

SUNSI Review Complete  
 Template = ADM-013  
 E-RIDS=ADM-03  
 ADD= Antoinette Walker-  
 Smith  
 James Park, Cinthya Cuevas  
 Roman, Jenny Weil

COMMENT (6)  
 PUBLICATION DATE:  
 9/4/2018  
 CITATION 83 FR 44922

<p><b>As of:</b> 9/27/18 8:11 AM  <b>Received:</b> September 26, 2018  <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post  <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k2-95n5-joqh  <b>Comments Due:</b> October 19, 2018  <b>Submission Type:</b> Web</p>
---

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Docket:** NRC-2016-0231

Waste Control Specialists LLC's Consolidated Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility Project

**Comment On:** NRC-2016-0231-0187

Interim Storage Partners LLC's Consolidated Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility

**Document:** NRC-2016-0231-DRAFT-0186

Comment on FR Doc # 2018-19058

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Cynthia Weehler

**Address:**

Santa Fe, TX, 87508

**Email:** cnthweehler@gmail.com

## General Comment

Transporting nuclear waste along railways, interstates, and waterways is dangerous and unnecessary. It endangers all the citizens that the waste passes. Here are some of my concerns.

1. When an accident occurs, the property it happens on will be worthless for millennia. Accidents always occur, eventually, because humans aren't perfect. Worse, some humans intend to do harm.
2. Humans will be exposed to radioactivity because the transport vehicles release radioactivity for some distance from the contents. Imagine the danger to a pregnant woman carrying a fetus and stopped at a railroad crossing. Or children who live within this zone of "accepted" radioactive release because their house is near the tracks. The cumulative effects of this exposure is an unacceptable risk that the nuclear industry seems willing to take. I doubt if those children are willing to take it.
3. There is an acceptable alternative. HOSS storage at each site where the waste is generated is a comparatively safe and long lasting method until and if we ever figure out how to store this waste for the time period in which it is hazardous.
4. We should stop making this waste. Period. Quit allowing companies to try to come up with a "better way to do nuclear power." It has lost the financial, environmental, and energy battle to renewables.

Thank you for reading my comments. However, the time you have allotted for comments is unacceptably

short and truncated. By shortening it, the obvious message to the public is that you really don't want to hear from us.