ENCLOSURE 2

M180163

Revision 2 of NEDO-33798 Supplement 1, "NSF Channel Annual Experience Summary Report"

Non-Proprietary Information

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This is a non-proprietary version of Enclosure 1, from which has the proprietary information has been removed. Portions of the enclosure that have been removed are indicated by an open and closed bracket as shown here [[]].



Global Nuclear Fuel

NEDO-33798 Supplement 1 Revision 2 September 2018

Non-Proprietary Information

NSF Channel Annual Experience Summary Report

Copyright 2018 Global Nuclear Fuel - Americas, LLC All Rights Reserved

INFORMATION NOTICE

This is a non-proprietary version of the document NEDO-33798 Supplement 1, Revision 2, which has the proprietary information removed. Portions of the document that have been removed are indicated by an open and closed bracket as shown here [[]].

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING CONTENTS OF THIS REPORT PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The information contained in this document is furnished for the purpose of meeting the requirements of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Safety Evaluation (SE) for the NSF Licensing Topical Report (LTR). The only undertakings of GNF-A with respect to information in this document are contained in the contracts between GNF-A and its customers or participating utilities, and nothing contained in this document shall be construed as changing that contract. The use of this information by anyone for any purpose other than that for which it is intended is not authorized; and with respect to any unauthorized use, GNF-A makes no representation or warranty, and assumes no liability as to the completeness, accuracy, or usefulness of the information contained in this document.

REVISION SUMMARY

Revision	Revision Description
0	2016 Initial Release
1	2017 Initial Release
2	2018 Initial Release

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1				
	1.1	Purpose	. 1		
	1.2	Scope	. 1		
2.0	NSF	CHANNEL INSPECTIONS AND PERFORMANCE	3		
	2.1	Inspections	. 3		
	2.2	Performance	. 3		
		2.2.1 NSF Channel Growth	3		
		2.2.2 NSF Channel Creep Bulge	. 4		
		2.2.3 NSF Channel Total Distortion	. 4		
		2.2.4 NSF Channel Inferred Shadow Corrosion Bow	. 4		
3.0	REFI	ERENCES	17		

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	NSF 2017-2018 Channel Inspections (Plants and Classifications)	6
Table 2-2	Summary of NSF Channels Inspected in 2017-2018	7

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1	Range of Exposure and ECBE for Irradiated NSF Channel Distortion and Length Measurement Database	8
Figure 2-2	GNF Channel Growth Data and NSF Irradiation Growth Data	9
Figure 2-3	Measured Creep Bulge versus Exposure for NSF 100T Channels	10
Figure 2-4	Measured Creep Bulge versus Exposure for NSF 120T Channels	11
Figure 2-5	Measured Creep Bulge versus Exposure for NSF 93/63 Channels	12
Figure 2-6	Measured Creep Bulge versus Predicted Creep Bulge for NSF 100T Channels	13
Figure 2-7	Measured Creep Bulge versus Predicted Creep Bulge for NSF 120T Channels	14
Figure 2-8	Measured Total Channel Distortion versus Exposure for NSF and Zircaloy-2 Channels	15
Figure 2-9	Plot of Inferred Shadow Bow versus ECBE for NSF and Zircaloy-2 Channels	16

SUMMARY

This annual report for 2018 provides a summary of the ongoing experience with Global Nuclear Fuel's (GNF's) NSF channels as required by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) conditions and limitations that are stipulated as a condition for the licensing of NSF channel material in reload quantities. New poolside inspections by GNF of four NSF channels from one Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) operating in the United States (US) have been completed between May 2017 and August 2018. In addition, four NSF channels were inspected by a European utility during the reporting period. New measurements of channel growth add to the experience base and continue to demonstrate the expected behavior for NSF channels. There are no new GNF measurements of total channel distortion, inferred shadow corrosion-induced bow, or creep bulge; however, plots of prior measurements are recapped which demonstrate the expected behavior of NSF channels. All conditions and limitations of the NSF Licensing Topical Report (LTR) Safety Evaluation (SE) that require annual reporting are met by this report.

ACRONYMS

Acronym	Explanation
BWR	Boiling Water Reactor
CFM	Cell Friction Metric
ECBE	Effective Control Blade Exposure
EOC	End-of-Cycle
GNF	Global Nuclear Fuel
GNF-A	Global Nuclear Fuel - Americas
LTR	Licensing Topical Report
LUA	Lead Use Assembly
LUC	Lead Use Channel
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NSF	Zr-Sn-Nb-Fe Alloy
PWR	Pressurized Water Reactor
R-factor	Weighted rod power local peaking for critical power calculations
SE	Safety Evaluation
SIMCHAD	Simplified Channel Dimensional Measurement Device
US	United States

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Global Nuclear Fuel (GNF) proposed the use of its NSF¹ channel material as a material solution that could mitigate channel to control blade interference that emerged in the early 2000s as an operational concern. The benefit of NSF arises from its resistance to both fluence gradient-induced bow and shadow corrosion-induced bow. GNF loaded NSF Lead Use Channels (LUCs) in several United States (US) and European Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) plants starting in 2002 to gain experience with the material. An expanded LUC program that allowed up to 8% LUCs was approved by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in 2013 (MFN 12-074 Supplement 2-A, Reference 1).

Approval of the 8% LUC program included Condition and Limitation 3 to visually inspect and measure the length² of [[]] of the LUCs during each outage, and upon discharge to visually inspect and measure the length of [[]] of the LUCs and to measure the distortion (bow and bulge) of [[]] of the LUCs. The NRC approved the batch application of NSF channels in September 2015 (MFN 15-076, Reference 2). The expanded NSF LUC program monitoring and inspection plan, detailed in Section 3.2 of the MFN 12-074 Safety Evaluation (SE) report, must be completed as a requirement of the batch application approval. In addition, the batch approval requires the submittal of an annual NSF experience report to the NRC to ensure continued in-reactor performance and applicability of NSF models.

1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide an annual NSF experience report to satisfy NRC requirements set forth in the SE report as a condition for the licensing of GNF's NSF channel material in reload quantities as specified in MFN 15-076 (Reference 2).

1.2 SCOPE

The scope of this NSF annual experience report provides a summary of the specific items that are required to be reported as set forth in the SE in Condition and Limitation 4 (Reference 2). These required items are the following:

- a. Plot of NSF channel irradiation database, expressed as Effective Control Blade Exposure (ECBE) versus exposure.
- b. Plot of measured channel growth versus fast neutron fluence data, along with NSF growth model predictions.
- c. Plot of measured channel bulge versus exposure data.
- d. Plot of measured channel bulge data versus NSF channel bulge model predictions.

¹ NSF derives its name from the alloying elements used in a new channel material developed by GNF; Zr-Nb-Sn-Fe. This alloy is comparable to Zircaloy-2 (Zr-Sn-Fe-Cr-Ni) and Zircaloy-4 (Zr-Sn-Fe-Cr). It was developed based on applications in Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) (Zirlo) and Russian (Zr-Nb) fuel components.

² Length measurements are used to determine the channel growth.

- e. Plot of measured channel distortion (total) versus exposure data, segregating low and high ECBE data.
- f. Plot of inferred shadow bow versus ECBE data, along with NSF shadow bow model predictions.

Since the 2017 annual report was issued (Reference 3), the only new data added to the plots noted in items a through f, above, are channel growth measurements of four channels from one US plant. However, all plots noted in items a through f, above, are provided in this annual report.

SE Condition and Limitation 5 (alterations to distortion models), 6 (elimination of channel-control blade interference counter measures), and 7 (changes in R-factor uncertainty) specified in Reference 2 require reporting when changes have been made. Because no changes were made in this annual reporting cycle, they are not included herein.

2.0 NSF CHANNEL INSPECTIONS AND PERFORMANCE

2.1 INSPECTIONS

One new US poolside inspection that included a total of four NSF channels categorized as an 8% LUC "mini-batch" have been completed since the November 2017 annual report (Reference 3). Inspection of these channels included visual and length measurements. None of the 8% LUC channels were discharged and therefore their inspection requirements were to perform visual inspection and length measurements on [[]] of the NSF LUC batch size.

The US inspection included in this report is summarized in Table 2-1, and details of the inspected channels are summarized in Table 2-2, which include a range of exposure and ECBE conditions. Currently, the irradiated NSF Simplified Channel Dimensional Measurement Device (SIMCHAD) and length measurement database encompasses the burnups and ECBEs shown in Figure 2-1 (Condition and Limitation 4.a). The database is bounded with [[]] channel exposure and [[]] ECBE.

In addition, four NSF channels with moderate burnup (< 37.1 MWd/kgU), classified as a 2% LUC, that operated in a European BWR were inspected by the utility during the current reporting period. Inspection of these channels included visual and bow and bulge measurements. There are no NRC-mandated inspection requirements for NSF European-operated 2% LUC channels. Furthermore, the operating history of these channels is not currently well known, the bow and bulge measurements are made with different equipment and procedures than those used by GNF, and the BWR lattice is an ABB type that is not explicitly included in GNF's Cell Friction Metric (CFM) model that is required to calculate the predicted bow and bulge values to which the measurements would be compared. Because of these reasons, the European bow and bulge measurements are not included in the GNF channel performance database, and results of this inspection are not discussed in detail in this report. However, available details of this inspection are included in Tables 2-1 and 2-2.

2.2 PERFORMANCE

The measured growth, creep bulge, total distortion, and inferred shadow corrosion bow for NSF channels are summarized in the following sections. Comparisons are made to Zircaloy-2 in some cases to show the broader context in which the data exist.

2.2.1 NSF Channel Growth

Consistent with Condition and Limitation 4.b, new NSF channel growth measurements, based on a calibrated tape measure, are shown in Figure 2-2 as a percentage change from the nominal original length. New growth measurements are also compared to prior measurements in Figure 2-2. The data shows that NSF growth is trending with fluence above and below the current model line and does not indicate that NSF's distortion model requires modification. At high fluence, the population of NSF channels continues to [[]] channels that start to exhibit signs of breakaway growth initiation between [[]] fast fluence.

2.2.2 **NSF Channel Creep Bulge**

Consistent with Condition and Limitation 4.c, the measured channel creep bulge as a function of exposure is shown in Figure 2-3 for 100T NSF channels, in Figure 2-4 for 120T NSF channels, and in Figure 2-5 for GNF3 Lead Use Assembly (LUA) 93/63 NSF channels. Data are plotted for]] inch elevations. In addition, measurements for the [[the [[11 inch elevations are included in Figure 2-5 for the GNF3 LUA. NSF creep bulge at these elevations]], with most bulges being less than]]. Maximum bulge is approximately [[is [[]]. Consistent with Condition and Limitation 4.d, the measured bulges compared to Γſ predicted values are shown in Figures 2-6 and 2-7 for 100T and 120T NSF channels, respectively. The model tends to predict bulge well for the available data, with some overprediction mostly at]] inches. Bulge uncertainty is currently set at [[]] and covers much of the Γſ variation in the data, thus no changes to the NSF bulge model are warranted.

2.2.3 **NSF Channel Total Distortion**

Consistent with Condition and Limitation 4.e, the measured total distortion as a function of exposure for NSF channels is shown in Figure 2-8. No new distortion measurements have been performed in US plants since the 2017 annual report. NSF's total distortion is [[

]] about the zero-distortion axis between [[]].

Maximum distortion for NSF channels with ECBE [[

]] compared to NSF channels with ECBE [[]]. NSF total distortion is [[]] compared to that of Zircaloy-2 channels.

NSF Channel Inferred Shadow Corrosion Bow 2.2.4

Consistent with Condition and Limitation 4.f, the inferred shadow corrosion bow data from NSF channels in S-Lattice and C-Lattice plants are plotted versus ECBE in Figure 2-9. The NSF data is segregated into exposures less than [[]] and greater than [[]]. No new shadow corrosion bow measurements have been performed in US plants since the 2017 annual report. A comparison of NSF's inferred shadow corrosion bow data to its current S120T/C100T NSF shadow corrosion bow model, shown in Figure 2-9, demonstrates that there is good agreement between the model and data and therefore no modifications to the NSF shadow corrosion bow model are warranted. A comparison of NSF's shadow corrosion bow data to Zircalov-2 channels for exposures greater than [[1] is also provided in Figure 2-9. which demonstrates that NSF has superior shadow corrosion bow performance relative to Zircaloy-2.

NSF D-Lattice data is also shown in Figure 2-9; however, there are only [[

]], the D-Lattice and S-Lattice/C-Lattice NSF models are]]. As with [[]]. The NSF D-Lattice model is [[]] D-Lattice Γſ model, and the NSF D-Lattice and S-Lattice/C-Lattice models are [[]] over most of the ECBE range due to the [[]]. Near]] ECBE saturation point, the S-Lattice/C-Lattice and D-Lattice NSF the [[models diverge about [[]] but are much closer to each other at [[

D-Lattice population are bounded by either the [[]] channels in the NSF and with such [[]]

Table 2-1	NSF 2017-2018	Channel Inspectio	ons (Plants and	Classifications)
-----------	---------------	-------------------	-----------------	--------------------------

Plant	Plant Type	Number of Channels in Program	Use Classification	Operating History	Inspection Date and Cycle
[[
]]

Plant	ID	Inspection Date and Cycle	Inspection Scope	Bundle Exposure (GWd/MTU)	ECBE (inch-days)	Fuel Design
ננ	GER163	[[Visual, Growth	EE		GNF2
	GER170		Visual, Growth			GNF2
	GER261		Visual, Growth			GNF2
	GER167		Visual, Growth			GNF2
	GNR1447		Visual, Bow, Bulge			GE14
	GNR1457		Visual, Bow, Bulge			GE14
	GNR1467		Visual, Bow, Bulge			GE14
]]	GNR1477]]	Visual, Bow, Bulge]]	GE14

Table 2-2Summary of NSF Channels Inspected in 2017-2018

[[

]]

Figure 2-1 Range of Exposure and ECBE for Irradiated NSF Channel Distortion and Length Measurement Database

[[

Figure 2-2 GNF Channel Growth Data and NSF Irradiation Growth Data

[[

Figure 2-3 Measured Creep Bulge versus Exposure for NSF 100T Channels

[[

]]

Figure 2-4 Measured Creep Bulge versus Exposure for NSF 120T Channels

[[

Figure 2-5 Measured Creep Bulge versus Exposure for NSF 93/63 Channels

[[

Figure 2-6 Measured Creep Bulge versus Predicted Creep Bulge for NSF 100T Channels

[[

Figure 2-7 Measured Creep Bulge versus Predicted Creep Bulge for NSF 120T Channels

[[

Figure 2-8 Measured Total Channel Distortion versus Exposure for NSF and Zircaloy-2 Channels

[[

Figure 2-9 Plot of Inferred Shadow Bow versus ECBE for NSF and Zircaloy-2 Channels

3.0 REFERENCES

- 1. Letter, Andrew A. Lingenfelter (GNF) to Document Control Desk (NRC), "Accepted Version of Enhanced Lead Use Channel (LUC) Program for NSF Fuel Bundle Channels," MFN 12-074 Supplement 2-A, April 15, 2013.
- Letter, Brian R. Moore (GNF) to Document Control Desk (NRC), "Approved Version of NEDE-33798P Revision 0, 'Application of NSF to GNF Fuel Channel Designs'," MFN 15-076, September 30, 2015.
- 3. Letter, Brian R. Moore (GNF) to Document Control Desk (NRC), "NEDE-33798P Supplement 1, Revision 1, 'NSF Channel Annual Experience Summary Report'," M170251, November, 2017.