

**As of:** 7/24/18 8:57 AM  
**Received:** July 20, 2018  
**Status:** Pending\_Post  
**Tracking No.** 1k2-94du-600r  
**Comments Due:** July 23, 2018  
**Submission Type:** Web

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**Docket:** NRC-2018-0109

Draft Letter to the Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding the Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test Assemblies

**Comment On:** NRC-2018-0109-0002

Draft Letter to Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test Assemblies

**Document:** NRC-2018-0109-DRAFT-0154

Comment on FR Doc # 2018-14121

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

---

## General Comment

I agree with The Union of Concerned Scientists: "It is imperative that NRC hear as many voices as possible opposing unreviewed and unapproved fuel tests in the nuclear power plants in their communities."

The UCS's comments of June 27 by Dave Lochbaum and Ed Lyman make it clear that the public's rights should not be unfairly denied.

Safety and conservative test practices should be most important, not simply cost-cutting measures.

I also support the "non-concurrence" documentation submitted by Harold K. Chernoff.

Thank you.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD= Sihan Ding, Kimberly Green & Janet Burkhardt

COMMENT (165)

PUBLICATION DATE: 6/7/2018

CITATION # 83 FR 26503