## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

SUNSI Review Complete Template = ADM-013 E-RIDS=ADM-03 ADD= Sihan Ding, Kimberly Green & Jan Burkhardt

COMMENT (66) PUBLICATION DATE: 6/7/2018 CITATION # 83 FR 26503 As of: 7/6/18 8:18 AM Received: July 06, 2018 Status: Pending\_Post

Tracking No. 1k2-9445-34dh Comments Due: July 23, 2018 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2018-0109

Draft Letter to the Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding the Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test

Assemblies

**Comment On:** NRC-2018-0109-0002

Draft Letter to Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test

Assemblies

**Document:** NRC-2018-0109-DRAFT-0062

Comment on FR Doc # 2018-14121

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Howard Cohen

Address:

3272 Cowper Street
Palo Alto, CA, 94306
Email: howard@cohensw.com

Organization: Cohen Software Consulting, Inc.

## **General Comment**

As a citizen, a physicist and a member and supporter of the Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS), I concur with and support their Comments on Draft Letter to the Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding the Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test Assemblies, [NRC-2018-0109] as published June 7, 2018, in the Federal Register, pp. 26503-26505, which states:

"As explained in the comments, we believe the draft letter must not be finalized and issued. We agree with the reason for the draft letterthe need to clarify regulatory expectations for the insertion of Lead Test Assemblies in operating reactor cores. That objective should be achieved through appropriate means, not via a letter to the industry trade group.

The draft letter outlines two regulatory pathways: (1) the Standard Technical Specification (STS) path for licensees having technical specification provisions comparable to STS 4.2.1, and (2) the 50.59 and non-exemption path.

The STS path is more appropriately provided through revisions to the STS Bases documents and/or issuance/revision of Regulatory Guides and Regulatory Issue Summaries to explain this path and the conditions for its use.

The 50.59 and non-exemption path is not needed because the pending 50.46 rulemaking expressly addresses the exemption portion and the proper application of 50.59 already addresses the remainder.

Therefore, the draft letter must not be finalized and issued. It is an entirely inappropriate means for communicating regulatory expectations on safety regulations protecting workers and the public. "