NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL (TEMPORARY FORM)

CONTROL NO: 7572

		,	·	,	•	•	FILE	:			
FROM:	Miami, Fla	er & Light Co	DATE OF DOC	DAT	E REC'D	LTR XXX	TWX	RPT	ОТН	ER	
TO:	R EnUhrig		ORIG	CC	OTHER	SE	NT HRC	PDR_	X	<u>x</u>	
10.	Mr Giambuss	O	, one signed					CALPDE			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			NOC	/S REC'D	DOCKET NO:					
CLASS	UNCLASS	PROP INFO	INPUT	NOC	1	· ·	-250 25				
DESCRI	PTION:	L		ENCL	OSURES:		t	, 1			
Ltr notarized 7-11-75trans the following:					Expanded Safety Evaluation of proposed change to Tech Specs 4.4.6dtd 6-13-75						
. •	-	1			(40 (ys en	cl rec	'd <u>)</u>		•	
		ey Pón í nt 2 &	3		*	•			•		
PLANT	NAME: IUL			<u> </u>	- 12 t 1	***		4.	·		
	1		FOR ACTION/	INFOR			15-75	ehf			
BUTLER W/ Co CLARK W/ Co PARR (I W/ Co KNIEL (W/ Co	pies (L) pies L) pies (L)	SCHWENCER W/ Copies STOLZ (L) W/-Copies VASSALLO (W/ Copies PURPLE (L) W/ Copies	W/ Copies DICKER (E W/ Copies) J (E) SOD (E	REGAN W/ Co LEAR (- W&Co SPIES W/ Co) LPM W/ Co	opies (L) . Opies I		OT R			
		•	INTERNAL D	ISTRIE	UTION						
GOSSIC CASE GIAMBI BOYD MOORE DEYOU SKOVH GOLLEI P. COLL DENISE REG OF	OR OOM P-506A CK/STAFF USSO CL) OLT (L) INS (L) INS	TECH REVIE SCHROEDER MACCARY KNIGHT PAWLICKI SHAO STELLO HOUSTON NOVAK ROSS IPPOLITO TEDESCO J.COLLINS LAINAS BENAROYA VOLLMER	M DENTON GRIMES GAMMILL KASTNER BALLARD SPANGLER ENVIRO MULLER DICKER KNIGHTON YOUNGBLE REGAN PROJECT LE	OOD DR	LIC AS R. DIGGS H. GEARI E. GOULE P. KREUT J. LEE (L M. RUSSIBRO S. REED (M. SERVIO S. SHEPPA M. SLATE H. SMITH S. TEETS G. WILLIA V. WILSO R. INGRA M. DUNCA	(L) N (L) SOURN ZER (I) OOK(L) (E) ARD (L) ARS (E) (L) (MS (E) M (L)	Ē) -}	SALT MELT MCDO CHAP DUBE E. CO PETE HART KLEO EISEI	TMAN ZMAN IS DNALD PMAN E (Ltr) PUPE RSON IFFIELD		
			EXTERNAL D	ISTRIE	BUTION						
1 - NS 1 - AS	DCAL PDR_M C (ABERNAT SIC (BUCHAN SLB ewton Anderso	AN) · 1	- NATIONAL LA - W. PENNINGT - CONSULTAN NEWMARK/B	'ON, Rn I'S	n E-201 GT	· 1	- BRO	SAN/LA OKHAV ILRIKSO	EN NAT		

1 - Newton Anderson
-14- ACRS HOLDING/SENT
TO L.A. Ingram

Carried Bar

7757275

1-1,6-7,

1.00m, G 25

Pro State

and the state of t 3 32+1 F.5 C

S. S. March ty en

Color to Stranger of St.

1 .

12000000000

Ande to Of Johnson to tech Speed



July 11, 1975 L-75-248

Maring

Mr. Angelo Giambusso, Director Division of Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Giambusso:

Re: Turkey Point Plant Unit Nos. 3 & 4 (Docket Nos. 50-250 & 50-251) Addendum to Proposed Amendment to Facility Operating Licenses DPR-31 and DPR-41

On June 13, 1975, a request to amend Appendix A of Facility Operating Licenses DPR-31 and DPR-41 was submitted to you in accordance with 10 CFR 50.30. The proposed amendment concerned Technical Specification 4.4.6 relating to Tendon Surveillance.

An expanded Safety Evaluation of the proposed change for Technical Specification 4.4.6 has been prepared for attachment to the June 13th submittal. It is herewith submitted in forty (40) copies with three (3) signed originals in accordance with 10 CFR 50.30.

Yours very truly,

Robert E. Uhrig Vice President

REU:LLL:nch Attachment

cc: Mr. Norman C. Moseley
Jack R. Newman, Esquire

7572

A STATE OF THE STA . . P ≡ P ∓ • _ **N** •

STATE OF FLORIDA SS COUNTY OF DADE

ROBERT E. UHRIG, being first duly sworn, deposes and says;

That he is a Vice President of Florida Power & Light Company, the Applicant herein;

That he has executed the foregoing instrument; and that the statements made in this siad instrument are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief; and that he is authorized to execute the instrument on behalf of said Applicant.

Subscribed and sworn to before me

Notary Public in and for the County

Notary Public in and for the Country of Florida At IAAA! of Dade, State of Floriday Commission Expires Jan. 26, 1976

SONDED THRU GENERAL INSURANCE UNDERWRITERS

My Commission expires

• = · **b** " * n_1 TOINGS. 32%

SAFETY EVALUATION OF PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REGARDING TENDON SURVEILLANCE

General Design Criterion 53, "Provisions for Containment Testing and Inspection" of Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants", to 10 CFR Part 50, "Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities", requires in part, that the reactor containment be designed to permit (1) periodic inspection of all important areas and (2) an appropriate surveillance program. The containment design of Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 provides a pre-stressed concrete structure with ungrouted tendons. An inservice inspection program for surveillance of these tendons was established and is specified in Section 4.4.6 of Appendix A to License Nos. DPR-31 and DPR-41.

Surveillance Tendons were chosen such that a sample was obtained from each major tendon type (dome, vertical and hoop). The specific choices within each type were selected to provide tendons which were subject to various environmental exposures. Technical Specification 4.4.6 describes the specific items to be covered on each surveillance tendon.

The proposed Technical Specification change requests that Technical Specification 4.4.6 for Unit 4 be changed to substitute Horizontal Tendons 13H38, 42H57, and 64H70 for the specified 13H15, 51H50, and 35H70 and Dome Tendon 2D14 for the specified 2D28. In preparing for

the Turkey Point Unit 4 Tendon Surveillance scheduled for accomplishment during August, 1975, it was found that surveillance on several of the original tendons required that personnel perform work in the area of the main steam relief valve discharge. In addition one tendon was not accessible due to an obstruction in the path of the skyclimber. Working in the area of the relief valve discharge would expose the workmen to an unnecessary hazard should a safety valve or the atmospheric steam relief valve be required to open. It was therefore determined that substitute tendons should be selected which could be inspected without adversely affecting the safety of personnel. The surveillance using the substitute tendons will be performed in the same manner, using the same equipment, as that proposed for the original tendons.

An examination of Figures 1,2 and 3, submitted in the 6/13/75 proposed change, shows that the original horizontal surveillance tendons covered a full 360 degrees of the containment at various elevations. A review of these same figures, shows that less than a 60 degree section of the Unit No. 4 containment is not covered by the proposed surveillance tendons. An examination of the Unit No. 3 surveillance tendons listed in Technical Specification 4.4.6 shows that a full 360 degrees of the Unit No. 3 containment is covered, thereby providing a full environmental exposure. Despite this difference in coverage of the containments of each unit, as outlined below, it is believed that the surveillance program will be adequate to meet its intent.

Regulatory Guide 1.35, paragraph C.2, states a regulatory position which provides a method of inspecting only one out of two containments located on the same site. The Turkey Point Units 3 & 4 containments were built by the same contractor at approximately the same time; they are located less than 1000 ft apart and experience the same environmental exposures. Thus, as indicated in paragraph C.2, paragraph C.7 of Regulatory Guide 1.35, which allows a visual inspection of tendons, could be applied to Unit No. 4; the total 360 degree hoop tendon surveillance obtained from the Unit No. 3 surveillance tendons would be applicable for both units.

FSAR Page 5.1.2-6 states that any 3 adjacent tendons in any group (dome, vertical or horizontal) can be lost without affecting the structure's integrity. FSAR Section 5.1.7.4 (pg 5.1.7-6) states that the surveillance tendons (9 in total number) are in excess of design requirements. Also both the FSAR (Section 5.1.7.4) and Technical Specification 4.4.6 require that the surveillance tendons be retensioned to the stress level measured in the tendon at the lift-off reading. Thus the surveillance tendons are still available to perform the tendon design function.

It is therefore concluded that the containment structural integrity is not degraded by using the proposed surveillance tendons, that data relative to the tendon integrity obtained from the proposed surveillance tendons will be the equivalent of the existing surveillance tendons, and that the surveillance program with the proposed surveillance tendons meets the intended requirement of General Design Cirterion 53 and Technical

Specification 4.4.6. On this basis, it is further concluded that: this change does not involve an unreviewed safety question as defined by 10 CFR Part 50.59 (a) (2).

• . xş . •