

Second Quarter VIPP Performance Metrics

VIPP Metric	Title	Definition	Criteria/FY	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Current Status	Trends
VIP-O-1A	Accomplish DCIP's Established Number of Inspections per Fiscal Year	Accomplish DCIP's established number of inspections per fiscal year to capture a reasonable perspective of industry performance.	Perform a minimum of 35 inspections during FY 2017.	7	6	6	6	25	↑
VIP-O-1B	Completion of Annual Assessment of the Number of NOV's and NON's	Perform an annual assessment of NONs and NOV's to identify generic industry issues and take corrective actions as necessary. Corrective actions may include discussions at the vendor workshop, issuance of generic communications, and other activities.	Expect industry attendance at vendor workshops and industry outreach meetings and through generic communications.	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	↑
VIP-O-2A	Obtain Feedback from Vendors During Vendor Inspections	Branch chiefs and senior inspectors will solicit feedback from vendors at the inspection site on such aspects as the immediate inspection effort, vendor oversight, or NRC requirements and guidance.	Expect stable or increasingly positive perception over time.	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	↑
VIP-O-2B	Notification of Inspection	Obtain data on the total number of inspections that were notified to the vendor within the timeliness goals stipulated in Section 10 of this plan.	90% of inspection announcements greater than 30 days	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	↑
VIP-O-2C	Inspection Plans are Timely	Obtain data from ADAMS	90% of inspection plans issue within 7 days	100.00%	100.00%	80.00%	100.00%	95.65%	↑
VIP-O-2D	Inspection Reports are Timely	Obtain data on the total number of inspection reports issued within the timeliness goals stipulated in Section 10 of this plan and Inspection Manual Chapter 0617, "Vendor and Quality Assurance Implementation Inspection Reports," dated October 3, 2013.	90% of inspection reports issued within 45 days	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	85.71%	95.24%	↑
VIP-O-2E	Acknowledgment Letters Are Timely	Obtain data on the total number of acknowledgement letters issued within the timeliness goals stipulated in Section 10 of this plan.	90% of acknowledgement letters issued within 30 days	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	↑
VIP-O-2F	Inspection Results Accepted by Stakeholders	Track the total number of NOV's and NON's contested by vendors.	Retract less than 10% of NOV's and NON's	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	↑
VIP-O-3	Allegation Support	Achieve the timely submittal of allegation response documents.	Conduct all support within the Allegation program timeliness goals. Support includes, but is not limited to, providing input for ARB materials, attending ARBs, providing input to RFIs, participating in phone calls with the CI, providing input for closure and response after closure letters, etc.	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	↑

Second Quarter VIPP Performance Metrics

VIP-O-4A	Assessment of Trainee Qualification	Branch Chiefs assess inspectors in training for progress in achieving qualifications at least quarterly.	Expect 90 percent of trainees to qualify in 2 years.	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	↑
VIP-O-4B	Assessment of Inspector Proficiency	Maintain proficiency for all qualified inspectors.	Maintain annual proficiency for all qualified inspectors	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	↑

Second Quarter VIPP Performance Metrics

Note
During FY2018, the vendor inspection staff completed a total of 25 inspections. DCIP has met the required 25 inspections as stated in the VIPP.
During FY2018, there were significantly less findings than the prior year. The vendor inspection staff continued it's outreach with the nuclear supply chain, through the NRC's Workshop on Vendor Oversight, and our involvement with industry organizations such as NUPIC, the Multinational Design Evaluation Programme (MDEP), the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Joint Utility Task Group (JUTG), the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Nuclear Quality Assurance-1 (NQA-1), and Nuclear Oversight Conferences. Last year, we provided presentations on such topics as Challenges in the Qualification of First-of-a-Kind Components, the NRC Inspection Program, and Regulatory Updates. These face-to-face interactions provide an open forum for exchanging information
During FY2018, the Branch Chiefs and/or lead inspectors solicited feedback during all exit meetings.
Out of the twenty-three Announcement Letters issued in the FY2018, all met the timeliness requirements.
Out of the twenty-three Inspection Plans issued in FY2018, all but one met the timeliness requirements.
Out of the twenty-one Inspection Reports issued in FY2018, all but one met the timeliness requirements.
Out of the thirteen Acknowledgement Letters issued in FY2018, all met the timeliness requirements.
There were no costested NOVs or NONs by stakeholders in FY2018.
Vendor staff provided support on 29 allegations (2 NRR and 27 NRO) during FY2018. No reactive inspections were in FY2018. Vendor staff provided support to 37 allegation actions (2 NRR and 35 NRO) in FY2018. NRO inspection staff met allegation timeliness metrics for their input.

↑	Good/Improving or exceeding the goal
⇒	Steady/Insufficient Data
↓	Not meeting the mark

Second Quarter VIPP Performance Metrics

One vendor inspection passed the vendor qualifications in FY2018 and met the timeliness requirement. One inspector is on track to pass his vendor qualification in FY2019.

4 vendor trainings were completed in FY2018.