
•		· ·	• •	•	• • •			
	NRC FORM 195 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY CONSSION						DOCKET NUMBER 250/25/	
NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL						FIL	E NUMBER	
TO: FROM: DATE OF DOCUMENT								
10); · , · , .	•	Florida Power & Light Company				5/9/77	
Mr. George Lear			Miami, Flori	Miami, Florida Robert E. Uhrig			TE RECEIVED 5/11/77	
.V.ETTER DNOTORIZED			PROP INPUT FORM			וטא	MBER OF COPIES RECEIVED	
	ORIGINAL KNCLA	SSIFIED	,	*			1.00 Ad-	
├	SCRIPTION		•	EN	CLOSURE			
	Ltr. furnishing informa	etion recard	ina			٠, '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	the qualifications of the			•	· com	y — ia fir		
] 1	performing the function			١.	,	• • •	1	
Supervisor								
				1		•	10	
*	ACKNOWLEDGED							
	<u> </u>							
ļ ·	•			,	/>			
]		•	(2-P)			-	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
	PLANT NAME:	,		ļ			^ ,	
	Turkey Point Units 3 &	4.	· -			٠.	· • .	
Ι,	RJL .					. ,	· / . "	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DO NOT	REMOVE	<u>ļ.</u>	40	0	"" l	
-	SAFETY		FOR ACTION/	_		2	n4.	
\vdash	ASSIGNED AD:		FUN ACTION				3	
\rightarrow	BRANCH CHIEF: (6)				ASSIGNED AD: BRANCH CHIEF.		•	
	PROJECT MANAGER:				PROJECT MANAGER:			
	LIC -ASST. :		RISH .		LIC. ASST. :	LIC. ASST.:		
-	च्चा-जन्म व्यवस्थानम् ।। क्	an unique Fair II a		_				
H	INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION							
1	REG_FILE	REG_FILE SYSTEMS					SITE SAFETY &	
	NRC PDR	HEINEMA		\vdash	TEDESCO"	1-1	ENVIRO ANALYSIS	
-	I&E (2)	SCHROED		\Box	BENAROYA		DENTON & MULLER	
	OELD				LAINAS			
	GOSSICK & STAFF	"ENGINEE			IPPOLITO	\perp	ENVIRO TECH:	
\rightarrow	MIPC		MACARRY		KIRKWOOD		ERNST	
_	CASE	BOSNAK		$\vdash \vdash$	OPERATING REACTORS	┦	BALLARD YOUNGBLOOD	
_	HANAUER HARLESS	- SIHWEIL PAWLICK		\vdash	STELLO .	╁┼┤	TOUNGBLOOD	
H	HARLESS	LAMPICA	-7	\vdash	JILDEO .	+	SITE TECH.	
H	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REACTOR	R SAFETY	\vdash	OPERATING TECH.	1	GAMMILL	
	BOYD	ROSS		V	EISENHUT		STEPP	
	P. COLLINS	NOVAK	NOVAK		SHAO		HULMAN	
_	HOUSTON		ROSZTOCZY		BAER	\perp		
-	PETERSON	CHECK	CHECK		BUTLER		SITE ANALYSIS	
	MELTZ			4	GRIMES	+	VOLLMER BUNCH .	
_	HELTEMES SKOVHOLT .	AT & I		\vdash		+>	J. COLLINS	
H	SKOVROLI .		SALTZMAN RUTBERG				KREGER	
EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION CONTROL NUMBER								
	LPDR: MIAMI FLA:	NAT. LAB			BROOKHAVEN NAT. LAB			
	TIC:	REG V.IE			ULRIKSON (ORNL)		1	
_	NSIC:	LA PDR				4	MAY	
	ASLB:	CONSULTA		 		-	the second of th	
	ACRS / CYS HOLDING/S	SENT AS (145 13			4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	





May 9, 1977 L-77-142

REGULATORY DUCKET FILE COPY


Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attention: Mr. George Lear, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch #3
Division of Operating Reactors

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington DC 20555

Dear Mr. Lear:


Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4
Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251
HP Supervisor Requirements



Your letter of March 2, 1977, requested that we determine if the individual performing the function of Health Physics Supervisor (HPS) at Turkey Point meets the minimum qualifications of Regulatory Guide 1.8, September 1975, and, if so, that we propose a corresponding change to the Administrative Controls section of the Technical Specifications. We have completed our review and have determined that the Turkey Point HPS meets the minimum qualifications of the Guide. We are also preparing a proposed Technical Specification amendment that will meet the intent of Regulatory Guide 1.8, September 1975, and plan to submit it by June 15, 1977.

In addition, your letter listed six criteria regarding the activities "individuals qualified in radiation protection procedures" should be able to perform, and stated that the OI&E Inspector assigned to our facility will be using these criteria in determining whether our designated individuals meet the requirements.

Specifying the meaning of "individual qualified in radiation protection procedures" found in section 6.2.2.d of the Turkey Point facility Technical Specifications, constitutes a modification of the Turkey Point Technical Specifications. Florida Power & Light Company feels that this change should be initiated in a similar manner as other changes to our Technical Specifications. In particular, we do not feel that it is appropriate for the NRC staff to change the meaning of a Technical Specification without first giving the facility an opportunity to comment on the change. It would serve our mutual interest if we were allowed to participate in the



George Lear May 9, 1977 L-77-142 page 2

development of new requirements. Therefore, we are reviewing the criteria and plan to give you our written comments by July 1, 1977. We request that OI&E audits in this area be deferred until the proposed change has been properly submitted to the staff and approved.

Very truly yours,



Robert E. Uhrig Vice President

REU/MAS/HFS/tm Attachment

cc: Norman C. Moseley, Region II Robert Lowenstein, Esquire