

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

FILE NUMBER

TO:
Mr. Victor Stello

FROM:
Florida Power & Light Company
Miami, Florida
Mr. Robert E. Uhrig

DATE OF DOCUMENT
6/22/76

DATE RECEIVED
6/24/76

LETTER
 ORIGINAL
 COPY

NOTORIZED
 UNCLASSIFIED

PROP
INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED
Three signed
40 copies encl recvd.

DESCRIPTION

Ltr. notorized 6/22/76 w/attached ...Amdt to ol/change to tech spec. to replace the words "The Facility" in Sec. 2.F, with the phrase "Turkey Point Unit Nos. 3 & 4.

(4-P)

PLANT NAME:
Turkey Point 3 & 4

ENCLOSURE /

ACKNOWLEDGED
DO NOT REMOVE

SAFETY

FOR ACTION/INFORMATION

ENVIRO

6/24/76

RJL

ASSIGNED AD:		ASSIGNED AD:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BRANCH CHIEF:	Lear (6)	BRANCH CHIEF:
PROJECT MANAGER:		PROJECT MANAGER:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LIC. ASST.:	Parrish	LIC. ASST.:

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION


<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REG FILE	SYSTEMS SAFETY	PLANT SYSTEMS	SITE SAFETY &
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NRC PDR	HEINEMAN	TEDESCO	ENVIRO ANALYSIS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I & E (2)	SCHROEDER	BENAROYA	DENTON & MULLER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OELD		LAINAS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GOSSICK & STAFF	ENGINEERING	IPPOLITO	ENVIRO TECH.
MIPC	MACCARRY	KIRKWOOD	ERNST
CASE	KNIGHT		BALLARD
HANAUER	SIHWEIL	OPERATING REACTORS	SPANGLER
HARLESS	PAWLICKI	STELLO	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REACTOR SAFETY	OPERATING TECH.	SITE TECH.
BOYD	ROSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EISENHUT (2)	GAMMILL
P. COLLINS	NOVAK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHAO	STEPP
HOUSTON	ROSZTOCZY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BAER	HULMAN
PETERSON	CHECK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BUTLER	SITE ANALYSIS
MELTZ		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GRIMES	VOLLNER
HELTEMES	AT & I		BUNCH
SKOVHOLT	SALTZMAN		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> J. COLLINS
	RUTBERG		KREGER


EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

CONTROL NUMBER


<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LPDR: Miami, Fla.	NAT LAB:	BROOKHAVEN NAT LAB
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TIC:	REG. VIE	ULRIKSON (ORNL)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSIC:	LA PDR	
ASLB:	CONSULTANTS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACRS/6CYS HOLDING/SENT	Parrish	

6388





Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attn: Mr. Victor Stello, Jr., Director
Division of Operating Reactors
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555



Dear Mr. Stello:

Re: Turkey Point Plant Unit Nos. 3 and 4 . . .
Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251
Proposed Amendment to Facility
Operating Licenses DPR-31 and DPR-41

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.30, Florida Power & Light Company submits herewith three (3) signed originals and forty (40) copies of a request to amend the Facility Operating Licenses.

Proposed is the replacement of the words, "the facility" in Section 2.F, with the phrase, "Turkey Point Unit Nos. 3 and 4." The revised section should read as follows:


2.F Pursuant to the Act and 10 CFR Parts 30 and 70, to possess, but not separate, such by-product and special nuclear materials as may be produced by the operation of Turkey Point Unit Nos. 3 and 4.

This proposed license amendment is being submitted as a result of a request from your staff that an amendment be prepared in order to provide for the storage of spent fuel from Unit 4 in the Unit 3 spent fuel pit while the Unit 4 spent fuel pit liner is being repaired and augmented storage racks installed, and similarly for the storage of Unit 3 fuel in the Unit 4 spent fuel pit during the liner repair and installation of augmented storage racks in the Unit 3 spent fuel pit.

Attached is the Safety Analysis performed for this proposed license amendment.

The information which your staff has requested concerning the fuel transfer operation will be transmitted to you separately. This information was presented to members of your staff verbally on June 18, 1976.

6388




To: Victor Stello, Jr.
Re: Turkey Point Plant Units 3 and 4
Proposed Amendment to Facility
Operating Licenses DPR-31 and DPR-41

June 22, 1976
Page -2-

The Turkey Point Plant Nuclear Safety Committee and the Company Nuclear Review Board have reviewed the proposed license amendment and safety analysis, and have concluded that the proposed amendment does not constitute an un-reviewed safety question. These review groups also concluded that, since both Units 3 and 4 have identical spent fuel rack designs and identical fuel designs, the proposed amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

Very truly yours,



Robert E. Uhrig
Vice President

REU/GDW/hlc

cc: Norman C. Moseley, Region II
Jack R. Newman, Esq.



SAFETY EVALUATION

INTRODUCTION

The current Facility Operating Licenses DPR-31 and DPR-41 for Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Units 3 and 4 do not clearly allow the storage of Unit 3 spent fuel in the Unit 4 spent fuel pit and vice versa. The proposed license change is intended to provide for the storage of spent fuel from both units in either spent fuel pit.

DISCUSSION

There are no significant differences in the fuel design for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4. The rack designs in both spent fuel pits are identical. The exposure times of the fuel from both units are approximately the same. Units 3 and 4 have a joint Final Safety Analysis Report. There are no differences noted between the units in fuel design, spent fuel pit design, or rack design.

EVALUATION

The proposed license amendment has been reviewed by the Turkey Point Plant Nuclear Safety Committee, and the Company Nuclear Review Group. These review groups concluded that the proposed amendment will be useful for clarification purposes. They also concluded that the proposed amendment does not involve an unreviewed safety question, since the design of the plant is not being altered in any way.

CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that:

- (1) because the changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and do not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the changes do not involve a significant hazards consideration;
- (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner; and
- (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.



1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses. The names are listed in the first column, and the addresses are listed in the second column. The names are: John Doe, Jane Smith, and Bob Johnson. The addresses are: 123 Main St, 456 Elm St, and 789 Oak St.


2. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses. The names are listed in the first column, and the addresses are listed in the second column. The names are: Alice Brown, Charlie Green, and David White. The addresses are: 101 Pine St, 202 Cedar St, and 303 Birch St.

STATE OF FLORIDA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF DADE)

Robert E. Uhrig, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:


That he is a Vice President of Florida Power & Light Company,
the Licensee herein;

That he has executed the foregoing document; that the statements
made in this said document are true and correct to the best of
his knowledge, information and belief, and that he is authorized
to execute the document on behalf of said Licensee.


Robert E. Uhrig

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this 2nd day of June, 1976


Notary Public, in and for the County of
Dade, State of Florida

NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA AT LARGE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES NOV. 30 1979
BONDED THRU GENERAL INS, UNDERWRITERS

My commission expires: _____

SECRET
CONFIDENTIAL

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

[Handwritten signature]

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..