload and the level of insulation provided by the polyurethane foam, the thermal performance of the package can be defined through the use of relatively small segments of the package since little axial heat transfer will occur within the package.

Figure 3-20 illustrates the plan and perspective views of the thermal model used to represent the package along its length. The model simulates one-half of the package (i.e., symmetry

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Software Validation Test Report for Thermal Desktop<sup>®</sup> and SINDA/FLUINT, Version 4.8, Packaging Technology, Inc., File No. TR-VV-05-001, Rev. 1.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Thermal Desktop<sup>®</sup>, Version 4.8, Cullimore & Ring Technologies, Inc., Littleton, CO, 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> SINDA/FLUINT, Systems Improved Numerical Differencing Analyzer and Fluid Integrator, Version 4.8, Cullimore & Ring Technologies, Inc., Littleton, CO, 2005.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	182/375

2.0

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package framatome USA/9319/B(U)F-96

is assumed about the package's vertical plane) and extends approximately 7.8 inches in the axial direction (e.g., from one stiffener to the mid-point between stiffeners). This modeling choice captures the full height of the package components, one of the two Fuel Cavities, and allows the incorporation of the varying insolation loads that will occur at the top and sides of Program features within the Thermal Desktop<sup>®</sup> computer program the package. automatically compute the various areas, lengths, thermal conductors, and view factors involved in determining the individual elements that make up the thermal model of the complete assembly.

As seen from the figure, the modeling captures the various components of the packaging, including the use of dual layers of ceramic fiber paper, the stepped joint between the Lid and Base assemblies, the individual angle and channel irons used to connect the strong-back and inner lid assemblies to the outer shells, the moderator, the fiberglass thermal breaks (green solids), the stiffeners, and the fuel assembly (simulated as a homogenous solid region). Also captured, but not easily seen in the figure due to the modeling approach used are the borated MMC neutron absorber plates, the neoprene pads, and the sheet metal used for the strong-back and inner lid assemblies.

The model is composed of solid and plate type elements to represent the various package components. Thermal communication between the various components is via conduction, radiation, and surface-to-surface contact. A total of approximately 4,900 nodes, 2,300 planar elements, and 2,000 solid elements are used to simulate the modeled components. In addition, one boundary node is used to represent the ambient environment for convection purposes and a second boundary node is used to represent the ambient temperature for the purpose of radiation heat transfer.

The fuel assemblies are simulated as homogenous solid regions based on a detailed representation of a prototypic fuel assembly. The detailed thermal model, illustrated in Figure 3-21, includes a separate representation of each fuel, poison, and instrumentation rod making up the fuel assembly. Heat transfer between the individual rods is simulated via conduction and radiation across the air space separating the rods. Since the fuel assemblies dissipate essentially zero decay heat, a detail of each fuel assembly type to be transported is not required. Instead, the thermal modeling defining a prototypic 17x17 fuel assembly<sup>25</sup> is acceptable for the purposes of this evaluation. The effective thermal conductivity for the fuel assembly region is determined by exercising the detailed thermal model for a range of temperatures and then converting the resulting temperature rise across the fuel assembly to an effective thermal conductivity based on the methodology for a square solid with distributed heat sources<sup>26</sup>. The results used in this evaluation are provided in Table 3-4.

Figure 3-22 illustrates the plan and perspective 'solid' views of the thermal model used to represent the impact limiters at each end of the Lid assembly. The model simulates one-half of the impact limiter, including the inside skin. A total of approximately 770 nodes, 300 planar elements, and 380 solid elements are used to simulate the modeled components. In addition, one boundary node is used to represent the ambient environment for convection purposes and a second boundary node is used to represent the ambient temperature for the purpose of radiation heat transfer.

Inc. for DOE Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System (CRWMS), Report BBA000000-01717-5705-00010, Rev. 0, July 1996.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Packaging Technology Calculation No. 99008-25, MFFP Thermal Analysis For Transport Conditions, Rev.

<sup>0,</sup> Tacoma, Washington, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> "Spent Nuclear Fuel Effective Thermal Conductivity Report", prepared TRW Environmental Safety Systems,

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 183/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

The heat transfer from the exterior surfaces of the MAP Package is modeled as a combination of convection and radiation exchange. Appendix 3.5.2.2, *Convection Coefficient Calculation*, presents the methodology used to compute the convection coefficients from the various surfaces. In addition, heating of the exterior surfaces due to solar insolation is assumed using a diurnal cycle. A sine wave model is used to simulate the variation in the applied insolation on the surfaces of the package over a 24-hour period, except that when the sine function is negative, the insolation level is set to zero. The timing of the sine wave is set to achieve its peak at 12 pm and peak value of the curve is adjusted to ensure that the total energy delivered matched the regulatory values. As such, the total energy delivered in one day by the sine wave solar model is given by:

$$\int_{6 \cdot hr}^{18 \cdot hr} Q_{\text{peak}} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\pi \cdot t}{12 \cdot hr} - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) dt = \left(\frac{24 \cdot hr}{\pi}\right) \cdot Q_{\text{peak}}$$

Using the expression above for the peak rate of insolation, the peak rates for top and side insolation may be calculated as follows:

$$Q_{top} = \left(800 \frac{\text{cal}}{\text{cm}^2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{24 \text{ hr}}\right) \qquad Q_{top} = 2.68 \frac{\text{Btu}}{\text{hr} - \text{in}^2}$$
$$Q_{side} = \left(200 \frac{\text{cal}}{\text{cm}^2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{24 \text{ hr}}\right) \qquad Q_{top} = 0.67 \frac{\text{Btu}}{\text{hr} - \text{in}^2}$$

Conversion factors of 1 cal/cm<sup>2</sup>-hr = 0.0256 Btu/hr-in<sup>2</sup> are used in the above calculations. These peak rates are multiplied by the sine function and the solar absortivity for Type 304 stainless steel (i.e., 0.52) to determine the solar heating on the top and sides of the package as a function of time of day. The use of surface absorptivity to compute the actual insolation absorbed by the package is consistent with ¶3.5.2.1 of NUREG-1609<sup>27</sup> and ¶3.2.1 of Reg Guide 7.9<sup>28</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Regulatory Guide 7.9, "Standard Format and Content of Part 71 Applications for Approval of Packages for Radioactive Material", Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, US NRC, Washington, DC 20555, March 2005.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> NUREG-1609, "Standard Review Plan for Transportation Packages for Radioactive Material", Spent Fuel Project Office, US NRC, Washington, DC 20555, March 2000.

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 184/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Table 3-7 presents a tabulation of the incident insolation on the horizontal surfaces of the package as a function of the time of day as determined using the diurnal cycle described above. The values in the second column of the table represent the instantaneous value of insolation, while the values if the third column represents the time-averaged value of insolation. Summing the values in the third column yields the total insolation value over a 24-hour period. The computed 2,950 Btu/ft<sup>2</sup> value is within 0.2% of the ¶10 CRF 71.71 (c)(1).

Confirmation that the use of a diurnal cycle for insolation provides bounding peak temperatures when compared with using an averaged insolation value is presented in Figure 3-23. The left side of the figure presents the predicted package temperature distribution obtained using a diurnal cycle on insolation and a transient analysis, while the right side of the figure presents the predicted package temperature distribution obtained using the 24-hour average insolation value and a steady-state analysis. As seen from the figure, the peak temperatures predicted for the packaging are approximately 34°F higher with the diurnal cycle vs. that obtained using a steady-state insolation loading. The peak and average foam temperatures achieved with the diurnal cycle are 201 and 144°F, respectively, versus 174 and 142°F, respectively, for a steady-state analysis using 24-hour average solar. As such, the two methodologies provide essentially the same average foam temperature, but the diurnal cycle yields a higher peak foam temperature and larger thermal gradient.

#### 3.5.2.2 CONVECTION COEFFICIENT CALCULATION

The convective heat transfer coefficient, h<sub>c</sub>, has a form of:

$$h_c = Nu \frac{k}{L}$$

where k is the thermal conductivity of the gas at the mean film temperature and L is the characteristic length of the vertical or horizontal surface.

Natural convection from each surface is computed based on semi-emphirical relationships using the local Rayleigh number and the characteristic length for the surface. The Rayleigh number is defined as:

where

$$Ra_{L} = \frac{\rho^{2}g_{c}\beta L^{3}\Delta T}{\mu^{2}} \times Pr$$

$g_c$ = gravitational acceleration, 32.174 ft/s <sup>2</sup>	$\beta$ = coefficient of thermal expansion, °R <sup>-1</sup>
$\Delta T$ = temperature difference, °F Ib <sub>m</sub> /ft <sup>3</sup>	$\rho$ = density of air at the film temperature,
$\mu$ = dynamic viscosity, lb <sub>m</sub> /ft-s	$Pr = Prandtl number = (c_p \mu) / k$
L = characteristic length, ft temperature	<i>k</i> = thermal conductivity at film
c <sub>p</sub> = specific heat, Btu/lb <sub>m</sub> -hr-°F	Ra <sub>L</sub> = Rayleigh #, based on length 'L'

Note that k,  $c_p$ , and  $\mu$  are each a function of air temperature as taken from Table 3-5. Values for  $\rho$  are computed using the ideal gas law,  $\beta$  for an ideal gas is simply the inverse of the absolute temperature of the gas, and Pr is computed using the values for k,  $c_p$ , and  $\mu$  from Table 3-5. Unit conversion factors are used as required to reconcile the units for the various properties used.

N° FS1-0038	3397 Rev. 2.	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handling: Restricted Frama	Page tome 185/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

The natural convection from a discrete vertical surface is computed using Equation 4-33 of Rohsenow, et. al.<sup>29</sup>, which is applicable over the range  $1 < \text{Rayleigh number (Ra)} < 10^{12}$ :

$$Nu^{T} = \overline{C}_{L}Ra^{1/4}$$

$$\overline{C}_{L} = \frac{0.671}{\left(1 + \left(0.492/Pr\right)^{9/16}\right)^{4/9}}$$
$$Nu_{L} = \frac{2.0}{\ln(1 + 2.0/Nu^{T})}$$

$$Nu_{t} = \frac{C_{t}^{V}Ra^{1/3}}{(1+1.4x10^{9} \text{ Pr/ Ra})}$$

$$C_{t}^{V} = \frac{0.13 \operatorname{Pr}^{0.22}}{\left(1 + 0.61 \operatorname{Pr}^{0.81}\right)^{0.42}} \left[1 + 0.78 \left(\frac{T_{wall}}{T_{\infty}} - 1\right)\right]$$

where  $T_{\text{wall}}$  and  $T_{\text{\tiny \infty}}$  are in terms of absolute temperature.

$$Nu = \frac{h_{c}L}{k} = \left[ (Nu_{L})^{6} + (Nu_{t})^{6} \right]^{1/6}$$

Natural convection from horizontal surfaces is computed from Equations 4.39 and 4.40 of Rohsenow, et. al.<sup>8</sup>, and Equations 3.34 to 3.36 of  $Guyer^{30}$ , where the characteristic dimension (L) is equal to the plate surface area divided by the plate perimeter. For a heated surface facing upwards or a cooled surface facing downwards and Ra > 1:

$$Nu = \frac{h_c L}{k} = \left[ (Nu_L)^{10} + (Nu_t)^{10} \right]^{1/10}$$
$$Nu_L = \frac{1.4}{\ln(1 + 1.677/(\overline{C}_L Ra^{1/4}))}$$
$$\overline{C}_L = \frac{0.671}{\left[ 1 + (0.492/Pr)^{9/16} \right]^{4/9}}$$
$$Nu_t = 0.14 Ra^{1/3}$$

For a heated surface facing downwards or a cooled surface facing upwards and  $10^3$  < Ra <  $10^{10}$ , the correlation is as follows:

Nu = Nu<sub>L</sub> = 
$$\frac{2.5}{\ln(1 + 2.5/Nu^{T})}$$
  
Nu<sup>T</sup> =  $\frac{0.527}{(1 + (1.9/Pr)^{9/10})^{2/9}} Ra^{1/5}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Guyer, E.C., Handbook of Applied Thermal Design, McGraw-Hill., 1989

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Rohsenow, Hartnett, and Choi, Handbook of Heat Transfer, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, McGraw-Hill Publisher, 1998



Plan View of Model Between Stiffeners

Perspective View of Model Between Stiffeners

Figure 3-20 – Plan & Perspective Views of Package Symmetry Thermal Model



Plan View of Model

Perspective View of Model

Figure 3-21 – Plan & Perspective Views of Detailed Fuel Assembly Thermal Model

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	



Figure 3-22 – Plan & Perspective Views of Lid End Impact Limiter Thermal Model

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

Time (minute)	Transient Insolation Loading (BTU/min-in^2)	Time Avg. Insolation (BTU/in <sup>2</sup> )
0 to 330	0	0
360	0	0.08748
390	5.83E-03	0.26103
420	1.16E-02	0.43005
450	1.71E-02	0.5916
480	2.23E-02	0.7431
510	2.72E-02	0.882
540	3.16E-02	1.00575
570	3.55E-02	1.11225
600	3.87E-02	1.1997
630	4.13E-02	1.2666
660	4.32E-02	1.3119
690	4.43E-02	1.3347
720	4.47E-02	1.3347
750	4.43E-02	1.3119
780	4.32E-02	1.2666
810	4.13E-02	1.1997
840	3.87E-02	1.11225
870	3.55E-02	1.00575
900	3.16E-02	0.882
930	2.72E-02	0.7431
960	2.23E-02	0.5916
990	1.71E-02	0.43005
1020	1.16E-02	0.26103
1050	5.83E-03	0.08748
1080 to 1440	0	0
	DR. C	20.45 Btu/in <sup>2</sup>
	Daily Sum	= 2945 Btu/ft <sup>2</sup>

## Table 3-7 – Diurnal Insolation Values for Horizontal Surfaces



Figure 3-23 – Comparison of Package Temperatures with Diurnal vs. steadystate Insolation Loading

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	
Handling:		
Restricted Framatome		

Rev. 2.0

## MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

## 3.5.3 'LAST-A-FOAM' RESPONSE UNDER HAC CONDITION

The General Plastics LAST-A-FOAM<sup>®</sup> FR-3700 rigid polyurethane<sup>31</sup> foam has been used in numerous radioactive materials packages<sup>32</sup>. The FR-3700 formulation is specially designed to allow predictable impact-absorption performance under dynamic loading, while also providing an intumescent char layer that insulates and protects the underlying materials, even when exposed to pool-fire conditions. Upon exposure to fire temperatures, this proprietary foam decomposes into an intumescent char that swells and tends to fill voids or gaps created by free drop or puncture bar damage. Because the char has no appreciable structural capacity and will not develop unless there is space available, the char will not generate stresses within the adjacent package components. Without available space the pyrolysis gases developed as a result of the charring process will move the char mass out through the vent ports and prevent its buildup. Only as the charring process continues and space becomes available will char be retained, filling the available space and plugging holes at the surface of the package. Further, the thermal decomposition process for the foam does not alter or cause a chemical reaction within the adjacent materials as attested by the post-fire test physical inspection conducted on this package and others<sup>31</sup>.

The thermal decomposition absorbs a significant amount of the heat transferred into the foam, which is then expelled from the package as a high temperature gas. At the same time, the resultant char layer shields the underlying undamaged foam from further direct exposure to the external high temperatures. This behavior has been observed in numerous fire tests of other packages.

Since the decomposition of the foam under elevated temperatures is an endothermic process, the foam is self-extinguishing and will not support a flame once the external fire is removed. However, the gases generated by the decomposition process are combustible and will burn under piloted conditions. Further, a portion of these generated gases could remain trapped within the charred layer of the foam for a period of time after the cessation of the HAC fire event and could support further combustion, although at a much reduced level, until a sufficient time has passed for their depletion from the cell structure.

The mechanisms behind the observed variations in the thermal properties and behavior of the FR-3700 foam at elevated temperatures are varied and complex. A series of fire tests<sup>33,34</sup> conducted on 5-gallon cans filled with FR-3700 foam at densities from 6.7 to 25.8 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> helped define the expected performance of the foam under fire accident conditions. Under the referenced fire tests, one end of the test article was subjected to an open diesel fueled burner flame at temperatures of 980 to 1,200°C (1,800 to 2,200°F) for more than 30 minutes. A thermal shield prevented direct exposure to the burner flame on any surface of the test article other than the hot face. Each test article was instrumented with thermocouples located at various depths in the foam. In addition, samples of the foam were subjected to thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) to determine the thermal decomposition vs. temperature. The exposure temperatures for the TGA tests varied from 70 to 1,500 °F, and were conducted in both air and nitrogen atmospheres. The result for the nitrogen environment (see

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> "*Thermal Assault and Polyurethane Foam – Evaluating Protective Mechanisms*", Williamson, Z.L. Iams, General Plastics Manufacturing Company, Tacoma, WA, presented at PATRAM International Symposium, Berlin, Germany, 2004.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Last-A-Foam<sup>TM</sup> FR3700 On-Line Data Sheet, <u>www.generalplastics.com</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Other packages using Last-A-Foam FR3700 include TRUPACT-II (CoC #9218), HalfPACT (CoC #9279), MOX Fresh Fuel Package (CoC #9295), and the TN-55 (CoC #9328).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> "Thermal Assault and Polyurethane Foam Evaluation Protective Mechanisms for Transport Containers",

C.L. Williamson, Z.L. Iams, General Plastics Manufacturing Company, Tacoma, WA presented at Waste Management '05 Symposium, Tucson, AZ, 2005.

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 191/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Figure 3-24) is more representative of the low oxygen environment existing within the enclosures encasing the foam components of the MAP Package. These test results indicate that the following steps occur in the thermal breakdown of the foam under the level of elevated temperatures reached during the HAC fire event:

- Below 250 °F, the variation in foam thermal properties with temperature are slight and reversible. As such, fixed values for specific heat and thermal conductivity are appropriate.
- Between 250 and 500 °F, small variations in foam thermal properties occur as water vapor and non-condensable gases are driven out of the foam. As such, fixed values for specific heat and thermal conductivity are also appropriate for this temperature range. Further, the observed changes are so slight that the same thermal properties used for temperatures below 250 °F may also be used to characterize the thermal performance of the foam between 250 and 500 °F.
- Irreversible thermal decomposition of the foam begins as the temperature rises above 500°F and increases non-linearly with temperature. Based on the TGA testing (see Figure 3-24, approximately 2/3's of this decomposition occurs over a narrow temperature range centered about 670°F.
- The decomposition is accompanied by vigorous out-gassing from the foam and an indeterminate amount of internal heat generation. The internal heat generation arises from the gases generated by the decomposition process that are combustible under piloted conditions. However, since the decomposition process is endothermic, the foam will not support combustion indefinitely. Further, the out gassing process removes a significant amount of heat from the package via mass transport.
- The weight loss due to out-gassing not only has direct effect on the heat flux into the remaining virgin foam, but changes the composition of the resulting foam char since the foam constituents are lost at different rates. This change in composition affects both the specific heat and the thermal conductivity of the foam char layer.
- As temperature continues to rise, the developing char layer begins to take on the characteristics of a gas-filled cellular structure where radiative interchange from one cell surface to another becomes the dominant portion of the overall heat transfer mechanism. This change in heat transfer mechanisms causes the apparent heat conductivity to take on a highly non-linear relationship with temperature.
- Finally, at temperatures above 1,250 °F, the thermal breakdown of the foam is essentially completed and only about 5 to 10% of the original mass is left. In the absence of direct exposure to a flame or erosion by the channeling of the outgas products through the foam, the char layer will be the same or slightly thicker than the original foam depth. This char layer will continue to provide radiative shielding to the underlying foam material.

The sharp transition in the state of the foam noted in Figure 3-24 at or about 670°F can be used to correlate the observed depth of the foam char following a burn test with the occurrence of this temperature level within the foam. Figure 3-25 illustrates the relationship between foam recession (i.e., char depth) and foam density following exposure to a 30-minute fire as complied from a series of tests. The correlation between the foam recession depth and the foam density is expressed by the relation:

$$y = -0.94681 - 11.64 \times \log_{10}(x)$$
  
where, y = the recession depth, cm  
x = foam density (g/cm<sup>3</sup>)

Framatome - Fuel This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		192/375

Based on this correlation, the recession depth expected for the nominal 6 pcf density foam used in the Lid and Base Assemblies of the package is estimated to be 4.3 inches. This recession depth does not include the effect of the ceramic fiber paper.

#### Project Specific Polyurethane Foam Investigations

The beneficial effect of adding 2 layers of ceramic fiber paper adjacent to the exterior skin of the package was investigated using a series of 5-gallon bucket tests conducted specifically for this project<sup>35</sup>. The bucket tests were setup to simulate a prototypic section through the MAP Package Lid Assembly and were conducted as a design verification process prior to proceeding to full scale test unit fabrication to evaluate the combination of the ceramic paper with the thickness of polyurethane foam to be used in the MAP Package Lid. The test setup consisted of the following components (in the order from the outside to the inside):

- 1) a 11 gauge stainless steel (Type304) lid,
- 2) one or two layers of ceramic fiber paper insulation,
- 3) a 3.75 to 4 inch layer of FR-3700 foam (depending on the number of layers of ceramic fiber paper insulation used),
- 4) a 1.25-inch layer of thermoplastic moderator material,
- 5) a 0.25-inch thick aluminum plate used to simulate the combined package neutron absorber (borated metal matrix composite ) and inner lid sheet,
- 6) a 1.5-inch thick air gap used to approximate the void region above the Fuel Cavity, and
- 7) finally, a steel plate that approximated the equivalent fuel weight on a per surface area basis.

Figure 3-26 illustrates the setup for the testing of the 6 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> foam with two layers of ceramic fiber paper. The other test setups are similar, with the exception of the foam density and/or the number of layers of ceramic fiber paper used. Care was taken in assembly to isolate all the components from heat input through the side of the bucket.

Figure 3-27 through Figure 3-32 depicts the condition of the test configuration following the simulated HAC fire event. Figure 3-27 demonstrates that the double layer ceramic fiber paper remained intact throughout the test and provided thermal shielding of the underlying polyurethane foam and moderator material. Figure 3-30 depicts the char layer that developed as the polyurethane foam thermally decomposed. The results of the testing demonstrated that the use of two layers of ceramic fiber paper would reduce the degree of foam recession by approximately 24%, or from 4.3 inches to 3.25 inches. The testing showed that the underlying moderator material was undamaged for all configurations tested.

The results seen from the full scale fire test are consistent with the bucket test results, especially when the additional burn time is factored in. This consistency of results demonstrates that the observed thermal performance of the package under the full scale HAC testing is reliable and repeatable (see NUREG-1609, ¶3.5.3.3).

The foam in the end impact limiters of the package has a nominal density of 10 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> and no ceramic fiber paper is used. The combination of the higher foam density and lack of ceramic fiber insulation is expected to yield a recession depth during the fire event of 3.3 inches.

<sup>35</sup> AREVA Test Report TR-019, MAP Foam Bucket Burn Test Report, Rev. 0, October 2006, prepared by Packaging Technology, Inc., Tacoma, WA.





Figure 3-24 – TGA Analysis of Foam Decomposition in Nitrogen



Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	-

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Hand Resti	ling: ricted Framatome	Page 194/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Figure 3-25 – Foam Recession vs. Density for 30-minute Fire



Figure 3-26 – MAP Foam Bucket Burn Test Setup for 6 lb/ft3 Foam

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev.
Han	dling:	Page
Restricted Framatome		195/

Page 195/375

2.0

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96



Figure 3-27 – Intact ceramic fiber following test bucket



Figure 3-29 – Remaining 6 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> foam, backside fiber paper



framatome

Figure 3-28 – Elevation view of dissected



Figure 3-30 – Foam char under ceramic



Figure 3-31 – Remaining 6 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> foam side



Figure 3-32 – Moderator surface, flame

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		196/375

## 4.0 CONTAINMENT

The MAP is designed for shipment of fresh pressurized water reactor (PWR) fuel assemblies (Type A or Type B fissile radioactive material in solid form) meeting the specifications given in Section 1. The package is designed in a geometrically controlled configuration and criticality evaluations in this report assume that water does leak into the package interior. Therefore, water-tightness of the package is not assumed for the purpose of maintaining subcriticality.

A detailed description of the MAP and its fabrication is provided in Section 1. The fuel assemblies are loaded and unloaded from the container in a vertical orientation and are shipped in a horizontal orientation (with respect to the fuel assembly longitudinal axis. A maximum of 264 fuel rods (corresponds to a 17x17 array with 24 guide tubes and 1 instrument tube) may be shipped in the MAP. The internal pressure of the fuel rods shipped ranges from 145 to 450 psig.

#### 4.1 CONTAINMENT BOUNDARY

The containment boundary is defined as the fuel rod cladding.

#### 4.1.1 CONTAINMENT PENETRATIONS

The MAP containment boundary has no penetrations.

#### 4.1.2 SEALS AND WELDS

The applicable containment boundary welds are the fuel rod end plug welds (the cladding is seamless). All welds are examined for flaws prior to shipment in the MAP. Additionally, all rods are tested for leakage following the fabrication process. The fuel rods are pressurized with Helium as a final step in the fabrication process. The fuel rods are leak tested in a vacuum chamber such that the cover is essentially a vacuum and the trace gas or tracer is Helium. The test has a sensitivity of 3E-08 ref-cc/sec and all rods are demonstrated to have a leakage rate less than 1E-07 ref-cc/sec at that sensitivity prior to shipment in the MAP.

#### 4.1.3 CLOSURE

The MAP utilizes a minimum of 44 stainless steel locking pins to secure the package lid to the package base. These closures do not include or affect the containment boundary of the package.

#### 4.2 REQUIREMENTS FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS OF TRANSPORT

#### 4.2.1 CONTAINMENT OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL

The package contents, as defined in Section 1.2.2 are assumed to be completely releasable in solid form. The total radioactivity contained in the package is variable, depending upon the payload.

#### 4.2.1.1 TYPE A SHIPMENTS

For Type A payloads, the containment criterion requires no loss or dispersal of the radioactive contents under the normal transport conditions delineated by 10CFR71.71. The performance testing discussed in Section 2.12.1 demonstrates that the MAP effectively protects the payload against dispersal during normal conditions of transport without further leakage evaluations.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		197/375

#### 4.2.1.2 TYPE B SHIPMENTS

The performance testing discussed in Section 2.12.1 demonstrates that the MAP effectively protects the payload against dispersal during normal conditions of transport and is capable of maintaining the fuel pellets within the containment boundary under normal conditions of transport. For Type B packages, 10CFR71.51 further requires that any leakage be limited to less than  $10^{-6} A_2$  per hour.

The maximum payload weight is  $1,148 \text{ kg UO}_2$ . The maximum total radioactivity contained in the package is 16.4 Ci (calculated in Table 4-1). The radioactivity concentration (releasable activity per unit mass) of the package for both Normal and Hypothetical Accident conditions is therefore:

The A<sub>2</sub> value for the mixture in the package is 0.17 Ci (calculated in Table 4-2).

The maximum allowable release rate for normal conditions, per ANSI N14.5-1997, is:

 $10^{-6} A_2$  per hour =  $10^{-6} (0.17 \text{ Ci})$  per hour = 4.87E-11 Ci/sec.

The maximum allowable leakage rate for normal conditions is:

4.87E-11 Ci/sec / 0.0143 Ci/kg = 3.40E-9 kg/sec Type B payload.

For the normal condition, the Type B payload is a solid. The release mechanism for the solid payload through a small leak is assumed to be entrainment of suspended payload into leaking air (aerosol leakage). Per ANSI N14.5-1997, a reasonable bounding assessment of the mass density of a powder aerosol is 9E-6 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, the maximum allowable leakage rate for the Type B payload for the normal condition is:

 $3.40E-9 \text{ kg/sec} / 9E-6 \text{ g/cm}^3 = 0.38 \text{ ref-cm}^3/\text{sec}.$ 

For assemblies containing low-enriched commercial grade uranium dioxide, the  $A_2$  value is unlimited; therefore, there is no corresponding limiting weight. For assemblies containing blended low-enriched (BLEU) uranium dioxide, the mixture  $A_2$  value is 0.175 Ci and the specific activity of the material is 0.0143 Ci/kg. Thus, the limiting mass for Type A shipment of BLEU material is 0.175/0.0143=12.2 kg (about 2000 pellets).

The packaging used for low-enriched commercial grade uranium dioxide is the same as the packaging used for the BLEU material. Additionally, the leak tests used to confirm the integrity of the BLEU fuel rods to a rate less than 1E-07 ref-cc/sec is the same as the leak tests used for the low-enriched commercial grade rods. Thus, the leakage rate of the low enriched commercial grade material following the 10CFR71.73 HAC sequence of tests is expected to be the same as that demonstrated for the BLEU material. Since the leakage requirement for low enriched uranium dioxide is no dispersal, the limit established for the package based on BLEU material bounds the limit for the low-enriched commercial grade material.

#### 4.2.2 PRESSURIZATION OF CONTAINMENT VESSEL

The containment boundary of the package is defined as the fuel rod cladding, and each fuel rod is internally pressurized with helium to a pressure ranging from 145 to 450 psig. Assuming the rod is filled at 68°F and attains a temperature of 131°F during Normal Conditions of Transport, the maximum internal pressure attained is 506 psig (a maximum increase of 56 psig) as calculated in Section 3.3.2 for the normal hot condition as described. The payload is a stable solid-form material to temperatures well above the Normal Hot condition; therefore, pressurization due to form changes, chemical reactions, or destabilization of the payload is not credible.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		198/375

### 4.2.3 CONTAINMENT CRITERION

For the Type B payload specified by Tables 1-1 and 1-2, the maximum allowable leakage rate is 0.377 ref-cm<sup>3</sup>/sec as bounded by the Normal condition. However, ANSI N14.5-1997 specifies 0.100 ref-cm<sup>3</sup>/sec as an upper limit on the maximum allowable leakage rate; therefore, the maximum allowable leakage rate for the Type B payload is 0.100 ref-cm<sup>3</sup>/sec. Leakage tests are performed on each rod fabricated to confirm the containment boundary leakage rate is less than 1E-07 ref-cc/sec prior to shipment.

#### 4.3 CONTAINMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR HYPOTHETICAL ACCIDENT CONDITIONS (HAC)

#### 4.3.1 FISSION GAS PRODUCTS

Fission gas products are not present in the contents to be transported in the MAP.

#### 4.3.2 CONTAINMENT OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL

The package contents, as defined in Section 1.2.2 are assumed to be completely releasable in solid form. The total radioactivity contained in the package is variable, depending upon the payload.

#### 4.3.2.1 TYPE A SHIPMENTS

The containment criteria under HAC (delineated by 10CFR71.73) for the Type A payload requires no loss or dispersal of the radioactive contents. The performance testing discussed in Section 2.12.1 demonstrates that the MAP effectively protects the payload against dispersal during HAC without further leakage evaluations.

#### 4.3.2.2 TYPE B SHIPMENTS

The performance testing discussed in Section 2.12.1 demonstrates that the MAP effectively protects the payload against dispersal during normal conditions of transport and is capable of maintaining the fuel pellets within the containment boundary under HAC. For Type B packages, 10CFR71.51 further requires that any leakage be limited to less than 1  $A_2$  per week.

The maximum internal pressure attained for the fuel rods is calculated based on the observed temperature of the payload during the performance tests described in Section 2.12.1. Assuming the rod is filled to the maximum rod design pressure of 450 psig at a temperature of 68°F and attains a temperature of 300°F during the fire event, the maximum internal pressure attained is 654 psig (a maximum increase of 204 psig) as calculated in Section 3.4.3.2.

The maximum total radioactivity contained in the package, calculated in Section 4.2.1.2 is 16.4 Ci (calculated in Table 4-1). The radioactivity concentration (releasable activity per unit mass) of the package, also calculated in Section 4.2.1.2 is 0.0143 Ci/kg. The  $A_2$  value for the mixture in the package is 0.17 Ci (calculated in Table 4-2).

The maximum allowable release rate for HAC, per ANSI N14.5-1997, is:

 $A_2$  per week = (0.175 Ci) per week = 2.89E-7 Ci/sec.

The maximum allowable leakage rate for HAC is:

2.89E-7 Ci/sec / 0.0143 Ci/kg = 2.02E-5 kg/sec Type B payload.

For HAC, the Type B payload is a solid. The release mechanism for the solid payload is assumed to be entrainment of suspended payload into leaking air (aerosol leakage). Per ANSI N14.5-1997, a reasonable bounding assessment of the mass density of a powder aerosol is 9E-6 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (value is not pressure dependent). Note that the assumption that

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 199/375		framatome

aerosol leakage occurs is conservative, since there was essentially no damage to the fuel rods resulting from the HAC tests and past experience with handling pellets indicates that sintered pellets do not readily release particulates (if at all).

Additionally, the mass density used to calculate the allowable leakage rate for the BLEU material (9E-6 g/cc) is a reasonable bounding assessment per ANSI N14.5-1997 for powder materials. Since only sintered pellets will be used in the assemblies, the use of this value is extremely conservative and adds additional margin to the evaluation.

Thus, the margin to the allowable is significant (more than 1,000 times less than the allowable) and differential leakage due to the initial differential rod pressure is considered negligible.

Therefore, the maximum allowable leakage rate for the Type B payload for HAC is:

 $2.02E-5 \text{ kg/sec} / 9E-6 \text{ g/cm}^3 = 2.25E+3 \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$ 

Although this leakage rate is calculated assuming an aerosol leakage mechanism, sintered  $UO_2$  pellets are too hard and brittle to produce a large volume of small particulates for aerosol leakage. It is more likely that the pellets would be fragmented upon impact, and these fragments would need to migrate through cracks developed in the cladding. In fact, the pellets are much harder than the cladding; thus, damage to the cladding and release of pellets or pellet fragments is much more likely than aerosol leakage. The allowable leakage rate can also be correlated to pellet leakage:

2.02E-5 kg/sec / 0.006 kg/pellet = 12.6 pellets/hr.

The performance tests documented in Section 2.12.1 demonstrate that no pellets are released from the cladding as a result of the 10CFR71.73 postulated hypothetical accident conditions.

#### 4.3.3 CONTAINMENT CRITERION

The containment criteria for HAC is bounded by the Normal Condition Criteria (Section 4.2.3).

N°	FS1-0038397	
Hand	lling:	
Rest	ricted Framatome	

Page 200/375

Rev. 2.0

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

Table 4-1 – Package	<b>Total Maximum</b>	Radioactivity fo	r Type B Payload36

Isotope	Maximum content	Maximum mass, g	Specific Activity <sup>37</sup> , TBq/g	Total Activity, TBq	Total Activity, Ci
<sup>232</sup> U	2.00E-09 g/gU	2.02E-03	0.83	1.68E-03	4.54E-02
<sup>234</sup> U	2.00E-03 g/gU	2.02E+03	2.3E-04	4.65E-01	1.26E+01
<sup>235</sup> U	5.00E-02 g/gU	5.06E+04	8.0E-08	4.05E-03	1.09E-01
<sup>236</sup> U	2.50E-02 g/gU	2.53E+04	2.4E-06	6.07E-02	1.64E+00
<sup>238</sup> U	9.23E-01 g/gU	9.34E+05	1.2E-08	1.12E-02	3.03E-01
<sup>237</sup> Np	1.66E-06 g/gU	1.68E+00	2.6E-05	4.37E-05	1.18E-03
<sup>238</sup> Pu	6.20E-11 g/gU	6.27E-05	6.3E-01	3.95E-05	1.07E-03
<sup>239</sup> Pu	3.04E-09 g/gU	3.08E-03	2.3E-03	7.07E-06	1.91E-04
<sup>240</sup> Pu	3.04E-09 g/gU	3.08E-03	8.4E-03	2.58E-05	6.98E-04
Gamma Emitters	6.38E+05 MeV-Bq/kgU	r	n/a	6.46E-02 <sup>3</sup>	1.74E+00
Total				0.607	16.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Based on a maximum payload of 1,148 kg UO<sub>2</sub> per package

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> 10CFR71, Appendix A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Assumed gamma energy of 0.01 MeV to maximize total content

**Restricted Framatome** 

201/375



			51 5	
lsotope	Maximum Radioactive content (Ci)	10CFR71 A <sub>2</sub> per isotope (Ci)	Activity Fraction	A <sub>2</sub> Fraction
<sup>232</sup> U	4.54E-02	0.027	2.76E-03	1.02E-01
<sup>234</sup> U	1.26E+01	0.16	7.66E-01	4.79E+00
<sup>235</sup> U	1.09E-01	Unlimited	N/A	N/A
<sup>236</sup> U	1.64E+00	0.16	9.99E-02	6.24E-01
<sup>238</sup> U	3.03E-01	Unlimited	N/A	N/A
<sup>237</sup> Np	1.18E-03	0.054	7.19E-05	1.33E-03
<sup>238</sup> Pu	1.07E-03	0.027	6.50E-05	2.41E-03
<sup>239</sup> Pu	1.91E-04	0.027	1.16E-05	4.31E-04
<sup>240</sup> Pu	6.98E-04	0.027	4.25E-05	1.57E-03
Gamma Emitters	1.74E+00	0.54	1.06E-01	1.97E-01
Total	16.4			5.72
Mixture A <sub>2</sub>	•	•	·	0.17 Ci

#### Table 4-2 – Mixture A<sub>2</sub> Calculation for Type B Payload

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.
Hand	lling:	Page
Rest	tricted Framatome	202/375

## 5.0 SHIELDING EVALUATION

2.0

The compliance of the MAP series of packages with respect to the dose rate limits established by 10 CFR §71.47 are satisfied by the allowable contents, specified in Section 1.2.2 Contents, and further verified by measurement.

Prior to transport, the MAP package shall be surveyed for radiation dose rate to demonstrate compliance with 10 CFR §71.47. Under conditions normally incident to transportation (nonexclusive use), the radiation level does not exceed 2 mSv/hour (200 mrem/hour) at any point on the external surface of the package and less than 0.1 mSv/hour (10 mrem/hour) at a distance of 1 meter from the surface of the package. The transport index, as defined in 10 CFR §71.4, will be determined by measuring the dose rate a distance of one meter from the package surface per the requirements of 49 CFR §173.441.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	-

Handling: Restricted Framatome

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

## 6.0 CRITICALITY

#### 6.1 INTRODUCTION

The following analyses demonstrate that the MAP packages (MAP-12 and MAP-13, otherwise referred to as MAP in this evaluation) comply fully with the requirements of 10CFR71<sup>38</sup>. The nuclear criticality safety requirements for Type B fissile packages are satisfied for a single package and package array configurations under normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions. A comprehensive description of the MAP packaging is provided in Section 1. This section provides a description of the package (i.e., packaging and contents) that is sufficient for understanding the features of the MAP that maintain criticality safety.

Specifically, this criticality evaluation presents the following information<sup>39</sup>:

- Description of the contents and packaging, including maximum and minimum mass of materials, maximum <sup>235</sup>U enrichment, physical parameters, type, form, and composition.
- Description of the calculational models, including sketches with dimensions and materials, pointing out the differences between the models and actual package design, with explanation of how the differences affect the calculations.
- Justification for the credit assumed for the fixed neutron absorber content, including reference to the acceptance tests that verify the presence and uniformity of the absorber.
- Justification for assuming 90% credit for fixed moderating material.
- Description of the most reactive content loading and the most reactive configuration of the contents, the packaging, and the package array in the criticality evaluation.
- Description of the codes and cross-section data used.
- Discussion of software capabilities and limitations of importance to the criticality safety evaluations.
- Description of validation procedures to justify the bias and uncertainties associated with the calculational method, including use of the administrative subcritical margin of 0.05 delta k to set an upper safety limit (USL) of 0.94.
- Demonstration that the effective neutron multiplication factor  $(k_{eff})$  calculated in the safety analysis is less than the USL after consideration of appropriate bias and uncertainties for the following.
  - A single package with optimum moderation within the package, close water reflection, and the most reactive configuration consistent with the effects of either normal conditions of transport or hypothetical accident conditions, whichever is more reactive.
  - An array of 5N undamaged packages (packages subject to normal conditions of transport) with nothing between the packages and close water reflection of the array.
  - An array of 2N damaged packages (packages subject to hypothetical accident conditions) if each package were subjected to the tests specified in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> NUREG/CR-5661, Recommendations for Preparing the Criticality Safety Evaluation of Transport Packages.



<sup>38</sup> Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 71 (10CFR71), Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material, edition effective Oct 2004.

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 204/375		framatome

§71.73, with optimum interspersed moderation and close water reflection of the array.

• Calculation of the Criticality Safety Index (CSI) based on the value of N determined in the array analyses. The evaluation supports a CSI of 2.8.

#### 6.2 DESCRIPTION OF CRITICALITY DESIGN

#### 6.2.1 DESIGN FEATURES

This section describes the design features of the MAP that are important for criticality. The MAP shipping package carries up to two PWR fuel assemblies. Figures 6-1 and 6-2 provide isometric and cross-sectional views of the MAP package.

The MAP is divided into two major systems for the criticality evaluation: Outer Cavity and Fuel Cavity. The Outer Cavity consists of a top and bottom portion. The top portion is the removable lid. Borated neutron absorbing plates coupled with neutron-moderating nylon blocks are affixed to the lower angled inner surfaces of the stainless steel shell that defines the top portion, such that the top absorber-moderator layers are in close proximity to the envelope for a fuel assembly residing in the Fuel Cavity. Additionally, there are spacers welded to the central angle, spaced incrementally over the length of the package, that consist of beveled nylon blocks clad in stainless steel. The remainder of the steel shell is filled with polyurethane foam material.

The bottom portion of the Outer Cavity defines the foundation for the Fuel Cavity which contains the fuel. As in the top portion, angled nylon blocks coupled with borated plates are positioned in close proximity to the envelope for a fuel assembly residing in the Fuel Cavity. The bottom portion consists of a stainless steel shell which is filled with polyurethane foam.

The top surfaces of the Fuel Cavity are defined by the bottom surface of the top portion of the Outer Cavity (angled stainless steel plates). The bottom surfaces of the Fuel Cavity are defined by a stainless steel 'W'-shaped plate that accommodates two fuel assemblies sideby-side in the package. The Fuel Cavity is designed to retain its original dimensions (i.e. dimensions important for criticality safety) when subjected to the HAC tests.





Figure 6-1 – MAP Package – Isometric View





Figure 6-2 – MAP Package Cross Sectional View

## 6.2.1.1 CONTAINMENT SYSTEM

The Containment System is described in 10CFR71 as, "the assembly of components of the packaging intended to retain the radioactive material during transport." The Containment System for the MAP consists of the fuel rod cladding.

#### 6.2.1.2 FLUX TRAP

The MAP package features a unique flux trap system which does not require an accident condition (i.e., flooding) in order to function. The system was designed to ensure an acceptable subcritical margin for the unlikely but most conservative flooding scenario, described in Section 6.7.2. The flux trap system consists of neutron absorber plates and moderator blocks mounted to the angled stainless steel plates that define the top and bottom surfaces of the Fuel Cavity. Note that the absorber plates and moderator blocks are all considered as part of the Outer Cavity. The majority of neutrons escaping from one fuel assembly would pass through two moderator blocks prior to encountering the absorber of a neighboring package.

Interior top and bottom stiffening brackets divide the flux trap into 11 axial sections. The flux trap components in a given axial section all have the same axial length. The nine middle sections have the same length while the outer axial sections have shorter and unequal lengths.

The nylon blocks ensure that there will be neutron moderation for any condition ranging from low density interspersed moderation to fully flooded. The flux trap components and those package components which would enhance neutron absorption during a significant hypothetical accident condition (i.e., flooding) are described in the following sections. Figure 6-3 illustrates the flux trap system.



Figure 6-3 – Flux Trap System – a) Shows Cross-Sectional View, b) Shows Isometric View

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.
Han	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	208/375

## 6.2.1.3 NEUTRON-ABSORBING MATERIALS

2.0

Neutron absorbing materials are present in the MAP in two forms: materials of construction and the neutron absorber in the flux trap.

## 6.2.1.3.1 MATERIALS OF CONSTRUCTION

Materials of construction include those materials normally present. Note that the only materials mentioned here are those that exist within the axial bounds of the flux trap system. These materials include the stainless steel defining the Outer Cavity and the outer stiffeners (or spacers) on the lid and base; the 'W' stainless steel plates defining the top and bottom of the Fuel Cavity; aluminum door panels and associated components above the assembly envelope; stainless steel bar in the center; lateral stainless steel baffle/stiffener plates within the Outer Cavity; neoprene padding present on the inner surfaces of the lower 'W' plates and the aluminum door panels; polyurethane foam in the Outer Cavity; fiberglass material present at some of the metal-metal interfaces.

#### 6.2.1.3.2 NEUTRON ABSORBERS

Neutron absorbers have been added to the MAP specifically to limit reactivity during hypothetical accident conditions. The neutron absorbers used in the MAP are borated plates in the Outer Cavity. These panels are permanently fixed to the upper and lower stainless steel plates defining the Fuel Cavity.

#### 6.2.1.3.2.1 BORATED PLATES

The borated plates are composed of boron carbide  $(B_4C)$  and aluminum. Boron carbide is a compound having high boron content in a physically stable and chemically inert form. The two materials (boron carbide and aluminum) are chemically compatible and ideally suited for long-term use. Acceptance testing, described in Section 8, is used to ensure an effective minimum <sup>10</sup>B areal density of 0.024 g/cm<sup>2</sup>. BORAL<sup>®</sup> is the form of borated plate used in the packaging, as discussed in Section 8.1.5.2.

#### 6.2.1.4 NEUTRON-MODERATING MATERIALS

Neutron-moderating materials in the MAP include materials of construction and moderator blocks that are part of the flux trap system and enhance the effectiveness of the borated plates.

#### 6.2.1.4.1 MATERIALS OF CONSTRUCTION

#### 6.2.1.4.1.1 POLYURETHANE FOAM

Polyurethane foam has potential to act as a neutron moderator/reflector due to its hydrogen and carbon content. Chemically, polyure than reduces to  $C_3H_8(NO)_2$  and has a density of approximately 6 lbs/ft<sup>3</sup> (0.096 g/cm<sup>3</sup>).

#### 6.2.1.4.1.2 NEOPRENE

As a protective padding, neoprene is affixed to the bottom 'W' plate where the fuel assembly rests and on the aluminum door panels that keep the assembly firmly in place. Neoprene has a chemical formula for the monomer unit of  $C_4H_5CI$  and a density of approximately 1.28 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. The presence of chlorine indicates that neoprene will act as a slight absorber rather than a moderator.

#### 6.2.1.4.1.3 NYLON IN SPACERS

There are small beveled nylon spacer blocks that reside within stainless steel carriers that extend downward from the inner part of the lid where the lid stainless steel 'W' plates meet in

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	lling:	Page
Rest	ricted Framatome	209/375

#### 6.2.1.4.2 MODERATOR IN FLUX TRAP

The Nylon used in the MAP series of packagings consists of Nylon 6,6. Nylon 6,6 is a polymer consisting of a series of bonded chains with a simplified compound structure of C6H11NO. Nylon 6,6 is so named because it is synthesized from two different organic compounds, each containing six carbon atoms.

Moderator blocks are attached to the outer surfaces of the borated plates and reside within the top and bottom portions of the Outer Cavity. The minimum thickness of the blocks (i.e. portions that are not beveled) is 1.25 inches (3.18 cm). The moderator is fixed in place with the neutron absorber to form the flux trap system. Many portions of the blocks have bevels at one or more surfaces. Therefore, some parts of the borated plates are not covered by the maximum thickness of moderator. Nylon 6,6 is modeled at a nominal density of 1.14 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

Nylon 6,6 has a manufactured density ranging from 1.13 to 1.15 g/cc. The minimum thickness (1.25") used in the MAP package is not influenced by manufacturing tolerances. Typical manufactured thicknesses range from 1.26" to 1.28". The material is a thermal-plastic with a very high melting temperature ranging from 482 to 509°F. The flash ignition temperature for the material is about 752°F.

Nylon 6,6 is a polymer widely used in commercial structural applications including automotive, furniture, power tool housings, and lawn and garden equipment. It is suitable for packaging applications due to it hardness, abrasion resistance, self-extinguishing ability, and high melting and flash ignition temperatures. Additional information on Nylon can be found in the Nylon Plastics Handbook, Melvin I Kohan, 1995, Hanser Gardner Publications. Manufacturing data sheets are also available that describe commercially available Nylon. Additional information can also be found via internet search.

#### 6.2.1.5 FLOODABLE VOID SPACES

The MAP packaging and contents contain four floodable regions. These regions have been modeled in various flooding combinations in order to determine the most conservative accident configuration. The floodable regions are shown in Figure 6-4. Flooding is specifically addressed in Section 6.7.1. Note that the fuel-clad gap within the fuel rods in the fuel assembly is <u>not</u> considered as floodable, per the actual as-found condition after HAC testing, which is discussed in Section 6.4.5.4. However, in the HAC array size calculations of Section 6.7.7.2, the fuel-clad gap was assumed flooded, and therefore the CSI is conservatively based on the assumption of flooded fuel-clad gap.



Figure 6-4 – Floodable Void Spaces

## 6.2.1.5.1 REGION 1 - FUEL CAVITY

The Fuel Cavity includes the region between the angled stainless steel plates defining the bottom surface of the top portion of the Outer Cavity, i.e. lid, and the stainless steel 'W' plates that support the fuel. The Fuel Cavity includes the fuel assembly envelope/inside of rod container. The Fuel Cavity will fill and drain uniformly. Therefore, it is unlikely for areas to be preferentially flooded (i.e. the fuel assembly envelope or rod array) within the Fuel Cavity in a realistic accident condition.

## 6.2.1.5.2 REGION 2 – OUTER CAVITY – VOID BELOW 'W' PLATE

The region below the lower 'W' plates and above the stainless steel liner defining the inner boundary of the polyurethane region for the Outer Cavity is an additional floodable region.

#### 6.2.1.5.3 REGION 3 – OUTER CAVITY – POLYURETHANE FOAM REGION

The polyurethane foam region is considered to be a floodable void space under accident conditions. In the analysis, no credit is taken for the presence of the foam under any conditions (normal and accident). It is assumed that this region can contain partial to full density water (0 - 1 g/cc). This will bound the effects of all foam being present, partial amounts of foam being present, or no foam being present with the available space either flooded or dry. The partial water density chosen for license-basis calculations will be the most conservative for the condition under consideration.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

#### 6.2.1.5.4 REGION 4 – OUTER CAVITY – REGION EXTERIOR TO OUTER SHELL

The region outside the package outer shell, which is defined by the dimensions of the stiffening brackets on the outside of the lid and base of the package, is also considered a floodable void space. This is grouped in the modeling nomenclature as part of the package Outer Cavity, although in reality the region exists outside of the container.

#### 6.2.2 SUMMARY TABLES OF CRITICALITY EVALUATION

Sensitivity studies were performed by evaluating the MAP and determining the most conservative configurations for the normal and hypothetical accident conditions for an individual package and package arrays. The results for the worst cases defined through the sensitivity studies, rounded to four decimal places, are shown in Table 6-1. The results show that the Upper Safety Limit (USL) of 0.94 discussed in Section 6.8.2 is satisfied.

 Table 6-1 – Summary Table for MAP with PWR Fuel Assembly

	<i>k</i> <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ			
Single Package				
Normal	0.2206			
HAC	0.8823			
Package Array				
Normal	0.2127			
HAC	0.9398			

#### 6.2.3 CRITICALITY SAFETY INDEX (CSI)

#### 6.2.3.1 PWR FUEL TRANSPORT INDEX

The Criticality Safety Index when transporting PWR fuel assemblies is calculated as follows:

2 \* N = Array Size Array Size = 36 N= 36/2 = 18

Therefore, CSI = 50/18 = 2.8

#### **6.3 FISSILE MATERIAL CONTENTS**

The package will be used to carry heterogeneous uranium compounds in the form of fuel rods. These rods will be transported as PWR fuel assemblies. The uranium enrichment shall not be greater than 5.0 wt%  $^{235}$ U. The uranium isotopic distribution considered in the models for this evaluation is shown in Table 6-2.

## Table 6-2 – Modeled uranium isotopic distribution within uranium materialspecification

Isotope	Modeled Wt%
<sup>235</sup> U	5.0
<sup>238</sup> U	95.0

#### 6.3.1 PWR FUEL ASSEMBLIES

The fuel assembly types to be transported in the MAP belong to the 14x14, 15x15, 16x16, and 17x17 families. The specific products covered within these families are not named in this report, but the parameters used for criticality modeling are provided in Appendix 6.9.1.

The MAP-12 will carry assemblies with active fuel lengths up to 12-ft. long (nominal), while the MAP-13 will carry assemblies with active fuel lengths up to  $12\frac{1}{2}$  - ft. long (nominal).

The parameters for the fuel assembly types to be allowed for transport in the MAP are summarized in Table 6-3.

Fuel Rod Array	14: (Figur	x14 e 6-33)	15x15 (Figure 6-3			34)		16x16 (Figure 6-35)	17x17 (Figure 6-36)	
Assy Type	1	2		1		2	3	1	1	2
# Fuel Rods	176	179		208		216	204	236	264	264
# Non-Fuel Cells	20	17		17			21	20	25	25
Nominal Fuel Rod Pitch (inches)	0.580	0.556	0.568			0.550	0.563	0.506	0.502	0.496
Maximum Pellet OD (inches)	0.3812	0.3682	0.3622	0.3707	0.3742	0.3617	0.3682	0.3282	0.3252	0.3232
Minimum Pellet OD (inches)	0.3758	0.3568	0.3608	0.3693	0.3728	0.3593	0.3558	0.3268	0.3238	0.3188
Minimum Fuel Rod OD (inches)	0.438	0.422	0.414	0.428	0.428	0.414	0.422	0.380	0.377	0.372

Table 6-3 – Fuel assembly parameters for Certificate of Compliance

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 213/375

## MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

framatome

Fuel Rod Array	14) (Figure	(14 e 6-33)	15x15 (Figure 6-34)			16x16 (Figure 6-35)	17x17 (Figure 6-36)			
Minimum Clad Wall Thickness (inches) <sup>1</sup>	0.0245	0.0230	0.0220	0.0245	0.0230	0.0220	0.0230	0.0220	0.0220	0.0205
Minimum Guide Tube Wall Thickness (inches)	N/A	N/A	0.0140	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Minimum Guide Tube OD (inches)	N/A	N/A	0.528	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of Guide Tubes per Assembly	N/A	N/A	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Minimum Instrument Tube Wall Thickness (inches)	N/A	N/A	0.0240	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Minimum Instrument Tube OD (inches)	N/A	N/A	0.491	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of Instrument Tubes per Assembly	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Max <sup>235</sup> U Loading (kg)	25.44	24.14	27.14	28.43	28.97	28.11	27.51	25.28	27.77	27.43
Clad/Tube Material Type <mark>1</mark>	Zr Alloy	Zr Alloy		Zr Alloy		Zr Alloy	Zr Alloy	Zr Alloy	Zr Alloy	Zr Alloy

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 214/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

Fuel Rod Array	14) (Figuro	(14 e 6-33)	15x15 (Figure 6-34)			16x16 (Figure 6-35)	17x17 (Figure 6-36)	
Maximum Active Length (inches)	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160

Notes:

1. The clad/tube material may include chromium coated cladding. The thickness of the chromium coating is not included as part of the minimum clad wall thickness.

#### 6.4 MODELING CONSIDERATIONS

The models developed for these calculations are conservative representations of the package that include all of the physical features that are important to criticality safety. This section describes the packaging with contents models.

#### 6.4.1 MODEL ORIENTATION

Geometry input dimensions are taken directly from design drawings and are derived by stacking dimensions using geometric relationships and dimensions shown on the drawings. Longitudinal dimensions in the model are oriented along the z-axis, and the lateral dimensions are oriented in the x-y plane. The origin of the individual package unit is at the center of the package along the z- axis, at the center of the package along the x-axis, and about 7.3 inches below the center of the package along the z-axis; the positive z direction is from bottom to top of the package along the z-axis; the positive x direction is from left to right along the x-axis when viewed from the top of the package; and the positive y direction is from bottom to top of the lateral cross section along the y-axis when viewed from the top of the package.

#### 6.4.2 PACKAGE MODEL

The package model (contents and packaging) are described in this section.

#### 6.4.2.1 CONTENTS MODEL

#### 6.4.2.1.1 PWR FUEL ASSEMBLY MODEL: 15 TYPE 1A

As demonstrated in Section 6.7.1, the 15 Type 1a is the bounding fuel assembly. Therefore, it is used as the base assembly in all single container and package array calculations. The fuel assembly was modeled with an active length (pellet stack length) equivalent to the length of the Fuel Cavity region covered by the Flux Trap (modeled as 163 inches). This is greater than the 150 inch actual maximum active fuel length over all fuel assembly types. No structural components, such as the top and bottom nozzles, rod end caps, or grids were modeled.

The fuel rod clad inner diameter was maximized and the fuel rod clad outer diameter was minimized. This produces the smallest clad wall thickness and maximizes the amount of water in the lattice. The clad material is modeled as pure zirconium to bound any zirconium alloy clad material.

The fuel-clad gap is modeled as void to represent a dry gap. The fuel-clad gap within the fuel rods in the fuel assembly is <u>not</u> considered as floodable based upon the HAC testing and results presented in Section 2.12.1 as further discussed in Section 6.4.5.4. <u>However, in</u>

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	
Handling:		Page	
Restricted Framatome		215/375	

the HAC array size calculations of Section 6.7.7.2, the fuel-clad gap was assumed flooded, and therefore the CSI is conservatively based on the assumption of flooded fuel-clad gap.

As mentioned in Section 6.7.1, the single container sensitivity studies include minimum GT/IT tube thicknesses in the water holes. However, for the licensing evaluations, the tubes are not modeled, with the exception of the HAC array size licensing evaluations for the most reactive assembly (Section 6.7.7.2), which is due to the fact that the fuel-clad gap was assumed flooded for these evaluations. In addition, these evaluations minimized the pellet diameter since this approach maximizes reactivity when the fuel-clad gap is flooded. Therefore, the pertinent GT/IT parameters as well as the minimum pellet diameter are included here.

Table 6-4 summarizes the most conservative parameters for the 15 Type 1a fuel assembly model used in the evaluation. As in all models for the evaluation, the fuel is modeled at an enrichment of 5.0 wt%  $^{235}$ U and pellet (UO<sub>2</sub>) density at 100% Theoretical Density (TD), or 10.96 g/cc.

Parameter	Conservative Value (Maximum Tolerances Included)		
Maximum Pellet Diameter	0.3622 in (0.91999 cm)		
Minimum Pellet Diameter	0.3608 in (0.91643 cm)		
Fuel Rod Pitch	0.568 in (1.44272 cm)		
Minimum Fuel Rod Clad Outer Diameter	0.414 in (1.05156 cm)		
Maximum Fuel Rod Clad Inner Diameter	0.370 in (0.93980 cm)		
Minimum Guide Tube Outer Diameter	0.528 in (1.34112 cm)		
Minimum Guide Tube Wall Thickness	0.0140 in (0.3556 cm)		
Minimum Instrument Tube Outer Diameter	0.491 in (1.24714 cm)		
Minimum Instrument Tube Wall Thickness	0.0240 in (0.06096 cm)		
Fuel Rod Clad Material	Zr (bounds any zirconium alloy)		
Fuel Rod Active Length	163 inches (414.02 cm)		
Enrichment	5.0 wt% <sup>235</sup> U		
Pellet Density (UO <sub>2</sub> Density)	100% TD (10.96 g/cc)		

Table 6-4 – Conservative modeling parameters for the 15 Type 1a fuel assembly model

#### 6.4.2.1.2 CR COATED RODS

Fuel rods with a chromium coating, nominal 15- $\mu$ m thickness, along the length of the zirconium alloy cladding are evaluated for the effects on criticality. A SCALE 6.2.2 version of the HAC analysis is used as a basis. The differences caused by cladding coated in chromium in k<sub>eff</sub> are either negligible or tend to decrease k<sub>eff</sub> as shown in the sensitivity study listed in Table 6-4b. The sensitivity study looked at the chromium coating in 5  $\mu$ m increments from none to 30  $\mu$ m. Therefore, the presence of a chromium coating is conservatively neglected in the package criticality model.

N°	FS1-0038397	
Handling:		
Restricted Framatome		

Page 216/375

Rev. 2.0

<mark>Case</mark>	Description	<mark>k<sub>eff</sub></mark>	<mark>Uncertainty</mark> (σ)	<mark>k<sub>eff</sub>+2σ</mark>
1	Reported in Table 6-11 as HAC, Run in SCALE 4.4a	<mark>0.9380</mark>	<mark>0.0009</mark>	<mark>0.9398</mark>
2	Re-run of the above model using Random seed=default in SCALE 6.2.2	<mark>0.9372</mark>	0.0007	<mark>0.9386</mark>
<mark>3</mark>	Base Chromium case with no additional chromium added (Effectively identical to Case 2)	<mark>0.9372</mark>	0.0007	<mark>0.9386</mark>
<mark>4</mark>	5 micron thick chromium on all the cladding.	<mark>0.9372</mark>	<mark>0.0006</mark>	<mark>0.9384</mark>
<mark>5</mark>	10 micron thick chromium on all the cladding.	<mark>0.9358</mark>	<mark>0.0006</mark>	<mark>0.9370</mark>
<mark>6</mark>	15 micron thick chromium on all the cladding.	<mark>0.9363</mark>	<mark>0.0006</mark>	<mark>0.9375</mark>
7	20 micron thick chromium on all the cladding.	<mark>0.9335</mark>	0.0008	0.9351
8	25 micron thick chromium on all the cladding.	<mark>0.9339</mark>	0.0006	0.9351
9	30 micron thick chromium on all the cladding.	0.9321	0.0007	<mark>0.9335</mark>

## Table 6-4b – Comparison of Coated vs. Uncoated Cladding

#### 6.4.2.2 PACKAGING MODEL

The packaging model only considers the length of the package that includes the flux trap. The axial end portions of the package are cut off, so that the length modeled is open on either end.

#### 6.4.2.2.1 FUEL CAVITY AND FLUX TRAP MODEL

The actual MAP-13 and MAP-12 flux trap regions are identical except that the axial bottom and top sections of the MAP-12 are 6.38 and 6.53 inches shorter (nominal) than the MAP-12. This gives the MAP-12 a total flux trap length of about 150 inches. In addition, the inside spacer blocks (incrementally spaced over the length of the container) have different axial (z-direction) lengths and the axial spacing between the blocks is different. The blocks for the MAP-12 are 2.5 inches shorter and the center-to-center spacing between blocks reduced by 2.5 inches. The criticality evaluations employ models consistent with the MAP-13. However, one calculation is performed for the bounding HAC array in which the lengths of the bottom and top axial sections are reduced to give a total flux trap length of 150 inches, and the spacer blocks are reduced in length by 2.5 inches and are placed 2.5 inches closer together, to demonstrate that both package designs are bounded by the MAP-13 model (see Appendix 6.9.2). Figure 6-5 shows the Fuel Cavity model with the flux trap surrounding it, and Figure 6-6 shows the flux trap removed.


Figure 6-5 – Fuel Cavity with surrounding flux trap, fuel assembly placed on one side to illustrate loaded and empty Fuel Cavity; a) is an isometric view, and b) is a lateral cross-sectional view; green=nylon, purple=Boral, red=steel, yellow=neoprene (water), remainder is within fuel assembly envelope



Figure 6-6 – Fuel Cavity with surrounding flux trap removed; a) is an isometric view, and b) is a lateral cross-sectional view; green=nylon (only in central blocks), red=steel, yellow=neoprene (water), remainder is within fuel assembly envelope

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Han	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	219/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

#### 6.4.2.2.2 OUTER CAVITY MODEL

.0

The Outer Cavity is modeled as the packaging components surrounding those shown in Figure 66. This includes the region exterior to the outer steel shell enveloped by the outer stiffeners; however, the outer stiffener structural materials are not modeled. Since the regions occupied by the polyurethane foam are considered as floodable void spaces, the only additional component added to the portion of the model illustrated in Figure 6-5 is the stainless steel shell, completing the package model. To bound the effects from container crushing, two inches have been conservatively removed from the package envelope width and height. This modeling approach is used for <u>all</u> calculational models employed in the evaluation (even normal conditions). Figure 6-7 shows the Outer Cavity model.



Figure 6-7 – Outer Cavity; a) is an isometric view, and b) is a lateral crosssectional view; green=nylon, red=steel, purple=Boral

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	220/375

#### 6.4.3 MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR PACKAGE MODEL

The Standard Composition Library was used to specify material and mixtures. Those mixtures not found in the library are specified using the procedures for arbitrary mixtures described in the SCALE manual. Table 6-5 illustrates the material specification in a typical input file. The technique used for modeling certain materials as a void was to replace the material with water at a density of  $0.9982 \times 10^{-8}$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

### Table 6-5 – Excerpt from an input deck showing material properties description

238g latticecell
'100% td and 5.0 w/o
uo2 1 1.0 293 92235 5 92238 95 end
'zirc
zr 2 1.0 293 end
'water in assy lattice
h2o 3 1.0 293 end
'volume in container external to bundles
h2o 4 1.0e-8 293 end
'rubber
h2o 5 1.0 293 end
'ss plate, 0.13" thick
ss304 6 1.0 293 end
' boral, minimum areal density(at min thk)=0.0240 gm b-10/sqcm
' min thk = 0.119" = 0.30226cm
' vol dens = 0.0240/(0.30226*0.18431) =0.4308 gm natural b/cucm
' we use only 75%, 0.75 * 0.4308 = 0.3231 gm natural b/cucm
b 7 den=0.3231 1 293 end
'nylon 66
arbmnyl 1.14 4 0 1 0 6012 6 7014 1 8016 1 1001 11 8 1.0 293 end
'reflector water
h2o 9 1.0 293 end
' ss plate, 0.085" thick
ss304 10 1.0 293 end
' ss plate, 0.115" thick
ss304 11 1.0 293 end
' gap water
h2o 12 1.0 293 end
'ss bar at center
ss304 14 1.0 293 end
end comp

To more fully document the composition of each compound and/or document the assumptions used in producing the associated cross-section data, a brief description of each material is given in Table 6-6.

N°	FS1-0038397

**Restricted Framatome** 

Handling:

221/375

#### Table 6-6 – Material Descriptions

UO2:		ZR:	
Uranium dioxide (UO <sub>2</sub> ) – 10.96 g/cm <sup>3</sup>		Zirconium (Zr) – 6.49 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	
H20	D :	SS304:	
Wat	ter $(H_2O)$ – Varying densities from 0–	Stainless Steel-304 – 7.94 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	
0.99	982 g/cm°	• 68.375 wt% iron	
11113	Deleventhese frame elevenical framela is	• 19 wt% chromium	
•	Polyuretnane toam, chemical formula is $C_3H_8(NO)_2$ , and uncrushed density is	• 9.5 wt% nickel	
	approximately 0.096 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	• 2 wt% manganese	
<ul> <li>Neoprene, chemical formula is C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Cl, and density is approximately 1.28 g/cm<sup>3</sup></li> </ul>		• 1 wt% silicon	
		• 0.08 wt% carbon	
		0.045 wt% phosphorus	
B:		ARBMNYL:	
Bor	on (B) – 0.3231 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	Nylon 6,6 – 1.14 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	
•	0.0180 g/cm <sup>2</sup> $^{10}$ B areal density (0.0240 g/cm <sup>2</sup> minimum $^{10}$ B areal density with 75% $^{10}$ B credited)	• Reduced chemical formula is $C_6H_{11}NO$	
•	Volumetric B density calculated from <sup>10</sup> B areal density smeared over 0.119 inch minimum total borated plate thickness, and 18.431 wt% <sup>10</sup> B in natural B		

#### 6.4.3.1 PACKAGE TO MODEL COMPARISON

The masses of the materials in the packaging model were obtained using the volume option with Monte Carlo sampling in KENO-VI. The model volume is multiplied by the material density to obtain the model mass for each material. There are some materials in the actual package that are not included in the package model. Tables 6-7 - 6-8 compare the model mass quantities to the actual for the packaging and contents, respectively. The actual mass of materials is obtained from design drawings for the package.

Note that boron is not included in Table 6-7. The model credits 75% of the minimum <sup>10</sup>B areal density of 0.0240 g/cm<sup>2</sup>, which equates to 0.0180 g/cm<sup>2</sup>, modeled areal density. The lateral borated plate dimensions were modeled at nominal values. Note that the aluminum in the BORAL<sup>®</sup> absorber material as well as the carbon in B<sub>4</sub>C are excluded from the model.

222/375

Rev. 2.0

Material	Density	Approx. Mod	eled Mass	Approx. Actual Mass	Approx. Percent of Actual Mass
SS-304	7.94 g/cc (496 lb/ft <sup>3</sup> )	485 kg (1070	lb)	1,635 kg (3605 lb)	30%
Nylon	1.14 g/cc (71.2 lb/ft <sup>3</sup> )	Flux Trap – Lid	103 kg (227 lb)	121 kg (267 lb)	85%
		Flux Trap – Base	83.8 kg (185 lb)	92.8 kg (205 lb)	90%
		Flux Trap – Total	187 kg (412 lb)	214 kg (471 lb)	87%
		Spacer Blocks	2.91 kg (6.41 lb)	3.81 kg (8.39 lb)	76%
		Total	190 kg (419 lb)	218 kg (480 lb)	87%

#### Table 6-7 – Actual Mass versus Modeled Mass – Packaging

None of the materials in the fuel assembly other than the zirconium (bounds zirconium alloy) cladding and the uranium dioxide pellet stack are included in the model. The uranium dioxide actual mass is less than the model mass because the theoretical density of sintered uranium dioxide is used in the model (10.96 g/cc); however, the actual density is not expected to exceed 98 percent of the theoretical density. Additionally, the fuel rod pellet stack (active length) is modeled much longer than in reality (modeled as 163 inches, whereas actual active lengths do not exceed 150 inches). The zirconium mass is less in the model because the spacer grids and any other components containing zirconium, other than rod cladding, are not included.

Zirconium is not included in Table 6-8 since the modeling practice was to minimize the cladding outer diameter and thickness in the fuel assembly model per the specifications in Appendix 6.9.1. This ensures a conservative modeling approach since the floodable volume within the fuel assembly envelope is maximized.

Material or Isotope	Density	Approx. Modeled Mass	Approx. Actual Mass (Maximum)	Approx. Percent of Actual Mass
UO <sub>2</sub>	10.96 g/cc (Theoretical Density)	1,336 kg (2,945 lb)	1,148 kg (2,531 lb)	116%
<sup>235</sup> U	0.4831 g/cc (for 100% UO <sub>2</sub> TD and 5.0 wt% <sup>235</sup> U)	59 kg (130 lb)	51 kg (112 lb)	116%

 Table 6-8 – Actual Mass versus Modeled Mass – Contents

#### 6.4.4 COMPUTER CODES AND CROSS-SECTION LIBRARIES

The 238-group ENDF/B-V library was utilized in this analysis with the CSAS26 code option of SCALE4.4a. The use of this cross-section library and code option was validated against 55 critical benchmark experiments with adequate similarities to the MAP package. Section 6.8 describes the code validation and determination of the Upper Safety Limit (USL) for this evaluation.

Table 6-26 in Section 6.8 verifies that the validation benchmarks adequately represent the range of parameters for the MAP package.

#### 6.4.5 DEMONSTRATION OF MAXIMUM PACKAGE REACTIVITY

This section summarizes the features of the licensing-basis models that represent the most reactive configurations for a single package, an array of undamaged packages, and an array of damaged packages. The evaluations of these configurations with the appropriate licensing-basis models are discussed in Sections 6.5 and 6.6.

The licensing-basis models implement modifications to the as-built MAP configuration to enhance the reactivity of the MAP configuration relative to contents, moderation, materials of construction, and package condition. This section describes modeling of the most reactive fuel assembly, flooding conditions, conservative material assumptions, as well as the package configuration based upon pre-test (normal) and post-test information. Table 6-9 itemizes the differences between the as-built package, the normal condition model, and the HAC model with the as-found test results that form the bases for the models.

#### 6.4.5.1 ASSUMPTIONS FOR MAXIMIZING REACTIVITY

This section summarizes the modeling assumptions that maximize reactivity. These assumptions relate to the package contents, flooding, and materials.

#### 6.4.5.1.1 MOST REACTIVE FUEL ASSEMBLY TYPE (CONTENTS)

The most reactive fuel assembly type was determined by comparing all PWR fuel assemblies to be transported in the MAP. The results of this analysis are presented in Section 6.7.1. The assumptions and conservatisms are summarized below:

- Assumed 100% TD
- Assumed dry pin-gap (fuel-clad gap) for all calculations except the HAC array size licensing evaluations of Section 6.7.7.2, in which it was assumed that the fuel-clad gap was flooded, which maximizes reactivity
- Ignored burnable poisons (e.g. Gd, boron)

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	lling:	Page
Rest	ricted Framatome	224/375

- Minimized fuel cladding thickness
- Guide or instrument tubing not credited (in water holes) to maximize amount of water for all calculations except for the HAC array size licensing evaluations of Section 6.7.7.2 for the most reactive assembly type (for these calculations, it was necessary to credit minimum GT/IT volumes within the assembly lattice due to the fact that the fuel-clad gap was assumed floodable)
- 163 inch active fuel length modeled (150 inch actual maximum)
- No additional fuel assembly structures

#### 6.4.5.1.2 MOST REACTIVE FLOODING CONFIGURATIONS

The most reactive flooding configurations are defined for a single package and a package array. The respective configurations were determined by modeling the floodable void spaces (see Section 6.2.1.5) in different combinations to determine which combination produces the highest keff. The combinations considered water immersion (full density water) or low density moderation (such as burial in snow). The flooding scenarios are discussed in Section 6.7.2. The most reactive flooding configuration for a single package is described in Section 6.5.1.2. The most reactive flooding configuration for a package array is described in Section 6.6.1.2. The most reactive flooding cases for the individual package and package array cases are summarized in Table 6-9.

#### 6.4.5.1.3 CONSERVATIVE MATERIAL ASSUMPTIONS

The following conservative material assumptions, which are demonstrated through sensitivity studies in Section 6.7, are used in the package model:

#### Metals (stainless steel and aluminum)

- The MAP outer stainless steel shell is conservatively modeled with lateral outer dimensions to bound one inch of crushing on all x-z and y-z faces for both normal and HAC conditions. The 11GA ASTM A240 Type 304 sheet is modeled with a thickness of 0.115 inch, rather than 0.12 inch nominal thickness for 11GA sheet.
- The lower and upper stainless steel 'W' plates (boundaries of the Fuel Cavity) are modeled at 0.13 and 0.085 inch, respectively, rather than nominal thicknesses of 0.14 inch (10GA sheet) and 0.09 inch (13GA sheet).
- The stainless steel bar running along the container length is modeled with a width (x) and height (y) of 1.70 and 0.70 inch, respectively. This represents dimensional reductions of 0.05 inch which covers tolerances.
- The stainless steel 'shell' for the central spacer blocks attached to the top 'W' plate is modeled with thickness of 0.085 inch, rather than the nominal thickness of 0.09 inch for 13GA sheet. The steel sheet material for the axial ends of the individual spacers is not modeled.
- No other metal component of the packaging are modeled, such as aluminum (for the doors and latching mechanisms), or any other components containing stainless steel. The latter would include the axial end regions beyond the length covered by the flux trap (impact limiters, sheet material at the axial ends of the base and lid weldments, and associated angles, supports, welds, etc.), the outer stiffener spacers (two on lid and two on base), the inner stiffeners, and any other structural materials within the container itself (radial baffle plates, lid and base rails/supports, angles, supports, welds, bolts, nuts, washers, etc.).

Nylon

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 225/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

- The moderator blocks for the flux trap system are modeled with a uniform dimensional reduction that results in ~87% (see Tables 6-7 and 6-9) of the total moderator block volume for the flux trap being modeled. The method was to remove 0.0781 inch from all block faces with the exception of the faces contacting the absorber plates. The faces created due to the axial gaps between blocks are included. This resulted in a reduction in the lid moderator blocks of ~85% due to the larger surface area (bevels) as compared to the base moderator blocks that were reduced ~90%. The moderator material is modeled at full nominal theoretical density for Nylon 6,6 (1.14 g/cc).
- The thickness reduction bounds any effective loss of the nylon resulting from the thermal test (see Section 2.12.1) and due to any density variations.
- The criticality assessment considered both dimensional and density reductions with dimensional reductions leading to higher k<sub>eff</sub> results. A variation in density (1.13 to 1.15 g/cc) has a negligible effect compared to a modeled reduction in the Nylon 6,6 thickness.
- The MAP design consisted of the Nylon 6,6 modeled at a reduced thickness crediting 85% of the material for the Lid and 90% for the Base. During the HAC fire test, a single segment in the Lid experienced a material loss of 6.6% (Table 2.13.1-5) based on the minimum design moderator requirement. There was no loss of moderator in the Base. Based on the results of the fire test, the 10% minimum reduction for the Nylon 6,6 moderator blocks bounds the loss experienced in a single segment. The modeled design configuration for the Nylon 6,6 moderator block is therefore very conservative with respect to the HAC test results for both the Lid and the Base. Thus, the criticality evaluation considers the most reactive credible configuration consistent with the damaged condition of the package and the chemical and physical form of the contents, and meets the requirements of 10 CFR 71.55.
- The beveled nylon blocks, which comprise the volume of the central spacer blocks, are included in the models. Each face of the nylon blocks had 0.0781 inch removed. This results in more moderator being removed in the lid as opposed to the base.

#### Boron

• The <sup>10</sup>B content in the borated absorber plates is modeled at 75% of the minimum areal density for BORAL<sup>®</sup> (0.0180 g/cm<sup>2</sup>) as specified in Section 8.

#### <u>Neoprene</u>

 The neoprene padding on the bottom (base) 'W' plates is represented by full density water in the model. This is conservative because neoprene contains chlorine (chemical formula C₄H₅CI) which is a relatively effective neutron absorber.

#### Polyurethane Foam

- The polyurethane is not modeled explicitly. Rather, the region it would normally occupy is interpreted as a floodable void space in which partial water densities are possible.
- Because the void space is optimized, this approach bounds the moderating effects of the foam under all conditions.

#### Other Materials

• No other materials present in the container are included in the models. The materials in the actual container that are not modeled are replaced by floodable void space.

#### 6.4.5.2 AS-BUILT PACKAGING

The as-built data represents the routine configuration for the MAP package. The as-built packaging is not modeled; however, it is summarized in order to show the inherent

Framatome - Fuel This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Handling:		Page
Restricted Framatome		226/375

conservatism that exists in the normal condition of transport models. The as-built packaging is bounded by the normal condition of transport model.

#### 6.4.5.3 NORMAL CONDITION OF TRANSPORT

The MAP model under normal condition of transport is described as follows:

- The outer shell, the 'W' plates, the 'shell' for the spacer blocks, and the steel bar are modeled as in Section 6.4.5.1.3.
- Fuel assembly is modeled as in Section 6.4.5.1.1, except that it is dry rather than flooded.
- The moderator blocks (in flux trap and spacer blocks) are modeled as in Section 6.4.5.1.3.
- The neutron absorber is modeled as in Section 6.4.5.1.3.
- The polyurethane foam is modeled as a floodable void space, as described in Section 6.4.5.1.3.
- The floodable void spaces that reside within the Outer Cavity are modeled with the worst case partial or full water density (single container or container array, in which worst case Outer Cavity water densities are different), see Table 6-9.
- The floodable void space that resides within the Fuel Cavity is modeled dry.
- The single container and container array are both reflected by 30 cm close-fitting water.

The MAP shipping package has been designed and constructed such that under the tests specified for normal conditions of transport:

- The contents are subcritical.
- The geometric forms of the package contents are not altered.
- There is no inleakage of water.
- There is no reduction in effectiveness of the packaging.

Section 2.12.1 describes the Certification Test Unit (CTU) following the normal condition tests.

#### 6.4.5.4 AS-FOUND CONDITION AFTER HAC TESTING

The condition of the MAP package after HAC testing is described in Section 2.12.1. The asfound condition is described so comparisons can be made between it and the licensing-basis models.

The key features of the as-found condition after HAC testing with respect to criticality are as follows:

- Fuel rod cladding did not crack or rupture <u>This allows the licensing-basis</u> assumption that the fuel-clad gap is dry under the worst-case HAC condition. However, in the HAC array size calculations of Section 6.7.7.2, the fuel-clad gap was assumed flooded.
- No fuel assembly lattice expansion was observed. The worst case damaged test assembly had a maximum envelope that fit within the original undamaged envelope. In fact, lattice compression was observed, which diminishes the fuel assembly reactivity, so the nominal lattice remains bounding – <u>This allows the licensing-basis</u> assumption that lattice expansion does not occur under the worst-case HAC condition.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP
Hand Rest	ling: ricted Framatome	Page 227/375	

- Fuel Cavity geometry was maintained. The fuel assembly did not laterally shift outside of the original envelope placement on the strong-back nor did it axially shift outside of the flux trap region. No change in the geometric placement of the surrounding flux trap components occurred – <u>This allows the licensing-basis</u> assumption that no geometric modeling deviations need to be made from the normal <u>Fuel Cavity and surrounding flux trap specifications or fuel assembly placements.</u>
- Minor melting of the moderator blocks in the flux trap occurred. The most affected axial section of moderator blocks occurred in the lid (see Table 2.12.1-4 of Section 2.12.1). For this entire section, the average nylon loss was ~2.1% of the original weight, so it would be conservative to assume that all nylon within the flux trap (lid and base) lost ~2.1%. The two most heavily affected blocks (B4/(6) and B6/(5), as defined in Figure 2.12.1-70 and shown in Table 2.12.1-5) which melted together lost ~8.6% of their original combined weight. As shown in Table 6-7, the models for the moderator blocks credited ~85% of the lid block mass, ~90% for the base block mass, and ~87% combined This validates the approach for the licensing-basis models for the moderator blocks.
- The lid drop test resulted in crushing of its outer stiffeners which provide spacing between containers in an array <u>Thus, the licensing-basis models remove the spacing provided by the lid outer stiffeners for array cases. A sensitivity study in Section 6.7.8 confirms that the loss of spacing is conservative.</u>
- The drop tests resulted in a ½ inch to 1½ inch reduction of the outer shell height due to lid compression. The global modeling approach reduced the package envelope width and height dimensions by 2 inches for both normal and HAC conditions – <u>This</u> validates the approach for the licensing-basis models for the outer shell.

#### 6.4.5.5 LICENSING-BASIS MODELS

The licensing-basis models bound the as-found condition of the MAP by combining the most reactive flooding configuration, the conservative material assumptions of Section 6.4.5.1.3, the worst case fuel assembly of Section 6.4.5.1.1, and the as-found condition after HAC testing described in Section 6.4.5.4. The licensing-basis models are summarized in Table 6-9 and described below:

- The outer shell, the 'W' plates, the 'shell' for the spacer blocks, and the steel bar are modeled as in Section 6.4.5.1.3. The spacing provided by the lid stiffeners is eliminated per the as-found condition described in Section 6.4.5.4.
- Fuel assembly is modeled as in Section 6.4.5.1.1.
- The nylon blocks are modeled as in Section 6.4.5.1.3.
- The neutron absorber is modeled as in Section 6.4.5.1.3.
- The polyurethane foam is modeled as a floodable void space as in Section 6.4.5.1.3.
- The floodable void spaces that reside within the Outer Cavity are modeled with the worst case partial or full water density, see Table 6-9.
- The floodable void space that resides within the Fuel Cavity is modeled with the worst case flooding configuration, see Table 6-9.
- The single container and container array are both reflected by 30 cm close-fitting water.

228/375

	•	•••	•	
Parameter	As-Built	Normal Condition Model	As-Found Condition After HAC Testing	HAC Condition Model
Package Dimensional/Material Characteristics				
<sup>10</sup> B Density in absorber	Minimum density (0.0240 g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	75% of minimum (0.0180 g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	No damage observed	75% of minimum (0.0180 g/cm <sup>2</sup> )
Nylon Weight in Flux Trap	Nominal weight	~87% of nominal overall (~85% in Lid, ~90% in Base)	Two Lid blocks lost 6.6% (2.1% average loss in Lid, no loss in Base) <sup>40</sup>	~87% of nominal overall (~85% in Lid, ~90% in Base)
Mass of structural stainless steel	~1,635 kg (3605 lb)	~485 kg (1070 lb) (~30% of as- built)	N/A	~485 kg (1070 lb) (~30% of as-built)
Outer Shell Thickness	Nominal thickness (0.12 inch)	0.115 inch	N/A	0.115 inch
Outer Stiffener Dimensions	Nominal dimensions (44.98 inches wide x 30.78 inches high)	2 inch reduction from nominal width and height	1/2 – 11/2 inch compression in lid height reduces the package envelope; significant damage to lid stiffeners in the form of buckling, but spacing was not completely lost	2 inch reduction from nominal width and height plus complete removal of lid stiffener spacing
Outer Stiffener Materials	Stainless steel	Not modeled	Stainless steel	Not modeled
Fuel Assembly Lattice Pitch Expansion	None	None	Envelope compression, but no lattice expansion	None
'W' plate protective pads	Neoprene	Water at 0.9982 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	No damage observed	Water at 0.9982 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Fuel Rod Fuel- Clad Gap	Dry	Dry	No rod cracking/failure	Dry or flooded, CSI based on flooded gap

#### Table 6-9 – Summary of Packaging Modeling and Conservatisms

<sup>40</sup> See Table 2.12.1-5 – Post Burn Test Characterization of Moderator in Segment #5 and Figure 2.12.1-70.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>	
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 229/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome	

Parameter	As-Built	Normal Condition Model	As-Found Condition After HAC Testing	HAC Condition Model
Moderator Characteristics of Floodable Spaces (Excluding Nylon)				
Fuel Cavity	No moderator ingress	No moderator ingress	N/A	Fully flooded
Outer Cavity	Polyurethane at 6 lbs/ft <sup>3</sup> (0.096 g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Void (H2O at 0.9982x10 <sup>-8</sup> g/cm <sup>3</sup> ) to fully flooded (H2O at 0.9982 g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Significant charring, loss of material	Void (H2O at 0.9982x10 <sup>-8</sup> g/cm <sup>3</sup> ) to fully flooded (H2O at 0.9982 g/cm <sup>3</sup> )

#### 6.5 SINGLE PACKAGE EVALUATION

Calculations were performed to determine the most reactive configuration for a single package in isolation under normal and hypothetical accident conditions of transport. The configurations and results are described below.

#### 6.5.1 CONFIGURATION FOR MAP PACKAGE WITH FUEL ASSEMBLIES

#### 6.5.1.1 NORMAL CONDITIONS OF TRANSPORT

For normal conditions of transport, 10CFR71 requires that the contents be subcritical. The individual package evaluation includes 30 cm close-fitting water reflection around the Outer Cavity. The parameters for the normal condition of transport are summarized in Table 6-9.

#### 6.5.1.2 HYPOTHETICAL ACCIDENT CONDITIONS

The hypothetical accident condition requires that the most reactive flooding configuration be considered. Generally, the most reactive configuration for an individual package would be that in which the neutrons are moderated as close to the fuel as possible and reflected back into the fuel assembly region. They should not be allowed to escape or to reach the neutron absorber where they could be absorbed. Calculations have shown that this is the case for the MAP. Therefore, all floodable void spaces in the package are modeled as fully flooded with the package close-reflected by 30 cm full density water. The remaining parameters for the licensing-basis case for the MAP are summarized in Table 6-9.

#### 6.5.2 DELETED

#### 6.5.3 RESULTS FOR MAP PACKAGE WITH FUEL ASSEMBLIES

The results for the single package with fuel assemblies are presented in Table 6-10. They include results for normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions and show that the 0.94 USL is satisfied.

Configuration	Calculated k <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	
MAP with Fuel Assembly				
Normal	0.2192	0.0007	0.2206	
HAC	0.8791	0.0016	0.8823	

#### Table 6-10 – Results for Single Package with Fuel Assemblies

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	-

N° FS1-0038397

Page

230/375

#### 6.6 PACKAGE ARRAY EVALUATION

Calculations were performed to determine the most reactive configuration for a package array under normal and hypothetical accident conditions of transport. The configurations are described below.

#### 6.6.1 CONFIGURATION FOR MAP PACKAGE WITH FUEL ASSEMBLIES

#### 6.6.1.1 NORMAL CONDITIONS OF TRANSPORT

The package model for the normal condition of transport is summarized in Table 6-9. For this analysis the package was modeled in an infinite array which bounds the 5N array.

#### 6.6.1.2 HYPOTHETICAL ACCIDENT CONDITIONS

The most reactive configuration for the package array under HAC conditions (licensing-basis case), in contrast to a single package, is the one that maximizes neutron interaction between the fuel regions. Thus, the Fuel Cavity is fully flooded while the Outer Cavity is voided, maximizing neutron interaction between fuel regions. The configurations for the Outer Cavity, Fuel Cavity, and contents under hypothetical accident condition models for the MAP are summarized in Table 6-9. The package was modeled in a 2N array (2N=36 packages).

#### 6.6.2 DELETED

#### 6.6.3 RESULTS FOR MAP PACKAGE WITH FUEL ASSEMBLIES

The results for package arrays under normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions are presented in Table 6-11 and satisfy the USL.

The results for package arrays under hypothetical accident conditions provide the basis for a Criticality Safety Index (CSI) of 2.8.

Configuration	Calculated k <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	
MAP with Fuel Assembly				
Normal (Infinite Array)	0.2113	0.0007	0.2127	
HAC (Maximum $k$ for $\leq$ 2N Array)	0.9380	0.0009	0.9398	

 Table 6-11 – Results for Package Array with Fuel Assemblies

#### 6.7 SENSITIVITY STUDIES

This section discusses sensitivity studies performed to ensure that the normal and licensingbasis models provide bounding results relative to fabrication tolerances, package conditions, and HAC. The following sensitivity studies were performed:

- Fuel Assembly optimization: this determines the most reactive fuel assembly based on optimized specification parameters.
- Flooding in the package: this addresses both preferential flooding of the fuel assembly envelope, non-preferential flooding of the Fuel Cavity floodable void space, partial flooding (variable flooding height) of the Fuel Cavity, and interspersed

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

moderation water density variation in the Fuel Cavity and Outer Cavity floodable void spaces.

- Flux trap effectiveness: fixed moderator density, <sup>10</sup>B density in absorber, and removal of various flux trap components.
- Non-flux trap packaging material modeling: neoprene and minimum structural materials.
- Variations on the degree of interaction between assemblies in the same container.
- Shifting of the assemblies laterally along lower 'W' plates (x-y directions) and axially relative to flux trap region.
- Package array size and orientation.
- Lid stiffener spacing removal.

#### 6.7.1 FUEL ASSEMBLY OPTIMIZATION

The fuel assembly types to be transported in the MAP belong to the 14x14, 15x15, 16x16, and 17x17 families. While the specific products covered within these families are not listed in this report, the parameters important to criticality are described in Appendix 6.9.1. Note that all fuel assembly types were modeled with a 163-inch active length to conform to the package model. The MAP-12 will carry assemblies with active fuel lengths up to 12-ft. long (nominal), while the MAP-13 will carry assemblies with active fuel lengths up to  $12\frac{1}{2}$  - ft. long (nominal).

Since this evaluation covers both package lengths without providing limitations to the types of fuel that can be carried in either package, the calculations performed are not specific to the fuel assembly lengths. The fuel type is defined by the fuel pin layout which depends on the array size (e.g. '15x15', or just '15' for simplicity), the location of water holes (non-fueled cells), and the fuel rod pitch (unit cell dimension). For each fuel assembly type, the worst case is determined. The analysis compares the bounding  $k_{eff}$  values for each fuel assembly type, fully flooded and 30 cm water-reflected, with flooded or non-flooded fuel-clad gap, and either the minimum or maximum pellet diameter. The analysis shows that the bounding fuel assembly type is the 15 Type 1 (15 Type 1a which bounds Types 1b and 1c) so this was used in all package calculations with fuel assemblies.

- The worst cases for all assembly types have a minimum pellet diameter for a flooded fuel-clad gap, and with a maximum pellet diameter for a dry fuel-clad gap. The calculated values for maximum pellet diameter and dry gap are either greater than those for the minimum pellet diameter and dry gap, or are well within statistical uncertainty. Likewise,
- The same is true for flooded gap cases with minimum pellet diameter. For a flooded fuel-clad gap, the reason is that minimizing the pellet diameter maximizes the amount of water within the assembly lattice, which maximizes reactivity since PWR fuel assemblies are inherently undermoderated (by design). For a dry fuel-clad gap minimizing the pellet diameter has no effect on the amount of water within the assembly lattice; rather, maximizing the pellet diameter also maximizes the amount of fuel in the assembly while having insignificant effect on the thermal neutron flux at the outer pellet surface. Table 6-12 provides the results. The table shows the results for maximum pellet diameter, minimum pellet diameter, and a third result for only the 15 Type 1 (worst case assembly) with minimum thickness guide tube/instrument tubes added to the water holes. The latter calculation was performed because the single container sensitivity studies included this tubing in the water holes, and the result demonstrates that 1) having no guide tube/instrument tubes present in the water holes is conservative, and 2) the effect produced by the tubes in the water holes is small ( $\leq 0.7 \ \Delta Ak$ ). All bounding single container or package array (normal

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hano	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	232/375

condition or HAC) cases in this evaluation exclude this tubing in the models, with the exception of the HAC array size licensing evaluations of Section 6.7.7.2 for the most reactive assembly type (for these calculations, it was necessary to credit minimum GT/IT volumes within the assembly lattice due to the fact that the fuel-clad gap was assumed flooded).

Note that the HAC testing showed no fuel assembly lattice expansion or rod cracking (see Table 6-9). Therefore, no lattice pitch increase or flooding of the fuel-clad gap is necessarily assumed in the evaluation. However, the HAC array size licensing evaluations of Section 6.7.7.2 do assume that the fuel-clad gap is flooded. Table 6-12 shows flooded fuel-clad gap results for comparison.

	Framatome - Fuel	
This	document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	
Handling:		
Restricted Framatome		

Page 233/375

#### Table 6-12 – Key results for single PWR fuel assembly calculations

Array Size	Туре		<i>k</i> <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	
		Max Pellet Diameter	Min Pellet Diameter	Most Reactive Pellet Diameter – GT/IT Clad Added to Water Holes
Dry Fuel-Clad G	ар			
14x14	1	0.9196	0.9200	-
	2	0.9068	0.9035	_
15x15	1	0.9605	0.9549	0.9535
	2	0.9124	0.9124	_
	3	0.9459	0.9406	_
16x16	1	0.9243	0.9206	_
17x17	1	0.9543	0.9512	_
	2	0.9477	0.9482	_
Flooded Fuel-Clad Gap				
14x14	1	0.9290	0.9316	_
	2	0.9152	0.9175	_
15x15	1	0.9644	0.9661	0.9628
	2	0.9232	0.9264	_
	3	0.9538	0.9624	_
16x16	1	0.9301	0.9285	_
17x17	1	0.9616	0.9614	_
	2	0.9540	0.9581	_

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.
Hano	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	234/375

#### 6.7.2 PACKAGE MODERATION STUDIES

2.0

The MAP package has been designed to prevent water intrusion into the package. However, because immersion tests have not been performed, it is necessary to optimize moderation within the package during normal and HAC conditions. The sensitivity evaluation considers the MAP under various moderator densities and flooding schemes to determine the most reactive flooding combinations for both the individual package and the array. The analysis assumes the fuel assembly is moderated with full density water in all cases to optimize the system reactivity. Thus, the moderator density variations only consider areas external to the fuel assembly envelope in the Fuel Cavity and in the Outer Cavity for a single package or a package array. A minor modeling difference from the licensing-basis models is the introduction of water into the pellet-clad gap. As illustrated in Table 6-12 this provides a slight increase in reactivity that will not affect the conclusions of this sensitivity study.

#### 6.7.2.1 SINGLE PACKAGE EVALUATION

The sensitivity studies for as single package consider reactivity effects related to the flooding height (partial flooding) within the Fuel Cavity and interspersed moderator density effects within the package. The models all assume a 30 cm reflector around the package shell and full flooding within the fuel assembly envelope.

The results of these studies show that full flooding in the Fuel Cavity and voiding of the Outer Cavity represents the most reactive package configuration. This validates the use of these conditions in the single container licensing-basis model.

#### 6.7.2.1.1.1 PARTIAL FLOODING

Partial flooding represents a change in the water level in void spaces of the package. Calculations were performed to evaluate two partial flooding scenarios: variable water level heights in the Fuel Cavity for a single container with the container upright, i.e., top facing up, and with the container inverted with top facing down. In the first scenario, the water level rises from the bottom of the Fuel Cavity toward the top. In the second scenario, the water level rises from the top toward the bottom. Figures 6-8 and 6-9 illustrate the modeling for the partial flooding evaluation.

The results shown in Figure 6-10 illustrate the relationship between reactivity ( $k_{eff}$ ) and incremental addition of water from the bottom to the top of the Fuel Cavity and from the top to the bottom. When water is added to the top of the Fuel Cavity (scenario 2),  $k_{eff}$ immediately begins to increase as the top half of fuel assembly envelope is covered by water (~ 80–90% of the assembly half-diagonal measured from the center of the assembly) and levels off as the remainder of the Fuel Cavity is fully flooded. When water is added to the bottom of the Fuel Cavity (scenario 1), k<sub>eff</sub> does not begin to increase until a small portion of the fuel assembly envelope is covered (~ 10–20% of the assembly half-diagonal). This likely occurs because covering the small portion of the envelope at the bottom (lower portion) of the Fuel Cavity has little impact on neutron leakage from the fuel assemblies, while covering the remaining upper portion significantly reduces leakage due to the gap between the assembly and the upper 'W' plate.

As the  $2\sigma$  error bars indicate in Figure 6-10 there is no statistically significant difference between  $k_{eff}$  for the 80% case of scenario 2 and the fully flooded Fuel Cavity. The difference between  $k_{eff}$  + 2 $\sigma$  for the peaks is ~ 0.32%  $\Delta k$  with a propagated 2 $\sigma$  uncertainty of ~ 0.45%

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Hand Rest	lling: tricted Framatome	Page 235/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

 $\Delta k^*$ . The results demonstrate that full flooding in the Fuel Cavity maximizes the reactivity of the single container.



Figure 6-8 – View of lateral cross section for one case from partial flooding scenario #1



Figure 6-9 – View of lateral cross section for one case from partial flooding scenario #2

<sup>\*</sup> From evaluating propagation of error for the difference between two values, each with associated uncertainties, commonly referred to as "square root of the sum of the squares" of the individual  $1\sigma$  values. This is a general result of the classic error propagation formula when applied to a quantity which is derived from the sum or difference of two or more quantities with associated uncertainties.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	



Results of Fuel Cavity Flood Height Sensitivity Study - Single Container



# Figure 6-10 – Results of Fuel Cavity flood height sensitivity study; shows relationships between reactivity (keff) and incremental addition of water from the bottom to the top (container top up), and from the top to the bottom (container top down)

#### 6.7.2.1.2 PARTIAL DENSITY INTERSPERSED MODERATION

The reactivity effect of moderator density variation in the Fuel Cavity and the Outer Cavity is also evaluated. Preferential flooding (also called selective flooding) represents the scenario in which one or more discrete volume(s) of the package/cavity remain(s) flooded while the remaining volumes of the package/cavities drain completely. For this evaluation, preferential flooding refers to full flooding of the fuel assembly envelope (or rod array) and void elsewhere in the Fuel Cavity. The Outer Cavity may contain void or water.

The interspersed moderation study adds partial to full density water to the Fuel Cavity void spaces of the preferentially flooded case and to the Outer Cavity. Figure 6-11 illustrates the modeling used to evaluate partial density moderation in the Outer Cavity and the Fuel Cavity.

The results for Outer Cavity partial density moderation in the single container model are shown in Figure 6-12 for both preferential and non-preferential (full) flooding of the Fuel Cavity. The results for Fuel Cavity partial density moderation in the region outside the fuel assembly envelope are shown in Figure 6-13. This series assumes that a void fills the Outer Cavity. This study parallels the results of the partial flooding cases in the previous section that illustrate full density water, especially at the top portion of the container, provides the highest reactivity. A comparison of the 100% moderator density  $k_{eff}$  values in Figures 6-12 and 6-13 shows that a fully flooded Fuel and Outer Cavity provides the highest reactivity. This validates the single package licensing-basis model with full flooding of both the Fuel and Outer Cavities. The model with full flooding of Fuel and Outer Cavities is illustrated in Figure 6-14.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
Hand Rest	ling: ricted Framatome	Page 237/375		



#### Figure 6-11 – View of lateral cross section for model used to evaluate partial density moderation in the Fuel Cavity and Outer Cavity; blue=full density water (in fuel assembly envelope), orange=partial density water in remainder of Fuel Cavity, grey=partial density water in Outer Cavity



Results of Partial Density Interspersed Moderation (IM) Sensitivity Study - IM

Figure 6-12 – Results of partial density moderation study for the Outer Cavity, single container



0.8900 0.8800 0.8700 keff + 2sigma 0.8600 0.8500 0.8400 0.8300 0.8200 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100% 0% IM Percent of Full Density Water

Results of Partial Density Interspersed Moderation (IM) Sensitivity Study -IM Water Density Varied in Fuel Cavity

Figure 6-13 – Results of partial density moderation study for the Fuel Cavity, single container



Figure 6-14 – View of lateral cross section for package under most reactive flooding condition for a single container – fully flooded Fuel Cavity and in Outer Cavity; blue=full density water

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hano	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	239/375

#### 6.7.2.2 PACKAGE ARRAY EVALUATION

The sensitivity of  $k_{eff}$  to moderation introduced to a package array is evaluated in this section. Partial flooding of the Fuel Cavity is not reexamined for the array configuration. This is due to the statistically equal results obtained for the reactivity of a partially and fully flooded single container from Figure 6-12 in Section 6.7.2.1.1. This evaluation is only concerned with partial to full density interspersed moderation within and between packages in an array.

The Outer Cavity includes the region surrounding the Fuel Cavity within the package and the spacing between packages. The outer stiffeners maintain void regions between the packages in an array in which environmental factors (snow, rain, ice, and immersion) may provide moderation. The spacing is assumed to be equivalent to that provided by the lid and base outer stiffeners; however, the package envelope is reduced by 2 inches in width and 2 inches in height, see Table 6-9. Therefore, the sensitivity studies related to moderator density in the Outer Cavity consider the void spaces within and between packages in an array.

Partial density interspersed moderation within the Fuel Cavity is also evaluated. This is analogous to the study performed for the single package.

Figure 6-15 illustrates the individual package modeling used to evaluate partial density moderation in the Outer Cavity and the Fuel Cavity. This is used to create the array model consisting of a 4 wide by 6 high by 1 deep array of containers. The array is surrounded by a 30 cm water reflector to simulate close reflection at the array boundary.

The first series of cases examines the reactivity effect of varying the moderator density within the Fuel Cavity without moderation in the Outer Cavity of the array packages. The results for the evaluation are shown in Figure 6-16. These results follow the same trend as Figure 6-13 for the single package and show a positive trend in reactivity with increasing moderator density. The significant difference is the increased reactivity due to the interaction between packages within the array.

The next sets of cases examine the effect of moderator density variations in the Outer Cavity for both preferential and non-preferential flooding of the Fuel Cavity. The results for each set shown in Figure 6-17 exhibit the same decreasing trend versus increasing moderator density. The voided Outer Cavity provides the maximum reactivity for both sets with the non-preferential Fuel Cavity flooding model providing higher values over the range of moderator densities.

The increased reactivity of the non-preferential flooding was explained for the single package by reduced leakage of neutrons from the Fuel Cavity region. A comparison of the reactivity increase going from 100% to 0% moderation for the non-preferential and preferential flooding at in Figure 6-17 illustrates the validity of this explanation. The  $\Delta k_{eff}$  between 100% to 0% density for preferential flooding is ~ 7% $\Delta k$  versus ~ 4% $\Delta k$  for non-preferential flooding. This indicates more interaction between packages within the preferentially flooded array due to increased leakage for that Fuel Cavity configuration. The higher  $k_{eff}$  for the non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity is due to less leakage in the individual package which increases the  $k_{eff}$  of each package. Although the increased leakage for preferential flooding reduces the reactivity differences between the two models as moderator density decreases (causing increased package interaction), it is not enough to offset the lower leakage within the Fuel Cavity for the non-preferential flooding model with a higher single package  $k_{eff}$ .

A comparison between the 100% moderation (Fuel and Outer Cavities fully flooded) case  $k_{eff}$  in Figures 6-13 and 6-17 shows that the array results are slightly higher. This indicates that there is still some interaction between packages even with a fully flooded Outer Cavity (full density water between packages).

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 240/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome



Figure 6-15 – View of lateral cross section for model used to evaluate partial density moderation in the Fuel Cavity and Outer Cavity; blue=full density water (in fuel assembly envelope), orange=partial density water in remainder of Fuel Cavity, grey=partial density water in Outer Cavity



Figure 6-16 – Results of partial density moderation study for the Fuel Cavity, package array evaluated

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	



0 9400 0.9200 0.9000 keff + 2sigma 0.8800 Fully Flooded Fuel Cavity (Non-Preferential Flooding) Fuel Assembly Flooded/Remainder 0.8600 of Fuel Cavity Dry (Preferential Flooding) 0 8400 0.8200 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

Results of Partial Density Interpersed Moderation (IM) Sensitivity Study -IM Water Density Varied in Outer Cavity

### Figure 6-17 – Results of partial density moderation study for the Outer Cavity, package array

IM Percent of Full Density Water

#### 6.7.2.3 POLYURETHANE FOAM MODERATING EFFECT

The polyurethane foam has a hydrogen weight percent less than full density water and a material density significantly less than full density water (0.096 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) for the normal condition of transport. For HAC conditions, the amount of foam and the hydrogen content is significantly reduced. Thus, the sensitivity studies that evaluate partial density moderation in the Outer Cavity bound the effects of polyurethane foam and validate the replacement of this material with either void or full density water, as appropriate in the licensing-basis or normal models.

#### 6.7.3 FLUX TRAP EFFECTIVENESS

This section examines the effect of density variations in the moderator and absorber materials of the flux trap, as well as the importance of individual portions of the flux trap attached to the outer surfaces of the Fuel Cavity.

#### 6.7.3.1 MODERATOR DENSITY IN FLUX TRAP

The MAP packaging contains a flux trap system that effectively reduces the interaction between packages by providing fixed neutron moderation and absorber materials within each package. The Nylon blocks are the component of the flux trap that maintains a fixed amount of moderation within and between packages. The sensitivity of the flux trap to nylon density is evaluated for both a single package and package array for models of the fuel cavity with both non-preferential and preferential flooding of the fuel assembly envelope. For this evaluation the nylon block dimensions are reduced such that the block density is ~87% of the as-built density (see Table 6-9).

The results for the single container model are shown in Table 6-13 and are based upon a fully flooded single package. The results demonstrate that the presence of fixed moderator in the single container increases reactivity of the single container. This indicates that the fixed moderator serves more as a reflector than a moderator for a fully flooded package.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 242/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome	

The results for a 4x6x1 package array model with a voided Outer Cavity are shown in Table 6-14. For the package array, the importance of the fixed moderator for the flux trap is clearly illustrated by the significant reduction in the array reactivity due to the fixed moderator. Furthermore, these results indicate that the fixed moderator has been included in the flux trap solely to ensure criticality safety for package array HAC.

These results validate that the ~10% reduction in fixed moderator mass is conservative.

## Table 6-13 – Single Package Results for the sensitivity study for variation of nylon density in Flux Trap– preferentially and non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity evaluated

% Full Nylon Density	Calculated <i>k<sub>eff</sub></i>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	% Δ <i>k</i> from 100% Case		
Fully Flooded F	uel Cavity (Non-	Preferential Floo	ding)			
100%	0.8820	0.0015	0.8850	-		
50%	0.8786	0.0016	0.8818	-0.32%		
0%	0.8705	0.0016	0.8737	-1.13%		
Fuel Assembly	Fuel Assembly Flooded/Remainder of Fuel Cavity Dry (Preferential Flooding)					
100%	0.8215	0.0015	0.8245	-		
50%	0.8184	0.0018	0.8220	-0.25%		
0%	0.8031	0.0016	0.8063	-1.82%		

Table 6-14 – Package array results for the sensitivity study for variation of nylon density in Flux Trap– preferentially and non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity evaluated

% Full Nylon Density	Calculated <i>k</i> eff	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	% Δ <i>k</i> from 100% Case		
Fully Flooded F	uel Cavity (Non-F	Preferential Flood	ling)			
100%	0.9335	0.0016	0.9367	-		
50%	0.9625	0.0016	0.9657	2.90%		
0%	0.9935	0.0015	0.9965	5.98%		
Fuel Assembly	Fuel Assembly Flooded/Remainder of Fuel Cavity Dry (Preferential Flooding)					
100%	0.9031	0.0016	0.9063	-		
50%	0.9461	0.0017	0.9495	4.32%		
0%	1.0118	0.0015	1.0148	10.85%		

#### 6.7.3.2 <sup>10</sup>B DENSITY IN ABSORBER PLATES

The previous section evaluated the reactivity effect of the fixed moderator in the flux trap. This section performs a similar evaluation for the fixed absorber. The <sup>10</sup>B density was varied for a single container. No evaluation for the package array was performed here because the sensitivities on moderator density (previous section) within the flux trap and removal of various flux trap components (following section) were performed for the package array. The calculations for this section were performed for a fully flooded Fuel Cavity (non-preferential flooding).

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	243/375

The results in Table 6-15 show that removal of boron neutron absorber increases the package reactivity by allowing more neutron reflection toward the Fuel Cavity from the Outer Cavity.

These results validate that the 25% reduction in boron density is conservative.

#### Table 6-15 – Results of the sensitivity study for variation of 10B arial density in Flux Trap for the package array model – non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity/single container evaluated

% Minimum <sup>10</sup> B Arial Density (0.0180 g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Calculated <i>k<sub>eff</sub></i>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	% Δ <i>k</i> from 100% Case
100%	0.8820	0.0015	0.8850	-
75%	0.8884	0.0015	0.8914	0.64%
50%	0.8908	0.0015	0.8938	0.88%
25%	0.8981	0.0015	0.9011	1.61%
0%	0.9299	0.0015	0.9329	4.79%

#### 6.7.3.3 REMOVAL OF VARIOUS FLUX TRAP COMPONENTS

This section evaluates the relative importance of the various flux trap components (moderator + absorber). The evaluation is performed for a single container and a package array, with preferential and non-preferential flooding. For this evaluation water replaces the component removed.

The results in Tables 6-16 and 6-17 show that under conditions of non-preferential flooding, the lower (base) flux trap components appear to be slightly more important than the upper (lid) components. Under conditions of preferential flooding, however, the lid components appear to be more important. For the single container, the inner sections seem to have highest importance. For the container array, the results do not show a preference between the inner or outer sections.

In all cases, the flux trap as a whole is most important for preferentially flooding conditions. This is because the effect of neutron interaction is more prevalent.

N°	FS1-0038397
Hand	lling:

**Restricted Framatome** 

Page 244/375

#### Table 6-16 – Results of the sensitivity study for removal of various flux trap (FT) components from the single container model – preferentially and nonpreferentially flooded Fuel Cavity evaluated

Description	Calculated k <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	% Δ <i>k</i> from No Removal Case
Fully Flooded Fuel Cavity (N	on-Preferentia	al Flooding)		
No FT Components Removed	0.8820	0.0015	0.8850	_
Lower-Inner FT Removed	0.8925	0.0016	0.8957	1.07%
Lower-Outer FT Removed	0.8864	0.0016	0.8896	0.46%
Upper-Inner FT Removed	0.8904	0.0016	0.8936	0.86%
Upper-Outer FT Removed	0.8822	0.0015	0.8852	0.02%
All FT Components Removed	0.9058	0.0016	0.9090	2.40%
All FT Components Removed – 100% IM in Outer Cavity	0.9427	0.0016	0.9459	6.09%
Fuel Assembly Flooded/Ren	nainder of Fue	l Cavity Dry (P	referential Flo	oding)
No FT Components Removed	0.8215	0.0015	0.8245	-
Lower-Inner FT Removed	0.8292	0.0015	0.8322	0.77%
Lower-Outer FT Removed	0.8236	0.0016	0.8268	0.23%
Upper-Inner FT Removed	0.8320	0.0019	0.8358	1.13%
Upper-Outer FT Removed	0.8263	0.0014	0.8291	0.46%
All FT Components Removed	0.8556	0.0016	0.8588	3.43%
All FT Components Removed – 100% IM in Outer Cavity	0.9238	0.0016	0.9270	10.25%

N°	FS1-0038397				
Handling:					
Restricted Framatome					

Rev. 2.0

#### Table 6-17 – Results of the sensitivity study for removal of various flux trap (FT) components from the package array model – preferentially and nonpreferentially flooded Fuel Cavity evaluated

Description	Calculated k <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	% ∆ <i>k</i> from No Removal Case
Fully Flooded Fuel Cavity (No	n-Preferential I	Flooding)		
No FT Components Removed	0.9335	0.0016	0.9367	-
Lower-Inner FT Removed	0.9546	0.0016	0.9578	2.11%
Lower-Outer FT Removed	0.9546	0.0016	0.9578	2.11%
Upper-Inner FT Removed	0.9460	0.0018	0.9496	1.29%
Upper-Outer FT Removed	0.9566	0.0018	0.9602	2.35%
All FT Components Removed	1.0449	0.0017	1.0483	11.16%
Fuel Assembly Flooded/Rema	ainder of Fuel C	avity Dry (Pref	erential Floodir	ng)
No FT Components Removed	0.9031	0.0016	0.9063	-
Lower-Inner FT Removed	0.9418	0.0017	0.9452	3.89%
Lower-Outer FT Removed	0.9351	0.0016	0.9383	3.20%
Upper-Inner FT Removed	0.9459	0.0017	0.9493	4.30%
Upper-Outer FT Removed	0.9443	0.0016	0.9475	4.12%
All FT Components Removed	1.1156	0.0015	1.1186	21.23%

#### 6.7.4 NON-FLUX TRAP PACKAGING MATERIAL PROPERTY MODELING

This section examines in the reactivity effects of the remaining non-flux trap packaging material specifications. This includes the modeling of neoprene and stainless steel.

#### 6.7.4.1 MATERIAL SPECIFICATION FOR NEOPRENE PADDING

Neoprene is represented in the models by full density water. The actual chemical formula for neoprene is  $C_4H_5CI$  and has a nominal density of 1.23 g/cc. Chlorine is a relatively effective neutron absorber, so some built-in margin exists by representing neoprene by water. This evaluation considers neoprene specified as  $C_4H_5CI$  (1.23 g/cc) and as  $C_4H_5$ , where the Cl is simply removed from the mixture, i.e. no change in atom density for C and H so the material mass density goes down. This is evaluated for a single package and demonstrates that representing neoprene by water is conservative. The reactivity worth of the chlorine is also estimated from this evaluation.

The results in Table 6-18 demonstrate that chlorine acts as a weak neutron absorber and validate modeling neoprene as full density water.

N° FS1-	0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>,</b>
Handling: Restricted F	ramatome	Page 246/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

## Table 6-18 – Results for neoprene material specification sensitivity study – non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity/single container evaluated

Description	Calculated <i>k</i> <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	% Δ <i>k</i> from Base Case
Neoprene Modeled as H <sub>2</sub> O	0.8820	0.0015	0.8850	-
Neoprene Modeled as $C_4H_5$ (without CI)	0.8825	0.0017	0.8859	0.09%
Neoprene Modeled as C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Cl (with Cl)	0.8782	0.0016	0.8814	-0.36%

#### 6.7.4.2 ELIMINATION OF STRUCTURAL STAINLESS STEEL

Neutron absorption occurs to a relatively small degree in the stainless steel of the package due primarily to its chromium content. The modeling credits ~30% of the stainless steel in the package, see Table 6-9. Single container calculations with non-preferential flooding were performed to determine the effect on  $k_{eff}$  due to removal of steel in different areas of the package.

The results in Table 6-19 demonstrate a relatively weak effect due to the stainless steel in the package, but do suggest that the steel acts as a weak neutron absorber.

The results show that a slight decrease from removal of the steel 'W' plates nearest the fuel assembly due to reduced reflection. However, for the other steel components modeled their removal resulted in an equivalent increase due to reduced absorption. The non-'W' plate components comprise the vast majority of the ~70% of steel not included in the model. Therefore, results validate that the ~30% credit for stainless steel in the package is conservative.

Description	Calculated k <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	% Δ <i>k</i> from No Removal Case
No Steel Removed	0.8820	0.0015	0.8850	-
Lower W Plate Removed	0.8739	0.0016	0.8771	-0.79%
Upper W Plate Removed	0.8811	0.0016	0.8843	-0.07%
Lower & Upper W Plates Removed	0.8748	0.0017	0.8782	-0.68%
Steel Bar (Rail) Removed	0.8881	0.0017	0.8915	0.65%
Container Shell Removed	0.8848	0.0016	0.8880	0.30%
All Steel in Container Removed	0.8770	0.0017	0.8804	-0.46%

#### Table 6-19 – Results of the sensitivity study for removal of various stainless steel components in the base models – non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity/single container evaluated

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

Handling: Restricted Framatome

## MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96



## 6.7.5 VARIATIONS ON THE DEGREE OF INTERACTION BETWEEN ASSEMBLIES IN THE SAME CONTAINER

Stainless steel and aluminum associated with the doors (latches, hinges, etc.) between assembly locations have been neglected in the models. This study examines the importance of these materials relative to neutron interaction between fuel assemblies in the same container. Calculations were performed for a single container with non-preferential flooding. The model for this evaluation assumed an aluminum or stainless steel slab running the entire package length with variable thickness. The slab was placed at the lateral center of the package between the two fuel assemblies. Figure 6-18 illustrates the steel/aluminum slab modeling for this study.

The results in Figures 6-19 and 6-20 demonstrate that the effect associated with aluminum or stainless steel components existing between the fuel assemblies in the actual package is insignificant. The results suggest a slightly higher neutron transmittance through the aluminum versus the stainless steel which is expected due to the higher thermal neutron absorption properties for stainless steel. However, the results also show that the effect of displacing water with either of the two is statistically insignificant.

The results validate the modeling of the space between assemblies as a fully floodable region.



Figure 6-18 – View of lateral cross section for model used to evaluate interaction between fuel assemblies in the same container with aluminum/stainless steel slabs; shows the aluminum or stainless steel slab between the assemblies

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	£
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 248/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome



Figure 6-19 – Results of fuel assembly interaction study for variable aluminum slab thickness between assemblies in the same container



Figure 6-20 – Results of fuel assembly interaction study for variable stainless steel slab thickness between assemblies in the same container

#### 6.7.6 FUEL ASSEMBLY SHIFTING WITHIN CONTAINER

This section examines lateral and axial shifting of the fuel assemblies within the container. Note that the HAC test results showed that the assemblies did not shift laterally or axially.

#### 6.7.6.1 LATERAL SHIFTING OF FUEL ASSEMBLIES

This section evaluates the effect on  $k_{eff}$  resulting from the fuel assemblies shifting laterally within the Fuel Cavity. The model considers both assemblies shifted laterally along the

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hano	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	249/375

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – framatome USA/9319/B(U)F-96

lower 'W' plates (x-y directions) toward the center (+x direction for left assembly, –x direction for right assembly) and the top (+y direction) of the container. The assemblies were shifted so that the corner of the envelope pointing to the lateral center of the package was aligned with the corresponding corner of the lower-inner 'W' plate, which represents the closest approach between assemblies within the package. Each assembly was moved ~ 0.396 inch horizontally (+/–x direction) and vertically (+y direction), for a total movement along the lower-inner 'W' plate (which is at a 45° angle) of ~ 0.560 inch. The calculation was performed for a single container under conditions of non-preferential flooding, as illustrated in Figure 6-21.

The results in Table 6-20 show that the effect on fuel assembly interaction due to a significant lateral shift is small.



Figure 6-21 – View of lateral cross section for model used to evaluate lateral shifting of fuel assemblies within a container

Table 6-20 - R	esults for lateral assembly shift sensitivity study - non-
preferenti	ally flooded Fuel Cavity/single container evaluated

Description	Calculated k <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	% Δ <i>k</i> from Base Case
No Shift	0.8820	0.0015	0.8850	_
Assys Shifted Along Lower – Inner W Plates Toward Center/Lid, 0.396" Horizontal/Vertical, for 0.560" Along W at 45°	0.8896	0.0018	0.8932	0.82%

#### 6.7.6.2 AXIAL SHIFTING OF FUEL ASSEMBLIES IN A CONTAINER

This section evaluates the effect of the fuel assemblies shifting axially (longitudinally) at various distances outside of the flux trap region. The evaluation demonstrates that a significant axial shift outside the flux trap region has a small effect. Also note that each fuel assembly is shimmed within the fuel cavity with rigid supports to prevent movement and HAC testing demonstrates that axial shifting of the payload does not occur.

In the calculational model, both assemblies are shifted along the z axis while maintaining their normal x-y positions. The calculation was performed for a single container under conditions of non-preferential flooding, as illustrated in Figure 6-22 provides an illustration of the modeling for this study.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handl Restr	ing: icted Framatome	Page 250/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

The results shown in Figure 6-23 demonstrate that even a significant axial shift outside the flux trap region has a small effect ( $\sim < 0.5\%\Delta k$ ).



## Figure 6-22 – View of the side of the package (y-z plane) where assemblies have been shifted axially where 15 cm (5.906 inches) of the assemblies are outside of the flux trap region



Figure 6-23 – Results of fuel assembly axial shift sensitivity study (variable active length shifted outside flux trap region) – non-preferentially flooded Fuel Cavity/single container evaluated

## 6.7.7 VARIATIONS ON PACKAGE ORIENTATIONS WITHIN ARRAY AND PACKAGE ARRAY SIZE

This section examines the effect of variable package orientations within an array and the package array size. The package array size evaluation provides the basis for the 2N array size of 36 packages that supports a CSI of 2.8.

#### 6.7.7.1 ORIENTATIONS OF PACKAGES WITHIN ARRAY

This section evaluates package arrays with regular package orientations (package top-up), as well as irregular orientation patterns within a 4x6x1 array. The irregular orientation patterns considered are as follows:

- 1. The packages in every other package row, or layer, (in y directions) 'flipped'; this scenario is denoted by 'FLIP1'; note that for package arrays with an odd number of rows (in y directions), there are two possibilities within this scenario:
  - a. FLIP1a Normally oriented (top side up) containers (designated as 'unit 1000') at the +/–y boundaries of the array, or

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	-

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 251/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

- b. FLIP1b Flipped (top side down) containers (designated as 'unit 1001') at the +/–y boundaries of the array.
  - Figure 6-24 illustrates units 1000 and 1001
  - Figure 6-25 illustrates different FLIP1 configurations
  - The conclusion from this study (see Table 6-21) is that the FLIP1 scenario is the worst case for irregular stacking; however, but the FLIP1a and FLIP1b scenarios are still evaluated in the array size section in which the 2N array size is established
- 2. The packages in every other package column (in x directions) 'flipped'; this scenario is denoted by 'FLIP2'. As in the FLIP1 scenario, there are two possibilities for this scenario with an odd number of columns, but only one is evaluated in this section because this sensitivity shows that this scenario can be removed from further consideration.
  - Figure 6-26 illustrates the FLIP2 configuration
- 3. The packages in every other diagonal across the x-y face of the array 'flipped'; this scenario is denoted by 'FLIP3'. As in the other two scenarios there are multiple possibilities for this scenario, but only one is evaluated in this section because this sensitivity shows that this scenario can be removed from further consideration.
  - Figure 6-27 illustrates the FLIP3 configuration

The results in Table 6-21 demonstrate that the FLIP1 configuration results in a slight increase in  $k_{eff}$  from a regular stacking configuration. For the non-preferential flooding condition, FLIP1 resulted in an increase of ~ 0.10 % $\Delta k$  with propagated 2 $\sigma$  uncertainty of ~ 0.50 % $\Delta k$ . For the preferential flooding condition, FLIP1 resulted in an increase of ~ 0.25 % $\Delta k$  with propagated 2 $\sigma$  uncertainty of ~ 0.50 % $\Delta k$ . The corresponding changes in reactivity for FLIP2 and FLIP3 were less with comparable uncertainties. These changes in reactivity are not statistically significant. However, for the purpose of completeness and conservatism, the FLIP1 configurations are evaluated in the array size evaluation in addition to the regular stacking configurations of the base case. Had the changes in reactivity all been negative and statistically significant, none would have been included in the array size sensitivity study.

Handling:PageUSA/9319/B(U)F-96ItaliateRestricted Framatome252/375	me



Figure 6-24 – a) View of lateral cross section for unit 1000: package under most reactive flooding condition for a container array – fully flooded Fuel Cavity, void in Outer Cavity, blue=full density water, grey=void; b) view of lateral cross section for unit 1001: unit 1000 flipped upside down (rotated 180° about the z-axis)
N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	253/375

1000

1000

1000

	a)		10	000	1000	1000	10	00	
			10	001	1001	1001	10	01	
			1(	000	1000	1000	10	00	
			1(	001	1001	1001	10	01	
	2	y 🕇	1(	000	1000	1000	10	00	
			1(	001	1001	1001	10	01	
		L			x ►		J		
b)	1000	10	000	1000	)	c)	1001	1001	1001
	1001	10	001	1001	1		1000	1000	1000
	1000	1(	000	1000	)		1001	1001	1001
	1001	10	001	1001	I		1000	1000	1000
	1000	10	000	1000	)		1001	1001	1001
	1001	10	001	1001	I		1000	1000	1000
	1000	10	000	1000	)		1001	1001	1001
	1001	10	001	1001			1000	1000	1000

Figure 6-25 – Illustrations of the FLIP1 configuration; a) illustrates a 4x6x1 array with only one possibility for the FLIP1 configuration; b) illustrates a 3x9x1 array with the FLIP1a configuration; c) illustrates a 3x9x1 array with the FLIP1b configuration

1001

1001

1001

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	254/375

	1000	1001	1000	1001
	1000	1001	1000	1001
	1000	1001	1000	1001
	1000	1001	1000	1001
у	1000	1001	1000	1001
	1000	1001	1000	1001
L	L	x		

Figure	6-26 -	Illustration	of the	FI IP2	configuration:	shows	4x6x1	arrav
Iguie	0-20 -	mustration	or the		conniguration,	3110113	TAUAI	anay

	1000	1001	1000	1001
	1001	1000	1001	1000
	1000	1001	1000	1001
	1001	1000	1001	1000
у	1000	1001	1000	1001
	1001	1000	1001	1000
L		×	1	

Figure 6-27 – Illustration of the FLIP3 configuration; shows 4x6x1 array

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Han	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	255/375

# Table 6-21 – Results for study evaluating different configurations of flippedpackages within a package array

Description	Calculated <i>k</i> <sub>eff</sub> Uncertainty (σ)		k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ				
Fully Flooded Fuel Cavity (Non-Preferentially Flooded)							
4x6x1 Array – No Packages Flipped	0.9335	0.0016	0.9367				
FLIP1 Configuration	0.9354	0.0019	0.9392				
FLIP2 Configuration	0.9319	0.0015	0.9349				
FLIP3 Configuration	0.9340	0.0017	0.9374				
Fuel Assembly Flood	Fuel Assembly Flooded/Remainder of Fuel Cavity Dry (Preferential Flooding)						
4x6x1 Array – No Packages Flipped	0.9031	0.0016	0.9063				
FLIP1 Configuration	0.9035	0.0019	0.9073				
FLIP2 Configuration	0.9019	0.0016	0.9051				
FLIP3 Configuration	0.9017	0.0016	0.9049				

### 6.7.7.2 PACKAGE ARRAY SIZE

This section evaluates variable package array sizes under conditions of non-preferential flooding. Both the regular stacking configurations (no 'flipped' containers in the array) and the FLIP1 stacking configurations (described in the previous section) are considered in this evaluation. The results in Figure 6-28 show that the FLIP1 configuration (irregular stacking) remains the most reactive for the range of array sizes examined. The results also show that stacking a given array size one deep in the z-direction is most reactive.

Additional array size cases with FLIP1 configurations were performed in which the fuel-clad gap is dry <u>or</u> flooded, and the spacing provided by the lid outer stiffeners has been removed. These cases have all the characteristics of the licensing-basis models for a package array, see Table 6-9. The results are shown in Figures 6-29 and 6-30. The results shown in Figure 6-29 demonstrate that the package array with a dry fuel-clad gap is acceptable for sizes less than or equal to 40 packages. This supports a 2N array size of at least 36 packages and Criticality Safety Index (CSI) of 2.8.

The results in Figure 6-30 shows that the 2N array size of 36 is unacceptable for a flooded fuel-clad gap (and pellet diameter minimized) if no guide or instrument tube cladding is credited. In order for the 2N array size of 36 to remain acceptable under these conditions, both guide and instrument tubes must be credited for the most reactive (15 Type 1a) assembly design. Because of the fact that the flooded fuel-clad gap without guide or instrument tubes was unacceptable at the 2N array size of 36 packages, the other possible fuel assembly designs were inserted into a bounding 40 package array with flooded fuel-clad gap (and pellet diameter minimized) These results are shown in Table 6-22.

The results in Table 6-22 show that all other assembly designs with flooded fuel-clad gap and with no guide or instrument tubes credited are acceptable at the 40 package array size, and hence at or below the 36 package array size, with the exception of the 15 Type 3 assembly design. Therefore, this design was evaluated at the smaller package array sizes to demonstrate that the 36 package array size is acceptable. The results of this study are shown in Figure 6-31, which demonstrates that this assembly design is acceptable at or

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 256/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

below a 2N array size of 36 packages with flooded fuel-clad gap and no guide or instrument tubes credited.

Table 6-23 shows the data used to generate the figures.



Results for Package Array Size Sensitivity Study -Total Number of Containers Varied with Variable Array Sizes (x, y, and z Indices)

Figure 6-28 – Results for package array size sensitivity study; shows keff versus number of containers for three array configurations – regular stacking/one container deep in z direction, regular stacking/two containers deep in z direction, FLIP1 stacking/one container deep in z direction





Figure 6-29 – Results for final package array size sensitivity study; FLIP1 configurations with fuel-clad gap dry and spacing provided by lid stiffeners removed; 2N = 36 supports the licensing-basis case for the array

	Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	





Figure 6-30 – Results for final package array size sensitivity study; FLIP1 configurations with fuel-clad gap flooded (and pellet diameter minimized) and spacing provided by lid stiffeners removed; 2N = 36 supports the licensingbasis case for the array with guide and instrument tube cladding credited for the bounding 15 Type 1a assembly

Table 6-22 – Results for final package array size sensitivity study; FLIP1 configurations with fuel-clad gap flooded (and pellet diameter minimized) and spacing provided by lid stiffeners removed; remaining 9 fuel assembly designs evaluated at a package array size 2N = 40 with no guide or instrument tube cladding credited; supports licensing-basis of 2N = 36 for all remaining designs except for the 15 Type 3 assembly

Assembly Type	Calculated k <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ
14 Type 1	0.9038	0.0008	0.9054
14 Type 2	0.8889	0.0008	0.8905
15 Type 1b	0.9311	0.0008	0.9327
15 Type 1c	0.9341	0.0008	0.9357
15 Type 2	0.8984	0.0009	0.9002
15 Type 3	0.9388	0.0009	0.9406
16 Type 1	0.9046	0.0009	0.9064
17 Type 1	0.9368	0.0008	0.9384
17 Type 2	0.9348	0.0009	0.9366

This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page





Results of Container Array Size Sensitivity Study for License-Basis -Cases with Flooded Gap (15T3 Assembly) -No Guide Tube (GT) or Instrument Tube (IT) Cladding Credit

Figure 6-31 – Results for final package array size sensitivity study; FLIP1 configurations with fuel-clad gap flooded (and pellet diameter minimized) and spacing provided by lid stiffeners removed; 2N = 36 supports the licensing-basis case for the array with guide and instrument tube cladding credited for the 15 Type 3 assembly

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397				
Handling:				
Restricted Framatome				

Rev. 2.0

### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96



#### Table 6-23 – Data used to create plots

Number of Containers	Array Size	Calculated <i>k<sub>eff</sub></i>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ
Regular Stacking	Configuration –	Array Sizes 1 Deep	in z Direction	
24	4x6x1	0.9335	0.0016	0.9367
25	5x5x1	0.9346	0.0015	0.9376
26	2x13x1	0.9274	0.0015	0.9304
27	3x9x1	0.9346	0.0017	0.9380
28	4x7x1	0.9317	0.0017	0.9351
30	5x6x1	0.9320	0.0016	0.9352
32	4x8x1	0.9393	0.0016	0.9425
35	5x7x1	0.9349	0.0016	0.9381
36	4x9x1	0.9378	0.0015	0.9408
40	5x8x1	0.9358	0.0017	0.9392
42	6x7x1	0.9398	0.0015	0.9428
45	5x9x1	0.9397	0.0015	0.9427
48	6x8x1	0.9412	0.0016	0.9444
54	6x9x1	0.9425	0.0016	0.9457
56	7x8x1	0.9397	0.0015	0.9427
Regular Stacking Configuration – Array Sizes 2 Deep in z Direction				
40	4x5x2	0.9355	0.0016	0.9387
48	4x6x2	0.9353	0.0018	0.9389
56	4x7x2	0.9371	0.0014	0.9399
70	5x7x2	0.9411	0.0015	0.9441
Irregular Stacking Configuration (FLIP1) – Array Sizes 1 Deep in z Direction				
24	4x6x1	0.9354	0.0019	0.9392

N°	FS1-0038397			
Handling:				
Restricted Framatome				

Page 261/375

Rev. 2.0

#### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

Number of Containers	Array Size	Calculated <i>k</i> eff	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ
25	5x5x1	0.9358	0.0015	0.9388
25	5x5x1	0.9350	0.0016	0.9382
26	2x13x1	0.9344	0.0014	0.9372
20	2x13x1	0.9304	0.0017	0.9338
77	3x9x1	0.9364	0.0015	0.9394
21	3x9x1	0.9341	0.0017	0.9375
20	4x7x1	0.9372	0.0015	0.9402
20	4x7x1	0.9426	0.0015	0.9456
30	5x6x1	0.9397	0.0018	0.9433
32	4x8x1	0.9386	0.0018	0.9422
35	5x7x1	0.9447	0.0016	0.9479
	5x7x1	0.9374	0.0015	0.9404
36	4x9x1	0.9402	0.0019	0.9440
	4x9x1	0.9402	0.0016	0.9434
40	5x8x1	0.9409	0.0017	0.9443
FLIP1 with Dry Fuel-Clad Gap and Spacing from Lid Stiffeners Eliminated (for Licensing-Basis Models)				
24	4x6x1	0.9314	0.0009	0.9332
28	4x7x1	0.9324	0.0009	0.9342
30	5x6x1	0.9326	0.0009	0.9344
32	4x8x1	0.9318	0.0009	0.9336
35	5x7x1	0.9327	0.0008	0.9343
36	4x9x1	0.9332	0.0008	0.9348
40	5x8x1	0.9366	0.0009	0.9384
FLIP1 with Flooded Fuel-Clad Gap and Spacing from Lid Stiffeners Eliminated (for Licensing-Basis Models), and Bounding 15 Type 1a Assembly with no Guide or Instrument Tube Cladding Credited				
24	4x6x1	0.9396	0.0008	0.9412

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	262/375

Number of Containers	Array Size	Calculated <i>k</i> <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ
28	4x7x1	0.9415	0.0009	0.9433
30	5x6x1	0.9421	0.0010	0.9441
32	4x8x1	0.9405	0.0010	0.9425
35	5x7x1	0.9418	0.0008	0.9434
36	4x9x1	0.9404	0.0009	0.9422
40	5x8x1	0.9439	0.0010	0.9459

FLIP1 with Flooded Fuel-Clad Gap and Spacing from Lid Stiffeners Eliminated (for Licensing-Basis Models), and Bounding 15 Type 1a Assembly with Guide Tube Cladding Credited

24	4x6x1	0.9381	0.0009	0.9399
28	4x7x1	0.9380	0.0009	0.9398
30	5x6x1	0.9391	0.0008	0.9407
32	4x8x1	0.9384	0.0009	0.9402
35	5x7x1	0.9376	0.0009	0.9394
36	4x9x1	0.9384	0.0009	0.9402
40	5x8x1	0 9397	0.0008	0 9413

FLIP1 with Flooded Fuel-Clad Gap and Spacing from Lid Stiffeners Eliminated (for Licensing-Basis Models), and Bounding 15 Type 1a Assembly with Guide and Instrument Tube Cladding Credited

24	4x6x1	0.9338	0.0009	0.9356
28	4x7x1	0.9357	0.0009	0.9375
30	5x6x1	0.9380	0.0009	0.9398
32	4x8x1	0.9378	0.0009	0.9396
35	5x7x1	0.9366	0.0010	0.9386
36	4x9x1	0.9372	0.0008	0.9388
40	5x8x1	0.9394	0.0009	0.9412

FLIP1 with Flooded Fuel-Clad Gap and Spacing from Lid Stiffeners Eliminated (for Licensing-Basis Models), and 15 Type 3 Assembly with no Guide and Instrument Tube Cladding Credited

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	263/375

### MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -USA/9319/B(U)F-96

Number of Containers	Array Size	Calculated <i>k<sub>eff</sub></i>	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ
24	4x6x1	0.9344	0.0008	0.9360
28	4x7x1	0.9357	0.0008	0.9373
30	5x6x1	0.9367	0.0009	0.9385
32	4x8x1	0.9374	0.0010	0.9394
35	5x7x1	0.9364	0.0009	0.9382
36	4x9x1	0.9356	0.0009	0.9374
40	5x8x1	0.9388	0.0009	0.9406

#### 6.7.8 REMOVAL OF SPACING PROVIDED BY LID STIFFENERS

This section evaluates elimination of the spacing provided by the lid stiffeners to show the effect on the array  $k_{eff}$ . This was done to confirm the licensing-basis models which eliminate this spacing per the as-found condition after HAC testing. The perturbation was made on the FLIP1 4x6x1 base case with the fuel-clad gap flooded.

The results shown in Table 6-24 demonstrate that removal of the spacing is conservative or statistically insignificant.

#### Table 6-24 – Results for sensitivity on container array for removal of spacing provided by lid spacers

Description	Calculated <i>k</i> eff	Uncertainty (σ)	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ
FLIP1 Configuration (spacing included)	0.9354	0.0019	0.9392
FLIP1 Configuration (spacing eliminated)	0.9361	0.0017	0.9395

#### **6.8 BENCHMARK EVALUATIONS**

The computer code used for these criticality calculations has been benchmarked against applicable criticality experiments. Use of SCALE 6.2.2 is validated in FS1-0031640<sup>41</sup>.

#### **APPLICABILITY OF BENCHMARK EXPERIMENTS** 6.8.1

There are 55 critical benchmark experiments selected for MAP benchmarking from a larger group applicable to transportation and storage packages<sup>42</sup>. The larger group consisted of 180 experiments, 173 of which are LWR-type fuel pin lattice experiments (remaining 7 are homogeneous uranium experiments). The 55 experiments for the MAP package were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> NUREG/CR-6361 (ORNL/TM-13211): Criticality Benchmark Guide for Light-Water-Reactor Fuel in Transportation and Storage Packages.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> S. Mehmet, "SCALE 6.2.2 Criticality Safety Validation," FS1-0031640, Revision 1.0, AREVA NP, July 31, 2017.

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	264/375

selected based on their material, geometry, absorber, and overall spectral similarities to the MAP package. Table 6-25 provides a listing of the benchmark experiment groups from which the MAP experiments were selected and the number within groups that were selected for MAP benchmarking.

To understand how the selected experiments relate to the features important to criticality for the MAP package, the selected set was subdivided into four groups: Poison Plate Separation, Non-Poison Plate Separation, Water Hole Separation, and Simple Rod Lattice. These categories are specific to materials, absorbers, geometry, and moderation. These criticality parameters imply spectral similarities with the MAP which is verified through the calculations of Energy of the Average Lethargy Causing Fission (EALF). This provides a measure of the degree of neutron thermalization for a given experiment, and allows a simple, direct, and quantitative comparison to the MAP spectrum. There were 7 experiments grouped into Poison Plate Separation, 27 in Non-Poison Plate Separation, 6 in Water Hole Separation, and 15 in Simple Rod Lattice. Table 6-26 lists the grouping of the experiments.

The experiments within the larger group were rejected as non-applicable to the MAP based on the following criteria:

1) No hexagonal fuel rod lattices, i.e. only square-pitch lattices;

2.0

- 2) Fixed poisons only in a plate-type geometric form (no soluble poison or poison rods) and contain only boron as the poison material;
- 3) No thick-wall reflectors (no lead, steel, or uranium thick-wall reflectors).

From the 180 experiments, 55 experiments were accepted and analyzed for applicability of key parameters to those for the MAP package. This analysis is summarized in Table 6-27. The KENOV input decks available from Reference 3 were converted to KENOVI format and run locally to provide the necessary calculated parameters for benchmarking. Appendix 6.9.3 provides a comparison between the calculated  $k_{eff}$  values for the original KENOV cases and those for the converted KENOVI cases to verify the conversion process.

The comparison provided in Table 6-27 shows that the selected benchmark set bounds the important characteristics of the MAP.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	
Hand	lling:	
Rest	ricted Framatome	

Page 265/375

#### Table 6-25 – Listing and descriptions of benchmark experiment groups that MAP benchmarks were selected from (reports referenced in Ref. 3), and number of experiments selected from each group

Report	No. of Available Experiments in Report	No. of Selected Experiments for MAP/ No. Listed in Ref. 3	Description of Full Set of Experiments in Report
ANS Transactions, Vol. 33, p. 362 (Ref. 4)	25	9/9	4.74 wt% <sup>235</sup> U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 1.35 cm pitch; fuel rod clusters separated by air, polystyrene, polyethylene, or water; fuel clusters submersed in aqueous NaNO <sub>3</sub> solution
BAW-1484 (Ref. 5)	37	1/10	2.46 wt% $^{235}$ U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 1.636 cm pitch; the spacing between 3 x 3 array of LWR-type fuel assemblies is filled with water and B <sub>4</sub> C pins, stainless steel sheets, or borated stainless steel sheets; lattices with borated moderator
EPRI-NP-196 (Ref. 6)	6	3/6	2.35 wt% $^{235}$ U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 1.562, 1.905, and 2.210 cm pitch; lattices with borated moderator
NS&E, Vol. 71 (Ref. 7)	26	3/6	4.74 wt% $^{235}$ U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 1.26, 1.60, 2.10, and 2.52 cm pitch; triangular and triangular with pseudo-cylindrical shaped lattices of 1.35, 1.72, and 2.26 cm pitch; irregular hexagonal lattices of 1.35 cm pitch; lattices with water holes
PNL-2438 (Ref. 8)	48	4/6	2.35 wt% <sup>235</sup> U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 2.032 cm pitch; Cd, Al, Cu, stainless steel, borated stainless steel, BORAL <sup>®</sup> , and Zircaloy separator plates between assemblies
PNL-2615 (Ref. 9)	32	3/7	4.31 wt% <sup>235</sup> U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 2.540 cm pitch; Cd, Al, Cu, stainless steel, borated stainless steel, BORAL <sup>®</sup> , and Zircaloy separator plates between assemblies
PNL-2827 (Ref. 10)	23	1/9	2.35 and 4.31 wt% $^{235}$ U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 2.032 and 2.540 cm pitch; reflecting walls of Pb or depleted uranium

Rev. 2.0

Handling: Restricted Framatome Page 266/375

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

framatome

Report	No. of Available Experiments in Report	No. of Selected Experiments for MAP/ No. Listed in Ref. 3	Description of Full Set of Experiments in Report		
PNL-3314 (Ref. 11)	142	18/27	2.35 and 4.31 wt% <sup>235</sup> U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 1.684 and 1.892 cm pitch; stainless steel, borated stainless steel, Cd, Al, Cu, BORAL <sup>®</sup> , Boroflex, and Zircaloy separator plates between assemblies; lattices with water holes and voids		
PNL-3926 (Ref. 12)	22	2/14	2.35 and 4.31 wt% $^{235}$ U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 1.684 and 1.892 cm pitch; reflecting walls of Pb or depleted uranium		
PNL-6205 (Ref. 13)	19	1/1	4.31 wt% <sup>235</sup> U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 1.891 cm pitch; BORAL <sup>®</sup> absorber plates		
PNL-7167 (Ref. 14)	9	4/4	4.31 wt% $^{235}$ U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 1.891 cm pitch; BORAL <sup>®</sup> absorber plates, with adjacent voids filled with Al plates, Al rods, or UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods		
WCAP-3269 (Ref. 15)	157	4/9	2.7, 3.7, and 5.7 wt% $^{235}$ U UO <sub>2</sub> fuel rods in square lattices of 1.029, 1.105, and 1.422 cm pitch; lattices with Ag-In-Cd absorber rods, water holes, and void tubes		
WCAP-3385 (Ref. 16)	3	2/2	5.74 wt% $^{235}$ U UO $_2$ fuel rods in square lattices of 1.321, 1.422, and 2.012 cm pitch		

№ FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package	fuencetere			
Handling: Restricted	Page 267/375	– USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome			
Framatome						

# Table 6-26 – Listing of MAP critical benchmark cases by report and by group

	MAP Critical Benchmark Experiment Group								
	Poison P Separat	Plate ion	Non-Poisor Separat	n Plate ion	Water H Separat	ole ion	Simple Rod		
Report	Case IDs	No. of Exp.	Case IDs	No. of Exp.	Case IDs	No. of Exp.	Case IDs	No. of Exp.	Total
ANS Transactions, Vol. 33, p. 362 (Ref. 4)			ANS33EB1 ANS33EB2 ANS33EP1 ANS33EP2 ANS33STY ANS33AL1 ANS33AL2 ANS33AL3	8			ANS33SLG	1	9
BAW-1484 (Ref. 5)							BW1484SL	1	1
EPRI-NP-196 (Ref. 6)							EPRU65 EPRU75 EPRU87	3	3
NS&E, Vol. 71 (Ref. 7)					NSE71W1 NSE71W2	2	NSE71SQ	1	3
PNL-2438 (Ref. 8)	P2438BA	1	P2438AL P2438SS	2			P2438SLG	1	4
PNL-2615 (Ref. 9)	P2615BA	1	P2615AL P2615SS	2					3
PNL-2827 (Ref. 10)							P2827SLG	1	1

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page
· · · · · ·

N° FS1- 0038397 Rev. 2.0		M	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package fromotomo						
Handling: Restricted Page 268/375 Framatome			– USA/9319/B(U)F-96				tramatome		
PNL-3314 (Ref. 11)			P3314AL P3314BA P3314BC P3314BF1 P3314BF2 P3314BS2 P3314BS2 P3314BS3 P3314BS4 P3314SS1 P3314SS1 P3314SS2 P3314SS3 P3314SS5 P3314SS5 P3314SS6	15	P3314W1 P3314W2	2	P3314SLG	1	18
PNL-3926 (Ref. 12)							P3926L1 P3926L2	2	2
PNL-6205 (Ref. 13)	P62FT231	1							1
PNL-7167 (Ref. 14)	P71F214R P71F14F3 P71F14V3 P71F14V5	4							4
WCAP-3269 (Ref. 15)					W3269W1 W3269W2	2	W3269SL1 W3269SL2	2	4
WCAP-3385 (Ref. 16)							W3385SL1 W3385SL2	2	2
Total	7	27	6	15	55				

N° FS1- 0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package	<b>C</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 269/375	– USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

# Table 6-27 – Comparison of critical benchmark experiment properties to MAP

	All	Poison Plate Separation	Non-Poison Plate Separation	Water Hole Separation	Simple Rod Lattice	MAP Package
Number of benchmark cases	55	7	27	6	15	N/A
Geometric Prope	rties of Lattice					
Water-to-fuel volume ratio	1.19 – 5.07	1.59 – 3.88	1.60 – 3.88	1.50 – 1.93	1.19 – 5.07	1.56 – 1.84
Lattice pitch (cm)	1.260 – 2.540	1.891 – 2.540	1.350 – 2.540	1.260 – 1.892	1.260 – 2.210	1.259 – 1.474
Properties of UO	<sub>2</sub> Fuel Rods					
Clad OD (cm)	0.940 – 1.415	1.270 – 1.415	0.940 – 1.415	0.940 – 1.415	0.940 - 1.415	0.944 – 1.123
Pellet OD (cm)	0.790 – 1.265	1.118 – 1.265	0.790 – 1.265	0.790 – 1.265	0.790 – 1.265	0.817 – 0.969
Clad Wall Thickness (cm)	0.038 – 0.081	0.066 - 0.076	0.060 - 0.076	0.038 - 0.080	0.038 – 0.081	0.052 – 0.073
<sup>235</sup> U Density in Fuel (g/cc)	0.191 – 0.516	0.191 – 0.395	0.191 – 0.434	0.191 – 0.512	0.191 – 0.516	0.272 – 0.474 (typically)
<sup>235</sup> U Enrichment (wt% <sup>235</sup> U/U)	2.350 – 5.742	2.350 – 4.310	2.350 - 4.742	2.350 - 5.700	2.350 - 5.742	3.000 – 5.000 (typically)
Borated Plate Pro	Borated Plate Properties					
Borated Plate Thickness (cm)	0 – 0.509	0.470 - 0.509	0	N/A	N/A	0.3175 (nom)
<sup>10</sup> B Areal Density (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0 - 0.0832	0.0668 - 0.0832	0	N/A	N/A	0.0240 (min)

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

	N° FS1- 0038397 Handling: Restricted Framatome	Rev. 2.0 Page 270/375	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96			framatome		
N	leutron Spectru	m Properties						
E	EALF (eV)	0.0823 – 0.3730	0.0972 – 0.3730	0.0944 – 0.3229	0.1486 – 0.3087	0.0823 – 0.3236	0.2749 – 0.2831 (for License- Basis Single Container and Array Cases)	

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	£
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 271/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	tramatome

## 6.8.2 DETERMINATION OF THE UPPER SAFETY LIMIT (USL)

The methodology employed for determination of the USL was consistent with that described in Reference 3. Calculation of  $k_{eff}$  was performed for each benchmark case described in Section 6.8.1 (modeled in KENOVI and utilizing the CSAS26 option of SCALE4.4a). These calculated values were then compared (statistically) with the experimental  $k_{eff}$  values through the use of the USLSTATS application. The results are shown in Figure 6-32, where the USL-1 and USL-2 plots are for the entire set of 55 experiments.

The minimum values for USL-1 and USL-2 were calculated to be 0.9405 and 0.9866, respectively (at the lower bound of EALF for the benchmark set). The administrative margin of 0.05 is supported by the statistically based minimum margin of subcriticality that was calculated to be 0.0039, and also that USL-2 is greater than USL-1, which is required. The results support an overall USL of 0.94 to be applied to the calculated values for cases presented in this analysis.



Upper Safety Limits (USLs) for MAP Critical Benchmark Set

Figure 6-32 – Critical benchmark experiment calculational results; shows USL-1 and USL-2 plots over range of EALF values for benchmark set

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>f</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 272/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

## 6.9 APPENDICES

This appendix provides additional information relevant to material contained in Section 6. These include the fuel assembly design parameters, the MAP-12 calculation, the KENOVI conversion verification, and sample licensing-basis cases for the package array and the single container.

### 6.9.1 FUEL ASSEMBLY DESIGN PARAMETERS AND PIN LAYOUTS

Tables 6-28 - 6-37 and Figures 6-33 - 6-36 provide the relevant design information used to create the fuel assembly models.

	Lengths in inches				
Dimension	Nominal	Tolerance	Bounding (Modeled)		
Pellet OD	≤ 0.3805	0.0007	0.3812		
Minimum Pellet OD	N/A	N/A	0.3758		
Clad ID	≤ 0.387	0.002	0.389		
Clad OD	≥ 0.440	0.002	0.438		
Pitch	0.580	-	0.580		
Active Fuel Length	144	-	163		

Table 6-28 – Design parameters for the 14 Type 1 assembly

# Table 6-29 – Design parameters for the 14 Type 2 assembly

	Lengths in inches				
Dimension	Nominal	Tolerance	Bounding (Modeled)		
Pellet OD	≤ 0.3675	0.0007	0.3682		
Minimum Pellet OD	N/A	N/A	0.3568		
Clad ID	≤ 0.374	0.002	0.376		
Clad OD	≥ 0.424	0.002	0.422		
Pitch	0.556	-	0.556		
Active Fuel Length	144	-	163		

N°	FS1-0038397		
Hand	lling:		
Restricted Framatome			

### Table 6-30 – Design parameters for the 15 Type 1a assembly

		Lengths in inche	S
Dimension	Nominal	Tolerance	Bounding (Modeled)
Pellet OD	≤ 0.3615	0.0007	0.3622
Minimum Pellet OD	N/A	N/A	0.3608
Clad ID	≤ 0.368	0.002	0.37
Clad OD	≥ 0.416	0.002	0.414
Guide Tube Wall Thickness	≥ 0.0160	0.0016	0.0144 (0.0140)
Guide Tube OD	≥ 0.530	0.002	0.528
Instrument Tube Wall Thickness	≥ 0.044	Minimum	0.044 (0.0240)
Instrument Tube OD	≥ 0.493	0.002	0.491
Pitch	0.568	-	0.568
Active Fuel Length	144	-	163

#### Table 6-31 – Design parameters for the 15 Type 1b assembly

	Lengths in inches			
Dimension	Nominal	Tolerance	Bounding (Modeled)	
Pellet OD	≤ 0.3700	0.0007	0.3707	
Minimum Pellet OD	N/A	N/A	0.3693	
Clad ID	≤ 0.377	0.002	0.379	
Clad OD	≥ 0.430	0.002	0.428	
Pitch	0.568	-	0.568	
Active Fuel Length	144	-	163	

Note: The  $\leq$  and  $\geq$  symbols used in Tables 6-28 through 6-37 denote parameter trends with the multiplication factor. For instance, a  $\leq$  symbol used in conjunction with the Pellet OD indicates that the k<sub>eff</sub> is reduced with smaller pellet diameters.

Framatome - Fuel	
Tranatorite - Tuer	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title name	
This document is subject to the restrictions set for the first of the page	

N°	FS1-0038397	
Hand	dling:	
Restricted Framatome		

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

# framatome

# Table 6-32 – Design parameters for the 15 Type 1c assembly

	Lengths in inches			
Dimension	Nominal	Tolerance	Bounding (Modeled)	
Pellet OD	≤ 0.3735	0.0007	0.3742	
Minimum Pellet OD	N/A	N/A	0.3728	
Clad ID	≤ 0.380	0.002	0.382	
Clad OD	≥ 0.430	0.002	0.428	
Pitch	0.568	-	0.568	
Active Fuel Length	144	-	163	

## Table 6-33 – Design parameters for the 15 Type 2 assembly

	Lengths in inches			
Dimension	Nominal	Tolerance	Bounding (Modeled)	
Pellet OD	≤ 0.3610	0.0007	0.3617	
Minimum Pellet OD	N/A	N/A	0.3593	
Clad ID	≤ 0.368	0.002	0.37	
Clad OD	≥ 0.416	0.002	0.414	
Pitch	0.550	-	0.550	
Active Fuel Length	144	-	163	

N°	FS1-0038397	
Hand	lling:	
Restricted Framatome		

Rev. 2.0 Page 275/375

## MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96



# Table 6-34 – Design parameters for the 15 Type 3 assembly

	Lengths in inches			
Dimension	Nominal	Tolerance	Bounding (Modeled)	
Pellet OD	≤ 0.3675	0.0007	0.3682	
Minimum Pellet OD	N/A	N/A	0.3558	
Clad ID	≤ 0.374	0.002	0.376	
Clad OD	≥ 0.424	0.002	0.422	
Pitch	0.563	-	0.563	
Active Fuel Length	144	-	163	

### Table 6-35 – Design parameters for the 16 Type 1 assembly

	Lengths in inches			
Dimension	Nominal	Tolerance	Bounding (Modeled)	
Pellet OD	≤ 0.3275	0.0007	0.3282	
Minimum Pellet OD	N/A	N/A	0.3268	
Clad ID	≤ 0.334	0.002	0.336	
Clad OD	≥ 0.382	0.002	0.38	
Pitch	0.506	-	0.506	
Active Fuel Length	150	-	163	

N°	FS1-0038397	
Hand	dling:	
Restricted Framatome		

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

# Table 6-36 – Design parameters for the 17 Type 1 assembly

	Lengths in inches			
Dimension	Nominal	Tolerance	Bounding (Modeled)	
Pellet OD	≤ 0.3245	0.0007	0.3252	
Minimum Pellet OD	N/A	N/A	0.3238	
Clad ID	≤ 0.331	0.002	0.333	
Clad OD	≥ 0.379	0.002	0.377	
Pitch	0.502	-	0.502	
Active Fuel Length	144	-	163	

### Table 6-37 – Design parameters for the 17 Type 2 assembly

	Lengths in inches			
Dimension	Nominal	Tolerance	Bounding (Modeled)	
Pellet OD	≤ 0.3225	0.0007	0.3232	
Minimum Pellet OD	N/A	N/A	0.3188	
Clad ID	≤ 0.329	0.002	0.331	
Clad OD	≥ 0.374	0.002	0.372	
Pitch	0.496	-	0.496	
Active Fuel Length	144	-	163	

№ FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 277/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96 Tramatome

..............

Figure 6-33 – Pin layouts for 14x14 assemblies; 14 Type 1 (left – 20 non-fueled locations) and 14 Type 2 (right – 17 non-fueled locations)



Figure 6-34 – Pin layouts for 15x15 assemblies; 15 Type 1 (top – 17 non-fueled locations, note that center location is for instrument tube, and remaining 16 are for guide tubes), 15 Type 2 (bottom-left – 9 non-fueled locations), and 15 Type 3 (bottom-right – 21 non-fueled locations)

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 278/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome



Figure 6-35 – Pin layout for 16x16 assembly; 16 Type 1 (20 non-fueled locations)



Figure 6-36 – Pin layout for 17x17 assembly; 17 Type 1 and 17 Type 2 (25 non-fueled locations)

#### 6.9.2 EXPLICIT MAP-12 CALCULATION

An additional case was run to provide an explicit comparison between the modeling used in this analysis based on the MAP-13 package and one based on the MAP-12 package design. The intent is to demonstrate that the calculations presented in this analysis bound both package designs.

The only differences between the two designs that may affect the criticality analysis are those differences that exist in the flux trap (axial) region. The MAP-13 and MAP-12 flux trap regions are identical except that the axial bottom and top sections are 6.38 and 6.53 inches shorter (nominal) for the MAP-12. This gives a total flux trap length of about 150 inches for the MAP-12 (there are 11 axial sections, with the inner 9 being identical; these inner sections are the same for both the MAP-12 and MAP-13) versus 163 inches for the MAP-13. In addition, the inside spacer blocks (incrementally spaced over the length of the container) have different axial (z-direction) lengths and the axial spacing between the blocks is different. The blocks for the MAP-12 are 2.5 inches shorter and are spaced 2.5 inches closer together (center-to-center).

These modifications were made to the license-basis case for the package array, to evaluate the same condition with the MAP-12. The results are shown in Table 6-38. The results show that the difference is statistically insignificant, although the MAP-12 has a slightly lower calculated  $k_{eff}$ . This confirms that the criticality analysis bounds both the MAP-13 and MAP-12 designs.

Description	Calculated k <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	<i>k</i> <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ
Array licensing-basis case with dry fuel-clad gap (MAP- 13)	0.9324	0.0016	0.9356
Array licensing-basis case with dry-fuel clad gap (MAP- 12)	0.9313	0.0016	0.9345

#### Table 6-38 – Comparison between the MAP-13 and MAP-12

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 280/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

# 6.9.3 COMPARISON BETWEEN KENOV AND KENOVI VERSIONS OF BENCHMARKS

Table 6-39 shows the comparison between results from the original KENOV versions of the benchmark input cases and the converted KENOVI cases used for benchmarking in this analysis. There are differences in calculated  $k_{eff}$ , as expected from the Monte Carlo method. However, the majority of the differences are within 1 sigma, and all are within 2 sigma statistical uncertainty. Therefore, it is concluded that the differences between the original KENOV and the converted KENOVI cases are statistically insignificant and the converted KENOVI cases are valid for benchmarking.

	KENOV		KENOVI		KENOV – KENOVI	
Case ID	Calculated k <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	Calculate d k <sub>eff</sub>	Uncertainty (σ)	∆k <sub>eff</sub>	Combined Uncertainty (1σ)
P2438BA	0.9975	0.0008	0.9979	0.0007	0.0004	0.0011
P2615BA	0.9988	0.0008	1.0004	0.0009	0.0016	0.0012
P62FT231	1.0003	0.0010	1.0026	0.0009	0.0023	0.0013
P71F214R	0.9983	0.0009	0.9991	0.0008	0.0008	0.0012
P71F14F3	0.9998	0.0009	1.0009	0.0010	0.0011	0.0013
P71F14V3	0.9982	0.0008	0.9971	0.0008	-0.0011	0.0011
P71F14V5	0.9985	0.0009	0.9977	0.0009	-0.0008	0.0013
ANS33EB1	0.9970	0.0009	0.9972	0.0010	0.0002	0.0013
ANS33EB2	1.0084	0.0010	1.0093	0.0009	0.0009	0.0013
ANS33EP1	0.9966	0.0008	0.9966	0.0010	0.0000	0.0013
ANS33EP2	0.9991	0.0008	1.0007	0.0009	0.0016	0.0012
ANS33STY	0.9918	0.0011	0.9914	0.0011	-0.0004	0.0016
ANS33AL1	1.0082	0.0008	1.0065	0.0010	-0.0017	0.0013
ANS33AL2	1.0109	0.0009	1.0135	0.0009	0.0026	0.0013
ANS33AL3	1.0016	0.0010	1.0025	0.0009	0.0009	0.0013
P2438AL	0.9969	0.0007	0.9981	0.0007	0.0012	0.0010
P2438SS	0.9968	0.0008	0.9963	0.0008	-0.0005	0.0011
P2615AL	0.9979	0.0009	0.9979	0.0009	0.0000	0.0013
P2615SS	0.9985	0.0008	0.9990	0.0009	0.0005	0.0012
P3314AL	0.9954	0.0008	0.9965	0.0009	0.0011	0.0012
P3314BA	0.9998	0.0009	0.9980	0.0008	-0.0018	0.0012
P3314BC	1.0003	0.0009	0.9996	0.0009	-0.0007	0.0013
P3314BF1	1.0011	0.0009	1.0023	0.0009	0.0012	0.0013
P3314BF2	1.0003	0.0009	0.9997	0.0009	-0.0006	0.0013

# Table 6-39 – Comparison between the benchmark cases in KENOV (original verified cases) and KENOVI (converted cases) formats

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

FS1-0038397 N° Handling:

**Restricted Framatome** 

Rev. 2.0

Page 281/375

# MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package – USA/9319/B(U)F-96

fra	am	at	<b>O</b> r	ne

P3314BS1	0.9965	0.0008	0.9952	0.0009	-0.0013	0.0012
P3314BS2	0.9940	0.0008	0.9940	0.0009	0.0000	0.0012
P3314BS3	0.9984	0.0008	0.9969	0.0009	-0.0015	0.0012
P3314BS4	1.0008	0.0008	0.9998	0.0008	-0.0010	0.0011
P3314SS1	0.9995	0.0008	0.9997	0.0009	0.0002	0.0012
P3314SS2	0.9993	0.0008	1.0001	0.0008	0.0008	0.0011
P3314SS3	0.9982	0.0008	0.9976	0.0008	-0.0006	0.0011
P3314SS4	0.9976	0.0008	0.9978	0.0008	0.0002	0.0011
P3314SS5	0.9940	0.0009	0.9955	0.0008	0.0015	0.0012
P3314SS6	0.9994	0.0008	1.0002	0.0009	0.0008	0.0012
NSE71W1	0.9965	0.0010	0.9983	0.0010	0.0018	0.0014
NSE71W2	0.9979	0.0008	0.9974	0.0008	-0.0005	0.0011
P3314W1	1.0018	0.0010	1.0015	0.0008	-0.0003	0.0013
P3314W2	0.9965	0.0009	0.9963	0.0008	-0.0002	0.0012
W3269W1	0.9981	0.0008	0.9990	0.0008	0.0009	0.0011
W3269W2	0.9996	0.0010	0.9999	0.0009	0.0003	0.0013
ANS33SLG	0.9975	0.0008	0.9968	0.0009	-0.0007	0.0012
BW1484SL	0.9940	0.0008	0.9924	0.0008	-0.0016	0.0011
EPRU65	0.9967	0.0008	0.9958	0.0008	-0.0009	0.0011
EPRU75	0.9971	0.0009	0.9967	0.0008	-0.0004	0.0012
EPRU87	0.9981	0.0008	0.9986	0.0007	0.0005	0.0011
NSE71SQ	0.9977	0.0010	0.9984	0.0010	0.0007	0.0014
P2438SLG	0.9961	0.0007	0.9957	0.0009	-0.0004	0.0011
P2827SLG	0.9962	0.0008	0.9964	0.0008	0.0002	0.0011
P3314SLG	0.9974	0.0009	0.9970	0.0009	-0.0004	0.0013
P3926L1	1.0013	0.0007	1.0008	0.0008	-0.0005	0.0011
P3926L2	1.0008	0.0007	1.0018	0.0008	0.0010	0.0011
W3269SL1	0.9973	0.0009	0.9969	0.0008	-0.0004	0.0012
W3269SL2	1.0029	0.0008	1.0037	0.0009	0.0008	0.0012
W3385SL1	0.9963	0.0009	0.9982	0.0009	0.0019	0.0013
W3385SL2	1.0005	0.0009	1.0007	0.0009	0.0002	0.0013

N° FS1-0038397		Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	282/375

#### 6.9.4 SAMPLE INPUT CASES

# 6.9.4.1 INPUT FOR LICENSING-BASIS CASE FOR PACKAGE ARRAY WITH DRY FUEL-CLAD GAP

parm='size=900000' =csas26 15typel fa in shipping container latticecell 238g '100% td and 5.0 w/o uo2 1 1.0 293 92235 5 92238 95 end 'zirc zr 2 1.0 293 end 'water in assy lattice h2o 3 1.0 293 end 'volume in container external to bundles h2o 4 1.0e-8 293 end 'rubber h2o 5 1.0 293 end ' ss plate, 0.13" thick ss304 6 1.0 293 end ' boral, minimum areal density(at min thk)=0.0240 gm b-10/sqcm  $' \min thk = 0.119'' = 0.30226cm$ ' vol dens = 0.0240/(0.30226\*0.18431) =0.4308 gm natural b/cucm ' we use only 75%, 0.75 \* 0.4308 = 0.3231 gm natural b/cucm b 7 den=0.3231 1 293 end 'nylon 66 arbmnyl 1.14 4 0 1 0 6012 6 7014 1 8016 1 1001 11 8 1.0 293 end 'reflector water h2o 9 1.0 293 end ' ss plate, 0.085" thick ss304 10 1.0 293 end ' ss plate, 0.115" thick ss304 11 1.0 293 end ' gap water h2o 12 1.0 293 end ' aluminum for doors al 13 1 293 end ' ss bar at center ss304 14 1.0 293 end end comp squarepitch 1.44272 0.91999 1 3 1.05156 2 0.93980 0 end more data dab=2000 res=6 slab 0.3302 res=10 slab 0.2159 res=11 slab 0.2921 res=14 cyli 1.563 end more

read parm tme=120 gen=280 nsk=3 run=yes npg=1000 far=yes plt=yes

° FS1-0038397	0038397 Rev. 2.0 MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Packa		<b>c</b> .
andling: estricted Framatome	Page 283/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
lng=3000000 nb8=1200 end parm read geometry			
unit 10 com='wet fuel pin cell'			
' fuel stack cyli 10 0.45999 2p207.01			
' clad inner surface cyli 20 0.46990 2p207.01			
' clad outer surface cyli 30 0.52578 2p207.01			
' unit cell cubo 40 4p0.72136 2p207.03	1		
' fuel media 1 1 10			
' fuel-clad gap (void) media 0 1 20 -10			
' clad media 2 1 30 -20			
' water or whatever is exte media 3 1 40 -30	ernal to cla	ad	
boundary 40			
unit 20 com='wet guidetube cell-no	clad'		
' guide tube inner surface cyli 10 0.63500 2p207.01			
' guide tube outer surface cyli 20 0.67056 2p207.01			
' unit cell cubo 40 4p0.72136 2p207.03	1		
' water or whatever is into media 3 1 10	ernal to cla	ad	
' clad media 3 1 20 -10			
' water or whatever is exte media 3 1 40 -20	ernal to cla	ad	
boundary 40			
unit 30 com='wet instrument tube co	ell-no clad	,	
' instrument tube inner su: cyli 10 0.56261 2p207.01	rface		

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                          MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                       framatome
                                                    USA/9319/B(U)F-96
Handling:
                               Page
Restricted Framatome
                               284/375
    ' instrument tube outer surface
    cyli 20 0.62357 2p207.01
    ' unit cell
    cubo 40 4p0.72136 2p207.01
    ' water or whatever is internal to clad
    media 3 1 10
    ' clad-water
    media 3 1 20 -10
    ' water or whatever is external to clad
    media 3 1 40 -20
    boundary 40
    ' region type=pad
    ' edge type=base_inner_full
    unit 200
    cubo 100 10.79500 -10.79500 0.31750 -0.31750 207.01000 -207.01000
    media 5 1 100
    boundary 100
    ' region type=pad
    ' edge type=base_outer_full
    unit 210
    cubo 100 10.79500 -10.79500 0.31750 -0.31750 207.01000 -207.01000
    media 5 1 100
    boundary 100
    ' region type=steel
    ' edge type=base_inner_full
    unit 300
    cubo 100 11.60249 -11.60249 0.16510 -0.16510 207.01000 -207.01000
    media 6 1 100
    boundary 100
    ' region type=steel
    ' edge type=base outer full
    unit 310
    cubo 100 10.91999 -10.91999 0.16510 -0.16510 207.01000 -207.01000
    media 6 1 100
    boundary 100
```

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	_

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                        framatome
                                                     USA/9319/B(U)F-96
Handling:
                               Page
Restricted Framatome
                               285/375
    ' region type=steel
    ' edge type=lid_inner_lower
    unit 320
    cubo 100 6.27380 -6.27380 0.10795 -0.10795 207.01000 -207.01000
    media 10 1 100
    boundary 100
    ' region type=steel
    ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
    unit 330
    cubo 100 5.13080 -5.13080 0.10795 -0.10795 207.01000 -207.01000
    media 10 1 100
    boundary 100
    ' region type=steel
    ' edge type=lid_outer_upper
    unit 340
    cubo 100 6.15950 -6.15950 0.10795 -0.10795 207.01000 -207.01000
    media 10 1 100
    boundary 100
    ' region type=steel
    ' edge type=lid outer lower
    unit 350
    cubo 100 6.51510 -6.51510 0.10795 -0.10795 207.01000 -207.01000
    media 10 1 100
    boundary 100
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=base_inner_full
     ' axial part type=lower(1)
    unit 400
    cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720
    cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
     ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=base inner full
```

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                        framatome
                                                    USA/9319/B(U)F-96
Handling:
                               Page
Restricted Framatome
                                286/375
    ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 402
    cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700
    cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
    ' edge type=base inner full
    ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 404
    cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280
    cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=base_inner_full
    ' axial array
    unit 406
    cubo 10 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000
    array 406 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280
    boundary 10
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=base_outer_full
     ' axial part type=lower(1)
    unit 410
    cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720
    cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=base_outer_full
    ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 412
```

cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700 cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470 media 7 1 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=boral ' edge type=base\_outer\_full ' axial part type=upper(1) unit 414 cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280 cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050 media 7 1 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=boral ' edge type=base\_outer\_full ' axial array unit 416 cubo 10 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000 array 416 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280 boundary 10 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower ' axial part type=lower(1) unit 420 cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720 cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720

media 7 1 100

```
    N°
    FS1-0038397
    Rev.
2.0

    Handling:
Restricted Framatome
    Page
288/375
    MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –
USA/9319/B(U)F-96
```

```
boundary 200
```

```
' region type=boral
```

```
' edge type=lid_inner_lower
```

```
' axial part type=main(9)
```

```
unit 422
```

cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700

cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470

media 7 1 100

media 4 1 -100 200

boundary 200

```
' region type=boral
```

' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower

```
' axial part type=upper(1)
```

unit 424

cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280

```
cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050
```

```
media 7 1 100
```

```
media 4 1 -100 200
```

boundary 200

```
' region type=boral
```

```
' edge type=lid_inner_lower
```

' axial array unit 426

-----

cubo 10 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000

array 426 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280

boundary 10

- ' region type=boral
- ' edge type=lid\_inner\_upper
```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                             2.0
                                        MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                  framatome
                                                 USA/9319/B(U)F-96
Handling:
                             Page
Restricted Framatome
                             289/375
    ' axial part type=lower(1)
    unit 430
    cubo 100 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720
    cubo 200 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
    ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
```

media 7 1 100

boundary 200

```
' region type=boral
```

media 4 1 -100 200

' edge type=lid\_inner\_upper

' axial part type=main(9)

unit 432

' axial part type=upper(1)

unit 434

cubo 100 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280 cubo 200 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050 media 7 1 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_inner\_upper ' axial array unit 436

cubo 100 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700

cubo 200 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                          MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                       framatome
                                                    USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                               Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                               290/375
    cubo 10 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000
    array 436 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280
    boundary 10
    ' region type=boral
    ' edge type=lid_outer_upper
    ' axial part type=lower(1)
    unit 440
    cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720
    cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
    ' edge type=lid outer upper
    ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 442
    cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700
    cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=lid_outer_upper
     ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 444
    cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280
    cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
```

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

boundary 200

```
' region type=boral
' edge type=lid_outer_upper
' axial array
unit 446
cubo 10 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000
array 446 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280
boundary 10
' region type=boral
' edge type=lid outer lower
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 450
cubo 100 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720
cubo 200 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720
media 7 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=boral
' edge type=lid_outer_lower
' axial part type=main(9)
unit 452
cubo 100 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700
cubo 200 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470
media 7 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=boral
' edge type=lid_outer_lower
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 454
```

cubo 100 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                          MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                        framatome
                                                    USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                               Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                               292/375
    cubo 200 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
    ' edge type=lid_outer_lower
    ' axial array
    unit 456
    cubo 10 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000
    array 456 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280
    boundary 10
    ' region type=plastic
    ' edge type=base inner full
     ' axial part type=lower(1)
    unit 1160
    com=' part 16 plastic block'
    ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
    ' bevel surface at -x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
    ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
    media 8 1 10 -20 100
    media 4 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 20 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
     ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=base_inner_full
```

```
    N°
    FS1-0038397
    Rev.
2.0

    Handling:
    Page

    Restricted Framatome
    293/3
```

```
293/375
' axial part type=main(9)
unit 1162
com=' part 16 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
' bevel surface at +x
plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
media 8 1 10 -20 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 20 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base_inner_full
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 1164
com=' part 16 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
' bevel surface at +x
plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 10 -20 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 20 100
```

media 4 1 -100 200

boundary 200

- ' region type=plastic
- ' edge type=base\_inner\_full

```
' axial array
unit 1166
cubo 10 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
array 1166 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base inner full
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 2160
com=' part 16 plastic block (right)'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
' bevel surface at +x
plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
media 8 1 10 -20 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 20 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base_inner_full
' axial part type=main(9)
unit 2162
com=' part 16 plastic block (right)'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
```

' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45 ' bevel surface at +xplane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470 media 8 1 10 -20 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 20 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base inner full ' axial part type=upper(1) unit 2164 com=' part 16 plastic block (right)' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45 ' bevel surface at +x plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050 media 8 1 10 -20 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 20 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_inner\_full (right)

' axial array

```
unit 2166
```

cubo 10 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 206.78366 -206.78366 array 2166 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436 boundary 10 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_outer\_full ' axial part type=lower(1) unit 1170 com=' part 171 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=45 ' bevel surface at +x plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720 media 8 1 10 -20 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 20 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_outer\_full ' axial part type=main(9) unit 1172 com=' part 171 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=45 ' bevel surface at +x plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856

```
        N°
        FS1-0038397
        Rev.
2.0
        MAP PW

        Handling:
        Page
        Page

        Restricted Framatome
        297/375
        Page
```

```
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
media 8 1 10 -20 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 20 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base outer full
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 1174
com=' part 171 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=45
' bevel surface at +x
plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 10 -20 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 20 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base_outer_full
' axial array
unit 1176
cubo 10 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
array 1176 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base outer full
' axial part type=lower(1)
```

```
N° FS1-0038397
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
```

298/375 unit 2170 com=' part 17r plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45 ' bevel surface at +x plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720 media 8 1 10 -20 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 20 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_outer\_full ' axial part type=main(9) unit 2172 com=' part 17r plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45 ' bevel surface at +x plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470 media 8 1 10 -20 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 20 100 media 4 1 -100 200

Rev.

2.0

Page

boundary 200

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                                2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                         framatome
                                                     USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                                Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                                299/375
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=base_outer_full
    ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 2174
    com=' part 17r plastic block'
    ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
    ' bevel surface at -x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=-45
     ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 8 1 10 -20 100
    media 4 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 20 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
    ' edge type=base_outer_full
    ' axial array
    unit 2176
    cubo 10 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
    array 2176 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
    boundary 10
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid_inner_lower
     ' axial part type=lower(1)
    unit 1550
    com=' part 551 plastic block'
     ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
     ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
```

media 8 1 100

Rev.

2.0

Page

300/375

media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower ' axial part type=main(9) unit 1552 com=' part 551 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470 media 8 1 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower ' axial part type=upper(1) unit 1554 com=' part 551 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050 media 8 1 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower ' axial array unit 1556 cubo 10 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156 array 1556 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436 boundary 10 ' region type=plastic

```
301/375
' edge type=lid_inner_lower
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 2550
com=' part 55r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
media 8 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid inner lower
' axial part type=main(9)
unit 2552
com=' part 55r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
media 8 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_lower
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 2554
com=' part 55r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 100
```

media 4 1 -100 200

boundary 200

```
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_lower
' axial array
unit 2556
cubo 10 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
array 2556 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid inner upper
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 1570
com=' part 571 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
media 8 1 10 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_upper
' axial part type=main(9)
unit 1572
com=' part 571 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
```

' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                          MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                       framatome
                                                    USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                               Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                               303/375
    cubo 200 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
    media 8 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
    ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 1574
    com=' part 571 plastic block'
    ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
    ' bevel surface at -x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45
    ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 8 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
    ' axial array
    unit 1576
```

cubo 10 10.49496 0.28063 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156

array 1576 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436

boundary 10

```
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_upper
' axial part type=lower(1)
```

unit 2570

- com=' part 57r plastic block'
- ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm

```
' bevel surface at +x
```

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                                2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                         framatome
                                                     USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                                Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                                304/375
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
    media 8 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
     ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 2572
    com=' part 57r plastic block'
     ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
     ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
    media 8 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
    ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 2574
    com=' part 57r plastic block'
    ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
     ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
     ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
```

media 8 1 -10 100

**Restricted Framatome** 

media 4 1 10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_inner\_upper ' axial array unit 2576 cubo 10 -0.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156 array 2576 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436 boundary 10 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper unit 1598 com=' part 591w plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm wedge 10 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 28.45753 origin z=0.19844 media 8 1 10 wedge 20 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin  $z{=}30.34824$ media 8 1 20 wedge 30 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=69.99764 media 8 1 30 wedge 40 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=109.64704 media 8 1 40 wedge 50 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=149.29644 media 8 1 50 wedge 60 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=188.94584 media 8 1 60 wedge 70 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=228.59524 media 8 1 70 wedge 80 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=268.24464 media 8 1 80 wedge 90 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=307.89404 media 8 1 90

Rev.

2.0

Page

305/375

wedge 100 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin  $z{=}347.54344$ 

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

Rev. FS1-0038397 N° 2.0 MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package framatome USA/9319/B(U)F-96 Page Handling: **Restricted Framatome** 306/375 media 8 1 100 wedge 110 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 26.62873 origin z=387.19284 media 8 1 110 wedge 120 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 414.02000 origin z=0.00000 media 4 1 -10 -20 -30 -40 -50 -60 -70 -80 -90 -100 -110 120 boundary 120 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper unit 2598 com=' part 59rw plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm wedge 10 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 28.45753 origin z=0.19844 media 8 1 10 wedge 20 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=30.34824 media 8 1 20 wedge 30 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=69.99764 media 8 1 30 wedge 40 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=109.64704 media 8 1 40 wedge 50 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=149.29644 media 8 1 50 wedge 60 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=188.94584 media 8 1 60 wedge 70 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=228.59524 media 8 1 70 wedge 80 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=268.24464 media 8 1 80 wedge 90 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=307.89404 media 8 1 90 wedge 100 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=347.54344 media 8 1 100 wedge 110 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 26.62873 origin z=387.19284 media 8 1 110 wedge 120 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 414.02000 origin z=0.00000

media 4 1 -10 -20 -30 -40 -50 -60 -70 -80 -90 -100 -110 120

boundary 120

' region type=plastic

- ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper
- ' axial part type=lower(1)
- unit 1590

com=' part 591 plastic block'

' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm

' bevel surface at +x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876

' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720

media 8 1 -10 100

media 4 1 10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper

' axial part type=main(9)

unit 1592

com=' part 591 plastic block'

' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm

' bevel surface at +x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856

' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470

media 8 1 -10 100

media 4 1 10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper

' axial part type=upper(1)

unit 1594

```
com=' part 591 plastic block'
```

```
    N°
    FS1-0038397
    Rev.
2.0

    Handling:
    Page

    Restricted Framatome
    308/375
```

' edge type=lid outer upper

```
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at +x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 -10 100
media 4 1 10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid outer upper
' axial array
unit 1596
cubo 10 -0.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
array 1596 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_outer_upper
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 2590
com=' part 59r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
media 8 1 10 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
```

```
    N°
    FS1-0038397
    Rev.
2.0

    Handling:
    Page

    Restricted Framatome
    309/375
```

```
' axial part type=main(9)
```

unit 2592 com=' part 59r plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470 media 8 1 10 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid outer upper ' axial part type=upper(1) unit 2594 com=' part 59r plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050 media 8 1 10 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid outer upper ' axial array unit 2596 cubo 10 11.37245 0.28063 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156 array 2596 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436

boundary 10

' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower ' axial part type=lower(1) unit 1610 com=' part 611 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-20 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720 media 8 1 10 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower ' axial part type=main(9) unit 1612 com=' part 611 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-20 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470 media 8 1 10 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid outer lower ' axial part type=upper(1) unit 1614 com=' part 611 plastic block'

```
    N°
    FS1-0038397
    Rev.
2.0

    Handling:
    Page

    Restricted Framatome
    311/375
```

' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm

```
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-20
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 10 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_outer_lower
' axial array
unit 1616
cubo 10 -0.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
array 1616 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_outer_lower
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 2610
com=' part 61r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at +x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=20
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
media 8 1 -10 100
media 4 1 10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
```

312/375 ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower ' axial part type=main(9) unit 2612 com=' part 61r plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at +x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=20 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470 media 8 1 -10 100 media 4 1 10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower ' axial part type=upper(1) unit 2614 com=' part 61r plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at +x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=20 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050 media 8 1 -10 100 media 4 1 10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower ' axial array unit 2616 cubo 10 10.71083 0.19844 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156

array 2616 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436

Rev.

boundary 10

```
unit 810
com='aluminum door and rubber at upper edge of assy'
' nominal aluminum dims: 0.25" thk by 7.9" wide by 35.0" long
' tolerances: 0.03" by 0.1" by 0.1"
' dimensions modeled: 0.22" by 7.8" by 34.9"
' there is a nominal 0.25" axial gap, modeled as 0.25 + 0.03(gap tol) + .1 (half of alum length tol times
two)
' axial gap modeled as 0.38"
' this is a rubber layer, modeled as same width and length as alum and 0.22" thk
cubo 20 2p9.906 0.5588 0 2p44.323
' this is aluminum
cubo 40 2p9.906 1.1176 0 2p44.323
' gap
cubo 60 2p9.906 1.1176 0 2p44.8056
media 5 1 20
media 13 1 40 -20
media 9 1 60 -40
boundary 60
unit 820
com='aluminum door and rubber at upper edge of assy'
' there are 4 units 810, unit 820 is at -z end
' 4 * 44.8056 = 179.2224
' total length here is 207.01 - 179.2224 = 27.7876
' gap is final 0.19" (0.4826 cm) of the length
' this is a rubber layer, modeled as same width and length as alum and 0.22" thk
cubo 20 2p9.906 0.5588 0 27.305 0
' this is aluminum
cubo 40 2p9.906 1.1176 0 27.305 0
' gap
cubo 60 2p9.906 1.1176 0 27.7876 0
media 5 1 20
media 13 1 40 -20
media 9 1 60 -40
boundary 60
unit 830
com="same as unit 820 except gap at -z end"
' this is a rubber layer, modeled as same width and length as alum and 0.22" thk
```

cubo 20 2p9.906 0.5588 0 27.7876 0.4846

```
N° FS1-0038397
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
```

```
' this is aluminum
cubo 40 2p9.906 1.1176 0 27.7876 0.4846
' gap
cubo 60 2p9.906 1.1176 0 27.7876 0
media 5 1 20
media 13 1 40 -20
media 9 1 60 -40
boundary 60
unit 840
com='axial array of doors'
cubo 10 2p9.906 1.1176 0 2p207.01
array 2 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -207.01
boundary 10
unit 860
com='steel bar at center of package'
' nominal 0.75" high by 1.75" wide, use 0.70 x 1.70
cubo 20 2p2.159 1.778 0 2p207.01
media 14 1 20
boundary 20
unit 900
com='fuel assembly, not rotated'
cubo 10 21.640800 0 21.640800 0 2p207.01
array 1 10 place 1 1 1 0.721360 0.721360 0
' water layer around assy
cubo 20 23.8633 -0.635 23.8633 -0.635 2p207.01
'hole 840 origin x=11.73480 y=21.64080
'hole 840 origin x=21.64080 y=11.73480 rotate a3=-90
hole 200 origin x=10.795 y=-0.3175
hole 200 origin x=-0.3175 y=10.795 rotate a3=90
media 9 1 20 -10
boundary 20
unit 1000
com='one package'
' bundle c-c spacing is 15.2"
' diamond is 10.03" x 9.86" (across outer steel surfaces)
```

' diamond diagonal is 14.0649"

Rev.

2.0

Page

314/375

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                        framatome
                                                     USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                               Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                               315/375
    ' distance to spacers from diagonal: 8.10" (lower) and 8.62" (upper)
    ' vertical sum is 30.78"
    ' distance to outer surface of steel wall is 4.10" (lower) and 4.62" (upper)
    ' horizontal span across outer steel surfaces: 41.95"
    ' model steel as 0.115" thick
    ' base is 21.5" tall, including spacer height (54.61 cm)
    ' top of base is at y= 54.61 - 20.574 = 34.036 cm
    ' hanging central blocks with steel carriers
    ' inner steel surface
    cubo 2 2p1.6256 22.45 14.9866 2p207.01
    ' outer steel surface
    cubo 4 2p1.8415 22.45 14.7707 2p207.01
    wedge 6 1.4018 0 1.4018 414.02 origin x=-1.4018 y=20.3255 z=-207.01
    wedge 8 1.4018 1.4018 1.4018 414.02 origin x=0 y=20.3255 z=-207.01
    cubo 10 2p1.4018 20.3255 14.9866 2p207.01
    plane 11 zpl=1 origin z=-195.41973
    plane 12 zpl=1 origin z=-164.04107
    plane 13 zpl=1 origin z=-105.55453
    plane 14 zpl=1 origin z=-74.17587
    plane 15 zpl=1 origin z=-15.68933
    plane 16 zpl=1 origin z=15.68933
    plane 17 zpl=1 origin z=74.17587
    plane 18 zpl=1 origin z=105.55453
    plane 19 zpl=1 origin z=164.04107
    plane 20 zpl=1 origin z=195.41973
    ' flooded regions
    plane 31 ypl=1 origin y=16
    cubo 32 -17 -30.5 34.5 26 2p207.01 rotate a3=20
    cubo 33 30.5 17 34.5 26 2p207.01 rotate a3=-20
    cubo 34 3.2 -5 24.5 0 2p207.01 origin x=-1.828 y=17.942 rotate a3=45
    cubo 35 5 -3.2 24.5 0 2p207.01 origin x=1.828 y=17.942 rotate a3=-45
    cubo 36 2p41 22 16 2p207.01
    media 9 1 32 31
    media 9 1 33 31
    media 4 1 32 -31
    media 4 1 33 -31
    media 9 1 34 -4
```

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

```
        N°
        FS1-0038397
        Rev.
2.0

        Handling:
        Page
316/375
```

```
media 9 1 35 -34 -4
media 9 1 36 -35 -34 -33 -32 -4
media 9 1 4 -11 31
media 4 1 4 -11 -31
' steel
media 10 1 4 -2 11 -12
' nylon
media 8 1 6 11 -12
media 8 1 8 11 -12
media 8 1 10 11 -12
' water
media 9 1 2 -6 -8 -10 11 -12
media 9 1 4 12 -13 31
media 4 1 4 12 -13 -31
' steel
media 10 1 4 -2 13 -14
' nylon
media 8 1 6 13 -14
media 8 1 8 13 -14
media 8 1 10 13 -14
' water
media 9 1 2 -6 -8 -10 13 -14
media 9 1 4 14 -15 31
media 4 1 4 14 -15 -31
' steel
media 10 1 4 -2 15 -16
' nylon
media 8 1 6 15 -16
media 8 1 8 15 -16
media 8 1 10 15 -16
' water
media 9 1 2 -6 -8 -10 15 -16
media 9 1 4 16 -17 31
media 4 1 4 16 -17 -31
```

```
' steel
```

```
media 10 1 4 -2 17 -18
' nylon
media 8 1 6 17 -18
media 8 1 8 17 -18
media 8 1 10 17 -18
' water
media 9 1 2 -6 -8 -10 17 -18
media 9 1 4 18 -19 31
media 4 1 4 18 -19 -31
' steel
media 10 1 4 -2 19 -20
' nylon
media 8 1 6 19 -20
media 8 1 8 19 -20
media 8 1 10 19 -20
' water
media 9 1 2 -6 -8 -10 19 -20
media 9 1 4 20 31
media 4 1 4 20 -31
' inner surface of steel
cubo 580 2p52.9844 47.1675 -10.1981 2p207.01
media 4 1 580 -32 -33 -34 -35 -36 -4
' outer surface of steel
cubo 590 2p53.2765 47.4596 -10.4140 2p207.01
media 11 1 -580 590
' package is 44.98" wide by 30.78" high by 207.98" long
' modeled as 42.98" by 28.78" by 163"
cubo 600 2p54.5846 47.4596 -18.034 2p207.01
media 4 1 -590 600
' top of bar is 0.25" below bottom of steel carrier
' 14.7707 - 0.25 * 2.54 - 0.7 * 2.54 = 12.3577
```

hole 860 origin x=0 y=12.3577 z=0

Rev.

framatome

hole 900 origin x=19.304 y=1.36500 z=0 rotate a3=45 hole 900 origin x=-19.304 y=1.36500 z=0 rotate a3=45 ' region type=steel ' edge type=base\_inner\_full hole 300 origin x=-10.73161 y=8.80588 rotate a3=45.0 hole 300 origin x=10.73161 y=8.80588 rotate a3=-45.0 ' region type=steel ' edge type=base outer full hole 310 origin x=-27.39379 y=8.32328 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 310 origin x=27.39379 y=8.32328 rotate a3=45.0 ' region type=steel ' edge type=lid inner lower hole 320 origin x=-4.86824 y=25.67904 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 320 origin x=4.86824 y=25.67904 rotate a3=45.0 ' region type=steel ' edge type=lid inner upper hole 330 origin x=-15.05185 y=31.62397 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 330 origin x=15.05185 y=31.62397 rotate a3=45.0 ' region type=steel ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper hole 340 origin x=-23.67289 y=30.89657 rotate a3=45.0  $\,$ hole 340 origin x=23.67289 y=30.89657 rotate a3=-45.0 ' region type=steel ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower hole 350 origin x=-34.23368 y=24.21537 rotate a3=20 hole 350 origin x=34.23368 y=24.21537 rotate a3=-20 ' region type=boral ' edge type=base inner full hole 406 origin x=-11.31247 y=7.77780 rotate a3=45.0 hole 406 origin x=11.31247 y=7.77780 rotate a3=-45.0 ' region type=boral ' edge type=base outer full

hole 416 origin x=-27.29553 y=7.77780 rotate a3=-45.0

## Framatome - Fuel This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

hole 416 origin x=27.29553 y=7.77780 rotate a3=45.0 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower hole 426 origin x=-4.85567 y=26.03286 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 426 origin x=4.85567 y=26.03286 rotate a1=180 a3=45.0 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_inner\_upper hole 436 origin x=-15.20990 y=32.14842 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 436 origin x=15.20990 y=32.14842 rotate a1=180 a3=45.0 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper hole 446 origin x=-23.87405 y=31.06181 rotate a3=45.0 hole 446 origin x=23.87405 y=31.06181 rotate a1=180 a3=-45.0 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower hole 456 origin x=-33.78217 y=24.66807 rotate a3=20 hole 456 origin x=33.78217 y=24.66807 rotate a1=180 a3=-20 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_inner\_full hole 1166 origin x=-10.15323 y=6.61856 rotate a3=45.0 hole 2166 origin x=10.15323 y=6.61856 rotate a3=-45.0 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_outer\_full hole 1166 origin x=-28.45477 y=6.61856 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 2166 origin x=28.45477 y=6.61856 rotate a3=45.0 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid inner lower hole 1556 origin x=-4.63037 y=28.12605 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 2556 origin x=3.46294 y=26.95862 rotate a3=45.0

Rev.

2.0

Page

319/375

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_inner\_upper

```
FS1-0038397
N°
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
```

global

framatome

2.0 Page 320/375 hole 1576 origin x=-17.87625 y=37.13325 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 2576 origin x=17.87625 y=37.13325 rotate a3=45.0 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper hole 1596 origin x=-20.19180 y=37.20396 rotate a3=45.0  $\,$ hole 2596 origin x=20.19180 y=37.20396 rotate a3=-45.0 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper (wedge) hole 1598 origin x=-28.09172 y=27.05787 z=-207.01 rotate a3=45.0 hole 2598 origin x=27.11026 y=28.03933 z=-207.01 rotate a3=-45.0 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower hole 1616 origin x=-29.12357 y=28.13077 rotate a3=20 hole 2616 origin x=29.12357 y=28.13077 rotate a3=-20 boundary 600 unit 1001 com='single container flipped' cubo 600 2p54.5846 47.4596 -18.034 2p207.01 hole 1000 origin x=0 y=29.4256 rotate a3=180  $\,$ boundary 600

Rev.

```
unit 2000
com='4x9x1 array of damaged packages'
cubo 10 436.6768 0 589.4424 0 414.02 0
array 2000 10 place 1 1 1 54.58460 18.03400 207.01000
cubo 20 466.6768 -30 619.4424 -30 444.02 -30
media 9 1 -10 20
boundary 20
end geometry
read arrav
' doors
ara=2 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=6
fill 820 4r810 830 end fill
'assy lattice
ara=1 nux=15 nuy=15 nuz=1
fill
```

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shinning Package -	<b>•</b> •
Hanc Rest	lling: tricted Framatome	Page 321/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 20 10 10 10			
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 10	
	10 10 20 10 10 20 10	10 10 20 10 10 20	10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	30 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		10 10	
	10 10 20 10 10 20 10	10 10 20 10 10 20	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 20 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 20 10	10 10 20 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	end fill			
	' region type=boral			
	l odgo turo-bago inno	r full		
	ara=406 nux=1 nux=1 n	uz=11		
	fill 400 9r402 404 en	d fill		
	' region type=boral			
	' edge type=base oute	r full		
	ara=416 nux=1 nuy=1 n	uz=11		
	fill 410 9r412 414 en	d fill		
	' region type=boral			
	' edge type=lid_inner	_lower		
	ara=426 nux=1 nuy=1 n	uz=11		
	fill 420 9r422 424 en	d fill		
	' region type=boral			
	' edge type=lid_inner	_upper		
	ara=436 nux=1 nuy=1 n	uz=11		
	fill 430 9r432 434 en	d fill		
	' region type=boral			
	' edge type=lid_outer	_upper		
	ara=446 nux=1 nuy=1 n	uz=11		
	fill 440 9r442 444 en	d fill		
	' region type=boral			
	' edge type=lid_outer	_lower		
	ara=456 nux=1 nuy=1 n	uz=11		
	fill 450 9r452 454 en	d fill		
	' region type=plastic			
	' edge type=base_inne	r_full		

ara=1166 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 1160 9r1162 1164 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=base\_inner\_full
ara=2166 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11

fill 2160 9r2162 2164 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=base\_outer\_full ara=1176 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 1170 9r1172 1174 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=base\_outer\_full ara=2176 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 2170 9r2172 2174 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower ara=1556 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 1550 9r1552 1554 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower ara=2556 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 2550 9r2552 2554 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=base\_outer\_full ara=1576 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 1570 9r1572 1574 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=base\_outer\_full ara=2576 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 2570 9r2572 2574 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper ara=1596 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 1590 9r1592 1594 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper ara=2596 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 2590 9r2592 2594 end fill ' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower

ara=1616 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11

fill 1610 9r1612 1614 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower ara=2616 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 2610 9r2612 2614 end fill

ara=2000 nux=4 nuy=9 nuz=1 fill 4r1000 4r1001

4r1000

4r1001

4r1000 4r1001 4r1000 4r1001 4r1000 end fill end array

read bounds all=vacu end bounds
read start
nst=1
end start
end data
end



## 6.9.4.2 INPUT FOR LICENSING-BASIS MODEL FOR SINGLE CONTAINER

```
=csas26
           parm='size=900000'
15type1 fa in shipping container
238g
      latticecell
'100% td and 5.0 w/o
uo2 1 1.0 293 92235 5 92238 95 end
'zirc
zr 2 1.0 293 end
'water in assy lattice
h2o 3 1.0 293 end
'volume in container external to bundles
h2o 4 1.0 293 end
'rubber
h2o 5 1.0 293 end
' ss plate, 0.13" thick
ss304 6 1.0 293 end
' boral, minimum areal density(at min thk)=0.0240 gm b-10/sqcm
' \min thk = 0.119'' = 0.30226cm
' vol dens = 0.0240/(0.30226*0.18431) =0.4308 gm natural b/cucm
' we use only 75%, 0.75 * 0.4308 = 0.3231 gm natural b/cucm
b 7 den=0.3231 1 293 end
'nylon 66
arbmnyl 1.14 4 0 1 0 6012 6 7014 1 8016 1 1001 11 8 1.0 293 end
'reflector water
h2o 9 1.0 293 end
' ss plate, 0.085" thick
ss304 10 1.0 293 end
' ss plate, 0.115" thick
ss304 11 1.0 293 end
' gap water
h2o 12 1.0 293 end
' aluminum for doors
al 13 1 293 end
' ss bar at center
ss304 14 1.0 293 end
end comp
squarepitch 1.44272 0.91999 1 3 1.05156 2 0.93980 0 end
more data
```
Rev. FS1-0038397 N° 2.0 MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package framatome USA/9319/B(U)F-96 Handling: Page **Restricted Framatome** 325/375 dab=2000 res=6 slab 0.3302 res=10 slab 0.2159 res=11 slab 0.2921 res=14 cyli 1.563 end more read parm tme=120 gen=280 nsk=3 run=yes npg=1000 far=yes plt=yes lng=3000000 nb8=1200 end parm read geometry unit 10 com='wet fuel pin cell' ' fuel stack cyli 10 0.45999 2p207.01 ' clad inner surface cyli 20 0.46990 2p207.01 ' clad outer surface cyli 30 0.52578 2p207.01 ' unit cell cubo 40 4p0.72136 2p207.01 ' fuel media 1 1 10 ' fuel-clad gap (void) media 0 1 20 -10 ' clad media 2 1 30 -20 ' water or whatever is external to clad media 3 1 40 -30 boundary 40 unit 20 com='wet guidetube cell-no clad' ' guide tube inner surface cyli 10 0.63500 2p207.01 ' guide tube outer surface cyli 20 0.67056 2p207.01

```
    N°
    FS1-0038397
    Rev.
2.0

    Handling:
    Page

    Restricted Framatome
    326/375
```

```
' unit cell
cubo 40 4p0.72136 2p207.01
' water or whatever is internal to clad
media 3 1 10
' clad
media 3 1 20 -10
' water or whatever is external to clad
media 3 1 40 -20
boundary 40
unit 30
com='wet instrument tube cell-no clad'
' instrument tube inner surface
cyli 10 0.56261 2p207.01
' instrument tube outer surface
cyli 20 0.62357 2p207.01
' unit cell
cubo 40 4p0.72136 2p207.01
' water or whatever is internal to clad
media 3 1 10
' clad-water
media 3 1 20 -10
' water or whatever is external to clad
media 3 1 40 -20
boundary 40
' region type=pad
' edge type=base_inner_full
unit 200
cubo 100 10.79500 -10.79500 0.31750 -0.31750 207.01000 -207.01000
media 5 1 100
boundary 100
' region type=pad
```

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                              2.0
                                         MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                    framatome
                                                  USA/9319/B(U)F-96
Handling:
                              Page
Restricted Framatome
                              327/375
    ' edge type=base_outer_full
    unit 210
    cubo 100 10.79500 -10.79500 0.31750 -0.31750 207.01000 -207.01000
    media 5 1 100
    boundary 100
    ' region type=steel
    ' edge type=base_inner_full
    unit 300
    cubo 100 11.60249 -11.60249 0.16510 -0.16510 207.01000 -207.01000
    media 6 1 100
    boundary 100
    ' region type=steel
    ' edge type=base_outer_full
```

```
cubo 100 10.91999 -10.91999 0.16510 -0.16510 207.01000 -207.01000
```

media 6 1 100

unit 310

```
boundary 100
```

```
' region type=steel
' edge type=lid_inner_lower
```

unit 320

cubo 100 6.27380 -6.27380 0.10795 -0.10795 207.01000 -207.01000

media 10 1 100

```
boundary 100
```

```
' region type=steel
```

' edge type=lid\_inner\_upper
unit 330

cubo 100 5.13080 -5.13080 0.10795 -0.10795 207.01000 -207.01000

media 10 1 100

boundary 100

' region type=steel

```
    N°
    FS1-0038397
    Rev.
2.0

    Handling:
Restricted Framatome
    Page
328/375
    Page
328/375
```

```
unit 340
cubo 100 6.15950 -6.15950 0.10795 -0.10795 207.01000 -207.01000
media 10 1 100
boundary 100
' region type=steel
' edge type=lid_outer_lower
unit 350
cubo 100 6.51510 -6.51510 0.10795 -0.10795 207.01000 -207.01000
media 10 1 100
boundary 100
' region type=boral
' edge type=base_inner_full
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 400
cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720
cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720
media 7 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=boral
' edge type=base_inner_full
' axial part type=main(9)
unit 402
cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700
cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470
media 7 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=boral
```

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                        framatome
                                                    USA/9319/B(U)F-96
Handling:
                               Page
Restricted Framatome
                               329/375
    ' edge type=base_inner_full
    ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 404
    cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280
    cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=base inner full
     ' axial array
    unit 406
    cubo 10 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000
    array 406 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280
    boundary 10
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=base_outer_full
    ' axial part type=lower(1)
    unit 410
    cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720
    cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=base_outer_full
    ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 412
    cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700
    cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470
    media 7 1 100
```

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

```
media 4 1 -100 200
```

```
boundary 200
' region type=boral
' edge type=base_outer_full
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 414
cubo 100 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280
cubo 200 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050
media 7 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=boral
' edge type=base_outer_full
' axial array
unit 416
cubo 10 9.52500 -9.52500 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000
array 416 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280
boundary 10
' region type=boral
' edge type=lid_inner_lower
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 420
cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720
cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720
media 7 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=boral
```

<sup>&#</sup>x27; edge type=lid\_inner\_lower

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                          MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                       framatome
                                                    USA/9319/B(U)F-96
Handling:
                               Page
Restricted Framatome
                               331/375
    ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 422
    cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700
    cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=lid_inner_lower
    ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 424
    cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280
    cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
    ' edge type=lid_inner_lower
    ' axial array
    unit 426
    cubo 10 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000
    array 426 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280
    boundary 10
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
     ' axial part type=lower(1)
```

```
unit 430
```

cubo 100 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720

cubo 200 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                          MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                       framatome
                                                    USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                               Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                               332/375
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
    ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
    ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 432
    cubo 100 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700
    cubo 200 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
    ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 434
    cubo 100 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280
    cubo 200 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 7 1 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=boral
    ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
    ' axial array
    unit 436
    cubo 10 4.95300 -4.95300 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000
    array 436 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280
    boundary 10
     ' region type=boral
     ' edge type=lid_outer_upper
     ' axial part type=lower(1)
```

Rev. FS1-0038397 N° 2.0 MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package framatome USA/9319/B(U)F-96 Page Handling: **Restricted Framatome** 333/375 unit 440 cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720 cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720 media 7 1 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper ' axial part type=main(9) unit 442 cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700 cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470 media 7 1 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper ' axial part type=upper(1) unit 444 cubo 100 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280 cubo 200 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050 media 7 1 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper ' axial array unit 446

cubo 10 6.03250 -6.03250 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

```
      N°
      FS1-0038397
      Rev.
2.0

      Handling:
Restricted Framatome
      Page
334/375
      MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –
USA/9319/B(U)F-96

      array 446 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280

      boundary 10

      ' region type=boral
```

framatome

```
cubo 200 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 15.07490 -14.42720
media 7 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=boral
' edge type=lid_outer_lower
```

cubo 100 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 14.42720 -14.42720

```
' axial part type=main(9)
```

' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower
' axial part type=lower(1)

unit 452

unit 450

cubo 100 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 19.17700 -19.17700

cubo 200 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 19.82470 -19.82470

media 7 1 100

media 4 1 -100 200

boundary 200

```
' region type=boral
```

- ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower
- ' axial part type=upper(1)

```
unit 454
```

cubo 100 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -13.51280

cubo 200 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 13.51280 -14.16050

media 7 1 100

media 4 1 -100 200

boundary 200

' region type=boral

Rev.

2.0

Page

framatome

**Restricted Framatome** 335/375 ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower ' axial array unit 456 cubo 10 5.88010 -5.88010 0.15113 -0.15113 207.01000 -207.01000 array 456 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.58280 boundary 10 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_inner\_full ' axial part type=lower(1) unit 1160 com=' part 16 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45 ' bevel surface at +x plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720 media 8 1 10 -20 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 20 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_inner\_full ' axial part type=main(9) unit 1162 com=' part 16 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45 ' bevel surface at +x plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps

cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856

## Framatome - Fuel This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470 media 8 1 10 -20 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 20 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_inner\_full ' axial part type=upper(1) unit 1164 com=' part 16 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45 ' bevel surface at +xplane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050 media 8 1 10 -20 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 20 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_inner\_full ' axial array unit 1166 cubo 10 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156 array 1166 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436

```
boundary 10
```

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                                2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                         framatome
                                                     USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                                Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                                337/375
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=base_inner_full
    ' axial part type=lower(1)
    unit 2160
    com=' part 16 plastic block (right)'
    ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
    ' bevel surface at -x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
     ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
    media 8 1 10 -20 100
    media 4 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 20 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=base_inner_full
    ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 2162
    com=' part 16 plastic block (right)'
     ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
    ' bevel surface at -x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
     ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
    media 8 1 10 -20 100
    media 4 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 20 100
```

media 4 1 -100 200

```
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base_inner_full
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 2164
com=' part 16 plastic block (right)'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
' bevel surface at +x
plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 10 -20 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 20 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base_inner_full (right)
' axial array
unit 2166
cubo 10 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 206.78366 -206.78366
array 2166 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base_outer_full
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 1170
com=' part 171 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
```

```
    N°
    FS1-0038397
    Rev.
2.0

    Handling:
Restricted Framatome
    Page
339/375
    MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –
USA/9319/B(U)F-96
```

framatome

```
' bevel surface at +x
plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
media 8 1 10 -20 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 20 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base outer full
' axial part type=main(9)
unit 1172
com=' part 171 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=45
' bevel surface at +x
plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
media 8 1 10 -20 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 20 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base_outer_full
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 1174
com=' part 171 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
```

Rev. 2.0 Page 340/375

```
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=45
' bevel surface at +x
plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 10 -20 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 20 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base outer full
' axial array
unit 1176
cubo 10 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
array 1176 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base_outer_full
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 2170
com=' part 17r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
' bevel surface at +x
plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
```

media 8 1 10 -20 100

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                                2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                         framatome
                                                     USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                                Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                                341/375
    media 4 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 20 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=base_outer_full
     ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 2172
    com=' part 17r plastic block'
     ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
    ' bevel surface at -x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=-45
     ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
    media 8 1 10 -20 100
    media 4 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 20 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=base outer full
     ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 2174
    com=' part 17r plastic block'
     ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
     ' bevel surface at -x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-9.24437 y=1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 20 xpl=1 origin x=9.24437 y=.31512 rotate a3=-45
     ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
```

cubo 200 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050

Rev. FS1-0038397 N° 2.0 MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package framatome USA/9319/B(U)F-96 Page Handling: **Restricted Framatome** 342/375 media 8 1 10 -20 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 20 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=base\_outer\_full ' axial array unit 2176 cubo 10 9.24437 -9.24437 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156 array 2176 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436 boundary 10 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower ' axial part type=lower(1) unit 1550 com=' part 551 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720 media 8 1 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower ' axial part type=main(9) unit 1552 com=' part 551 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470

media 8 1 100

media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200

```
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_lower
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 1554
com=' part 551 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_lower
' axial array
unit 1556
cubo 10 4.51326 -4.51326 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
array 1556 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid inner lower
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 2550
com=' part 55r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
media 8 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
```

```
        N°
        FS1-0038397
        Rev.
2.0

        Handling:
        Page

        Restricted Framatome
        344/375
```

' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower
' axial part type=main(9)

```
unit 2552
com=' part 55r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
media 8 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid inner lower
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 2554
com=' part 55r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_lower
' axial array
unit 2556
cubo 10 6.16426 -6.36270 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
array 2556 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid inner upper
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 1570
```

```
    N°
    FS1-0038397
    Rev.
2.0

    Handling:
    Page

    Restricted Framatome
    345/3
```

framatome

```
345/375
com=' part 571 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
media 8 1 10 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid inner upper
' axial part type=main(9)
unit 1572
com=' part 571 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
media 8 1 10 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_upper
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 1574
com=' part 571 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
```

cubo 100 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436

```
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 10.49496 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 10 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_upper
' axial array
unit 1576
cubo 10 10.49496 0.28063 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
array 1576 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_upper
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 2570
com=' part 57r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at +x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
media 8 1 -10 100
media 4 1 10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_inner_upper
' axial part type=main(9)
unit 2572
com=' part 57r plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
```

' bevel surface at +x

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                                2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                        framatome
                                                     USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                                Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                                347/375
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
    media 8 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
     ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 2574
    com=' part 57r plastic block'
     ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
     ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 -.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 8 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid_inner_upper
    ' axial array
    unit 2576
    cubo 10 -0.28063 -10.49496 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
    array 2576 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
    boundary 10
     ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid outer upper
    unit 1598
```

com=' part 591w plastic block'

' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm

framatome

wedge 10 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 28.45753 origin z=0.19844 media 8 1 10 wedge 20 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=30.34824 media 8 1 20 wedge 30 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=69.99764 media 8 1 30 wedge 40 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=109.64704 media 8 1 40 wedge 50 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=149.29644 media 8 1 50 wedge 60 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=188.94584 media 8 1 60 wedge 70 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=228.59524 media 8 1 70 wedge 80 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=268.24464 media 8 1 80 wedge 90 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=307.89404 media 8 1 90 wedge 100 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=347.54344 media 8 1 100 wedge 110 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 26.62873 origin z=387.19284 media 8 1 110 wedge 120 1.38799 1.38799 2.97656 414.02000 origin z=0.00000 media 4 1 -10 -20 -30 -40 -50 -60 -70 -80 -90 -100 -110 120 boundary 120 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper unit 2598 com=' part 59rw plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm wedge 10 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 28.45753 origin z=0.19844 media 8 1 10 wedge 20 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=30.34824 media 8 1 20

Rev.

2.0

Page

348/375

wedge 30 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=69.99764

## Framatome - Fuel This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

wedge 40 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=109.64704

media 8 1 40

framatome

```
wedge 50 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=149.29644
media 8 1 50
wedge 60 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=188.94584
media 8 1 60
wedge 70 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=228.59524
media 8 1 70
wedge 80 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=268.24464
media 8 1 80
wedge 90 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=307.89404
media 8 1 90
wedge 100 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 37.95713 origin z=347.54344
media 8 1 100
wedge 110 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 26.62873 origin z=387.19284
media 8 1 110
wedge 120 1.38799 0.00000 2.97656 414.02000 origin z=0.00000
media 4 1 -10 -20 -30 -40 -50 -60 -70 -80 -90 -100 -110 120
boundary 120
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_outer_upper
' axial part type=lower(1)
unit 1590
com=' part 591 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at +x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
```

```
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
```

media 8 1 -10 100

media 4 1 10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                                2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                         framatome
                                                     USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                                Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                                350/375
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid_outer_upper
    ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 1592
    com=' part 591 plastic block'
    ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
     ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
    media 8 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
     ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid outer upper
     ' axial part type=upper(1)
    unit 1594
    com=' part 591 plastic block'
    ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=45
    ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 -.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 8 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
     ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid outer upper
     ' axial array
    unit 1596
    cubo 10 -0.28063 -11.37245 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
    array 1596 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
```

boundary 10

' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper ' axial part type=lower(1) unit 2590 com=' part 59r plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720 media 8 1 10 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid outer upper ' axial part type=main(9) unit 2592 com=' part 59r plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470 media 8 1 10 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid outer upper ' axial part type=upper(1) unit 2594 com=' part 59r plastic block'

```
N° FS1-0038397
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
```

Rev.

2.0

Page

352/375

framatome

' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=.28063 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-45 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 11.37245 .28063 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050 media 8 1 10 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper ' axial array unit 2596 cubo 10 11.37245 0.28063 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156 array 2596 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436 boundary 10 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower ' axial part type=lower(1) unit 1610 com=' part 611 plastic block' ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm ' bevel surface at -x plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-20 ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps cubo 100 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876 ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps cubo 200 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720 media 8 1 10 100 media 4 1 -10 100 media 4 1 -100 200 boundary 200 ' region type=plastic ' edge type=lid outer lower

' axial part type=main(9)

```
N° FS1-0038397
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
```

unit 1612

```
MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –
USA/9319/B(U)F-96
```

```
com=' part 611 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-20
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
media 8 1 10 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid outer lower
' axial part type=upper(1)
unit 1614
com=' part 611 plastic block'
' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
' bevel surface at -x
plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=-10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=-20
' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
cubo 100 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
cubo 200 -.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
media 8 1 10 100
media 4 1 -10 100
media 4 1 -100 200
boundary 200
' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid_outer_lower
' axial array
unit 1616
cubo 10 -0.19844 -10.71083 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
array 1616 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
boundary 10
```

Rev.

2.0

Page

353/375

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                                2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                         framatome
                                                     USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                                Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                                354/375
    ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid_outer_lower
    ' axial part type=lower(1)
    unit 2610
    com=' part 61r plastic block'
    ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=20
    ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 2p14.22876
     ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 15.07490 -14.42720
    media 8 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
     ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid outer lower
     ' axial part type=main(9)
    unit 2612
    com=' part 61r plastic block'
    ' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=20
    ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 2p18.97856
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 2p19.82470
    media 8 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
     ' region type=plastic
     ' edge type=lid outer lower
     ' axial part type=upper(1)
```

unit 2614

com=' part 61r plastic block'

' plastic thickness removed=0.0781 inch =0.19844 cm

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                                2.0
                                           MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                         framatome
                                                     USA/9319/B(U)F-96
                                Page
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
                                355/375
    ' bevel surface at +x
    plane 10 xpl=1 origin x=10.71083 y=-1.48828 rotate a3=20
    ' thinned block without bevels, without axial gaps
    cubo 100 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 2p13.31436
    ' thinned block without bevels, with axial gaps
    cubo 200 10.71083 .19844 1.48828 -1.48828 13.51280 -14.16050
    media 8 1 -10 100
    media 4 1 10 100
    media 4 1 -100 200
    boundary 200
    ' region type=plastic
    ' edge type=lid_outer_lower
    ' axial array
    unit 2616
    cubo 10 10.71083 0.19844 1.48828 -1.48828 206.81156 -206.81156
    array 2616 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -192.38436
    boundary 10
    unit 810
    com='aluminum door and rubber at upper edge of assy'
    ' nominal aluminum dims: 0.25" thk by 7.9" wide by 35.0" long
    ' tolerances: 0.03" by 0.1" by 0.1"
    ' dimensions modeled: 0.22" by 7.8" by 34.9"
    ' there is a nominal 0.25" axial gap, modeled as 0.25 + 0.03(gap tol) + .1 (half of alum length tol times
    two)
    ' axial gap modeled as 0.38"
    ' this is a rubber layer, modeled as same width and length as alum and 0.22" thk
    cubo 20 2p9.906 0.5588 0 2p44.323
    ' this is aluminum
    cubo 40 2p9.906 1.1176 0 2p44.323
    ' gap
    cubo 60 2p9.906 1.1176 0 2p44.8056
    media 5 1 20
    media 13 1 40 -20
    media 9 1 60 -40
    boundary 60
    unit 820
    com='aluminum door and rubber at upper edge of assy'
    ' there are 4 units 810, unit 820 is at -z end
    ' 4 * 44.8056 = 179.2224
    ' total length here is 207.01 - 179.2224 = 27.7876
```

```
Rev.
     FS1-0038397
N°
                               2.0
                                          MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package -
                                                                                       framatome
                                                    USA/9319/B(U)F-96
Handling:
                               Page
Restricted Framatome
                               356/375
    ' gap is final 0.19" (0.4826 cm) of the length
    ' this is a rubber layer, modeled as same width and length as alum and 0.22" thk
    cubo 20 2p9.906 0.5588 0 27.305 0
    ' this is aluminum
    cubo 40 2p9.906 1.1176 0 27.305 0
    ' gap
    cubo 60 2p9.906 1.1176 0 27.7876 0
    media 5 1 20
    media 13 1 40 -20
    media 9 1 60 -40
    boundary 60
    unit 830
    com="same as unit 820 except gap at -z end"
    ' this is a rubber layer, modeled as same width and length as alum and 0.22" thk
    cubo 20 2p9.906 0.5588 0 27.7876 0.4846
    ' this is aluminum
    cubo 40 2p9.906 1.1176 0 27.7876 0.4846
    ' gap
    cubo 60 2p9.906 1.1176 0 27.7876 0
    media 5 1 20
    media 13 1 40 -20
    media 9 1 60 -40
    boundary 60
    unit 840
    com='axial array of doors'
    cubo 10 2p9.906 1.1176 0 2p207.01
    array 2 10 place 1 1 1 0 0 -207.01
    boundary 10
    unit 860
    com='steel bar at center of package'
    ' nominal 0.75" high by 1.75" wide, use 0.70 x 1.70
    cubo 20 2p2.159 1.778 0 2p207.01
    media 14 1 20
    boundary 20
    unit 900
    com='fuel assembly, not rotated'
```

```
N° FS1-0038397
Handling:
Restricted Framatome
```

framatome

```
cubo 10 21.640800 0 21.640800 0 2p207.01
array 1 10 place 1 1 1 0.721360 0.721360 0
' water layer around assy
cubo 20 23.8633 -0.635 23.8633 -0.635 2p207.01
'hole 840 origin x=11.73480 y=21.64080
'hole 840 origin x=21.64080 y=11.73480 rotate a3=-90
hole 200 origin x=10.795 y=-0.3175
hole 200 origin x=-0.3175 y=10.795 rotate a3=90
media 9 1 20 -10
boundary 20
global
unit 1000
com='one package'
' bundle c-c spacing is 15.2"
' diamond is 10.03" x 9.86" (across outer steel surfaces)
' diamond diagonal is 14.0649"
' distance to spacers from diagonal: 8.10" (lower) and 8.62" (upper)
' vertical sum is 30.78"
' distance to outer surface of steel wall is 4.10" (lower) and 4.62" (upper)
' horizontal span across outer steel surfaces: 41.95"
' model steel as 0.115" thick
' base is 21.5" tall, including spacer height (54.61 cm)
' top of base is at y= 54.61 - 20.574 = 34.036 cm
' hanging central blocks with steel carriers
' inner steel surface
cubo 2 2p1.6256 22.45 14.9866 2p207.01
' outer steel surface
cubo 4 2p1.8415 22.45 14.7707 2p207.01
wedge 6 1.4018 0 1.4018 414.02 origin x=-1.4018 y=20.3255 z=-207.01
wedge 8 1.4018 1.4018 1.4018 414.02 origin x=0 y=20.3255 z=-207.01
cubo 10 2p1.4018 20.3255 14.9866 2p207.01
plane 11 zpl=1 origin z=-195.41973
plane 12 zpl=1 origin z=-164.04107
plane 13 zpl=1 origin z=-105.55453
plane 14 zpl=1 origin z=-74.17587
```

plane 15 zpl=1 origin z=-15.68933

Rev.

2.0

Page

357/375

N° FS1-0038397		Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>c</b> .		
Handlin Restric	<sup>ig:</sup> cted F	ramator	me	Page 358/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome
pl	lane	16 zpl=	=1 origin z=1	5.68933		
pl	lane	17 zpl=	=1 origin z=7	4.17587		
p	lane	18 zpl=	=1 origin z=1	05.55453		
pl	lane	19 zpl=	=1 origin z=1	64.04107		
pl	lane	20 zpl=	=1 origin z=1	95.41973		
	floo	ded regi	ons			
pl	lane	31 ypl=1	. origin y=16			
Cl	ubo	32 -17 -	30.5 34.5 26	2p207.01 ro	tate a3=20	
Cl	ubo	33 30.5	17 34.5 26 2	p207.01 rota	te a3=-20	
Cl	ubo	34 3.2 -	-5 24.5 0 2p2	07.01 origin	x=-1.828 y=17.942 rotate a3=45	
Cl	ubo	35 5 -3.	2 24.5 0 2p2	07.01 origin	x=1.828 y=17.942 rotate a3=-45	
СІ	ubo	36 2p41	22 16 2p207.	01		
me	edia	9 1 32	2 31			
me	edia	9 1 33	3 31			
me	edia	4 1 32	2 -31			
me	edia	4 1 33	3 -31			
me	edia	9134	4 -4			
me	edia	9 1 35	5 -34 -4			
me	edia	9136	5 -35 -34 -33	-32 -4		
me	edia	914-	-11 31			
me	edia	4 1 4 -	-11 -31			
	stee	1				
me	edia	10 1 4	-2 11 -12			
'	nylo	n				
me	edia	8 1 6 1	1 -12			
me	edia	8 1 8 1	1 -12			
me	edia	8 1 10	11 -12			
,	wate	r				
me	edia	912-	6 -8 -10 11	-12		
me	edia	9141	2 -13 31			
me	edia	4 1 4 1	.2 -13 -31			
,	stee	1				
me	edia	10 1 4	-2 13 -14			
,	nylo:	n				
me	- edia	8161	3 -14			
me	edia	8 1 8 1	3 -14			
me	edia	8 1 10	13 -14			
,	wato	r				
me	edia	- 912-	-6 -8 -10 13	-14		
	-	-				

Framatome - Fuel This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

```
N° FS1-0038397
Handling:
```

**Restricted Framatome** 

359/375

```
media 9 1 4 14 -15 31
media 4 1 4 14 -15 -31
' steel
media 10 1 4 -2 15 -16
' nylon
media 8 1 6 15 -16
media 8 1 8 15 -16
media 8 1 10 15 -16
' water
media 9 1 2 -6 -8 -10 15 -16
media 9 1 4 16 -17 31
media 4 1 4 16 -17 -31
' steel
media 10 1 4 -2 17 -18
' nylon
media 8 1 6 17 -18
media 8 1 8 17 -18
media 8 1 10 17 -18
' water
media 9 1 2 -6 -8 -10 17 -18
media 9 1 4 18 -19 31
media 4 1 4 18 -19 -31
' steel
media 10 1 4 -2 19 -20
' nylon
media 8 1 6 19 -20
media 8 1 8 19 -20
media 8 1 10 19 -20
' water
media 9 1 2 -6 -8 -10 19 -20
media 9 1 4 20 31
media 4 1 4 20 -31
' inner surface of steel
cubo 580 2p52.9844 47.1675 -10.1981 2p207.01
media 4 1 580 -32 -33 -34 -35 -36 -4
```

N° FS1-0038397 Handling: Rev. 2.0

Page

framatome

**Restricted Framatome** 360/375 ' outer surface of steel cubo 590 2p53.2765 47.4596 -10.4140 2p207.01 media 11 1 -580 590 ' package is 44.98" wide by 30.78" high by 207.98" long ' modeled as 42.98" by 28.78" by 163" cubo 600 2p54.5846 47.4596 -18.034 2p207.01 media 4 1 -590 600 'water reflector cubo 601 2p84.5846 77.4596 -48.034 2p237.01 media 9 1 -600 601 ' top of bar is 0.25" below bottom of steel carrier ' 14.7707 - 0.25 \* 2.54 - 0.7 \* 2.54 = 12.3577 hole 860 origin x=0 y=12.3577 z=0 hole 900 origin x=19.304 y=1.36500 z=0 rotate a3=45 hole 900 origin x=-19.304 y=1.36500 z=0 rotate a3=45 ' region type=steel ' edge type=base\_inner\_full hole 300 origin x=-10.73161 y=8.80588 rotate a3=45.0 hole 300 origin x=10.73161 y=8.80588 rotate a3=-45.0 ' region type=steel ' edge type=base\_outer\_full hole 310 origin x=-27.39379 y=8.32328 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 310 origin x=27.39379 y=8.32328 rotate a3=45.0 ' region type=steel ' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower hole 320 origin x=-4.86824 y=25.67904 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 320 origin x=4.86824 y=25.67904 rotate a3=45.0 ' region type=steel ' edge type=lid\_inner\_upper hole 330 origin x=-15.05185 y=31.62397 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 330 origin x=15.05185 y=31.62397 rotate a3=45.0 ' region type=steel

' edge type=lid outer upper

Framatome - Fuel This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page
```
      N°
      FS1-0038397
      Rev.
2.0
      Rev.
2.0
      App PWR Fuel Shipping Package –
USA/9319/B(U)F-96
      framatome

      Handling:
      Page
361/375
      Page
361/375
      Page
361/375
      Framatome
      framatome
```

hole 340 origin x=23.67289 y=30.89657 rotate a3=-45.0 ' region type=steel ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower hole 350 origin x=-34.23368 y=24.21537 rotate a3=20 hole 350 origin x=34.23368 y=24.21537 rotate a3=-20 ' region type=boral ' edge type=base\_inner\_full hole 406 origin x=-11.31247 y=7.77780 rotate a3=45.0 hole 406 origin x=11.31247 y=7.77780 rotate a3=-45.0 ' region type=boral ' edge type=base\_outer\_full hole 416 origin x=-27.29553 y=7.77780 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 416 origin x=27.29553 y=7.77780 rotate a3=45.0 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid inner lower hole 426 origin x=-4.85567 y=26.03286 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 426 origin x=4.85567 y=26.03286 rotate a1=180 a3=45.0 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_inner\_upper hole 436 origin x=-15.20990 y=32.14842 rotate a3=-45.0  $\,$ hole 436 origin x=15.20990 y=32.14842 rotate a1=180 a3=45.0 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper hole 446 origin x=-23.87405 y=31.06181 rotate a3=45.0 hole 446 origin x=23.87405 y=31.06181 rotate a1=180 a3=-45.0 ' region type=boral ' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower hole 456 origin x=-33.78217 y=24.66807 rotate a3=20 hole 456 origin x=33.78217 y=24.66807 rotate a1=180 a3=-20

```
' region type=plastic
' edge type=base_inner_full
hole 1166 origin x=-10.15323 y=6.61856 rotate a3=45.0
```

hole 2166 origin x=10.15323 y=6.61856 rotate a3=-45.0

#### Framatome - Fuel This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

```
' region type=plastic
```

' edge type=base\_outer\_full

hole 1166 origin x=-28.45477 y=6.61856 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 2166 origin x=28.45477 y=6.61856 rotate a3=45.0

- ' region type=plastic
- ' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower

hole 1556 origin x=-4.63037 y=28.12605 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 2556 origin x=3.46294 y=26.95862 rotate a3=45.0

- ' region type=plastic
- ' edge type=lid\_inner\_upper

hole 1576 origin x=-17.87625 y=37.13325 rotate a3=-45.0 hole 2576 origin x=17.87625 y=37.13325 rotate a3=45.0

- ' region type=plastic
- ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper

hole 1596 origin x=-20.19180 y=37.20396 rotate a3=45.0 hole 2596 origin x=20.19180 y=37.20396 rotate a3=-45.0

- ' region type=plastic
- ' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper (wedge)

hole 1598 origin x=-28.09172 y=27.05787 z=-207.01 rotate a3=45.0 hole 2598 origin x=27.11026 y=28.03933 z=-207.01 rotate a3=-45.0

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower

hole 1616 origin x=-29.12357 y=28.13077 rotate a3=20 hole 2616 origin x=29.12357 y=28.13077 rotate a3=-20

boundary 601

end geometry

read array

' doors ara=2 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=6 fill 820 4r810 830 end fill

'assy lattice ara=1 nux=15 nuy=15 nuz=1 fill

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev.		
		2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	framatome
Hand	dling:	Page	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	
Res	tricted Framatome	363/375		
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 20 10 10	0 10 20 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 20 10 10 10 10	0 10 10 10 20 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 20 10 10 20 10 10	0 10 20 10 10 20	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 30	0 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 20 10 10 20 10 10	0 10 20 10 10 20	) 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 20 10 10 10 10	0 10 10 10 20 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 20 10 10	0 10 20 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10 10 10 10	0 10 10	
	end fill			
	' region type=boral	6		
	edge cype-base_inner_			
	fill 400 9r402 404 end	£;11		
	1111 400 J1402 404 end			
	' region type=boral			
	' edge type=base outer	full		
	ara=416 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz	 z=11		
	fill 410 9r412 414 end	fill		
	' region type=boral			
	' edge type=lid_inner_l	lower		
	ara=426 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz	z=11		
	fill 420 9r422 424 end	fill		
	' region type=boral			
	' edge type=lid_inner_u	upper		
	ara=436 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz	z=11		
	fill 430 9r432 434 end	fill		
	' region type=boral			
	' edge type=lid_outer_u	upper		
	ara=446 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz	z=11		
	1111 440 91442 444 end			
	' region type=boral			
	' edge type=lid outer 1	lower		
	ara=456 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz	z=11		
	fill 450 9r452 454 end	fill		
	' region type=plastic			
	' edge type=base_inner_	_full		
	ara=1166 nux=1 nuy=1 nu	uz=11		

Framatome - Fuel This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page fill 1160 9r1162 1164 end fill

' region type=plastic
' edge type=base\_inner\_full

ara=2166 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 2160 9r2162 2164 end fill

' region type=plastic
' edge type=base\_outer\_full

ara=1176 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 1170 9r1172 1174 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=base\_outer\_full ara=2176 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 2170 9r2172 2174 end fill

' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower
ara=1556 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11
fill 1550 9r1552 1554 end fill

' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid\_inner\_lower
ara=2556 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11
fill 2550 9r2552 2554 end fill

' region type=plastic
' edge type=base\_outer\_full
ara=1576 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11
fill 1570 9r1572 1574 end fill

' region type=plastic
' edge type=base\_outer\_full
ara=2576 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11

fill 2570 9r2572 2574 end fill

' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper
ara=1596 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11
fill 1590 9r1592 1594 end fill

' region type=plastic
' edge type=lid\_outer\_upper
ara=2596 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11
fill 2590 9r2592 2594 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hanc	lling:	Page
Rest	tricted Framatome	365/375

ara=1616 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11 fill 1610 9r1612 1614 end fill

' region type=plastic

' edge type=lid\_outer\_lower

ara=2616 nux=1 nuy=1 nuz=11

fill 2610 9r2612 2614 end fill

end array

read bounds all=vacu end bounds read start nst=1 end start end data end

> Framatome - Fuel This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

#### 6.10 REFERENCES

- 1) Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 71 (10CFR71), Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material, edition effective Oct 2004.
- 2) "Recommendations for Preparing the Criticality Safety Evaluation of Transport Packages," NUREG/CR-5661.
- 3) Criticality Benchmark Guide for Light-Water-Reactor Fuel in Transportation and Storage Packages," NUREG/CR-6361 (ORNL/TM-13211).
- J.C. Manaranche, D. Mangin, L. Maubert, G. Colomb, and G. Poullot, "Dissolution and Storage Experimental Program with U[4.75]O<sub>2</sub> Rods," Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc., Vol. 33, pp. 362-364, November 1979.
- 5) M.N. Baldwin, G.S. Hoovler, R.L. Eng, and F.G. Welfare, "Critical Experiments Supporting Close Proximity Water Storage of Power Reactor Fuel," BAW-1484-7, Babcock & Wilcox Company, July 1979.
- 6) R.I. Smith and G.J. Konzek, "Clean Critical Experiment Benchmarks for Plutonium Recycle in LWR's, Volume I," EPRI-NP-196, Electric Power Research Institute, April 1976.
- J.C. Manaranche, D. Mangin, L. Maubert, G. Colomb, and G. Poullot, "Critical Experiments with Lattices of 4.75-wt%-<sup>235</sup>U-Enriched UO<sub>2</sub> Rods in Water," Nucl. Sci. Eng., Vol. 71, pp. 154-163, 1979.
- S.R. Bierman, E.D. Clayton, and B.M. Durst, "Critical Separation Between Subcritical Clusters of 2.35 wt% <sup>235</sup>U Enriched UO<sub>2</sub> Rods in Water with Fixed Neutron Poisons," PNL-2438, Pacific Northwest Laboratories, October 1977.
- 9) "Critical Separation Between Subcritical Clusters of 4.31 wt % <sup>235</sup>U Enriched UO<sub>2</sub> Rods in Water with Fixed Neutron Poisons," NUREG/CR-0079 (PNL-2615), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 1978.
- 10) S.R. Bierman, B.M. Durst, and E.D. Clayton, "Critical Experiments with Subcritical Clusters of 2.35 wt % and 4.31 wt % <sup>235</sup>U Enriched UO<sub>2</sub> Rods in Water with Uranium or Lead Reflecting Walls," NUREG/CR-0796, Vol. 1 (PNL-2827), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, April 1979.
- 11) S.R. Bierman and E.D. Clayton, "Critical Experiments with Subcritical Clusters of 2.35 wt % and 4.31 wt % <sup>235</sup>U Enriched UO<sub>2</sub> Rods in Water at a Water-to-Fuel Volume Ratio of 1.6," NUREG/CR-1547 (PNL-3314), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, July 1980.
- 12) S.R. Bierman, B.M. Durst, and E.D. Clayton, "Critical Experiments with Subcritical Clusters of 2.35 wt % and 4.31 wt % <sup>235</sup>U Enriched UO<sub>2</sub> Rods in Water with Uranium or Lead Reflecting Walls," NUREG/CR-0796, Vol. 2 (PNL-3926), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, December 1981.
- 13) S.R. Bierman, "Criticality Experiments to Provide Benchmark Data on Neutron Flux Traps," PNL-6205, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, June 1988.
- 14) S.R. Bierman, "Criticality Experiments with Neutron Flux Traps Containing Voids," PNL-7167, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, April 1990.
- 15) R.D. Leamer, D.F. Hanlen, G.N. Hamilton, and E.G. Taylor, "Critical Experiments Performed with Clustered and Uniform Arrays of Rodded Absorbers," WCAP-3269-39, Westinghouse Electric Corp., November 1965.

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 367/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

- 16) E.G. Taylor, "Saxton Plutonium Program, Critical Experiments for the Saxton Partial Plutonium Core," EURAEC-1493 (WCAP-3385-54), U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, December 1965.
- 17) S. Mehmet, "SCALE 6.2.2 Criticality Safety Validation," FS1-0031640, Revision 1.0, AREVA NP, July 31, 2017.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

# 7.0 PACKAGE OPERATIONS

The following information contains the significant events relating to the routine use of fuel assembly shipping packages. Complete detailed instructions are described within the individual operating procedures and quality control instructions pertinent to each specific operation.

# 7.1 PACKAGE LOADING

#### 7.1.1 PREPARATION FOR LOADING

#### 7.1.1.1 RECEIVE SHIPPING PACKAGE

#### 7.1.1.2 PREPARE SHIPPING PACKAGE

- Check package closure fasteners and repair damaged or rusted fasteners.
- Apply protective coating as needed.
- Inspect strong-back and closure door padding material and repair, if necessary.

# 7.1.1.3 CONFIGURE PACKAGE FOR FUEL ASSEMBLY LOADING

- Configure padding material according to fuel assembly grid arrangement.
- Place and secure shims in package as needed.
- Configure closure doors to fuel assembly envelope.
- Repair or replace as necessary the package closure braided fibrous sleeving.
- Verify that impact indicators are not tripped. Replace if required.

#### 7.1.1.4 INSPECTION

- Verify that the package interior is clean, and in good condition.
- Verify that the required internal hardware is present and in good working condition.

# 7.1.2 LOADING CONTENTS

- Remove closure pin.
- Remove lid.
- Raise shipping package to vertical position using AREVA specific up-ender.
- Open closure doors and secure. This operation may also be performed prior to raising the shipping package to vertical.
- Place and secure lower shims in package as needed.

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	
	_

- Place the fuel assembly in the base.
- Close and secure doors sufficient to secure fuel assembly.
- Lower shipping package.
- Place and secure shims in package as needed.
- Close remaining doors and secure top closure.
- Check fasteners for correct configuration.

#### 7.1.2.1 INSPECTION

- Verify that the fuel assembly and core component have been released and the proper component is being shipped with the assembly.
- Verify that the fuel assembly is properly oriented in the package.
- Verify impact indicators are not tripped.
- Verify general cleanliness and absence of debris on package internals, and fuel assembly prior to closing the package.
- Verify integrity of shipping package closure braided fibrous sleeving.

# 7.1.2.2 CLOSE SHIPPING PACKAGE

- Verify that the cover flange is free of debris, align and install lid.
- Install package closure pins to secure cover.
- Install approved tamper proof security seal on each end of the package.

# 7.1.2.3 INSPECTION

- Verify that the package lid is properly seated and all closure pins are present.
- Verify that the required decals, license plates, labels, stencil markings, etc. are present and legible.

# 7.1.3 PREPARATION FOR TRANSPORT

# 7.1.3.1 TRUCK LOADING OF SHIPPING PACKAGES

- Place shipping package on trailer.
- Center and place package lengthwise on trailer.
- Secure packages to trailer bed with stops.

• Secure packages to trailer using straps and binders. Tighten binders as needed.

# 7.1.3.2 REGULATORY

- Conduct direct alpha surveys on both the packages and the accessible areas of the flatbed.
- Perform the removable alpha and beta-gamma external smear surveys on packages. If any single alpha measurement exceeds 220 dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup> or beta-gamma measurement exceeds 2,200 dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup>, notify Safety for instructions and decontamination.

# 7.1.3.3 INSPECTION

- Verify that packages are properly stacked and secured.
- Verify that required Safety, Radioactive and any other placards or labels have been properly placed.
- Verify that two tamper proof security seals have been properly placed on each package.

# 7.2 PACKAGE UNLOADING

# 7.2.1 RECEIPT OF PACKAGE FROM CARRIER

- Perform an external inspection of the unopened package and record any significant observations.
- Verify that tamper proof security seals have been properly placed on each package. If seals are missing or damaged, record the damage and follow site procedures for possible security issues.

# 7.2.2 REMOVAL OF CONTENTS

- Remove closure pins.
- Remove package lid.
- Remove top closure and shims.
- Raise shipping package to vertical position using AREVA specific up-ender.
- Open the top door and secure the lifting fixture to fuel assembly.
- Open lower shipping package doors and secure.
- Remove the fuel assembly from the base.

- Secure the doors.
- Lower shipping package.
- Install top closure.
- Check all fasteners for correct configuration.

#### 7.3 PREPARATION OF EMPTY PACKAGE FOR TRANSPORT

- Verify the package is empty of contents.
- Verify radiation levels do not exceed limits prescribed in 49 CFR 173.421(a)(2).
- Verify non-fixed radioactive surface contamination does not exceed limits prescribed in 49 CFR 173.421(a)(3).
- Verify the packaging is in unimpaired condition and is securely closed.
- Verify the internal contamination does not exceed the limits prescribed in 49 CFR 173.428(d).
- Remove, obliterate, or cover any previously applied labels affixed for fuel shipments.
- Affix an "Empty" label.

# 8.0 ACCEPTANCE TESTS AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

# 8.1 ACCEPTANCE TESTS

Per the requirements of subpart G of 10 CFR 71, this section discusses the inspections and tests to be performed prior to first use of a MAP package. The MAP packages are manufactured under a Quality Assurance Program meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 71 subpart H.

# 8.1.1 VISUAL INSPECTIONS AND MEASUREMENTS

Prior to the first use of the MAP series of packages for the shipment of licensed material, the MAP will be inspected to ensure that it is conspicuously and durably marked with its model number, serial number, gross weight and package identification number assigned by NRC. Prior to applying the model number, it will be determined that the MAP was fabricated in accordance with the drawings referenced in the NRC Certificate of Compliance. Critical dimensions related to quality are called out in the Appendix 1.3.1 drawings as Critical to Quality (CTQ). Data for these dimensions are recorded and verified in accordance with the quality plan. Documentation of these measurements is compiled in a data pack. This data pack will be checked for completeness for each MAP package as part of the acceptance program.

MAP packages are inspected to ensure that there are no missing parts (nuts, bolts, gaskets, plugs, etc.) or components and that there is no shipping damage on receipt.

# 8.1.2 WELD EXAMINATIONS

MAP welds shall be examined to verify conformance with applicable codes, and standards as noted on each drawing.

# 8.1.3 STRUCTURAL AND PRESSURE TESTS

The MAP packaging does not contain any structural or lifting/tie-down devices that require testing. There is also no pressure testing requirement since there is no pressure retaining barrier in the package.

# 8.1.4 LEAK TESTS

No leak tests of the packaging are required. The fuel rod weld joints are examined at the time of fuel rod fabrication and leak tested to ensure they are sealed. The welding and leak testing of fuel rods is performed during manufacturing using a qualified process. This process assures that the fuel is acceptable for use in a nuclear reactor core and is tightly controlled. The allowable leak rate is less than 1E-07 ref-cc/s.

# 8.1.5 COMPONENT AND MATERIAL TESTS

# 8.1.5.1 POLYURETHANE FOAM

The MAP packaging utilizes a closed-cell, polyurethane foam and must certified to meet the requirements and acceptance criteria for installation, inspection, and testing as defined in this section. The finished foam product shall be greater than 85% closed cell polyurethane plastic foam of the self-extinguishing variety of the density specified. The closed cell configuration will ensure that the foam will not be susceptible to significant water absorption. Nominal foam densities of 6 and 10 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> are used in the Package Body and Impact Limiters, respectively.

# 8.1.5.1.1 DENSITY

Rigid polyurethane foam shall have a density per the following:

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N°	FS1-0038397	, Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel S	hipping Package –	<b>6</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome		Page 373/375	USA/9319	9/B(U)F-96	tramatome
	1.	Impact Limiter	9.5 lb/ft <sup>3</sup> min	11.5 lb/ft <sup>3</sup> max	

2. Package Body 5.7 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> min 6.9 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> max

# 8.1.5.1.2 MECHANICAL PROPRIETIES

Exhibited foam compressive strength for 10% strain parallel to foam rise shall fall within the following range of values:

- 1. Impact Limiter 316 psi min 383 psi max
- 2. Package Body 136 psi min 164 psi max

Exhibited foam compressive strength for 10% strain perpendicular to foam rise shall fall within the following range of values:

1.	Impact Limiter	317 psi min	384 psi max
2.	Package Body	132 psi min	160 psi max

# 8.1.5.1.3 FLAME RETARDANT CHARACTERISTICS

Flame retardant characteristics shall be qualified by demonstrating compliance with the following requirements. Position test samples for approximately 60 seconds above a sufficiently sized burner with a flame temperature of a least 1,500°F. Immediately after removal of the test sample from the burner flame, measure and record the elapsed time until flames from the test sample extinguish. The average flame extinguishment time shall not exceed fifteen (15) seconds.

# 8.1.5.1.4 THERMAL PROPRIETIES

The 6 and 10 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> nominal density foam shall exhibit the following thermal characteristics:

- 1. Thermal Conductivity: 0.17 to 0.30 BTU-in/hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-°F.
- 2. Specific Heat: 0.28 to 0.42 BTU/lbm-°F

# 8.1.5.1.5 CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

The foam will be a rigid polyether polyurethane formed as reaction product of the primary chemicals: polyphenylene, polymethylene, polyisocyanate (polymeric isocyanate) and polyoxypropylene glycols (polyether polyols). These materials react to produce a rigid, polyether, polyurethane foam. The foam will not contain halogen containing flame retardant or trichloromonoflouromethane (Freon 11).

Leachable chloride testing is required when using stainless steel as the container structure because free chloride ions in contact with the container sides have been faulted as a contributor to stress corrosion cracking. Leachable chlorides will not be greater than 1 ppm when tested in accordance with GP-TM9510: Method for Sample Preparation and Determination of Leachable Chlorides in Rigid Polyurethane Foam or EPA 300.0: Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography.

# 8.1.5.2 NEUTRON ABSORBER PLATES

Neutron absorber plates are installed along the inner faces of the lid and beneath the strongback to meet the requirements specified in Section 6 of this document. The MAP packaging uses BORAL<sup>®</sup>, which is a hot-rolled composite aluminum sheet consisting of a core of uniformly distributed boron carbide and aluminum particles which are enclosed within layers

Framatome - Fuel	
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page	

N° FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0	MAP PWR Fuel Shipping Package –	<b>f</b>
Handling: Restricted Framatome	Page 374/375	USA/9319/B(U)F-96	framatome

of pure aluminum forming a solid barrier against the environment. The BORAL<sup>®</sup> is a standard nuclear grade product with an established pedigree that is certified by the fabricator.

The plates are used to ensure subcriticality during transportation as a neutron absorber and are not relied upon for the conductivity or mechanical properties. The service conditions are not so severe as to promote significant alterations of these plates. Therefore, durability of these neutron absorbing materials is regarded to meet or exceed the service requirements of this application. Sealing the absorber plates in the lid and beneath the strong-back protects them from impact and environmental conditions. There are no significant loads applied to the BORAL<sup>®</sup> plates, therefore no durability problems should arise during normal conditions of transport.

No processing changes are anticipated for the production of BORAL<sup>®</sup> since the well established process will be used to produce the BORAL<sup>®</sup> plates for the production packages.

# 8.1.5.2.1 <sup>10</sup>BORON AREAL DENSITY

The BORAL<sup>®</sup> neutron absorber plate minimum <sup>10</sup>B areal density is 0.024 g/cm<sup>2</sup>. Acceptance testing to ensure that the manufacturing process is operating in a satisfactory manner may be conducted using neutronics transmission or chemical analysis to ensure an effective minimum <sup>10</sup>B areal density of 0.018 gm/cm<sup>2</sup> (75% credit of <sup>10</sup>B).

Neutron Transmittance is a neutron counting testing technique performed to determine the concentration of an isotope in a material. Testing involves placement of test coupons in a calibrated neutron source beam and measuring the number of neutrons allowed to pass through the test material. Based on the neutron count, the areal density of the coupon can be calculated and compared to certified standards. Chemical analysis is assay testing performed on a sample taken from test coupons to determine the boron content.

The supplier shall certify that the BORAL<sup>®</sup> neutron absorber plate minimum <sup>10</sup>B areal density is 0.024 g/cm<sup>2</sup>.

# 8.1.5.2.2 MECHANICAL TESTS

The absorber plates perform a neutronic function in the MAP packages. Thus, no mechanical testing is required.

#### 8.1.5.2.3 VISUAL INSPECTION

For all plates, the finished plate shall be free of visual surface cracks, blisters, pores, or foreign inclusions.

Evidence of foreign material shall be cause for rejection (embedded pieces of  $B_4C$  matrix are not considered foreign material). Creases or other surface discontinuities are acceptable on the cladding of the BORAL<sup>®</sup> provided the core is not exposed. If necessary, the plate shall be examined with a 5X glass to determine if a surface indication is a crease or a crack.

# 8.1.5.3 NEUTRON MODERATOR SHEETING

This section establishes the requirements and acceptance criteria for inspection and testing of the Nylon-66 (six-six) sheeting utilized within the MAP packaging. Nylon is a high temperature theromplastic which is used mainly for its high temperature resistance up to 644 °F. It is also desirable due to its stability, hardness, and abrasion resistance.

# 8.1.5.3.1 NYLON COMPOSITION

The supplier shall certify that the Nylon is unfilled with a density ranging from 1.13 to  $1.15 \text{ g/cm}^3$  with a melting point of 482 to 509 °F.

Framatome - Fuel
This document is subject to the restrictions set forth on the first or title page

N°	FS1-0038397	Rev. 2.0
Hand	dling:	Page
Res	tricted Framatome	375/375

#### 8.1.6 SHIELDING TESTS

The MAP package does not contain any biological shielding.

#### 8.1.7 THERMAL TESTS

The material properties utilized in Section 3, Thermal Evaluation, are consistently conservative for the Normal Conditions of Transport (NCT) thermal analysis performed. The Hypothetical Accident Condition (HAC) fire certification testing of the MAP package (see Section 2.12.1.4.4, *Thermal Test* and Section 3.0, *Thermal*) served to verify material performance in the HAC thermal environment. As such, with the exception of the tests required for specific packaging components, as discussed in Section 8.1.5, *Component and Material Tests*, specific acceptance tests for material thermal properties are not required or performed.

#### 8.2 MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

This section describes the maintenance program used to ensure continued performance of the MAP package.

Visual inspection for damage of exposed surfaces will be performed before each use. Individual components will also be inspected as described in the sections below. If defects are found during inspection, the package will be segregated and dispositioned by standard site procedure before its next use.

# 8.2.1 STRUCTURAL AND PRESSURE TESTS

The MAP packaging does not contain any structural or lifting/tiedown devices that require testing.

There is also no pressure testing requirement.

#### 8.2.2 LEAK TESTS

The MAP packaging does not have any requirements for leak testing.

#### 8.2.3 COMPONENT AND MATERIAL TESTS

#### 8.2.3.1 FASTENERS

Lock pins and threaded components shall be inspected prior to each use for damage. Damaged components shall be repaired or replaced prior to further use.

#### 8.2.3.2 BRAIDED FIBROUS SLEEVING

Prior to each use, visual inspection of the braided fibrous sleeving shall be performed for tears, damage, or deterioration. Unacceptable sleeving shall be replaced.

#### 8.2.4 THERMAL

No thermal tests are necessary to ensure continued performance of the MAP packaging.