

DISTRIBUTION AFTER ISSUANCE OF OPERATING LICENSE

NRC FORM 195
(2-75)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKET NUMBER
50-250/251/335
FILE NUMBER

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

TO:
Mr. Edson G. Case

FROM:
Florida Power & Light Company
Miami, Florida
Robert E. Uhrig

DATE OF DOCUMENT
10/7/77
DATE RECEIVED
10/25/77

LETTER
 ORIGINAL
 COPY

NOTORIZED
 UNCLASSIFIED

PROP. INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED
3 signed

DESCRIPTION

Consists of info re Physical Search Requirements.....

(1-P)

PLANT NAME: Turkey Point Units 3 & 4
RJL 10/25/77

ENCLOSURE

SAFETY		FOR ACTION/INFORMATION	
BRANCH CHIEF: (7)	LEAR		

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION			
REG FILE			
NRC-PDR			
I & E (2)			
OELD			
HANAUER			
CHECK			
BRIDGE			
EISENHUT			
SHAO			
BAER			
BUTLER			
GRIMES			
J. COLLINS			
J. McGOUGH			
Davis			
Diggs			

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION	
FT. Pierce, FLA.	
LPDR: Miami, FLA.	
TIC	
NSIC	
16 CYS ACRS SENT CATEGORY B	

CONTROL NUMBER
772980050



October 7, 1977
L-77-314

Mr. Edson G. Case, Acting Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Case:

Re: Physical Search Requirements
Turkey Point Units 3 & 4
St. Lucie Unit 1
Docket Nos. 50-250, 50-251 & 50-335



By letter dated September 23, 1977 (L-77-299) Florida Power & Light Company advised you of its intent to discontinue the "hands-on" search programs at its operating nuclear units, effective September 24, 1977, based upon our understanding of the Commission's elimination of those requirements. Subsequently, on September 29, 1977, 10 CFR 73.55 was amended to reflect a relaxation of only the physical search requirements as they pertained to regular employees at a particular plant site.

This is to advise you that FPL is in compliance with the physical search requirements of 10 CFR 73.55 as amended on September 29, 1977, as described in my letters of August 31, 1977 to the respective NRC Division of Operating Reactors branch chiefs.

However, we believe that a general requirement for physical searches of these categories of individuals is both unnecessary and unreasonable. Accordingly, we object to the imposition of such a requirement in the present rule. FPL has received the notices of a petition for rulemaking in this matter which you sent us and will present further comment at a later date.

Yours very truly,

Robert E. Uhrig
Vice President

REU/LLL:ltm

cc: Robert Lowenstein, Esq.

772980050

SECRET

10

SECRET

SECRET