

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03
ADD= Sihan Ding, Kimberly
Green & Jan Burkhardt

As of: 7/6/18 7:09 AM
Received: July 05, 2018
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1k2-943x-ge5h
Comments Due: July 23, 2018
Submission Type: Web

COMMENT (23)
PUBLICATION DATE:
6/7/2018
CITATION # 83 FR 26503

Docket: NRC-2018-0109

Draft Letter to the Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding the Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test Assemblies

Comment On: NRC-2018-0109-0002

Draft Letter to Nuclear Energy Institute Regarding Clarification of Regulatory Paths for Lead Test Assemblies

Document: NRC-2018-0109-DRAFT-0019

Comment on FR Doc # 2018-14121

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Sklar

Address:

The Stella Group, Ltd.
706 North Ivy Street
Arlington, 22201

Email: solarsklar@aol.com

General Comment

I strongly oppose the suggestion to not require a case-by-case review of tests at nuclear power plants. This approach sets a bad precedent on oversight ... and such required case-by-case oversight allows both staff and commissioners to have ongoing oversight.

We do not unanticipated consequences.

Both public safety and ongoing education of Commissioners and staff are absolute requirements for the NRC, and hope the NRC does not dilute its responsibility.

Respectfully submitted,

Adj Prof Scott Sklar

Energy Director

Environment & Energy Management Institute (EEMI)

and Acting Director, GWU Solar Institute

The George Washington University (GWU)

<https://eemi.seas.gwu.edu/> sklar@gwu.edu