Holtec-CISFEISCEm Resource

From: kaleigh parker <kalashpar0219@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2018 4:34 PM
To: Holtec-CISFEIS Resource
Subject: [External_Sender] #2018-0052
Attachments: 2018-0052.pdf; #2018-0052.pdf

Collected from Eunice, New Mexico.

Federal Register Notice: 83FR13802
Comment Number: 3300

Mail Envelope Properties (CAP4E-ym3oxXL0KaJhdA+vd8CJQB+fdqF_LfkHkdA08Kd6Bditw)

Subject: [External_Sender] #2018-0052
Sent Date: 7/30/2018 4:33:53 PM
Received Date: 7/30/2018 4:34:24 PM
From: kaleigh parker

Created By: kalashpar0219@gmail.com

Recipients:

Post Office: mail.gmail.com

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	34	7/30/2018 4:34:24 PM
2018-0052.pdf	184872	
#2018-0052.pdf	1139570	

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Holtec International wants the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to let them build a massive high-level radioactive waste storage facility between WIPP and Hobbs New Mexico. This waste would be reprocessing wastes and **spent fuel rods from every commercial reactor in the country.** Since almost all the reactors are in the East, trains would bring in waste from nuclear reactors around the country for 20 years.

We don't want it!
NoNuclearWaste.org

Transportation of high level waste (HLW) on this scale has never been done before and is extremely dangerous and expensive—especially since the entire rail system would have to be rebuilt to carry the enormous weight of the HLW casks. There are also questions about the safety of the thin-walled casks themselves.

Since there is currently no disposal facility for this waste, would this "storage" site end up being a disposal site? Or will this waste eventually end up in WIPP? (NMED currently has five modifications to the WIPP permit in play, including modifications for it to receive high level waste and to increase its size and the amount of waste it can receive.

To the NRC: WE DO NOT CONSENT to the risks and dangers from this facility. Without a final disposal facility it is premature to transport tens of thousands of fuel rods to New Mexico. This is an irresponsible and poorly thought-out plan with too many dangers to communities both along the transportation routes and in New Mexico. **Deny Holtec their license to store this waste right now!**

Name (please print)	Signature	Mailing Address
Claude MASON	Claude Mason	P.O. Box 1241 Eunice, NM 88231
ERIKA JONES	[illegible]	BOX 837 Eunice NM 88231
Tristan Sikes	[illegible]	Po box 1912 Eunice, NM 88231
Kaleigh Parker	Kaleigh Parker	PO Box 3122 Eunice NM
Richard Criswell	[illegible]	2305 West Elm Lovington N.M. 88260
Penelope Parker	Penelope Parker	PO Box 1957 Eunice NM 88231
Kyrk PASCHAL	Kyrk Paschal	Po Box 1301 Eunice NM 88231
Lynda Blevins	Lynda Blevins	P.O. Box 601 Eunice NM 88231
Javier Mota	Javier Mota	P.O. Box 1541 Eunice NM 88231
Greg Mitchell	[illegible]	P.O. Box 610 Eunice NM 88231
George Locke	[illegible]	PO Box 682 Eunice NM 88231
Donnavan Radford	Donnavan Radford	PO Box 3122 Eunice NM
ABEL LOPEZ	[illegible]	P.O. BOX 1182, EUNICE, NM 88231

Halt Radioactive Waste Dumping on New Mexico and Texas!

Protect public health by preventing radioactive contamination of land & water

We DO NOT CONSENT to the proposed storage of deadly high-level radioactive waste from US nuclear reactors around the county or transport of the waste for this purpose.

Name (please print)	Phone with area code	Email address
Richard Locke	575-390-6842	Rlocke@windstream.Net
Charles Ferbrache	432-208-1222	cferbrache@powerlinesinc.com
Fred Ortiz	575-390-3243	fredmortiz@valornet.com
Delphina Ortiz	575-631-8006	delp4599@valornet.com
CHRIS BARTEET	575-631-9717	chris.barteet@WINDSTREM.NET
Phillip Little	575-631-1416	Phillip.Little@windstream.Net
Tony Valenzuela	575-441-2729	lexivalenz2182@gmail.com
[illegible]	575-263-3049	—
Johnny Parker	575-390-2380	—

Please return signed petitions to Rose Gardner, PO Box 514, Eunice, NM 88231. www.NoNuclearWasteAqui.org and www.NukeFreeTexas.org

Halt Radioactive Waste Dumping on New Mexico and Texas!

Protect public health by preventing radioactive contamination of land & water

We DO NOT CONSENT to the proposed storage of deadly high-level radioactive waste from US nuclear reactors around the county or transport of the waste for this purpose.

Name (please print)	Phone with area code	Email address
Rodolfo A Navarez	915-538 8896	RR21283@gmail.com.
ABEL LOPEZ	915-479-8149	CowboysLopezAL@G.mail
Carlos Villanueva	575 602-3340	JC_Villanueva@icloud.com
RODNIER HERNANDEZ	786-223-7745	rodnierhernandez@gmail.com
SERGIO JAQUEZ	915-875-9043	
Jesus Martinez	(915) 777-1679	
Rafael Holguin	915 342-3866	
Aaroldo Remedio.	786-314-8048	Aaroldremedio@yahoo.com

Please return signed petitions to Rose Gardner, PO Box 514, Eunice, NM 88231. www.NoNuclearWasteAqui.org and www.NukeFreeTexas.org

5-8, 2018

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN–7– A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555– 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC–2018–0052; Holtec International's HI–STORE CIS Facility for Spent Nuclear Fuel, Lea County, New Mexico

NRC:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I do not consent to the risk of contamination of our lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undo burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document. In addition, this overlaps several other comment periods in New Mexico, including three comment periods for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) and one for Los Alamos National Laboratory.

I formally request that each of the 3 scheduled meetings have time for public comment, and that the Roswell Open House include a regular scoping meeting as well, or be cancelled. I also request additional Public Scoping Meetings for other New Mexico communities that will be impacted by the transport, including but not limited to: Albuquerque, Clovis, and Gallup and at least one in Dallas/Ft. Worth, San Antonio, and Midland, Texas since there would likely be extensive transport through these cities.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary to Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the Transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is inadequate and incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.

Cracked And Leaking Casks Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions WIPP but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site.

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals?

- The total number of annual workers at the site could total as many as 135 when construction jobs are combined with the operating workforce.

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- Although the ER gives a statement on recent seismic activity in the area, there is no analysis of what many 3.0 – 4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes will have on the buried casks.

I do not consent to this site being in my State, My Family Lives, & works here. We are not a dumping ground here. Leave it where it is!!!

Sincerely,

Signed Delphina Ortiz

Name (Print) Delphina Ortiz

City & State Eunice, NM 88231

May 2, 2018

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN -7- A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555 - 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC–2018-0052; Holtec International's HI–STORE CIS Facility for Spent Nuclear Fuel, Lea County, New Mexico

NRC:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not support making New Mexico a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of high-level radioactive waste through thousands of communities across the United States. I do not consent to the nationwide transportation risks of contamination of lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places an undo burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary to Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.

The Environmental Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- This ER must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of accidents or terrorism incidents on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The ER is inadequate and incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from reactors without rail access would be accomplished and the risks and impacts of such shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose risk" and "person-rem" are used to ignore the potential impacts to a single individual.

Cracked And Leaking Casks Must Be Addressed

- The ER does not analyze exactly how radioactive waste from a cracked and leaking canister would be handled, since there is no wet pool or hot cell at the site

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The ER mentions WIPP but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP on the proposed CIS site

Impacts Of Future Railroads And Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- The railroads and electric lines are not in place, but must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals?

- The total number of [illegible] workers at the site could [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] with the upcoming [illegible].

Seismic Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 3.0 - 4.0 fracking-induced earthquakes [illegible] [illegible] [illegible].

[illegible]

There is too much nuclear waste here.
We don't need more!
Let the people who made it keep it.
It should be their problem not ours.

Signed Kaleigh Parker

Kaleigh Parker
Eunice, NM

May 2, 2018

May Ma
Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN–7– A60M
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555 – 0001

RE: Docket ID NRC–2018–0052; Holtec International's HI–STORE CIS Facility for Spent Nuclear Fuel, Lea County, New Mexico

NRC:

I respectfully submit these scoping comments on the Holtec Environmental Report (ER) to bring up to 100,000 metric tons of spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, from nuclear reactors around the country to southeast New Mexico. I am submitting the following comments because I do not support making New Mexico a national radioactive waste dumping ground. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of high-level radioactive waste through thousands of communities across the United States. I do not consent to the nationwide transportation risks of contamination of lands, aquifers, air, or the health of plants, wildlife, and livestock. I do not consent to endangering present and future generations.

I formally request a 60-day Extension Of Time For This Comment Period. A 60-day comment period places undue burden on the public to respond to this 543-page technical document.

This Holtec Proposal Is Contrary to Current Law

- Current law only allows the U.S. Department of Energy to take title to commercial spent fuel "following commencement of operation of a repository" or at a DOE-owned and operated monitored retrievable storage facility. The Holtec site meets neither requirement, as it is a private facility.

Holtec Must Remove Copyrights And All Redactions in the Environmental Report

- NRC must require Holtec to produce an ER that has no such copyright restriction and has no redactions.

The Impacts Of Permanent Storage Must Be Analyzed

- The Environmental Report (ER) is inadequate and incomplete because it does not analyze the impacts of the spent fuel being left at the Holtec site indefinitely.

More Alternatives Must Be Analyzed

- Keeping the spent fuel casks in some form of Hardened On Site Storage (HOSS) on the reactor sites must be analyzed.
- The alternative of consolidated storage being done at an existing licensed Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facility (ISFSI) must be analyzed.

[illegible] Report inadequately discusses the transportation Risks

- The EIS must include all transportation routes and the potential impacts of [illegible] on public health and safety along all the routes.
- The EIS is inadequate and incomplete because it does not discuss how rail shipments from [illegible] would be accomplished and the risks and [illegible] of rail shipments.

The Consequences To An Accident-Exposed Individual Must Be Analyzed

- Terms like "collective dose" [illegible] are used to ignore the potential [illegible] of death.

Cracked And Leaking Casks Must Be Addressed

- The EIS does not analyze exactly how radioactive [illegible] would be handled if the there is no water pool or hot cell at the site.

More Cumulative Impacts Must Be Analyzed

- The EIS mentions WIPP but does not analyze the impacts of a radiologic release from WIPP in the proposed [illegible].

Impacts Of Future Railroads and Electric Lines Must Be Analyzed

- [illegible] in place [illegible] must be analyzed.

How many of the estimated 135 jobs will go to locals?

[illegible]

Adverse Impacts On Stored Casks Must Be Stated

- [illegible]

[illegible]

Sincerely,

Tristan Sikes

Eunice, NM 88231

To the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground for all the high-level nuclear waste from commercial power plants nationwide. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I support the alternative of Hardened On-Site Storage at or near reactor sites.

Name Tristan Sikes

Address 809 20th st

Eunice, NM 88231

To the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

I do not consent to New Mexico becoming a national radioactive waste dumping ground for all the high-level nuclear waste from commercial power plants nationwide. I do not consent to transporting up to 10,000 canisters of highly radioactive waste through thousands of communities nationwide. I support the alternative of Hardened On-Site Storage at or near reactor sites.

Name Lynda Blevins

Address P.O. Box 601

Eunice NM 88231