

As of: 7/24/18 1:47 PM
Received: July 22, 2018
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1k2-94et-3zgm
Comments Due: July 23, 2018
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2018-0109

Draft Letter to the Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding the Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test Assemblies

Comment On: NRC-2018-0109-0002

Draft Letter to Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test Assemblies

Document: NRC-2018-0109-DRAFT-0179

Comment on FR Doc # 2018-14121

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Culhane

Address:

149 Milburn Street
Buffalo, NY, 14212

Email: culhane9@gmail.com

General Comment

- I agree with the Union of Concerned Scientists previously provided comments that it is extremely unwise to permit nuclear plant owners to test new fuel options without prior review...

I concur with the non-concurrence of Harold K. Chernoff that the path for licencing changes in the functions of nuclear reactors is clear and any departures from that important process carries with it an abdication of responsibility for public safety. The fox cannot guard the chicken coop, to use a strong metaphor, but it somewhat boils down to that.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD= Sihan Ding, Kimberly Green & Janet Burkhardt

COMMENT (189)

PUBLICATION DATE: 6/7/2018

CITATION # 83 FR 26503