

SUNSI Review
Complete
Template = ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03
ADD= Sihan Ding,
Kimberly Green & Janet
Burkhardt

As of: 7/20/18 2:05 PM
Received: July 20, 2018
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1k2-94dq-zahr
Comments Due: July 23, 2018
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

COMMENT (155)
PUBLICATION DATE:
6/7/2018
CITATION # 83 FR
26503

Docket: NRC-2018-0109

Draft Letter to the Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding the Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test Assemblies

Comment On: NRC-2018-0109-0002

Draft Letter to Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test Assemblies

Document: NRC-2018-0109-DRAFT-0145

Comment on FR Doc # 2018-14121

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

The NRC should not issue this letter. I agree and support Harold Chernoff's non-concurrence.

I do not see where the NRC staff addressed or refuted Harold's issues brought up with the draft letter.

Did the NRC senior management ever sit down with Harold to understand his issues?

Did NRC senior management ignore Harold's issues and just attached the non-concurrence to the draft letter?

Has Harold been retaliated against for standing up and presenting a different view? Has Harold been removed from his position for bringing issues to light?

I believe the OIG should investigate whether Harold has been retaliated against especially in light of UCS's blog regarding a "Chilled Work Environment" at the NRC.

An OIG investigation into whether Harold has been retaliated against will show if the NRC is a hostile and chilled work environment or not.

The NRC should not issue this letter.