

From: [Paul](#)
To: [Buckberg, Perry](#)
Cc: [Paul Blanch](#); [Spicher, Terri](#); [Raspa, Rossana](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: Receipt of your 2.206 Petition Regarding Violations of Regulations - Indian Point Units 2 and 3.
Date: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 12:42:47 PM

All statements and enclosures I send to you are public information. The only information that is not public is the information I received from FERC under CEII, however it really isn't relevant to my petition. I would also like to provide you with the following site that discusses most major pipeline accidents:

<https://www.nts.gov/investigations/AccidentReports/Pages/pipeline.aspx>

All of these NTSB investigations support my position related to the 3-minute isolation time. The only information missing from these events is the exact time of the event.

I am looking forward to discussing these issues with the PRB

Paul Blanch
135 Hyde Rd.
West Hartford, CT 06117
pdblanch@comcast.net
860-236-0326
Cell 860-922-3119

On Oct 18, 2018, at 3:15 PM, Buckberg, Perry <Perry.Buckberg@nrc.gov> wrote:

Mr. Blanch,

I have been assigned to your Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Section 2.206 Petition submitted to the NRC on September 17, 2018 (in the NRC Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) under Accession No. ML18261A296), as supplemented October 11, 2018, regarding your concern that, "Entergy violated the requirements of 10 CFR 50.5 and 50.9 in that it provided material false and misleading information to the NRC..." and stating that enforcement actions must be imposed against Entergy for clear violations of these explicit NRC Regulations. I apologize for the delay in replying to you to acknowledge receipt of your petition. The NRC staff is currently considering your petition for review.

Please provide a response to me by Thursday, October 25, 2018, to the following questions:

<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Do you understand that the 2.206 Petition process is a public process in which the petition and all of the

information in the petition will be made publicly available?
<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Your October 11, 2018,
supplement includes an Excel spreadsheet and the e-mail includes the
statement, “*You are free to discuss this information with PHMSA
assuming you have the **proper CEII clearance.***” Since you mention
proper clearance, are the statements in your e-mail regarding the
spreadsheet data, or the data itself sensitive information that should not
be released to the public?

Thanks,

Perry Buckberg

Agency 2.206 Petition Coordinator

phone: (301)415-1383

perry.buckberg@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Mail Stop O-8B1a

Washington, DC, 20555-0001